

APPENDIXES

No.	Title	Page	Cited on (page, para)
1	Economic Indicators	10	1, 3
2	Social and Environmental Indicators	11	4, 17
3	Loan and Technical Assistance Program	13	7, 29
4	Economic and Sector Work Program	19	8, 37
5	Project Selection Briefs and TA Concept Papers	21	8, 38

ECONOMIC INDICATORS

Item	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
A. Income and Growth						
1. GDP per Capita (\$, current)	313.9	407.4	502.0	559.0	568.0	652.0
2. GDP Growth (% , in constant prices)	(11.8)	1.3	5.8	10.0	7.4	11.1
a. Agriculture	(7.0)	3.0	(3.9)	3.9	7.1	12.1
b. Industry	(18.4)	8.4	14.8	20.5	3.6	6.9
c. Services	(8.1)	(5.9)	11.2	6.4	8.2	13.8
B. Money and Inflation						
			(annual percent change)			
1. Consumer Prices (annual average)	411.7	19.8	3.6	(0.8)	(8.5)	1.8
2. Consumer Prices (end of period)	84.5	6.8	0.4	(7.6)	(0.5)	2.2
3. Broad Money (Manat, end of period; M2)	122.2	25.7	29.2	(21.7)	15.2	18.3
C. Government Finance						
			(percent of GDP)			
1. Revenue (general government revenues)	24.3	23.3	19.1	19.5	18.2	17.9
2. Total Expenditure	29.2	26.1	20.8	23.7	23.7	20.9
3. Overall Surplus/Deficit (-)	(4.9)	(2.8)	(1.7)	(4.2)	(5.5)	(3.0)
D. Balance of Payments						
1. Merchandise Trade Balance (% of GDP)	(11.4)	(17.3)	(14.3)	(25.0)	(10.2)	0.1
2. Current Account Balance (% of GDP)	(13.2)	(25.5)	(24.5)	(30.5)	(13.2)	(2.7)
3. Export (\$) Growth (annual percent change)	(0.3)	16.0	2.5	(16.1)	51.5	83.6
4. Import (\$) Growth (annual percent change)	13.0	40.1	2.7	24.8	(16.6)	7.5
E. External Payments Indicators						
1. Gross International Reserves (\$ million, end of period)	119.0	214.0	469.0	450.0	676.0	680.0
- months of imports	1.2	1.9	3.7	3.0	4.0	3.2
2. External Debt Service (% of exports of goods and services)	7.9	9.7	7.3	4.6	4.8	4.4
3. External Debt (% of GDP)	17.3	16.6	10.2	11.4	21.2	23.0
F. Memorandum Items:						
GDP (current prices, Manat billion)	10,669.0	13,664.0	15,791.0	17,203.0	18,771.0	23,565.0
Exchange Rate (Manat per \$, annual average)	4,413.5	4,301.3	3,985.4	3,868.8	4,150.5	4,472.0
Population (million)	7.7	7.8	7.9	8.0	8.0	8.0

GDP = gross domestic product.

Sources: Azerbaijan authorities, the International Monetary Fund, and Asian Development Bank staff estimates.

SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS

Table A2.1: Population and Social Indicators

Item	1985	1990	Latest Year		
Population Indicators					
Total Population (million)	–	7.22	(1991)	8.02	(2000)
Rural Population (% of total population)	–	46.5	(1991)	49.0	(2000)
Annual Growth Rate (%)	–	1.2	(1995)	1.01	(2000)
Social Indicators					
Total Fertility Rate (births per woman)	–	–		2.0	(1999)
Maternal Mortality Rate (per hundred thousand live births)	–	22.0		43.4	(1999)
Infant Mortality Rate (below 1 year; per '000 live births)	–	23.0		16.5	(1999)
Life Expectancy at Birth (years)	–	71.1		71.6	(1999)
Female	–	74.8		75.1	(1999)
Male	–	67.0		68.1	(1999)
Adult Literacy (%)	–	–		97.3	(1999)
School Enrollment for all levels (% of children aged 6-23)	–	–		61.7	(1999)
Population Below Poverty Line (%)	–	–		61.5	(1995)
Income Ratio of Highest 10% to Lowest 10%	–	–		–	
Percent of Rural Households with Access to Safe Water (%)	–	–		63.0	(1996)
Percent of Rural Households with Access to Sanitation (%)	–	–		–	
Public Education Expenditure as % of GDP	–	–		4.8	(1999)
Public Health Expenditure as % of GDP	–	–		1.1	(1999)
Social Security Expenditure as % of GDP	–	–		–	
Human Development Index	–	0.75		0.719	(1999)
Human Development Ranking	–	62		90	(1998)

– not available; GDP = gross domestic product.

Sources: Asian Development Bank, Economic Report and Interim Operational Strategy for Azerbaijan, December 2000.
 State Statistical Committee of Azerbaijan Republic, 2000 Statistical Yearbook, August 2000.
 United Nations Development Programme, Azerbaijan Human Development Report 2000, March 2001
 World Bank, World Development Indicators 1999.

Table A2.2: Environmental Indicators

Item	1985	1990	Latest Year	
Forestry				
Total Forest Area (thousand km ₂)	–	10.0 (1995)	–	
Biodiversity				
Nationally protected area				
Area (km ²)	–	4.8 (1996)	–	
Number	–	–	–	
As % of land area	–	5.5 (1996)	–	
Wetlands of international importance				
Area (thousand hectares)				
Number				
Land Use (thousand hectares)	–	17.6 (1994)	115.4	(1999)
Arable agricultural lands	–	12.4 (1994)	111.8	(1999)
of which area sown	–	6.8 (1994)	91.5	(1999)
Air Pollution				
Total discharge of air contaminants (thousand tons)	–	2,846.1	917.1	(1999)
of which from stationary sources	–	2,108.5	574.7	(1999)
of which from motor transport	–	737.6	342.4	(1999)
Water Pollution				
Extraction of water from natural resources (million cubic meters)	–	16.2	12.0	(1999)
Discharge of untreated effluents into water bodies (million cubic meters)	–	303.0	167.0	(1999)
Global Environmental Problems				
Total CO ₂ emissions (million metric tons)	–	30.1 (1996)	–	
Per capita CO ₂ emissions (metric tons)	–	4.0 (1996)	–	

– not available, CO₂ = carbon dioxide, GDP = gross domestic product, km² = square kilometer.

Sources: Asian Development Bank, Economic Report and Interim Operational Strategy for Azerbaijan, December 2000.
 State Statistical Committee of Azerbaijan Republic, 2000 Statistical Yearbook, August 2000.
 United Nations Development Programme, Azerbaijan Human Development Report 2000, March 2001.
 World Bank, World Development Indicators 1999.

LOAN AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Table A3.1: Lending Program, 2002-2003

Sector and Project Name	Poverty Classification	Thematic Priority	Responsible Division	Year of PPTA	Project Cost (\$ million)					
					Total	ADB		Government	Cofinancing/ Others	
						OCR	ADF			Total
2002										
Agriculture and Rural Development										
Flood Protection and Irrigation	PI	HD	AEAR	2001	TBD	22.0	-	22.0	TBD	TBD
Subtotal					TBD	22.0	0.0	22.0	TBD	TBD
Social Infrastructure										
Basic Infrastructure Development	CPI	HD	AEWU	2001	TBD	-	23.0	23.0	TBD	TBD
Subtotal					TBD	0.0	23.0	23.0	TBD	TBD
Total					TBD	22.0	23.0	45.0	TBD	TBD
2003										
Agriculture and Rural Development										
Samur-Apshehon Water Supply and Irrigation (First Stage)	PI	HD	AEFN	2002	TBD	7.0	23.0	30.0	TBD	10.0
Subtotal					TBD	7.0	23.0	30.0	TBD	TBD
Transport and Communications										
Central Corridor Roads Improvement	CPI	GG	IETC	2002	TBD	18.0	-	18.0	TBD	TBD
Subtotal					TBD	18.0	0.0	18.0	TBD	TBD
Total					TBD	25.0	23.0	48.0	TBD	TBD

ADB = Asian Development Bank, ADF = Asian Development Fund, AEAR = Agriculture and Rural Development Division East, AEFN = Forestry and Natural Resources Division East, AEWU = Water Supply, Urban Development and Housing Division East, CPI = core poverty intervention, GG = good governance, HD = human development, IETC = Transport and Communication Division East, OCR = ordinary capital resources, PI = poverty intervention, PPTA = project/program preparatory technical assistance, TBD = to be determined.

Table A3.2: Lending Program 2004

Sector and Project Name	Poverty Classification	Thematic Priority	Responsible Division	Year of PPTA	Project Cost (\$ million)					
					Total	ADB		Government	Cofinancing/ Others	
						OCR	ADF			Total
Agriculture and Rural Development										
Samur-Apsheron Water Supply and Irrigation (Second Stage)	PI	HD	AEFN	2002	TBD	7.0	23.0	30.0	TBD	10.0
Subtotal					TBD	7.0	23.0	30.0	TBD	TBD
Transport and Communications										
Southern Azerbaijan Roads Improvement	CPI	GG	IETC	2003	TBD	20.0	-	20.0	TBD	10.0
Subtotal					TBD	20.0	0.0	20.0	TBD	TBD
Total					TBD	27.0	23.0	50.0	TBD	TBD
Standby										
New Settlements Basic Infrastructure	CPI	HD	AEWU	2003	TBD	-	25.0	25.0	TBD	TBD

ADB = Asian Development Bank, ADF = Asian Development Fund, AEFN = Forestry and Natural Resources Division East, AEWU = Water Supply, Urban Development and Housing Division East, CPI = core poverty intervention, GG = good governance, HD = human development, IETC = Transport and Communication Division East, OCR = ordinary capital resources, PI = poverty intervention, PPTA = project/program preparatory technical assistance, TBD = to be determined.

Table A3.3: Technical Assistance Program, 2001^a

Sector/Project Name	Responsible Division	Type of TA	Amount ('000)		Total
			ADB	Others	
Agriculture and Rural Development					
Flood Protection and Irrigation	AEAR	PP	700.0	-	700.0
Subtotal			700.0	0.0	700.0
Social Infrastructure					
Basic Infrastructure Development in Secondary Towns and Communities	AEWU	PP	740.0	-	740.0
Subtotal			740.0	0.0	740.0
Others					
Seminars on ADB Operational Policies and Procedures	PE2	AD	150.0	-	150.0
Capacity Building for Poverty Data Analysis	PE2	AD	150.0	-	150.0
Capacity Building for Strategic Economic Policy Formulation in the Ministry of Finance	PE2	AD	762.0	-	762.0
Subtotal			1,062.0	0.0	1,062.0
Total			2,502.0	-	2,502.0
Standby					
Poverty Reduction Strategy Support	PE2	AD	0.0	200.0	200.0

AD = advisory, ADB = Asian Development Bank, AEAR = Agriculture and Rural Development Division East, AEWU = Water Supply, Urban Development and Housing Division East, PE2 = Division East 2, PP = program/project preparatory, TA = technical assistance.

^a Although the proposed TA program has been generally agreed upon by Management, ADB financing may be subject to further reprioritization to fit in with the ADB-wide annual resource envelope.

Table A3.4: Technical Assistance Program, 2002^a

Sector/Project Name	Responsible Division	Type of TA	Amount ('000)		Total
			ADB	Others	
Agriculture and Rural Development					
Samur-Apsheron Water Channel	AEFN	PP	800.0	-	800.0
Subtotal			800.0	0.0	800.0
Transport and Communications					
Central Corridor Roads Improvement	IETC	PP	600.0	-	600.0
Subtotal			600.0	0.0	600.0
Others					
Poverty Reduction Strategy Support	PE2	AD	0.0	200.0	200.0
Capacity Building for Ministry of Economic Development	IEFI	AD	450.0	-	450.0
Capacity Building for Key Sectoral Ministries	TBD	AD	450.0	-	450.0
Subtotal			900.0	0.0	1,100.0
Total			2,300.0	200.0	2,500.0

AD = advisory, ADB = Asian Development Bank, AEFN = Forestry and Natural Resources Division East, IEFI = Financial Sector and Industry Division East, IETC = Transport and Communication Division East, PE2 = Division East 2, PP = program/project preparatory, TA = technical assistance, TBD = to be determined.

^a Although the proposed TA program has been generally agreed upon by Management, ADB financing may be subject to further reprioritization to fit in with the ADB-wide annual resource envelope.

Table A3.5: Technical Assistance Program, 2003-2004^a

Sector/Project Name	Responsible Division	Type of TA	Amount ('000)		Total
			ADB	Others	
2003					
Social Infrastructure					
New Settlements for IDPs	AEWU	PP	850.0	-	850.0
Subtotal			850.0	0.0	850.0
Transport and Communications					
Southern Azerbaijan Roads Development	IETC	PP	700.0	-	700.0
Subtotal			700.0	0.0	700.0
Others					
Capacity Building for Public Investment Program	PE2	AD	500.0	-	500.0
Capacity Building for SME Development and Formulation of a Competition Policy	IEFI	AD	450.0	-	450.0
Subtotal			950.0	0.0	950.0
Total			2,500.0	-	2,500.0
2004					
Finance and Industry					
Small and Medium Enterprise Development	IEFI	PP	600.0	-	600.0
Subtotal			600.0	0.0	600.0
Social Infrastructure					
Strengthening Early Childhood Development	AEEH	PP	600.0	-	600.0
Subtotal			600.0	0.0	600.0
Others					
Strengthening of Financial Institutions	IEFI	AD	600.0	-	600.0
Capacity Building for Public Budget Management and Municipal Reform	AEWU	AD	700.0	-	700.0
Subtotal			1,300.0	0.0	1,300.0
Total			2,500.0	-	2,500.0

AD = advisory, ADB = Asian Development Bank, AEEH = Education, Health and Population Division East, AEWU = Water Supply, Urban Development and Housing Division East, IDP = internally displaced person, IEFI = Financial Sector and Industry Division East, IETC = Transport and Communication Division East, PE2 = Division East 2, PP = program/project preparatory, TA = technical assistance.

^a Although the proposed TA program has been generally agreed upon by Management, ADB financing may be subject to further reprioritization to fit in with the ADB-wide annual resource envelope.

Table A3.6: Triggers for 2002 Lending Program

Low Case	Base Case	High Case
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No significant progress in macroeconomic stability or deterioration in performance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Satisfactory progress in improving macroeconomic stability, including (i) moderate inflation, and (ii) maintenance of essential public expenditures at the existing level 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strong macroeconomic performance, especially in non-oil sectors, supported by effective macroeconomic policies including increased essential public expenditures on education, health and social protection
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited progress in key sectoral reforms 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Steady progress in selected sectors such as water supply and roads 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved progress extended to other key sectors such as energy and health
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited progress in implementing the measures of poverty reduction that has been adopted in the Government's interim national program for poverty reduction (I-NPPR)^a 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Satisfactory progress in the I-NPPR implementation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preparation of the national program for poverty reduction (NPPR) and the poverty reduction partnership agreement
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited effort in adopting measures to improve governance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Satisfactory implementation of the on-going government restructuring 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvement in institutional capacity of public investment management

^a Also known as the "interim poverty reduction strategy paper", which was issued by the Government in May 2001.

ECONOMIC AND SECTOR WORK PROGRAM

Type of ESW	Strategic or Operational Objective	Modality
1. Economic Work		
(i) Country economic update/review	Macroeconomic analysis and country operational study	Staff
(ii) Country strategy and program	Update the interim operational strategy	Staff
2. Thematic Work		
(i) Feasibility study of regional economic cooperation between Central Asia and Azerbaijan	Assess ways to integrate Azerbaijan into subregional economic cooperation	Staff/staff consultant
(ii) Poverty analysis paper in connection with preparation of poverty-reduction partnership agreement	Poverty reduction	Staff
(iii) Budgetary review on support for essential services to the poor	Poverty reduction, good governance	Staff/staff consultant
(iv) Institutional review of the impact of government restructuring	Good governance and institutional strengthening	Staff/staff consultant
(v) Assessment of local fiscal capacity	Good governance and institutional strengthening at subnational level	Staff/staff consultant
(vi) Study on public investment performance	Structural reforms, sector capacity building for public investment management	ADTA (Capacity Building for Public Investment Program)
(vii) Governance and policy review on selected key social and infrastructure sectors	Good governance and policy review on selected key social and infrastructure sectors	Staff/staff consultant

Type of ESW	Strategic or Operational Objective	Modality
3. Sector Work		
(i) Sector review of basic and social infrastructures	Support development in basic and social infrastructure sectors, especially for IDPs	PPTA (Basic Infrastructure Development in Secondary Towns and Communities)
(ii) Rural development strategy study	Develop strategies for agricultural and rural development	PPTA (Flood Protection and Irrigation)
(iii) Sector study of development opportunities for SMEs	Economic growth, private sector development	ADTA (Capacity Building for SME Development and Formulation of a Competition)

ADTA = advisory technical assistance, IDP = internally displaced person, PPTA = project /program preparatory technical assistance, SME = small-and medium-sized enterprises.

PROJECT SELECTION BRIEFS AND TA CONCEPT PAPERS

Table A6.1: Project Selection Brief for Flood Protection and Irrigation Project

A. Data	
Project Number:	
Country:	Azerbaijan
Sector:	Agriculture, Water Resources Management
Subsector:	Flood Control, Irrigation
Project Division:	AEAR
Contact Person in Projects Division:	S. Scheierling
Programs Division:	PE2
Tentative Loan Amount According to CSP:	\$22 million
Proposed Lending Modality:	OCR
PPTA:	Flood Protection and Irrigation
Proposed PPTA Amount:	\$700,000
Attached ADTA:	
Proposed ADTA Amount:	
Start Predesign Phase:	IV Qtr 2001
Start Design Phase:	I/II Qtr 2002
Approval Year According to CSP:	2002
Proposed Executing Agency:	State Committee for Water Resources Management
Contact Person in Proposed Executing Agency:	Mr. A. Ahmadzada, Chairman
B. Description	
Brief Rationale of Project	<p>Azerbaijan has favorable growing conditions for a wide variety of crops, which are dependent on irrigation. Irrigation is a key input for reliable crop production.</p> <p>Many of the irrigation systems were developed during the former Soviet period. Operation and maintenance has been less than required and consequently the irrigation systems and drainage channels are deteriorating. This has resulted in irregular and inadequate availability of irrigation water at farm level, resulting in lower than potential yields. Also the lack of adequate drainage is suppressing yields and increasing salinity and water logging is reducing the arable area.</p> <p>In some parts of the country, severe flooding reduces the area available for cultivation or destroys crops.</p>

	<p>The proposed project will support the introduction of flood control measures and/or the rehabilitation of key irrigation areas. The project will minimize flood damage in such areas as Sheki-Zagatala and elsewhere, and increase the efficiency of water use for irrigation in selected systems such as Airychai, Shamkir, and Tovuzchai.</p> <p>A PPTA will determine the scope of the project which could include flood mitigation measures; drainage and irrigation system rehabilitation and /or completion; and institutional development, including water user associations and capacity building at national and local agency level.</p>
Summary of Preparatory Work by DMC and/or ESW by ADB or Others	Some preliminary feasibility studies have been conducted in these selected areas. The Government has been requested to provide all available reports, diagrams, and statistics to ADB to expedite the conduct of the PPTA.
Comparative Advantage of ADB in Proposed Project	ADB has considerable experience in flood control and drainage and irrigation rehabilitation and construction, including in similar environments such as Kazakhstan.
Rationale and Scope of attached ADTA:	Not Applicable
Development Objective (thematic priority)	Human Development
Environmental Classification:	A ^a
Social Issues:	Poverty

ADB = Asian Development Bank, ADTA = advisory technical assistance, CSP = country strategy and program, DMC = developing member country, ESW = Economic and Sector Work, OCR = ordinary capital resources, PPTA = project/program preparatory technical assistance.

^a Category A: Projects expected to have significant adverse environmental impacts. An environmental impact assessment is required to address significant impacts.

Table A6.2 Project Selection Brief for Basic Infrastructure Development

A: Data	
Project Number:	
Country:	Azerbaijan
Sector:	Social Infrastructure
Subsector:	Water Supply and Sanitation, Urban and Rural Infrastructure
Project Division:	AEWU
Contact Person in Projects Division:	L. Sabyrova
Programs Division:	PE2
Tentative Loan Amount According to CSP:	\$23 million
Proposed Lending Modality:	ADF
PPTA:	Basic Infrastructure Development in Secondary Towns and Communities
Proposed PPTA Amount:	\$740,000
Attached ADTA:	
Proposed ADTA Amount:	
Start Predesign Phase:	III Qtr 2001
Start Design Phase:	I/II Qtr 2002
Approval Year According to CSP:	2002
Proposed Executing Agency:	State Committee on Architecture and Construction (for basic infrastructure components);
Contact Person in Proposed Executing Agency:	Mr. S. Hasanov, Chairman
B: Description	
Brief Rationale of Project:	<p>Since independence in 1991, expenditure in basic infrastructure services such as water supply, sewerage, and sanitation has been severely cut back, resulting in deterioration in both physical assets and services. There is significant loss of water from aging and poorly maintained pipes and distribution system. As a result, many households outside of the Baku area have access to water only 2-4 hours a day. Waterborne diseases are wide spread, especially in smaller towns and rural areas with a larger share of poor people and internally displaced persons (IDPs). For some of these people, water consumption barely reaches 20-25 liters per capita per day. The activities of the international funding community have concentrated mainly in the greater Baku area (World Bank and European Bank for Reconstruction and Development), with little progress in other parts of the country.</p>

	<p>There exists an urgent need to improve basic infrastructure services including water supply and sanitation in small towns and communities in line with Government's poverty reduction strategy.</p> <p>The proposed project will improve the living and health conditions in selected urban and rural communities, in particular for the poor and IDPs, through the provision of basic infrastructure services.</p>
Summary of Preparatory Work by DMC and/or ESW by ADB or Others	The project will utilize the joint World Bank and Azerbaijan's study on water supply and sanitation sector, and experience of Germany's Kreditanstalt fur Wiederaufbau in Imishli town.
Comparative Advantage of ADB in Proposed Project:	The proposed project will focus on selected urban and rural communities with a large share of poor population and IDPs, where there exists a gap for much needed financial assistance. ADB has accumulated experience in similar projects in the transition Central Asian countries such as Kyrgyz Republic, Kazakhstan, and Uzbekistan.
Rationale and Scope of attached ADTA:	Not applicable
Development Objective (thematic priority)	Human Development
Environmental Classification:	B ^a
Social Issues:	Poverty and resettlement issues

ADB = Asian Development Bank, ADF = Asian Development Fund, ADTA = advisory technical assistance, CSP = country strategy and program, DMC = developing member country, ESW = Economic and Sector Work, IDPs = internally displaced persons, NGO = non-governmental organization, PPTA = project/program preparatory technical assistance.

^a Category B: Projects judged to have some adverse environmental impacts, but of lesser degree and/or significance than those for category A projects. An initial environmental examination (IEE) is required to determine whether or not significant environmental impacts warranting an environmental impact assessment (EIA) are likely. If an EIA is not needed, the IEE is regarded as the final environmental assessment report.

Table A6.3 Project Selection Brief for Samur Apsheron Water Supply and Irrigation Project

A: Data	
Project Number:	
Country:	Azerbaijan
Sector:	Water Resources
Subsector:	Water Supply, Irrigation
Project Division:	AEFN
Contact Person in Projects Division:	TBD
Programs Division:	PE2
Tentative Loan Amount According to CSP:	\$80 million in two phases
Proposed Lending Modality:	OCR/ADF
PPTA:	Yes
Proposed PPTA Amount:	\$800,000
Attached ADTA:	
Proposed ADTA Amount:	
Start Pre-design Phase:	I Qtr 2002
Start Design Phase:	III Qtr 2002
Approval Year According to CSP Update:	2003 (First Stage)/2004 (Second Stage)
Proposed Executing Agency:	State Committee for Water Resources Management
Contact Person in Proposed Executing Agency:	Mr. A. Ahmadzada, Chairman
B: Description	
Brief Rationale of Project:	<p>The Samur Apsheron canal system is in northeastern Azerbaijan. The system is a major source of water for Baku and for irrigation in northeast Azerbaijan. The system has deteriorated and threatens the continued supply of drinking water to Baku and irrigation water to farmers.</p> <p>Currently the Islamic Development Bank and World Bank are financing some sections of this canal. The Government has requested ADB to finance a section, estimated to cost \$80.0 million. It is proposed that the project be done in two phases.</p>
Summary of Preparatory Work by DMC and/or ESW by ADB or Others:	The World Bank is currently reviewing the technical, environmental, social, and economic viability of the expansion of the system. The study is expected to be completed by the end of 2002.
Comparative Advantage of ADB in Proposed Project:	ADB has extensive experience in financing and implementing water resources projects in its member countries, including those in Central Asia. ADB involvement in the Samur-Apsheron System will deepen the financial resources and advisory support needed to rehabilitate the system.

Rationale and Scope of attached ADTA:	Not applicable
Development Objective (thematic priority)	Human Development
Environmental Classification:	A ^a
Social Issues	Poverty

ADB = Asian Development Bank, ADF = Asian Development Fund, ADTA = advisory technical assistance, CSP = country strategy and program, DMC = developing member country, ESW = Economic and Sector Work, OCR = ordinary capital resources, PPTA = project/program preparatory technical assistance, TBD = to be determined.

^a Category A: Projects expected to have significant adverse environmental impacts. An environmental impact assessment is required to address significant impacts.

Table A6.4: Project Selection Brief for Central Corridor Roads Improvement

A. Data	
Project Number:	
Country:	Azerbaijan
Sector:	Transport, Roads
Project Division:	IETC
Contact Person in Projects Division:	TBD
Programs Division:	PE2
Tentative Loan Amount According to CSP:	\$18 million
Proposed Lending Modality:	OCR
PPTA:	Central Road Corridor Improvement
Proposed PPTA Amount:	\$600,000
Attached ADTA:	
Proposed ADTA Amount:	
Start Pre-design Phase:	2002
Start Design Phase:	2002
Approval Year According to CSP:	2003
Proposed Executing Agency:	Azerbaijan State Road Concern (Azeravtoyol)
Contact Person in Proposed Executing Agency:	Mr. G. Nizami, Vice President
B: Description	
Brief Rationale of Project:	The project will contribute to the expansion of trade and transport services by improving the central corridor highway between Baku and Tbilisi, Georgia, which is currently in dilapidated condition. The project will rehabilitate an existing highway segment, and provide connector roads in the adjoining districts.
Summary of Preparatory Work by DMC and/or ESW by ADB or Others:	Several segments of the central corridor road have already been financed by other agencies, including Islamic Development Bank and Kuwait Fund. Other agencies, including World Bank and European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, are considering providing assistance for segments of the road. Some of the required ESW work has been undertaken by World Bank, with remaining policy issues including (i) operationalization of the new Ministry of Transport, and (ii) improvement in the management of the road fund.

Comparative Advantage of ADB in Proposed Project:	The road sector is a focus of ADB's Central Asia strategy. ADB has gained experience from several road projects in Central Asia, including regional road projects.
Rationale and Scope of ADTA:	Not applicable
Development Objective (thematic priority)	Good Governance
Environmental Classification Project:	A ^a
Social Issues:	Participation of local residents in design of district connector roads.

ADB = Asian Development Bank, ADTA = advisory technical assistance, CSP = country strategy and program, DMC = developing member country, ESW = Economic and Sector Work, OCR = ordinary capital resources, PPTA = project/program preparatory technical assistance, TBD = to be determined.

^a Category A: Projects expected to have significant adverse environmental impacts. An environmental impact assessment is required to address significant impacts.

Table A6.5: Project Selection Brief for Southern Azerbaijan Roads Improvement

A. Data	
Project Number:	
Country:	Azerbaijan
Sector:	Transport, Roads
Project Division:	IETC
Contact Person in Projects Division:	TBD
Programs Division:	PE2
Tentative Loan Amount According to CSP:	\$20 million
Proposed Lending Modality:	OCR
PPTA:	Southern Azerbaijan Roads Improvement
Proposed PPTA Amount:	\$700,000
Attached ADTA:	
Proposed ADTA Amount:	
Start Pre-design Phase:	2003
Start Design Phase:	2003
Approval Year According to CSP:	2004
Proposed Executing Agency:	Azerbaijan State Road Concern (Azeravtoyol)
Contact Person in Proposed Executing Agency:	Mr. G. Nizami, Vice President
B. Description	
Brief Rationale of Project:	The project will contribute to the expansion of trade and transport services by improving the southern corridor highway between Baku and Astana, on the border with Iran. The project will rehabilitate an existing highway segment and provide connector roads in the adjoining districts.
Summary of Preparatory Work by DMC and/or ESW by ADB or Others:	Some of the required ESW work has been undertaken by World Bank.
Comparative Advantage of ADB in Proposed Project:	The road sector is focus of ADB's Central Asia strategy. ADB has experience with several road projects in Central Asia, including regional road projects.
Rationale and Scope of attached ADTA:	Not applicable
Development Objective (thematic priority)	Good Governance
Environmental Classification:	A ^a
Social Issues:	Participation of local residents in design of district connector roads.

ADB = Asian Development Bank, ADTA = advisory technical assistance, CSP = country strategy and program, DMC = developing member country, ESW = Economic and Sector Work, OCR = ordinary capital resources, PPTA = project/program preparatory technical assistance, TBD = to be determined.

^a Category A: Projects expected to have significant adverse environmental impacts. An environmental impact assessment is required to address significant impacts.

Table A6.6: Project Selection Brief for New Settlements Basic Infrastructure

A. Data	
Project Number:	
Country:	Azerbaijan
Sector:	Social Infrastructure
Subsector:	Water supply, sanitation
Project Division:	AEWU
Contact Person in Projects Division:	TBD
Programs Division:	PE2
Tentative Loan Amount According to CSP:	\$25 million
Proposed Lending Modality:	ADF
PPTA:	New Settlements Basic Infrastructure Development
Proposed PPTA Amount:	\$850,000
Attached ADTA:	
Proposed ADTA Amount:	
Start Predesign Phase:	II/III Qtr 2003
Start Design Phase:	I/II Qtr 2004
Approval Year According to CSP:	2004 or 2005
Proposed Executing Agency:	TBD
Contact Person in Proposed Executing Agency:	TBD
B: Description	
Brief Rationale of Project:	<p>A decade after the beginning of the conflict over Nagorno-Karabakh, approximately 900,000 refugees and internally displaced people (IDPs) still live in temporary shelters where living conditions are deplorable. They lack safe (and sometimes, any) drinking water and sewage, food security, basic education and health services, and employment opportunities. If not properly addressed, this situation will lead to increased poverty and limited economic activity of the current IDPs and their future generations.</p> <p>This project will establish several new permanent settlements in the liberated areas of Fizuli and Aghdam for about 8,000-9,000 IDPs currently living in the camps of Belyasuvur, Saatly, Imishli, and others. The new settlements will require construction of new economic and social infrastructure (public schools, housing, sanitation facilities, etc.), or extension of existing infrastructure facilities (water supply, local roads) from nearby villages. Each settlement is estimated to host approximately 200 families, or 1,000 people.</p>

	This project is a logical output of the overall ADB strategy toward Azerbaijan in poverty reduction efforts and human development. Participation of IDPs and local communities will be crucial in ensuring success of the project.
Summary of Preparatory Work by DMC and/or ESW by ADB or Others:	The scope of the project will depend on the progress achieved and experience accumulated during the preparatory phase and implementation of the basic infrastructure development project to be commenced in 2002. The latter project will develop basic infrastructure services in one or two new settlements in Fizuli district on a pilot basis. Experience of other international agencies in rehabilitation and reconstruction efforts in the new liberated areas will also be used.
Comparative Advantage of ADB in Proposed Project	ADB accumulated significant experience in community-based economic and social infrastructure projects in DMCs, as well as hands-on knowledge of challenges facing transition economies.
Rationale and Scope of attached ADTA:	Not applicable
Development Objective (thematic priority)	Human Development
Environmental Classification:	B ^a
Social Issues	Participation of IDPs and local communities and resettlement are the main issues.

ADB = Asian Development Bank, ADF = Asian Development Fund, ADTA = advisory technical assistance, CSP = country strategy and program, DMC = developing member country, ESW = Economic and Sector Work, PPTA = project/program preparatory technical assistance, TBD = to be determined.

^a Category B: Projects judged to have some adverse environmental impacts, but of lesser degree and/or significance than those for category A projects. An initial environmental examination (IEE) is required to determine whether or not significant environmental impacts warranting an environmental impact assessment (EIA) are likely. If an EIA is not needed, the IEE is regarded as the final environmental assessment report.

Table A6.7: TA Concept Paper for Poverty Reduction Strategy Support

A. Profile	
Department, Division, RM, Officer Concerned:	PED, PE2, M. Gatti
Type of TA (ADTA, PPTA, RETA):	ADTA
Name of Loan Project to follow (if PPTA):	
Country, Region (as applicable):	Azerbaijan
Sector/subsector:	Multisector
Poverty Classification and Thematic Priorities: ¹	Human Development
Program Year: ²	Standby 2001, Firm 2002
Expected Approval Date (mo/yr):	October 2001
Estimated Completion Date:	April 2002
B. Concept and Design	
1. Rationale (specific problem/issues to be addressed in TA)	Reducing poverty remains one of the top priorities for Azerbaijan. About 60% of population lived below the national poverty line in 1995, and the situation has remained without improvement despite strong GDP growth during the past three years. For effective impact of poverty reduction, the Government prepared an interim poverty reduction strategy paper (IPRSP) in May 2001. The IPRSP provides a policy and institutional framework for poverty reduction in the short-to-medium term in Azerbaijan. To implement the IPRSP and develop a full PRSP, a steering committee has been established, which is to be supported by sector working groups covering major social and economic sectors in the countries. However, the IPRSP has not provided policy orientation for poverty reduction for key social and economic sectors. The organizational support to coordinate policy implementation among the envisaged sector groups and the monitoring mechanism is yet to be developed. In carrying out this work, efforts will be made to broaden the participatory process and to engage in a genuine two-way dialogue with civil society, the private sector, and donors.
2. Relation to Strategic Objectives (How the TA addresses the following) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • poverty reduction, thematic priorities • country strategy (where applicable) • regional cooperation 	The project is in accordance with ADB's and the Government's priority of fighting poverty in Azerbaijan.

¹ Economic growth, human development, gender and development, good governance, environmental protection, private sector development, regional cooperation, and support for ADB operations (for RETAs)

² Year of inclusion in CSP for transition period.

3. Scope (specific major components, e.g., capacity building)	The TA will include (i) assistance to the Government to establish a coordination unit; (ii) support for sector working groups in agriculture and rural development, infrastructure, and refugee and IDP assistance; and (iii) support for establishing the monitoring mechanism for progress made for poverty reduction.
4. Expected Outcome and Monitorable Indicators	The ADTA will result in a final PRSP incorporating a more focused policy orientation for poverty reduction in key social and economic sectors and employing a broad participatory approach. Monitorable indicators include outputs of sector working groups (policy notes, implementation plans, etc.) and number and frequency of participatory seminars and meetings with civil society and other stakeholders.
5. Previous TA(s) on the Same Theme, in the sector, country, region (last five years) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • name, amount, status • assessment of outcome 	An ADTA entitled Capacity Building for Poverty Data Analysis in the State Committee for Statistics was approved on 6 June 2001 in the amount of \$150,000. The ADTA has just started implementation.
6. Executing, Implementing, Counterpart Agencies (for RETAs as applicable)	Ministry of Economic Development
7. Cost and Financing Plan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) ADB Financing (JSF, TASF) (ii) Sources other than JSF/TASF 	Proposed for financing by the Italian government for \$200,000
8. Country, RETA IPF for relevant year	\$2.5 million

ADB = Asian Development Bank, ADTA = advisory technical assistance, CSP = country strategy program, JSF = Japan Special Fund, PPTA = project/program preparatory technical assistance, RETA = regional technical assistance, TA = technical assistance, TASF = Technical Assistance Special Fund.

Table A6.8: TA Concept Paper for Capacity Building for Ministry of Economic Development

A. Profile	
Department, Division, RM, Officer Concerned:	TBD
Type of TA (ADTA, PPTA, RETA):	ADTA
Name of Loan Project to Follow (if PPTA):	
Country, Region (as applicable):	Azerbaijan
Sector/Subsector:	Multisector
Poverty Classification and Thematic Priorities: ¹	Good Governance , Private Sector Development
Program Year: ²	2002
Expected Approval Date (mo/yr):	March 2002
Estimated Completion Date:	December 2002
B. Concept and Design	
9. Rationale (specific problem/issues to be addressed in TA)	As a result of the latest government restructuring, the Government established a new Ministry of Economic Development (MOED) to take responsibility for strategic formulation of economic development policy including privatization, private sector (including small and medium enterprises) development, trade, and foreign direct investment in non-oil sectors. MOED is not fully operational, partly because it has little experiences in establishing effective organizational structure to support the envisaged activities under its mandates. MOED staff have little knowledge about how to engage in economic policy formulation in the context of a market economy. There is a great need to reinforce the process of reforms and develop MOED's capacity for making and implementing economic policies.
10. Relation to Strategic Objectives (How the TA addresses the following) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> poverty reduction/thematic priorities country strategy (where applicable) regional cooperation 	The ADTA is in accordance with ADB's country strategy on good governance and institutional strengthening.
11. Scope (specific major components, e.g., capacity building)	The TA will include (i) assistance to establish a development policy group (DPG) to provide strategic policy advice to high-level officials of MOED in key policy areas; (ii) provision of training programs to DPG staff to enhance their skills of policy analysis; and (iii) support for improving the economic information base for economic policy making within MOED.

¹ Economic growth, human development, gender and development, good governance, environmental protection, private sector development, regional cooperation, and support for ADB operations (for RETAs)

² Year of inclusion in CSP for transition period.

<p>12. Expected Outcome and Monitorable Indicators</p>	<p>The project is expected to build up the capacity of MOED to fulfil its new mandate of making and implementing economic policies. The indicators include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • well-functioning development policy group able to provide high-level policy advice to MOED officials; • improved capacities and skills of MOED staff; and • well-developed economic information base which is widely used by MOED policymakers.
<p>13. Previous TA(s) on the Same Theme, in the sector, country, region (last five years)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • name, amount, status • assessment of outcome 	<p>This ADTA will follow on previous capacity building efforts in the Ministry of Finance, State Committee for Statistics and MOED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TA 3661-AZE: Capacity Building for Strategic Economic Policy Formulation in the Ministry of Finance, for \$760,000, approved on 31 May 2001, under implementation; • TA 3664-AZE: Capacity Building for Poverty Data Analysis, for \$150,000, approved on 6 June 2001, under implementation; and • Proposed ADTA for Poverty Reduction Strategy Support, for \$200,000, scheduled for approval in late 2001.
<p>14. Executing, Implementing, Counterpart agencies (for RETAs as applicable)</p>	<p>Ministry of Economic Development</p>
<p>15. Cost and Financing Plan</p> <p>(i) ADB Financing (JSF, TASF)</p> <p>(ii) Sources other than JSF/TASF</p>	<p>Total Cost: \$600,000 ADB Financing (TASF): \$450,000</p>
<p>16. Country, RETA IPF for relevant year</p>	<p>\$2.5 million</p>

ADB = Asian Development Bank, ADTA = advisory technical assistance, CSP = country strategy program, DPG = development policy group, JSF = Japan Special Fund, MOED = Ministry of Economic Development, PPTA = project/program preparatory technical assistance, RETA = regional technical assistance, TA = technical assistance, TASF = Technical Assistance Special Fund.

Table A6.9: TA Concept Paper for Capacity Building for Key Sectoral Agencies

A. Profile	
Department, Division, RM, Officer Concerned:	TBD
Type of TA (ADTA, PPTA, RETA):	ADTA
Name of Loan Project to follow (if PPTA):	
Country/Region (as applicable):	Azerbaijan
Sector/Subsector:	Multisector
Poverty Classification and Thematic Priorities: ¹	Good Governance, Human Development
Program Year: ²	2002
Expected Approval Date (mo/yr):	February 2002
Estimated Completion Date:	December 2002
B. Concept and Design	
1. Rationale (specific problem/issues to be addressed in TA)	Appropriate and effective institutions are fundamental to the provision of appropriate levels of support to the emerging market economy. The level of institutional change and capacity building required are substantial and the Government in cooperation with external agencies will need to devote substantial effort and resources to this task.
2. Relation to Strategic Objectives (How the TA addresses the following) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • poverty reduction/thematic priorities • country strategy (where applicable) • regional cooperation 	The project is in accordance with ADB's priority of good governance and institutional strengthening in Azerbaijan.
3. Scope (specific major components, e. g., capacity building.)	The analysis will examine the strengths and weakness of each institution and develop a framework for the future development of the institution to meet the needs of supporting a market-based system. This initial study would also identify key strategic institutional development activities for future financing by ADB
4. Expected Outcome and Monitorable Indicators	The project is expected to build up the capacities of key sectoral agencies with which ADB will work closely. The indicators include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • strength-weakness-opportunities threats analyses of each of the sectoral agencies; • formulation of a conceptual framework for future development of each agency; • study of key strategic institutional development activities.

¹ Economic growth, human development, gender and development, good governance, environmental protection, private sector development, regional cooperation, and support for ADB operations (for RETAs)

² Year of inclusion in CSP for transition period.

<p>5. Previous TA(s) on the Same Theme, in the sector, country, region (last five years)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • name, amount, status • assessment of outcome 	<p>This ADTA will follow on previous PPTAs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposed PPTA for Flood Protection and Irrigation (2001) • Proposed PPTA for Basic Infrastructure Development (2001) • Proposed PPTA for Central Corridor Roads Improvement (2002)
<p>6. Executing, Implementing, Counterpart agencies (for RETAs as applicable)</p>	<p>State Committee for Water Resources Management, State Committee for Architecture and Construction, Ministry of Transportation (when constituted)</p>
<p>7. Cost and Financing Plan</p> <p>(i) ADB Financing (JSF, TASF) (ii) Sources other than JSF/TASF</p>	<p>Total Cost: \$600,000 ADB Financing (TASF): \$450,000</p>
<p>8. Country, RETA IPF for relevant year</p>	<p>\$ 2.5 million</p>

ADB = Asian Development Bank, ADTA = advisory technical assistance, CSP = country strategy program, JSF = Japan Special Fund, PPTA = project/program preparatory technical assistance, RETA = regional technical assistance, TA = technical assistance, TASF = Technical Assistance Special Fund.

Table A6.10: TA Concept Paper for Strengthening Early Childhood Development

A. Profile	
Department, Division, RM, Officer Concerned:	AED, AEEH
Type of TA (ADTA, PPTA, RETA):	PPTA
Name of Loan Project to follow (if PPTA):	Strengthening Early Childhood Development
Country, Region (as applicable):	Azerbaijan
Sector, subsector:	Health, Early Childhood Development
Poverty Classification and Thematic Priorities: ¹	Poverty Intervention, Human Development, Gender and Development
Program Year: ²	2004
Expected Approval Date (mo/yr):	2004
Estimated Completion Date:	2004
B. Concept and Design	
1. Rationale (specific problem/issues to be addressed in TA)	<p>The conflict with Armenia and the process of transition from centrally-planned to a market economy has severely deteriorated the health situation of population, especially among the most vulnerable groups, including the IDPs, unemployed, women and children.</p> <p>The proposed project is aimed at enhancing human development by providing services and supporting activities that ensure survival and promote development of Azeri children, particularly those who are most vulnerable and disadvantaged, including IDP children. This will be accomplished through development, provision, and promotion of an integrated set of early childhood development service delivery packages to address health, nutrition, cognitive and psychosocial development needs of children under six at various stages of growth and development, particularly those in IDP camps and settlements.</p> <p>The participating localities will be selected based on a national assessment of administrative districts according to at-risk children in need of ECD services, and agreed upon criteria (infant and child mortality rates, protein-energy malnutrition, high dropout rates, etc.)</p>

¹ Economic growth, human development, gender and development, good governance, environmental protection, private sector development, regional cooperation, and support for ADB operations (for RETAs)

² Year of inclusion in CSP for transition period.

<p>2. Relation to Strategic Objectives (How the TA addresses the following)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • poverty reduction, thematic priorities • country strategy (where applicable) • regional cooperation 	<p>The project is in accordance with ADB's focus on enhancing human development in order to achieve an improvement in people's living conditions.</p>
<p>3. Scope (specific major components, e.g., capacity building)</p>	<p>The proposed PPTA will include components to prepare an investment project. In addition, the project will include components to investigate the needed technical and financial support to enable local government units to deliver more and better services for children under six years old.</p>
<p>4. Expected Outcome and Monitorable Indicators</p>	<p>The proposed PPTA will prepare an investment project aimed at reducing infant and child mortality, malnutrition, and primary school dropout rates. In addition, the project will contribute to the improvement in the health, welfare, and cognitive development of preschoolers.</p>
<p>5. Previous TA(s) on the Same Theme, in the sector, country, region (last five years)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • name, amount, status • assessment of outcome 	<p>The proposed project will be ADB's first assistance in the health sector. It will build on efforts of other donors in the sector, including World Bank and United Nations Fund for Children.</p>
<p>6. Executing, Implementing, Counterpart Agencies (for RETAs as applicable)</p>	<p>Ministry of Health</p>
<p>7. Cost and Financing Plan</p> <p>(i) ADB Financing (JSF, TASF)</p> <p>(ii) Sources other than JSF/TASF</p>	<p>Total cost = \$750,000 ADB Financing (JSF) = \$600,000</p>
<p>8. Country, RETA IPF for relevant year</p>	<p>\$2.5 million</p>

ADB = Asian Development Bank, ADTA = advisory technical assistance, CSP = country strategy program, JSF = Japan Special Fund, PPTA = project/program preparatory technical assistance, RETA = regional technical assistance, TA = technical assistance, TASF = Technical Assistance Special Fund.

Table A6.11: TA Concept Paper for Small and Medium Enterprise Development

A. Profile	
Department, Division, RM, Officer Concerned:	IED, IEFI
Type of TA (ADTA, PPTA, RETA):	PPTA
Name of Loan Project to follow (if PPTA):	Small and Medium Enterprise Development
Country, Region (as applicable):	Azerbaijan
Sector, subsector:	Industry/Finance
Poverty Classification and Thematic Priorities: ¹	Poverty Intervention, Economic Growth, Private Sector Development
Program Year: ²	2004
Expected Approval Date (mo/yr):	2004
Estimated Completion Date:	2004
B. Concept and Design	
1. Rationale (specific problem/issues to be addressed in TA)	<p>The development of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) is fundamentally important for the future development of Azerbaijan. In particular, SME development is a crucial part of the national strategy to achieve balanced sector development.</p> <p>The Azeri Government has prepared a state program for the development of SMEs in Azerbaijan (2001-2003). In addition, SME development is supported by a well-developed legislative framework established in recent years. Major pieces of legislation enacted recently include laws on entrepreneurship, enterprises, joint-stock companies, unfair competition, and state support to small enterprises. Despite these accomplishments, several problems hinder the development of SMEs, including: (i) national program is too ambiguous, (ii) ineffective legal enforcement, (iii) lack of coordination among the various funding agencies involved in the sector, (iv) unclear investment and financing mechanisms, and (v) need for business service and management skills development.</p>
2. Relation to Strategic Objectives (How the TA addresses the following) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • poverty reduction, thematic priorities • country strategy (where applicable) • regional cooperation 	The project is in accordance with ADB's objective of promoting sustainable economic growth in the non-oil industries. It is also in line with the thematic priority of private sector development.

¹ Economic growth, human development, gender and development, good governance, environmental protection, private sector development, regional cooperation, and support for ADB operations (for RETAs)

² Year of inclusion in CSP for transition period.

3. Scope (specific major components, e.g., capacity building)	The proposed PPTA will assess the technical, economic and financial viability of an investment project for SME Development. The PPTA is also likely to have components to address the following issues: (i) legal enforcement, (ii) potential investment and financing mechanisms, and (iii) capacity building.
4. Expected Outcome and Monitorable Indicators	The proposed PPTA will prepare a investment project aimed at promoting the development of SMEs. Monitorable indicators of the ensuing investment loan could include employment levels, number of business start-ups, and enforcement of business-related rules and legislation.
5. Previous TA(s) on the Same Theme, in the sector, country, region (last five years) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • name, amount, status • assessment of outcome 	The proposed project will be ADB's third assistance to the Ministry of Economic Development. It will follow on the efforts of the two previous TAs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ADTA for Poverty Reduction Strategy Support • ADTA for Capacity Building for Ministry of Economic Development
6. Executing, Implementing, Counterpart Agencies (for RETAs as applicable)	Ministry of Economic Development
7. Cost and Financing Plan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) ADB Financing (JSF, TASF) (ii) Sources other than JSF/TASF 	Total cost = \$750,000 ADB Financing = \$600,000
8. Country, RETA IPF for relevant year	\$2.5 million

ADB = Asian Development Bank, ADTA = advisory technical assistance, CSP = country strategy program, JSF = Japan Special Fund, PPTA = project/program preparatory technical assistance, RETA = regional technical assistance, SME = small and medium enterprise, TA = technical assistance, TASF = Technical Assistance Special Fund.