

CAMBODIA
COUNTRY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Item	1995	1996	1997 ^r	1998 ^p	1999 ^a
TABLE A1: ECONOMIC INDICATORS					
A. Income and Growth					
1. GDP per Capita (current prices,\$)	302	300	281	247	256
2. GDP Growth (constant 1993 prices, %)	6.7	5.5	3.7	1.8	5.0
Agriculture	7.5	2.2	5.8	2.5	1.5
Industry	20.2	11.7	20.4	8.6	11.4
Services	4.2	4.8	-3.7	-1.3	5.8
B. Saving and Investment (current market prices) (percent of GDP)					
1. Gross National Saving	4.2	5.9	8.5
2. Gross Domestic Investment	12.9	13.5	13.0	12.9	15.8
C. Money and Inflation (annual percent change)					
1. Consumer Prices (annual average)	-	7.2	8.0	14.8	4.0
2. Broad Money (M2)	44.3	40.4	16.6	15.7	17.3
D. Government Finance (percent of GDP)					
1. Revenue	8.5	9.0	9.7	8.9	11.5
2. Expenditure (on cash basis)	16.5	16.1	13.9	14.8	15.5
3. Overall Fiscal Deficit (on cash basis)	-7.5	-7.1	-4.2	-5.9	-4.0
E. Balance of Payments					
1. Trade Balance (% of GDP)	-10.9	-13.6	-7.6	-5.7	-6.6
2. Current Account Deficit (% of GDP) ^b	-14.5	-17.3	-8.8	-7.0	-7.3
3. Export (\$) growth (annual percent change) ^c	2.4	10.5	81.0	13.0	13.3
4. Import (\$) growth (annual percent change) ^d	36.1	20.3	5.8	-0.1	15.3
F. External Payments Indicators					
1. Gross Official Reserves (\$ million)	181.7	233.7	262.1	390.2	422.2
- months of imports of goods and services	1.6	2.2	2.4	3.7	3.8
2. External Debt Service (% of exports of goods & services) ^e	3.6	5.8	2.5	2.9	2.5
3. External Debt (% of GDP) ^f	18.3	19.7	62.0	75.6	73.3
Memorandum Items:					
GDP (current prices, KR billion)	7,543	8,325	9,149	10,531	11,471
Exchange Rate (KN per \$)	2,467	2,640	2,991	3,774	3,815.0
Population (million)	10.1	10.5	10.9	11.3	11.7

^r Revised Estimates, ^p Preliminary Estimates, ^a Advanced Estimates

^b Excluding official transfers

^c Export growth = growth of domestic exports, excluding from total exports those imported goods reexported to neighboring countries to take advantage of tariff differences.

^d Import growth = growth of retained imports, excluding from total imports those imported goods reexported to neighboring countries to take advantage of tariff differences.

^e As percent of domestic exports of goods and services, convertible currencies only.

^f Total external debt outstanding 1995-1996 in this table excludes debt incurred to four former Council of Mutual Economic Assistance countries amounting to about \$1,346 million. This amount, included in 1997-1999, is indicative and subject to negotiations and rescheduling.

Sources: National Institute of Statistics, Ministry of Economy and Finance, National Bank of Cambodia, International Monetary Fund, staff estimates

CAMBODIA
COUNTRY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

	1985	1990	Latest Year
POPULATION INDICATORS			
Total Population (millions)	7.5	8.6	11.7 (1999)
Annual Population Growth Rate (% change)	3.18	2.72	2.5 (1998)
SOCIAL INDICATORS			
Total Fertility Rate (births per woman)	4.6 (1987)	4.5 (1992)	4.1 (1998)
Maternal Mortality Rate (per hundred thousand live births)	...	900	473 (1998)
Infant Mortality Rate (below 1 year; per '000 live births)	145	123 (1992)	89 (1998)
Life Expectancy at Birth (years)	46	50 (1992)	53.4 (1997)
Female	58.6 (1997)
Male	51.4 (1995)
Adult Literacy (%)	29 (1980-1985)	35	65.9 (1997)
Primary School Gross Enrollment Rate (%) ^a	42 (1970-1975)	...	94.5 (1997)
Female	35 (1970-1975)	...	86.4 (1997)
Secondary School Gross Enrollment Rate (%) ^a	10 (1970-1975)	...	29 (1989-1994)
Female	6 (1970-1975)
Child Malnutrition (% of under age 5)	20 (1980-1985)	38	49 (1998)
Population Below Poverty Line (%)	36.1 (1997)
Income Ratio of Highest 20% to Lowest 20%
Population with Access to Safe Water (%)	...	36 (1988-1991)	30 (1990-1997)
Population with Access to Sanitation (%)	...	14 (1988-1991)	19 (1990-1997)
Government Education Expenditure (% of GDP)	...	0.70 (1991)	1.6 (1998)
Government Health Expenditure (% of GDP)	...	0.30 (1991)	1.0 (1998)
Human Development Index	...	0.186	0.514 (1997)
Human Development Index (Rank) ^b	...	148	137 (1997)

^a Gross enrollment ratio is defined as the number enrolled in a level of education, whether or not they belong in the relevant age group for that level, expressed as a percentage of the population in the age group 6-10 years for primary school, 11-13 years for lower secondary school, and 14-16 years for upper secondary school.

^b For 1990 and 1995, a total of 173 and 174 countries, respectively, were ranked from high to low human development, using the Human Development Index as basis.

Sources: National Institute of Statistics, various surveys; Ministry of Economy and Finance; Ministry of Planning; Ministry of Health; Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports; MOP.1998. Cambodia Human Development Report 1998; UNDP. Human Development Report, various issues; World Bank. World Development Report, World Development Indicators; various issues; FAO Production Yearbook, various issues; and staff estimates.

CAMBODIA
COUNTRY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

	1980	Latest Year
ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS		
Energy Efficiency of Emissions		
GDP per unit of energy use (PPP \$ per kg oil equivalent)	n.a.	n.a.
Traditional fuel use (% of total energy use)	100	89.3 (1996)
Carbon dioxide emissions (total metric tons)	0.3	0.5 (1996)
Carbon dioxide emissions (per capita metric tons)	0.0	0.0
Water Pollution		
Emissions of organic water pollutants (kg/day)	n.a.	12,078.0 (1997) ^a
Industry share of emissions of organic water pollutants		
Wood (%)	n.a.	5.8 (1997) ^a
Primary Metals (%)	n.a.	0.0 (1997) ^a
Paper and Pulp (%)	n.a.	3.4 (1997) ^a
Chemical (%)	n.a.	3.3 (1997) ^a
Food and Beverages (%)	n.a.	59.2 (1997) ^a
Textiles (%)	n.a.	24.7 (1997) ^a
Land Use and Deforestation		
Forest area (sq km '000)	n.a.	98 (1995)
Average annual deforestation (sq km)	n.a.	1,638 (1990-95)
Average annual deforestation (% change)	n.a.	1.6 (1990-95)
Rural population density (people per sq km of arable land)	n.a.	259 (1997)
Arable land (% of land area)	11.3	21 (1997)
Permanent cropland (% of land area)	0.4	0.6 (1997)
Biodiversity and Protected Areas		
Nationally protected areas (sq km '000)	n.a.	28.6 (1996)
Percent of total land area	n.a.	16.2 (1996)
Mammals (number of threatened species)	n.a.	23 (1996)
Birds (number of threatened species)	n.a.	18 (1996)
Higher plants (number of threatened species)	n.a.	5 (1996)
Reptiles (number of threatened species)	n.a.	9 (1996)
Amphibians (number of threatened species)	n.a.	0.0
Urban		
Urban population (millions)	0.8	1.7 (1998)
Percentage urban	12	15 (1998)
Per capita water use	n.a.	n.a.
Wastewater treated (percent)	n.a.	n.a.
Per capita solid waste generation (kg/day)	n.a.	n.a.
Air Pollution		
City population ('000)	n.a.	n.a.
Total Suspended particulates (micrograms per cubic meter)	n.a.	n.a.
Sulfur dioxide (micrograms per cubic meter)	n.a.	n.a.
Nitrogen dioxide (micrograms per cubic meter)	n.a.	n.a.

^a Data refer to most recent year between 1993 and 1997.

Source: World Bank. 2000 World Development Indicators. (On-line). Available: <http://www.worldbank.org/wdi>

CAMBODIA
PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE

Table 1 : Implementation, Disbursement Performance and Postevaluation Results
Public Sector Projects only
(as of 31 December 1999)

A. Project Portfolio	Net Loan Amount \$ million %		Rating (No.) ^a									
			Total		Implementation Progress				Development Objectives			
			No.	%	HS	S	PS	U	HS	S	PS	U
Agriculture and Natural Resources	51.85	17.7	2	18.2	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
Energy	26.19	8.9	1	9.1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Finance and Industry	0.00	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Social Infrastructure	93.74	31.9	5	45.5	2	3	0	0	2	3	0	0
Transport and Communications	121.66	41.5	3	27.3	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
Others/Multisector	0.00	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	293.45	100.0	11	100.0	2	8	1	0	2	8	1	0

B. Disbursements	OCR	ADF	Total
(1) Total funds available for withdrawal (\$ mn, active loans only)	0.0	293.4	293.4
(2) Disbursed amount (\$ mn, cumulative, active loans only)	0.0	83.0	83.0
(3) Percentage disbursed [(2)/(1)] (%)	0.00	28.29	28.29
(4) Disbursements (\$mn, active loans only, latest year)	0.0	26.2	26.2
(5) Disbursement ratio (%) ^b	0.00	17.21	17.21

C. Net Transfer of Resources ^c (\$ million)	OCR	ADF	Total
Net transfer in 1995	0.0	35.6	35.6
Net transfer in 1996	0.0	31.7	31.7
Net transfer in 1997	0.0	9.7	9.7
Net transfer in 1998	0.0	28.4	28.4
Net transfer in 1999	0.0	24.9	24.9

D. Post-Evaluated Projects (By Year of Approval)	1968 - 1977		1978 - 1987		1988 - 1999		1968 - 1999			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
1. Postevaluation Rating (as of 31 December 1999)										
Rated Generally Successful (GS)	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0		
Rated Partly Successful (PS)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Rated Unsuccessful (US)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
No Rating	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0		
2. Postevaluation Rating by Sector 1968-99 (as of 31 December 1999)	GS		PS		US		NR		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Agriculture and Natural Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Energy	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Finance and Industry	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Social Infrastructure	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Transport and Communications	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Others/Multisector	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
Total	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0

^a HS: Highly satisfactory; S: Satisfactory; PS: Partially satisfactory; U: Unsatisfactory

^b Ratio of disbursement during the year over the undisbursed net loan balance less cancellations at the beginning of the year. Effective loans during the year have also been added to the beginning balance of undisbursed loans.

^c Includes private sector projects for countries with private sector operations.

CAMBODIA
PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE
Table 2: Status of Project Implementation
Public Sector Projects Only
(As of 31 December 1999)

Sector ^a	Project Title	Net Loan Amount		Approval Date	Effectivity Date	Closing Date		Project Progress	Cum Contract Awards	Cumulative Disbursement	Project Performance Rating ^b	
		OCR	ADF			Original	Revised				Implementation Progress	Development Objective
		(\$ million)		(mm/yy)	(mm/yy)	(mm/yy)		(% complete)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
AGR	Rural Infrastructure Improvement Project		22.7	Sep-95	Jan-96	Jun-02	-	40	7.8	8.5	S	S
AGR	Agriculture Sector Program		29.1	Jun-96	Oct-96	Dec-99	-	51	15.0	14.9	PS	PS
ENE	Power Rehabilitation Project		26.2	Dec-94	May-95	Dec-99	Jun-00	99	24.4	24.1	S	S
SOC	Basic Skills Project		17.0	Aug-95	Nov-95	May-01	-	64	11.0	8.5	S	S
SOC	Basic Education Textbook Project		18.9	Jun-96	Oct-96	Apr-02	-	69	10.4	9.4	HS	S
SOC	Basic Health Services Project		19.0	Jun-96	Sep-96	Jun-02	-	55	14.2	7.9	HS	S
SOC	Phnom Penh Water Supply & Drainage Project		18.8	Sep-96	Sep-97	Jun-03	-	38	4.7	3.7	S	S
SOC	Provincial Towns Improvement Project		20.0	Dec-99	-	Dec-05	-	0	0.0	0.0	S	S
T&C	Siem Reap Airport Project		14.2	Dec-96	Jun-97	Jun-00	-	39	9.5	5.9	S	S
T&C	GMS:Phnom Penh-HCMC Highway Project		39.0	Dec-98	Nov-99	Jun-03	-	0	0.0	0.0	S	S
T&C	Primary Roads Restoration Project		68.5	Sep-99	-	Jan-04	-	0	0.0	0.0	S	S
Total		0.0	293.4						97.0	83.0		

^a Sector:

AGR: Agriculture & Natural Resources

ENE: Energy

F&I: Finance and Industry

SOC: Social Infrastructure

T&C: Transport and Communications

OTH: Others/Multisector

^b HS: Highly satisfactory; S: Satisfactory; PS: Partially satisfactory; U: Unsatisfactory

CAMBODIA
OVERALL EXTERNAL ASSISTANCE ^a
(in \$ million)

External Source	1996-1998 (annual average)	1999 ^b
A. Multilateral Assistance		
ADB	36.3	26.9
European Commission	43.5	27.9
IBRD/ World Bank	32.6	34.8
IMF	0.1	11.0
UN Agencies	38.9	53.3
Subtotal	151.5	153.9
B. Bilateral Assistance ^c		
Australia	21.9	13.2
Belgium	2.3	4.8
Canada	4.0	1.6
China, People's Republic of	11.6	2.5
Denmark	10.1	2.7
France	33.0	16.6
Germany	9.8	12.5
Japan	80.7	89.0
Netherlands	6.8	6.1
New Zealand	0.4	0.8
Sweden	15.7	10.8
United Kingdom	4.1	9.5
United States	29.9	23.0
Other Bilateral Donors	3.7	6.3
Subtotal	234.0	199.3
Total	385.5	353.1
Memo Items:		
External Assistance as % of Capital Expenditures	209.0	199.5
External Assistance as % of Total Expenditures	83.5	75.9

^a Figures represent external assistance disbursements during the period, excluding that from NGOs.

^b Estimates.

^c May include disbursements not channeled through the Government budget.

Source: *Development Cooperation Report for Cambodia (1998/1999)* prepared by the Cambodian Rehabilitation Development Board, Council for Development of Cambodia with the support of the UNDP

CAMBODIA
LENDING PIPELINE AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, 2001-2003

Sector/Project Name	Poverty Classification ^{a*}	Crosscutting Operational Priority ^{b*}	Responsible Division	Year of PPTA	PROJECT COST (in \$ million)					
					TOTAL	Bank			Gov't	Cofinancing (Others)
						OCR	ADF	Total		
2001 FIRM LOANS										
Agriculture and Natural Resources										
1 Rural Development	CPI	HD	AWAR	2000	25.0	-	25.0	25.0	-	tbd
Subtotal					25.0	-	25.0	25.0	-	tbd
Social Infrastructure										
2 Education Sector Development	PI	HD	AWEH	2000	37.5	-	37.5	37.5	-	tbd
Subtotal					37.5	-	37.5	37.5	-	tbd
Finance and Industry										
3 Financial Sector Program	PI	PSD/GG	IWFI	2000	30.0	-	30.0	30.0	-	tbd
Subtotal					30.0	-	30.0	30.0	-	tbd
Total					92.5	-	92.5	92.5	-	tbd
2002 FIRM LOANS										
Agriculture and Natural Resources										
1 Integrated Natural Resources Management	CPI	ENV	AWFN	1999	25.0	-	20.0	20.0	5.0	tbd
Subtotal					25.0	-	20.0	20.0	5.0	tbd
Social Infrastructure										
2 Basic Health Services II	PI	HD	AWEH	2001	31.3	-	25.0	25.0	6.3	tbd
Subtotal					31.3	-	25.0	25.0	6.3	tbd
Transport and Communications										
3 Road Network Improvement II	ODI	RC	IWTC	2001	50.0	-	40.0	40.0	10.0	tbd
Subtotal					50.0	-	40.0	40.0	10.0	tbd
Total					106.3	-	85.0	85.0	21.3	tbd
2003 FIRM LOANS										
Agriculture and Natural Resources										
1 Small and Medium Scale Water Resources Development	PI	HD	AWFN	2001	42.5	-	35.0	35.0	7.5	tbd
2 Agriculture Sector Development	CPI	GG	AWAR	2001	60.0	-	50.0	50.0	10.0	tbd
Subtotal					102.5	-	85.0	85.0	17.5	tbd
Total					102.5	-	85.0	85.0	17.5	tbd
2003 STANDBY LOAN										
Social Infrastructure										
1 Water Supply and Sanitation	PI	HD	AWWU	2001	31.0	-	25.0	25.0	6.0	tbd
Subtotal					31.0	-	25.0	25.0	6.0	tbd
Total					31.0	-	25.0	25.0	6.0	

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD = Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

CAMBODIA
LENDING PIPELINE AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, 2001-2003

Sector/Project Name	Responsible Division	Type of TA	Amount (\$ '000)		
			ADB	JSF	Total
2001 FIRM TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM					
Agriculture and Natural Resources					
1 Small and Medium Scale Water Resources Development	AWFN	PPTA	1,200.0	0.0	1,200.0
2 Agricultural Sector Development	AWAR	PPTA	600.0	0.0	600.0
	Subtotal		<u>1,800.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1,800.0</u>
Social Infrastructure					
3 Rural Water Supply & Sanitation	AWWU	PPTA	700.0	0.0	700.0
4 Second Basic Health Services Project	AWEH	PPTA	0.0	800.0	800.0
	Subtotal		<u>700.0</u>	<u>800.0</u>	<u>1,500.0</u>
Transport and Communications					
5 Transport Sector Study	IWTC	ADTA	0.0	850.0	850.0
	Subtotal		<u>0.0</u>	<u>850.0</u>	<u>850.0</u>
Others/Multisector					
6 Strengthening Public Financial Management	PW3	ADTA	1,200.0	0.0	1,200.0
7 Institutional Support for National Economic Policy Management	PW3	ADTA	450.0	0.0	450.0
	Subtotal		<u>1,650.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1,650.0</u>
Total			4,150.0	1,650.0	5,800.0
2001 STANDBY TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM					
Finance and Industry					
Capacity Building in Banking & Financial Sector Management ^a	IWFI	ADTA	900.0	0.0	900.0
	Subtotal		<u>900.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>900.0</u>
Social Infrastructure					
Capacity Building in Education ^a	AWEH	ADTA	1,000.0	0.0	1,000.0
	Subtotal		<u>1,000.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1,000.0</u>
Others/Multisector					
Capacity Building in Socioeconomic Development Plan (SDEP) Monitoring	PW3	ADTA	600.0	0.0	600.0
	Subtotal		<u>600.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>600.0</u>
Total			2,500.0	0.0	2,500.0
2002 FIRM TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM					
Agriculture and Natural Resources					
1 Capacity Building in Natural Resource Management & Environmental Impact Assessment	AWFN	ADTA	1,000.0	0.0	1,000.0
	Subtotal		<u>1,000.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1,000.0</u>
Energy					
2 Power Transmission and Distribution	IWEN	PPTA	800.0	0.0	800.0
	Subtotal		<u>800.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>800.0</u>
Finance and Industry					
3 Financial Sector Program II	IWFI	PPTA	800.0	0.0	800.0
	Subtotal		<u>800.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>800.0</u>
Social Infrastructure					
4 Education Sector Development II	AWEH	PPTA	800.0	0.0	800.0
5 Capacity Building in Water Supply and Sanitation	AWWU	ADTA	800.0	0.0	800.0
	Subtotal		<u>1,600.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1,600.0</u>
Transport and Communications					
6 Capacity Building at the Ministry of Transport ^a	IWTC	ADTA	1,000.0	0.0	1,000.0
	Subtotal		<u>1,000.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1,000.0</u>
Others/Multisector					
7 Capacity Building in Law and Development	OGC	ADTA	1,800.0	0.0	1,800.0
	Subtotal		<u>1,800.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1,800.0</u>
Total			7,000.0	0.0	7,000.0

Sector/Project Name	Responsible Division	Type of TA	Amount (\$ '000)		
			ADB	JSF	Total
2003 FIRM TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM					
Agriculture and Natural Resources					
1 Integrated Natural Resource Management II	AWFN	PPTA	800.0	0.0	800.0
2 Rural Development II	AWAR	PPTA	800.0	0.0	800.0
3 Capacity Building in Agriculture ^a	AWAR	ADTA	800.0	0.0	800.0
	Subtotal		<u>2,400.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>2,400.0</u>
Transport and Communications					
4 Secondary Road Improvement	IWTC	PPTA	1,000.0	0.0	1,000.0
	Subtotal		<u>1,000.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1,000.0</u>
Others/Multisector					
5 Capacity Building in Statistical Development III	EDRC	ADTA	1,000.0	0.0	1,000.0
6 Capacity Building in Economic Policy Management	PW3	ADTA	1,000.0	0.0	1,000.0
	Subtotal		<u>2,000.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>2,000.0</u>
Total			<u>5,400.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>5,400.0</u>

^a Piggy-backed to loans.

CAMBODIA
FIRM LENDING PROGRAM, 2001-2003
BY POVERTY CLASSIFICATION, CROSSCUTTING OPERATIONAL PRIORITY AND SECTOR

Classification	2001		2002-2003	
	No.	%	No.	%
I. By Poverty Classification *				
A. Core Poverty Intervention	1	33.3	2	40.0
B. Poverty Intervention (Non-core)	1	33.3	2	40.0
C. Other Development Interventions	1	33.3	1	20.0
Total	3	100.0	5	100.0
II. By Crosscutting Operational Priority *				
A. Environment	0	0.0	1	20.0
B. Gender and Development	0	0.0	0	0.0
C. Good Governance	1	33.3	1	20.0
D. Human Development	1	33.3	2	40.0
E. Private Sector Development	1	33.3	0	0.0
F. Regional Cooperation	0	0.0	1	20.0
Total	3	100.0	5	100.0
III. By Sector				
A. Agriculture and Natural Resources	1	33.3	3	60.0
B. Energy	0	0.0	0	0.0
C. Finance and Industry	1	33.3	0	0.0
D. Social Infrastructure	1	33.3	1	20.0
E. Transport and Communications	0	0.0	1	20.0
F. Others/Multisector	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	3	100.0	5	100.0

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

CAMBODIA

PROJECT PROFILE				
1. Project Name: Rural Development		2. Sector/Subsector: Agriculture and Natural Resources/ Irrigation and Rural Development		
3. Poverty Classification: ^{a *} CPI		4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^{b *} GG		
5. Rationale & Objectives: With peace restored, Cambodia is attempting to demilitarize so as to achieve stability. One aspect of this process is downsizing the military and redirect the peace dividend towards social and economic development. By developing the socioeconomic situation and securing a sustainable livelihood for rural, poor communities where ex-soldiers and families will settle, the Project will both contribute to poverty reduction and support the long-term reintegration aspect of the demobilization process.		6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs: Extensive participation of beneficiaries is expected. Consultations will take place during project preparation.		
7. Scope: The project will target poor, rural populations in selected rural areas where significant number of soldiers will be demobilized and will settle in host communities. Activities in support of area development would include rural infrastructure (rural roads, water supply, village-level social infrastructure), facilitating provision of social services (rural finance, skill training, microenterprise development) through existing provider programs, institutional strengthening and capacity building.				
8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan:				Remarks:
Loan Project Cost (\$m)				
Financing (Source)	FC	LC	Total	
ADB			20.0	
Co-financing				
Borrower			5.0	
Sub-borrowers			-	
Total			25.0	
9. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups: Direct beneficiary support will be provided by the use of labor-intensive construction and maintenance techniques for rural infrastructure. Upgraded infrastructure will eliminate constraints to socioeconomic development and foster improvement in living standards of the targeted communities. Increased incomes and employment opportunities will be created for poor, rural communities, with particular focus on the poor and vulnerable groups (women, women headed household, demobilized soldiers, and disabled people).				
10. Executing Agency: Ministry of Rural Development		11. Project Implementation Period: Start: End:		
12. Environment Category:		13. Processing Year: 2001		

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD=Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

CAMBODIA

PROJECT PROFILE				
1. Project Name: Education Sector Development			2. Sector/Subsector: Social Infrastructure/Education	
3. Poverty Classification: ^{a *} PI			4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^{b *} HD	
5. Rationale & Objectives: To improve the quality, efficiency, and coverage of the education system, the sector development program will support support policy reform and an integrated, comprehensive approach to education sector development.			6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs: Consultations with beneficiaries will be carried out during project preparation through workshops, focus group discussions, policy seminars and consultative meetings at the provincial, district, and village levels.	
7. Scope: The program will provide budget support for structural adjustments associated with education sector reform. This will include increased Government spending on education. The program will also provide financing for project components to enhance access for the poor to basic education. The program will emphasize improvements in female school enrollment.				
8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan:				Remarks:
Loan Project Cost (\$m)				
Financing (Source)	FC	LC	Total	
ADB			30.0	
Co-financing				
Borrower			7.5	
Sub-borrowers			-	
Total			37.5	
9. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups: The project will benefit the general population, particularly the poor, through greater access to higher quality education.				
10. Executing Agency: Ministry of Education, Youth, and Sports.			11. Project Implementation Period: Start: End:	
12. Environment Category:			13. Processing Year: 2001	

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD=Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

CAMBODIA

PROJECT PROFILE				
1. Project Name: Financial Sector Program			2. Sector/Subsector: Finance/Capital Market Development	
3. Poverty Classification: ^{a*} ODI			4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^{b*} PSD	
5. Rationale & Objectives: Inadequate financial services is a major constraint to the development of job-creating small and medium enterprises in light manufacturing and services. This intervention will help to develop the policy and institutional capacity of the Government to build a viable and effective financial system.			6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs: Consultations with the private sector will be carried out during project preparation	
7. Scope: The project will provide assistance in executing a financial sector development road map, developed during project preparation. Emphasis will be placed on implementing a human resource development plan and on building a consensus for financial sector reform.				
8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan:				Remarks:
Loan Project Cost (\$m)				
Financing (Source)	FC	LC	Total	
ADB			30.0	
Co-financing				
Borrower				
Sub-borrowers			-	
Total			30.0	
9. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups: The project will stimulate domestic resource mobilization. The main beneficiaries are expected to be local small and medium enterprises and their employees.				
10. Executing Agency: National Bank of Cambodia			11. Project Implementation Period: Start: End:	
12. Environment Category:			13. Processing Year: 2001	

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD=Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.