

MONGOLIA
COUNTRY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Item	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
ECONOMIC INDICATORS					
A. Income and Growth					
1. GDP per Capita (US dollars, current)	414.3	455.6	390.4
2. GDP Growth (% in constant prices)	6.3	2.4	4.0	3.5	3.5
Agriculture	4.2	4.4	4.3	7.0	3.2
Industry	14.6	0.5	-7.0	7.2	...
Services	0.2	-4.1	9.2	-2.6	...
B. Money and Inflation					
	(annual percent change)				
1. Consumer Prices (end of period)	53.1	44.6	20.5	6.0	10.0
2. Broad Money (M2)	33.1	20.9	32.5	-1.7	32.1
C. Overall Government Finance					
	(percent of GDP)				
1. Revenues and Grants	26.3	25.2	26.7	29.4	29.7
2. Expenditure	27.1	32.7	34.5	41.9	39.4
3. Overall Surplus/Deficit (-)	-0.9	-7.5	-7.8	-12.5	-9.7
D. Balance of Payments					
1. Current Account Balance (% of GDP)	-4.6	-4.0	9.9	-7.0	1.6
2. Merchandise Export (\$) growth (annual percent change)	32.3	-11.6	34.3	-18.7	-6.7
3. Merchandise Imports (\$) growth (annual percent change)	32.0	8.2	-1.4	15.7	-19.1
E. External Payments Indicators					
1. International Reserves (\$ million) ^a	100.8	97.8	139.9	123.2	155.9
- weeks of imports	10.7	10.0	14.5	11.0	17.2
2. External Debt Service ^b	12.1	11.8	6.3	6.9	5.0
3. External Debt (% of GDP) ^c	52.8	54.0	63.7	72.3	...
Memorandum Items:					
GDP (current prices, billion Tugriks)	429.2	586.5	758.9	875.8	...
Exchange Rate (Tugrik per US\$, annual average)	473.5	547.2	791.0	877.2	1,042.9

... = not available.

^a Refers to gross official reserves.

^b As percentage of exports of goods and services.

^c Excludes servicing of medium- and long-term obligations in transferable rubles to Russia and other former CMEA countries.

Sources: ADB: Asian Development Outlook 2000, Bank of Mongolia, Ministry of Finance, and the International Monetary Fund (IMF).

MONGOLIA
COUNTRY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

	1985	1990	Latest Year
POPULATION INDICATORS AND INCOME MEASURES			
Total Population (millions)	1.9	2.1	2.4 (1998)
Annual Population Growth Rate (% change)	2.4	1.63 (1995)	1.4 (1998)
GDP per capita (current prices, in \$)	337	328.9 (1995)	452 (1998)
Real GDP growth rate (%)	-9.2	4.0 (1997)	3.5 (1998)
Registered unemployed (no.)	54,000 (1992)	63,700 (1997)	39,000 (1999)
as percent of registered workforce	6.3	7.5	4.6 (1999)
SOCIAL INDICATORS			
Total Fertility Rate (births per woman)	5.5	4.6 (1989)	2.4 (1997)
Crude birth rate	38.2	36.4 (1989)	23.7 (1995)
Crude death rate	10.3	8.4 (1989)	7.3 (1995)
Maternal Mortality Rate (per hundred thousand live births)	147.7	93 (1991)	80 (1998)
Infant Mortality Rate (below 1 year; per '000 live births)	75.9	64.1	35.4 (1998)
Life Expectancy at Birth (years)	63	63 (1989)	65.8 (1997)
Female	65	66 (1989)	67.3 (1997)
Male	61	60 (1989)	64.4 (1997)
Literacy Rate of Over-15 Year Old (%)			
Female	94.9 (1989)	96.9 (1992)	96.3 (1996)
Male	98.2 (1989)	98.6 (1992)	97.5 (1996)
Primary School Enrollment (Gross, % of school age population)	80	79	94 ^a (1997)
Female/Male	83/77	83/75	95/93 ^a (1997)
Secondary School Enrollment (Gross, % of school age population)	84	80	57 ^a (1997)
Female/Male	83/84	81/79	65/48 ^a (1997)
Population below the poverty line (%)			
Defined by the Government (income)	15	26 (1994)	28.9 (1998)
World Bank (living standard)	15	36 (1995)	36 (1998)
National Statistical Office (living standard)		36.3 (1995)	35.6 (1998)
Population with Access to Health Services (%)	...	95 (1989)	95 (1995)
Population with Access to Sanitation (%)	...	74 (1992)	74 (1995)
Public Education Expenditure as % of GDP	10.8	5.5 (1995)	5.5 (1998)
Public Health Expenditure as % of GDP	5.9	3.7 (1995)	3.3 (1998)
Public Social Security and Welfare as % of GDP	5.6	5.4 (1995)	6.1 (1998)
Human Development Index	...	0.578	0.618 (1997)
Human Development Ranking	...	100	119 (1997)
ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS			
Forest and Woodland (as % of total land area)	5.0	9.7 (1989)	9.9 (1995)
Forest Area Burned by Fire (1,000 ha)	33.3	649.8 (1989)	130.0 (1995)
Production of Fuel wood and Charcoal (1,000 cubic meter)	2,236	1,521 (1989)	631.2 (1995)
Annual Fresh Water Withdrawals (as % of water resources)	270	289 (1989)	300.0 (1995)

^a Gross enrollment ratio refers to the number of enrolled in a level of education, whether or not they belong to the relevant age group, expressed as a percentage of the population in the corresponding age group for that school level.

Sources: ADB: Mon Country Operational Strategy, 2000; National Statistical Office of Mongolia and UNDP: Human Development Report for Mongolia, 1999.

MONGOLIA
PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE INDICATORS
Table 1: Implementation, Disbursements and Post Evaluation Results
Public Sector Projects only
(as 31 December 1999)

A. Project Portfolio	Net Loan Amount (\$ million) (%)		Rating (No.) ^a									
			Total		Implementation Progress				Development Objectives			
1. Public Sector Projects			(No.)	(%)	HS	S	PS	U	HS	S	PS	U
Agriculture/Natural Resources	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Energy	88.0	36.7	3	23.1	2	-	1	-	-	3	-	-
Finance and Industry	2.8	1.2	1	7.7	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
Transport and Communications	92.7	38.6	4	30.8	-	4	-	-	-	4	-	-
Social Infrastructure	31.4	13.1	4	30.8	-	4	-	-	-	4	-	-
Multisector/Others	25.0	10.4	1	7.7	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
Total	240.1	100.0	13	100.0	2	10	1	0	0	13	0	0

B. Disbursements	ADF	Total
(1) Total funds available for withdrawal (\$ mn, active loans only)	226.1	226.1
(2) Disbursed amount (\$ mn, cumulative, active loans only)	145.4	145.4
(3) Percentage disbursed [(2)/(1)] (%)	64.3	64.3
(4) Disbursements (\$mn, active loans only, Jan-Dec 1999)	3.4	3.4
(5) Disbursement ratio (%) ^b	35.7	35.7

C. Net Resource Transfers (\$million)	ADF	Total
Net transfer in 1995	49.1	49.1
Net transfer in 1996	33.0	33.0
Net transfer in 1997	65.9	65.9
Net transfer in 1998	29.9	29.9
Net transfer in 1999	44.5	44.5

D. Post-Evaluated Projects	1968-1977		1978 - 1987		1988-1999		1968-1999	
	(No.)	(%)	(No.)	(%)	(No.)	(%)	(No.)	(%)
1. Postevaluation Rating (as of 31 December 1999)								
Rated Generally Successful (GS)	-	-	-	-	1	100.0	1	100.0
Rated Partly Successful (PS)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rated Unsuccessful (US)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2. Postevaluation Rating by Sector (1968 - 1999)								
	GS		PS		US		Total	
	(No.)	(%)	(No.)	(%)	(No.)	(%)	(No.)	(%)
Agriculture/Natural Resources	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Energy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Finance and Industry	1	100.0	-	-	-	-	1	100.0
Transport and Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Social Infrastructure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Multisector/Others	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	1	100.0	-	-	-	-	1	100.0

^a HS: Highly satisfactory; S: Satisfactory; PS: Partially satisfactory; U: Unsatisfactory.

^b Ratio of disbursement during the year over the undisbursed net loan balance less cancellations at the beginning of the year. Effective loans during the year have also been added to the beginning balance of undisbursed loans.

MONGOLIA
PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE INDICATORS
Table 2: Status of Project Implementation
(as of 31 December 1999)

Sector ^a	Project Title	Net Loan Amount		Approval Date (mm/yy)	Effectivity Date (mm/yy)	Closing Date		Physical Progress (% complete)	Cum Contract Awards (\$ million)	Cumulative Disbursement (\$ million)	Project Performance Rating ^b	
		OCR (\$ million)	ADF (\$ million)			Original (mm/yy)	Revised (mm/yy)				Implement Progress	Development Objective
ENE	Power Rehabilitation	-	38.05	Nov-94	Jul-95	Jun-98	Jun-00	85%	35.82	32.70	PS	S
ENE	Energy Conservation	-	9.49	Nov-96	Jan-98	Jun-01	-	63%	7.95	6.30	HS	S
ENE	Ulaanbaatar Heat Efficiency	-	40.51	Sep-97	Nov-98	Jun-03	-	12%	1.40	0.86	HS	S
I&F	Upgrading Skills and Systems of Commercial Banks	-	2.84	Dec-96	Jan-97	Dec-99	Jun-00	30%	0.76	0.58	S	S
T&C	Telecommunications	-	24.12	Jun-94	Aug-94	Dec-99	-	98%	23.64	23.99	S	S
T&C	Roads Development	-	22.30	Aug-95	Dec-95	Jun-00	-	85%	21.74	17.92	S	S
T&C	National Air Navigation Development	-	21.14	Sep-95	Dec-95	Dec-99	-	95%	19.26	18.15	S	PS
T&C	Second Roads Development	-	25.18	Sep-99	Mar-00	Jan-05	-	-	0.00	0.00	S	S
SOC	Education Sector Development Project	-	8.47	Dec-96	Mar-97	Sep-02	-	60%	4.80	4.16	S	S
SOC	Provincial Towns Basic Urban Services	-	6.89	Sep-97	Aug-98	Jun-02	-	24%	1.30	1.12	S	S
SOC	Health Sector Development Program	-	4.03	Nov-97	Apr-98	Jun-01	-	-	2.00	2.00	S	S
SOC	Health Sector Development Project	-	12.00	Nov-97	Apr-98	Jun-03	-	20%	2.53	1.58	S	S
GOV	Governance Reform Program	-	25.05	Dec-99	Mar-00	Oct-01	-	-	0.00	0.00	S	S
Total			240.1						121.2	109.4		

28

^a Sector:

AGR: Agriculture and Natural Resources GOV: Governance

ENE: Energy

SOC: Social Infrastructure

I&F: Industry and Finance

T&C: Transport and Communications

^b HS: Highly satisfactory; S: Satisfactory; PS: Partially satisfactory; U: Unsatisfactory.

Source: COPP.

MONGOLIA
OVERALL EXTERNAL ASSISTANCE
(\$ million)

External Source	1994-1998 (Cumulative Commitments) ^a		1999 Approvals ^b	
	Loan/Credit	TA	Loan/Credit	TA
A. Multilateral Assistance				
ADB	274.7	29.4	50.0	4.1
IBRD	108.7	-	52.0	-
IMF	48	-	17.0	-
EU TACIS	-	14.3	-	5.3
UNDP	-	14.6	-	4.3
UNFPA	-	-	-	2.5
IFAD	5.1	-	-	-
Subtotal	436.5	58.3	119.0	16.2
B. Bilateral Assistance				
France	-	5.9	-	0.1
Germany	45.1	9.5	-	9.3
Japan/JICA	46.0	4.4	115.0	-
South Korea	8.0	0.5	11.8	-
United States/USAID	-	66.8	-	16.0
Others	-	-	-	3.4
Subtotal	99.1	87.2	126.8	28.8
Total	535.6	145.4	245.8	45.0

^a For purposes of comparison, cumulative commitments were converted to US\$ using 31 December 1998 exchange rates.

^b Covers the period 01 July 1999 to 31 December 2000; ADB-assistance covers Jan-Dec. 1999.

Sources: World Bank Group Resident Mission in Mongolia.

UNDP Mongolia: Mongolia Donor Compendium, May 1998.

MONGOLIA
LENDING PIPELINE AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, 2001-2003

Sector/Project Name	Poverty Classification ^a	Crosscutting Operational Priority ^b	Responsible Division	Year of PPTA	PROJECT COST (in \$ million)			
					TOTAL	Bank (ADF)	Gov't.	Cofinancing (Others)
2001 FIRM LOANS								
Agri. and Natural Resources	ODI	-	AEAR	2000	10.0-12.0	8.0-10.0	2.0	-
1. Rural Finance					10.0-12.0	8.0-10.0	2.0	0.0
Social Infrastructure	PI	HD	AEEH	1998	13.0-18.0	10.0-15.0	3.0	-
1. Education Sector Dev't. Program II					18.0-23.0	15.0-20.0	3.0	-
2. Housing Sector Finance					13.4	12.0	1.4	-
3. Social Security SDP					44.4-54.4	37.0-47.0	7.4	0.0
			Subtotal		44.4-54.4	37.0-47.0	7.4	0.0
			Total		54.4-66.4	45.0-57.0	9.4	0.0
2002 LOAN PIPELINE								
Social Infrastructure	PI	HD	AEWU	2000	24.0	20.0	4.0	-
1. Provincial Towns Urban Services II					24.0	20.0	4.0	-
2. Health Sector Dev't. Program II	CPI	HD	AEEH	2000	48.0	40.0	8.0	0.0
			Subtotal		48.0	40.0	8.0	0.0
			Total		48.0	40.0	8.0	0.0
2003 LOAN PIPELINE **								
Governance	ODI	GG	PE3	2002	24.0	20.0	4.0	-
1. Governance Reform Program II					24.0	20.0	4.0	0.0
Social Infrastructure	CPI	-	AEAR	2002	24.0	20.0	4.0	-
1. Rural Sector Employment Gen.					24.0	20.0	4.0	0.0
Industry and Finance	ODI	PSD	IEFI	2002	24.0	20.0	4.0	-
1. Financial Sector Program Loan III					24.0	20.0	4.0	0.0
			Subtotal		72.0	60.0	12.0	0.0
			Total		72.0	60.0	12.0	0.0

^a CPI =Core Poverty Intervention; PI =Poverty Intervention; ODI =Other Development Interventions

^b ENV=Environmental Protection; GAD =Gender and Development; GG =Good Governance; HD =Human Development; PSD =Private Sector Development; and RC =Regional Cooperation.

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

** Tentative pipeline.

MONGOLIA

LENDING PIPELINE AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, 2001-2003 ^a

Sector/Project Name	Responsible Division	Type of TA	Amount (\$'000)		
			ADB	Others	Total
2001 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM					
Agriculture and Natural Resources					
1. Crops Sector Study	AEAR	AD	300.0	-	300.0
	Subtotal		300.0	0.0	300.0
Social Infrastructure					
1. Provincial Towns Urban Services II	AEWU	PP	700.0	-	700.0
2. Health Sector Development II	AEEH	PP	600.0	-	600.0
3. Strengthening of Social Security Policy and Planning*	AEEH	AD	600.0	-	600.0
	Subtotal		1,900.0	0.0	1,900.0
Governance					
1. NSO Capacity Building in Social Statistics	EDRC	AD	500.0	-	500.0
2. Procurement Governance	COPP	AD	600.0	-	600.0
3. Capacity Building for Aid Coordination	PE1	AD	600.0	-	600.0
	Subtotal		1,700.0	0.0	1,700.0
Infrastructure					
1. Road Sector Impact Study	IETC	AD	600.0	0.0	600.0
	Subtotal		600.0	0.0	600.0
	Total		4,500.0	0.0	4,500.0
2002 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM					
Agriculture and Natural Resources					
1. Rural Sector Employment Generation	AEAR	PP	700.0	-	700.0
	Subtotal		700.0	0.0	700.0
Social Infrastructure					
1. Health Sector Capacity Building *	AEEH	AD	600.0	-	600.0
2. Intergrated Management of Urban Development *	AEWU	AD	700.0	-	700.0
	Subtotal		1,300.0	0.0	1,300.0
Finance					
1. Financial Sector Program Loan III	IEFI	PP	700.0	-	700.0
	Subtotal		700.0	0.0	700.0
Governance					
1. Governance Reform Program Loan II	PE3	PP	700.0	-	700.0
	Subtotal		700.0	0.0	700.0
	Total		3,400.0	0.0	3,400.0
2003 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM**					
Social Infrastructure					
1. Urban Services	AEWU	PP	700.0	-	700.0
2. Rural Sector Capacity Building *	AEAR	AD	700.0	-	700.0
3. Education Sector Development III	AEEH	PP	700.0	-	700.0
	Subtotal		2,100.0	0.0	2,100.0
Finance					
1. Financial Sector Capacity Building*	IEFI	AD	700.0	-	700.0
	Subtotal		700.0	0.0	700.0
Governance					
1. Support for Governance Reform *	PE3	AD	700.0	-	700.0
2. Strengthening Auditing Capacity	PE3	AD	500.0	-	500.0
	Subtotal		1,200.0	0.0	1,200.0
	Total		4,000.0	0.0	4,000.0

^a Although the proposed TA program has been generally agreed upon by Management, ADB financing may be subject to further reprioritization to fit in with the ADB-wide annual resource envelope.

* Attached to a loan.

** Tentative pipeline.

MONGOLIA
LENDING PROGRAM, 2001-2003
BY POVERTY CLASSIFICATION, CROSSCUTTING OPERATIONAL PRIORITY AND SECTOR

Classification	2001 (Firm)		2002-2003	
	No. ^a	% ^b	No. ^a	% ^b
I. By Poverty Classification *				
A. Core Poverty Intervention	-	-	2	40.0
B. Poverty Intervention	3	75.0	1	20.0
C. Other Development Interventions	1	25.0	2	40.0
Total	4	100.0	5	100.0
II. By Crosscutting Operational Priority *				
A. Environment	-	-	-	-
B. Gender and Development	-	-	-	-
C. Good Governance	-	-	1	25.0
D. Human Development	3	100.0	2	50.0
E. Private Sector Development	-	-	1	25.0
F. Regional Cooperation	-	-	-	-
Total	3	100.0	4	100.0
III. By Sector				
A. Agriculture and Natural Resources	1	25.0	-	-
B. Energy	-	-	-	-
C. Finance and Industry	-	-	1	20.0
D. Transport and Communications	-	-	-	-
E. Social Infrastructure	3	75.0	3	60.0
F. Others/Multisector	-	0.0	1	20.0
Total	4	100.0	5	100.0

^a Two projects are not assigned with any crosscutting priority.

^b rounded off

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

MONGOLIA

PROJECT PROFILE				
1. Project Name: Rural Finance			2. Sector/Subsector: Agriculture and Natural Resources/ Agricultural Support Services	
3. Poverty Classification: ^{a *} ODI			4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^{b *}	
5. Rationale & Objectives: To increase incomes and improve the quality of life in the rural areas through provision of rural financial services on a commercial basis. This will be done by assisting the government in reforming the delivery of credit in the countryside and developing a competitive, stable, and broad based rural financial system.			6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential Project beneficiaries will be consulted extensively through community surveys, a social assessment, and formal stakeholder workshops. 	
7. Scope: Project preparation will (i) determine the extent and nature of demand for rural financial services, and review the commercial and institutional framework through which financial services are presently provided to rural clients; (ii) identify key constraints, including potential technical, legal and policy obstacles, that have prevented the development of sustainable financial institutions capable of delivering rural financial services on a commercial basis; (iii) critically review the credit risks for potential participating bank and non-bank rural financial institutions and propose concrete measures to cope with expected credit risks; (iv) identify and rank the financial institutions according to financial strength, management capacity, potential capacity for national outreach, among other criteria, in order to select the best channels for rural finance; (v) identify the needs for institutional strengthening; and (vi) design a project suitable for ADB-financing; the project must be focused on those beneficiary groups who have the highest potentiality for growth and employment generation. The Project will have two components: a credit line and an institutional strengthening component.				
8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan :				Remarks Government's commitment to the market-oriented reforms necessary to ensure adequate incentives for farmers to participate in the Project.
Loan Project Cost (\$m)				
Financing (Source)	FC	LC	Total	
ADB	-	-	10.0	
Co-financing	-	-	-	
Borrower	-	-	2.0	
Sub-borrowers	-	-	-	
Total	TBD	TBD	12.0	
9. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups: Benefits are: (i) strengthening of appropriate institutional conduits for provision of rural financial services; (ii) deepening of rural financial services, and (iii) promoting growth of rural economic activities. Beneficiaries are private farmers, herders, and rural production associations.				
10. Executing Agency: Bank of Mongolia			11. Project Implementation Period: Start: 2001 End:	
12. Environment Category: B			13. Processing Year: 2000	

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD = Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

MONGOLIA

PROJECT PROFILE					
1. Project Name: Second Education Sector Development			2. Sector/Subsector: Social Infrastructure/Education		
3. Poverty Classification: ^{a *} PI			4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^{b *} HD		
5. Rationale: The Project will assist the Government in preparing a Second Education Development Project (SEDP) that will further strengthen the Government's efforts in education reforms and improvement in the context of a transition economy. The main objectives of the SEDP are: (i) to build the institutional capacity of MOSTEC and provincial authorities; (ii) improve the quality and coverage of basic, nonformal and secondary education; (iii) establish a market-driven Technical Education & Vocational Training program, and (iv) strengthen the Science & Technology capacity at the postsecondary level. The SEDP will also address the Government's poverty reduction strategy in the education sector. It will continue the sector policy dialogue, use the lessons learned from the ESDP, and reinforce the best practices established in the sector.			6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs:		
7. Scope: Project scope will include: (i) sector and institutional reform; (ii) improving the quality and coverage of basic and nonformal/distance education; (iii) establishing market-driven vocational education; and (iv) strengthening science and technology education at tertiary level.					
8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan :				Remarks Nordic Fund has expressed interest to co-finance the project.	
Loan Project Cost (\$m)					
Financing (Source)		FC	LC		Total
ADB		TBD	TBD		15.0
Co-financing		TBD	TBD		-
Borrower		TBD	TBD		3.0
Sub-borrowers		TBD	TBD		-
Total		TBD	TBD	18.0	
9. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups:					
10. Executing Agency: Ministry of Science, Technology, Education and Culture (MOSTEC)			11. Project Implementation Period: Start: 2002 End: 2006		
12. Environment Category:			13. Processing Year: TA: 1999 Loan: 2001		

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD = Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

MONGOLIA

PROJECT PROFILE					
1. Project Name: Housing Sector Finance		2. Sector/Subsector: Social Infrastructure			
3. Poverty Classification: ^{a *} PI		4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^{b *} HD			
5. Rationale: The establishment of a sustainable, market-based system for delivery of housing finance to meet the borrowing needs of low-income and middle-income households.		6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs: Beneficiaries will participate in the Project through: (i) borrowing from the Housing Development Fund (HDF); (ii) complementing housing loans with their own investment in building and improving their housing; and (iii) participating in programs to improve community infrastructure and services. Consultants will be required for institutional strengthening of participating commercial banks (PCBs), savings and credit cooperatives (SCCs) and small-scale contractors in undertaking lending for housing.			
7. Scope: The scope of the Project will include: (i) finance of housing construction and improvement loans for low-income families, mainly in ger areas; (ii) improvement to community infrastructure and services through the implementation of pilot housing action area plans (HAAP) schemes; (iii) development of financial management, loan underwriting, and cost recovery procedures by selected PCBs and SCCs; (iv) development and capacity building of small-scale contractors for low income housing construction and improvement; and (v) developments of savings schemes for housing through commercial banks, SCCs and NGOs.					
8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan:				Remarks: Due to the catalytic effect of small loans on overall household investment, it is expected (and has been shown in other DMCs) that beneficiaries will invest at least twice the amount of the loan in building and improving their housing.	
Loan Project Cost (\$m)					
Financing (Source)		FC	LC		Total
ADB		-	-		15.0
Co-financing		-	-		-
Borrower		-	-		3.0
Sub-borrowers		-	-		-
Total		TBD	TBD	18.0	
8. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups: Primary beneficiary groups under the Project will be low- and middle-income families which are steadily employed and wish to build, buy or improve their housing and immediate environmental infrastructure such as water supply and sanitation. An important sub-beneficiary group will be ger area residents in the Housing Action Area Plans (HAAPs) which will be designed for housing and environmental infrastructure improvement. Improvement in housing and environmental infrastructure has been shown to be directly linked to improvements in income and, therefore, poverty reduction.					
10. Executing Agency: Ministry of Infrastructure Development (MID)		11. Project Implementation Period: Start: October 2001 End: December 2005			
12. Environment Category:		13. Processing Year: TA: 2000 Loan: 2001			

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD = Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

MONGOLIA

PROJECT PROFILE					
1. Project Name: Social Security Sector Development Program			2. Sector/Subsector: Social Infrastructure		
3. Poverty Classification: ^{a *} PI			4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^{b *} HD		
5. Rationale and objective: To establish a sustainable social security sector to facilitate a smooth transition from the centrally planned economy to a market economy. Special emphasis will be given to reduce poverty and unemployment through strengthening the social security system.			6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs: TBD		
7. Scope: The Program will aim at reforms in the following areas: (i) social welfare services, (ii) social insurance, mainly in terms of employment, (iii) employment promotion, and (iv) sector planning.					
8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan:				Remarks:	
Loan Project Cost (\$m)					
Financing (Source)		FC	LC		Total
ADB		-	-		12.0
Co-financing		-	-		-
Borrower		-	-		1.4
Sub-borrowers		-	-		-
Total		TBD	TBD	13.4	
8. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups: TBD					
10. Executing Agency: Ministry of Social Welfare and Labour			11. Project Implementation Period: Start: 2001 End:		
12. Environment Category: TBD			13. Processing Year: TA: 1997 Loan: 2001		

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD = Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.