

SAMOA
COUNTRY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Item	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000 ^a
ECONOMIC INDICATORS						
A. Income and Growth						
1. GDP per Capita (dollars, current)	1,168.0	1,312.0	1,406.0	1,314.0	1,430.0	
2. GDP Growth (% in constant prices)	6.4	7.3	1.0	3.4	5.3	
Primary Sector	15.5	4.3	-4.1	11.0	2.2	
Secondary Sector	0.6	4.6	-2.1	-8.9	4.0	
Tertiary	2.6	7.1	9.1	8.7	5.1	
Government	7.2	10.9	3.8	6.2	7.6	
B. Saving and Investment (current market prices)						
			(percent of GDP)			
1. Gross Domestic Investment	30.7	27.4	25.7	23.2	n.a.	
2. Gross Domestic Saving	-16.2	-15.1	-11.3	-17.7	n.a.	
C. Money and Inflation						
			(annual percent change)			
1. Consumer Prices (annual average)	1.0	5.4	6.9	2.2	0.3	
2. Broad Money (M2)	21.8	5.1	13.2	5.0	13.0	
D. Government Finance^b						
			(percent of GDP)			
1. Total Revenue	45.9	50.5	41.5	37.0	39.9	36.5
2. Total Expenditure and Net Lending	53.2	49.0	39.1	34.9	39.6	39.7
3. Overall Surplus/Deficit (-)	-7.3	1.5	2.4	2.0	0.3	-3.2
E. Balance of Payments						
1. Merchandise Trade Balance (% of GDP)	-43.3	-41.6	36.4	35.4	-12.1	
2. Current Account Balance (% of GDP)	-8.7	-5.2	-3.6	-2.8	-8.1	
3. Export growth (annual percent change)	143.5	14.5	50.8	60.6	-1.0	
4. Import growth (annual percent change)	12.4	6.9	5.1	11.5	22.0	
F. External Payments Indicators						
1. International Reserves (million dollars, end of period)	45.9	52.0	59.8	58.7	61.6	
- months of imports	6.0	6.3	7.2	7.2	6.2	
2. External Debt Service (% of exports of goods & services)	15.5	9.6	4.8	4.1	4.0	
3. Official External Debt (% of GDP)	79.5	68.5	64.1	68.4	60.0	
Memorandum Items:						
GDP (current prices, \$ million)	193.0	218.0	235.0	221.0	242.0	
GDP (current prices, million local currency)	478.0	535.0	604.0	651.0	718.0	
Exchange Rate (local currency per dollar, annual average)	2.48	2.45	2.57	2.94	2.97	
Population (million)	165,200	168,400	171,600	174,800	178,000	

a. Estimates

b. Data refer to fiscal year ending 30 June.

Source: Treasury Department

SAMOA
COUNTRY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

	1985	1990	Latest Year
			1998
POPULATION INDICATORS			
Total Population (thousands)	160.0	162.0	174.8
Annual Population Growth Rate (% change)	0.63	0.60	1.20
SOCIAL INDICATORS			
Total Fertility Rate (births per woman)	...	4.5	4.2
Maternal Mortality Rate (per hundred thousand live births)	...	3.5	7.0
Infant Mortality Rate (below 1 year; per '000 live births)	51	46.0	22
Life Expectancy at Birth (years)	63	68	66
Female	67
Male	65
Adult Literacy (%)	...	98.0	96
Primary School Enrollment (% of school age population)	94
Female	94
Secondary School Enrollment (% of school age population)	70
Female	75
Child Malnutrition (% of under age 5)
Population Below Poverty Line (%)	17
Income Ratio of Highest 20% to Lowest 20%	48
Population with Access to Safe Water (%)	69.0	...	90
Population with Access to Sanitation (%)	97
Public Education Expenditure as % of GNP	4.1	...	5.6
Public Health Expenditure as % of GDP	3	...	13.3
Human Development Index	...	0.586	0.59
Human Development Ranking	...	74	117
Human Poverty Index	8.6
ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS			
Forestry			
Deforestation	158,711
Total Area	3,000
Annual deforestation
Biodiversity			
Nationally protected area
Area	3,000
Number	5
As % of land area	1
Biosphere reserves			
Area
Number
World Heritage sites (number)
Wetlands of international importance			
Area
Number
Land Use
Cropland; permanent pasture	116,000
Air Pollution (Ambient concentrations)
Particulates
SO ₂
Water Pollution (concentration of pollutants in water bodies)
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)
Global Environmental Problems
CO ₂ emissions (total and per capita)	...	0.48	(1996)

Source: Staff Estimates

SAMOA

PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE

**Table 1 : Implementation, Disbursement Performance and Postevaluation Results
Public Sector Projects only
(as of 31 December 1999)**

A. Project Portfolio	Net Loan Amount \$ million %		Rating (No.) ^a											
			Total		Implementation Progress				Development Objectives					
			No.	%	HS	S	PS	U	HS	S	PS	U		
Agriculture and Natural Resources	0.00	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Energy	0.00	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Finance and Industry	7.57	100.0	1	100.0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Social Infrastructure	0.00	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transport and Communications	0.00	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Others/Multisector	0.00	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	7.57	100.0	1	100.0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

B. Disbursements	OCR	ADF	Total
(1) Total funds available for withdrawal (\$ mn, active loans only)	0.0	7.6	7.6
(2) Disbursed amount (\$ mn, cumulative, active loans only)	0.0	4.0	4.0
(3) Percentage disbursed [(2)/(1)] (%)		52.50	52.50
(4) Disbursements (\$mn, active loans only, latest year)	0.0	0.0	0.0
(5) Disbursement ratio (%) ^b		0.00	0.00
C. Net Transfer of Resources ^c (\$ million)	OCR	ADF	Total
Net transfer in 1995	0.0	2.6	2.6
Net transfer in 1996	0.0	-1.0	-1.0
Net transfer in 1997	0.0	-1.5	-1.5
Net transfer in 1998	0.0	2.4	2.4
Net transfer in 1999	0.0	-2.0	-2.0

D. Post-Evaluated Projects (By Year of Approval)	1968 - 1977		1978 - 1987		1988 - 1999		1968 - 1999	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1. Postevaluation Rating (as of 31 December 1999)								
Rated Generally Successful (GS)	1	100.0	3	37.5	0	0.0	4	30.8
Rated Partly Successful (PS)	0	0.0	4	50.0	2	50.0	6	46.2
Rated Unsuccessful (US)	0	0.0	1	12.5	2	50.0	3	23.1
No Rating	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	1	100.0	8	100.0	4	100.0	13	100.0
2. Postevaluation Rating by Sector								
1968-99 (as of 31 December 1999)								
	GS	PS	US	NR	Total			
	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %
Agriculture and Natural Resources	1 25.0	1 16.7	2 66.7	0 0.0	4 30.8			
Energy	1 25.0	1 16.7	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 15.4			
Finance and Industry	0 0.0	4 66.7	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 30.8			
Social Infrastructure	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0			
Transport and Communications	2 50.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 15.4			
Others/Multisector	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 33.3	0 0.0	1 7.7			
Total	4 100.0	6 100.0	3 100.0	0 0.0	13 100.0			

^a HS: Highly satisfactory; S: Satisfactory; PS: Partially satisfactory; U: Unsatisfactory

^b Ratio of disbursement during the year over the undisbursed net loan balance less cancellations at the beginning of the year. Effective loans during the year have also been added to the beginning balance of undisbursed loans.

^c Includes private sector projects for countries with private sector operations.

Source : Programs (A), CTD (B & C), OEO (D)

SAMOA
PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE
Table 2: Status of Project Implementation
Public Sector Projects Only
(As of 31 December 1999)

Sector ^a	Project Title	Net Loan Amount		Approval Date (mm/yy)	Effectivity Date (mm/yy)	Closing Date		Project Progress (% complete)	Cum Contract Awards (\$ million)	Cummulative Disbursement (\$ million)	Project Performance Rating ^b	
		OCR (\$ million)	ADF			Original (mm/yy)	Revised (mm/yy)				Implementation Progress	Development Objective
F&I	Financial Sector Program Loan		7.566	19-Feb-98	01-Jun-98	30-Jun-01	-	N.A.	4.0000	3.9750	S	S
	Total	0.0	7.566						4.0000	3.9750		

^a Sector:

AGR: Agriculture & Natural Resources

ENE: Energy

F&I: Finance and Industry

SOC: Social Infrastructure

T&C: Transport and Communications

OTH: Others/Multisector

^b HS: Highly satisfactory; S: Satisfactory; PS: Partially satisfactory; U: Unsatisfactory

SAMOA
OVERALL EXTERNAL ASSISTANCE
(in \$ million)

External Source	Past 3-5 Years (annual average)		1999 Approvals	
	Loan/Credit	TA	Loan/Credit	TA
A. Multilateral Assistance				
ADB	2.5	1.3	-	1.29
UNDP				
World Bank				
Subtotal	2.5	1.3	0.0	1.29
B. Bilateral Assistance				
Australia				
Canada				
France				
Germany				
Italy				
Japan				
United Kingdom				
United States				
Subtotal	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	2.50	1.30	0.00	1.29
Memo Items:				
External Assistance as % of Current Expenditures				
External Assistance as % of Capital Expenditures				

Sources: Staff Estimates

SAMOA
LENDING PIPELINE AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, 2001-2003

Sector/Project Name	Poverty Classification ^{a*}	Crosscutting Operational Priority ^b	Responsible Division	Year of PPTA	PROJECT COST (in \$ million)					
					TOTAL	Bank		Gov't	Cofinancing (Others)	
						OCR	ADF			Total
2001 LOAN PIPELINE										
Energy										
1 Afulilo Augmentation	ODI	HD	POHQ	1994	5.0		5.0	5.0		
Subtotal					5.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0
Total					5.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0
2001 STANDBY LOANS										
Agriculture and Natural Resources										
1 Agriculture Development and Agro-Processing	ODI	PSD	POHQ	2001	4.0		4.0	4.0		
Subtotal					4.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
Total					4.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
2002 LOAN PIPELINE										
Agriculture and Natural Resources										
1 Agriculture Development and Agro-Processing	ODI	PSD	POHQ	2001	4.0		4.0	4.0		
Subtotal					4.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
Total					4.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
2002 STANDBY LOAN										
Transportation and Communication										
1 Telecommunications and IT	ODI	PSD	POHQ	2002	7.5		7.5	7.5		
Subtotal					7.5	0.0	7.5	7.5	0.0	0.0
Total					7.5	0.0	7.5	7.5	0.0	0.0
2003 LOAN PIPELINE										
Transportation and Communication										
1 Telecommunications and IT	ODI	PSD	POHQ	2002	7.5		7.5	7.5		
Subtotal					7.5	0.0	7.5	7.5	0.0	0.0
Total					7.5	0.0	7.5	7.5	0.0	0.0
2003 STANDBY LOAN										
Social Infrastructure										
1 Education & Youth Development	ODI	HD	POHQ	2002	5.0		5.0	5.0		
Subtotal					5.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0
Total					5.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD = Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

SAMOA
LENDING PIPELINE AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, 2001-2003

Sector/Project Name	Responsible Division	Type of TA	Amount (\$ '000)		
			ADB	Others	Total
2001 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM					
Energy					
1 Loss Assessment for EPC	OPO	AD	100.0		100.0
	Subtotal		<u>100.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>
Finance and Industry					
1 Development of Non-banking Financial Institutions	OPO	AD	300.0		300.0
2 Implementation of Privatization Strategy Ph. 2	OPO	AD	300.0		300.0
	Subtotal		<u>600.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>600.0</u>
Transportation and Communication					
1 Telecommunications Sector Study	OPO	AD	200.0		200.0
	Subtotal		<u>200.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>200.0</u>
Others/Multisector					
1 Household Income Survey for Poverty Assessment	OPO	AD	100.0		100.0
	Subtotal		<u>100.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>
Total			1,000.0	0.0	1,000.0
2002 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM					
Transportation and Communication					
1 Telecommunications & IT	OPO	PP	300.0		300.0
	Subtotal		<u>300.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>300.0</u>
Finance and Industry					
1 Economic Sector Planning and Management	OPO	AD	600.0		600.0
	Subtotal		<u>600.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>600.0</u>
Total			900.0	0.0	900.0
2002 STANDBY TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM					
Social Infrastructure					
1 Education and Youth Development	OPO	PP	400.0		400.0
	Subtotal		<u>400.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>400.0</u>
Total			1,300.0	0.0	1,300.0
2003 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM					
Social Infrastructure					
1 Education and Youth Development	OPO	PP	400.0		400.0
	Subtotal		<u>400.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>400.0</u>
Infrastructure					
1 Telecommunications and IT Phase II	OPO	AD	300.0		300.0
	Subtotal		<u>300.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>300.0</u>
Total			700.0	0.0	700.0

SAMOA
LENDING PROGRAM, 2001-2003
BY POVERTY CLASSIFICATION, CROSSCUTTING OPERATIONAL PRIORITY AND SECTOR

Classification	2001 (Firm)		2002-2003	
	No.	%	No.	%
I. By Poverty Classification*				
A. Core Poverty Intervention		0.0		0.0
B. Poverty Intervention (Non-core)		0.0		0.0
C. Other Development Interventions	1	100.0	2	100.0
Total	1	100.0	2	100.0
II. By Crosscutting Operational Priority				
A. Environment		0.0		0.0
B. Gender and Development		0.0		0.0
C. Good Governance		0.0		0.0
D. Human Development	1	100.0		0.0
E. Private Sector Development		0.0	2	100.0
F. Regional Cooperation		0.0		0.0
Total	1	100.0	2	100.0
III. By Sector				
A. Agriculture and Natural Resources		0.0	1	50.0
B. Energy	1	100.0		0.0
C. Finance and Industry		0.0		0.0
D. Social Infrastructure		0.0		0.0
E. Transport and Communications		0.0	1	50.0
F. Others/Multisector		0.0		0.0
Total	1	100.0	2	100.0

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

SAMOA

PROJECT PROFILE					
1. Project Name: Afulilo Power Augmentation Project			2. Sector/Subsector: Energy/Electric Power		
3. Poverty Classification: ^a ODI			4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^b HD		
5. Rationale & Objectives: The rationale of the project lies in the need to meet the growing power demand in Samoa with renewable resources in a least-cost manner. As a matter of fact, the Government's energy policy aims at reducing dependence on imported fuel through development of indigenous renewable energy sources, thereby meeting the demand for electricity with a high level of reliability. The proposed Project is consistent with this policy, as it will generate up to 10 GWh per annum from a renewable energy source.			6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs: Participation of major stakeholders. Consultation are being undertaken with the affected landowners.		
7. Scope: The Project consists of the following components: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Installation of a third 2 MW machine identical to the two existing 2MW machines in Ta'elefaga Power Station. - Increasing reservoir volume. A 1.7 metre rise increasing storage by 50% to 15 million cm. - Construction of a gravity diversion canal. - Supply, installation, and commissioning of 33/22kV switchyard and substation equipment. - Supply and construction of transmission line upgrading the existing South Coast and Cross-land 22kV to 33kV lines. 					
8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan:			Remarks:		
Loan Project Cost (\$m)					
Financing (Source)	FC	LC			Total
ADB					5.0
Co-financing					
Borrower					
Sub-borrowers					-
Total			5.0		
9. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups: Direct beneficiaries will be the people of Samoa.					
10. Executing Agency: Electric Power Corporation (EPC)			11. Project Implementation Period: Start: 2001 End: 2003		
12. Environment Category: TBD			13. Processing Year: 2001		

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD=Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

SAMOA

PROJECT PROFILE				
1. Project Name: Household Income and Expenditure Survey		2. Sector/Subsector: Public Sector		
3. Poverty Classification: ^a CPI		4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^b GG		
5. Rationale & Objectives: The government of Samoa intends to undertake a household income and expenditure survey in order to improve the national accounts, rebase the consumer price index, and provide the basis for an assessment of living standards. The objective of the TA is to assist in the generation and analysis of data for poverty profiling. Achievement of this objective will provide a foundation for ADB's dialogue with the Government on the development of poverty reduction and for strategies, the effective monitoring of the implementation of such strategies.		6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs: Technical Advice is required on survey design implementation, and data analysis.		
7. Scope: The TA will focus on ensuring that sample size is representative and statistically robust; that questionnaire design is adequate for the purpose of extracting reliable information on the variables relevant to compiling a poverty profile; and that data analysis is timely.				
8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan:				Remarks:
Loan Project Cost (\$m)				
Financing (Source)	FC	LC	Total	
ADB			150000.0	
Co-financing				
Borrower				
Sub-borrowers			-	
Total			150000.0	
9. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups: A HIES survey provides a basis for establishing poverty lines; for calculating the extent, depth, and severity of poverty; and, if conducted at regular intervals, for monitoring progress in poverty reduction and evaluating the impact of policies, programs, and projects on the lives of the poor. It is thus an essential tool for the formulation and implementation of poverty reduction strategies.				
10. Executing Agency: Treasury Department		11. Project Implementation Period: Start: March - 2001 End: September 2001		
12. Environment Category: N/A		13. Processing Year: 2000		

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; PG = Pro-poor Growth

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD=Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation

Note: For 2001 loan projects only.