

**Revisiting Growth
and Poverty Reduction
in Indonesia: What Do
Subnational Data Show?**

Arsenio M. Balisacan
Ernesto M. Pernia
Abuzar Asra

October 2002

ERD Working Paper No. 25

REVISITING **G**ROWTH AND **P**OVERTY REDUCTION IN INDONESIA: WHAT DO **S**UBNATIONAL DATA **S**HOW?

Arsenio M. Balisacan
Ernesto M. Pernia
Abuzar Asra

October 2002

Arsenio M. Balisacan is Professor of Economics at the University of the Philippines, while Ernesto M. Pernia is Lead Economist and Abuzar Asra is Senior Statistician at the Economics and Research Department of the Asian Development Bank. The authors gratefully acknowledge the valuable assistance on the data provided by the P.T. Insan Hitawasana Sejahtera, in particular Swastika Andi Dwi Nugroho and Lisa Kulp for advice. Gemma Estrada provided very able research assistance. The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the institutions they represent.

Asian Development Bank
P.O. Box 789
0980 Manila
Philippines

©2002 by Asian Development Bank
October 2002
ISSN 1655-5252

The views expressed in this paper
are those of the author(s) and do not
necessarily reflect the views or policies
of the Asian Development Bank.

Foreword

The ERD Working Paper Series is a forum for ongoing and recently completed research and policy studies undertaken in the Asian Development Bank or on its behalf. The Series is a quick-disseminating, informal publication meant to stimulate discussion and elicit feedback. Papers published under this Series could subsequently be revised for publication as articles in professional journals or chapters in books.

Contents

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Data and Measurement Issues	4
III.	Subnational Differences in Average Welfare	8
IV.	Other Determinants of Poverty Reduction	14
V.	Differential Effects across Quintiles	18
VI.	Conclusion	20
	References	23

Abstract

Indonesia has an impressive record of economic growth and poverty reduction over the past two decades. The growth-poverty nexus appears strong at the aggregate level. Newly constructed panel data on the country's 285 districts (*kotamadyas / kabupatens*), however, reveal huge differences in poverty changes, subnational economic growth, and local attributes. Results of econometric analysis show that besides growth, other factors directly influence the welfare of the poor, apart from their impact on growth itself. Among the critical ones are infrastructure, human capital, agricultural price incentives, and access to technology. Thus, while fostering economic growth is evidently crucial, a more complete poverty reduction strategy should take into account these relevant factors. In the context of decentralization, subnational analysis can be an instructive approach to examining local governance in relation to growth and poverty reduction.

I. INTRODUCTION

By international standards, Indonesia has done remarkably well in both economic growth and poverty reduction. For two decades prior to the Asian financial crisis in the late 1990s, economic growth averaged 7 percent per annum. This was the norm for East Asia and was substantially higher than the average growth rate of 3.7 percent for all developing countries. At the same time, Indonesia's poverty incidence fell from 28 percent in the mid-1980s to about 8 percent in the mid-1990s, compared with the poverty reduction of from 29 to 27 percent for all developing countries (excluding People's Republic of China [PRC]).¹ Indonesia's record also compares well with those of the PRC and Thailand whose economies grew even faster.

The Asian financial crisis, exacerbated by domestic political turbulence, hit hard the Indonesian economy, causing GDP per capita to contract in 1998 by 13 percent, effectively to what it was in 1994. Poverty rose sharply, as indicated by both official and independent estimates (e.g., ADB 2000, Skoufias 2000, Suryahadi et al. 2000). Official figures suggest that the proportion of people deemed poor rose from 17.7 percent in 1996 to 24.2 percent in 1998. But just as the economic contraction caused a sharp increase in poverty rate, the rebound in 1999 and 2000, albeit modest, led to a drop again in poverty rate to nearly its precrisis level. Based on independent estimates (Suryahadi et al. 2000), poverty incidence in late 1999 was down to 10 percent, a level comparable to what it was in early 1996, after shooting up to 16 percent in mid-1998. These estimates suggest that poverty in Indonesia responds quite strongly and relatively quickly to large shocks.

While the Asian crisis adversely affected the welfare of the Indonesian people, the country's achievements in economic and human development during the past quarter-century remain impressive, especially seen against the performances of South Asia and other low and middle-income countries (Table 1). Indonesia's economic and social gains from the high-growth period could not so easily be wiped out by the crisis.

Indonesia's overall growth and poverty reduction experience appears to be consistent with the findings of studies using cross-country regressions (e.g., Dollar and Kraay 2001). Dollar and Kraay show that the incomes of the poor move one-for-one with overall average incomes, suggesting that poverty reduction requires nothing much more than promoting rapid economic growth.

¹ According to World Bank's internationally comparable estimates based on a poverty line of approximately US\$1 a day (in 1993 PPP). See Chen and Ravallion (2001).

Table 1. Selected Social Indicators: Indonesia versus Other Developing Countries

Indicator	Beginning Period		Ending Period	
	<u>1970</u>		<u>2000</u>	
Average Per Capita GDP (in 1999 PPP \$) ^a				
Indonesia	940		2,882	
East Asia and Pacific	875		4,413	
South Asia	1,051		2,216	
	<u>1980</u>		<u>1999</u>	
Infant Mortality (per 1,000 live births)				
Indonesia	90		42	
East Asia & Pacific	55		35	
South Asia	119		74	
Low & Middle Income Countries	86		59	
Life Expectancy at Birth (years)				
Indonesia	55		66	
East Asia and Pacific	65		69	
South Asia	54		63	
Low & Middle Income Countries	60		64	
Primary Gross Enrolment Ratio (percent) ^b				
Indonesia	107		113	
East Asia and Pacific	111		119	
South Asia	77		100	
Low & Middle Income Countries	96		107	
Secondary Gross Enrolment Ratio (percent) ^b				
Indonesia	29		56	
East Asia and Pacific	44		69	
South Asia	27		49	
Low and Middle Income Countries	42		59	
Adult Illiteracy (percent of people aged 15 and above)	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Indonesia	13	27	9	19
East Asia and Pacific	13	29	8	22
South Asia	41	66	34	58
Low and Middle Income Countries	22	39	18	32

Notes:

^a Figures are three-year averages, centered on the year shown.^b The most recent data pertain to 1997, instead of 1999.

Sources: World Bank (2001) and IMF (2001).

There is, however, much more to the growth-poverty nexus than the national averages would imply. Growth and poverty reduction vary enormously across the island groups, provinces, and districts of Indonesia (Hill 1996, 2002; Tadjoeeddin et al. 2001; ADB 2001; Booth 2000; Asra 2000).² Evidence, though limited, shows that this variance is widening, not converging, and is becoming a politically sensitive issue, given its ethnic dimensions (Hill 2002). Recent history is replete with examples showing that social or political tensions arising from economic disparities tend to dampen the return to high growth and, hence, to winning the war against poverty.

An appropriate approach to socioeconomic disparities requires a clear understanding of policy and institutional factors that account for differences in the evolution of growth and poverty in the various districts of Indonesia. To what extent can differences in growth explain the observed differences in poverty reduction across provinces and districts? How important are government policies and programs, as well as geographic attributes and local institutions, in directly influencing poverty? What lessons can be learned from recent experience for promoting poverty reduction in the poorest areas?

Indonesia—as a case study for addressing the above questions—offers advantages that are not found in many developing countries. For one, as already noted, the country is very diverse, both in geographic and institutional attributes and in economic performance. It is this diversity that permits a critical assessment of the influence of economywide policies and “initial” conditions, including institutions and geographic attributes, on poverty. For another, comparable cross-sectional and time-series data on subnational units (provinces and districts) are available. The periodic conduct of comparable household surveys in the 1990s—a period characterized by marked changes in economic performance and policy environment—has created opportunities for constructing a panel of subnational units, especially at the district level. This facilitates a sufficiently disaggregative analysis and understanding of the determinants of growth and poverty reduction.

This paper examines the key determinants of poverty reduction in Indonesia during the 1990s. The next section describes data and measurement issues. The paper then uses consistently assembled district-level data to analyze the basic growth-poverty relationship. Further, it probes the contribution of certain physical attributes, political economy, and time-varying economic factors to the observed variation in district-level economic performance vis-à-vis changes in poverty. A main interest here is to assess the extent to which certain policy measures can enhance or diminish the impact of growth on the living standards of the poor. The paper concludes with implications for the design of pro-poor growth policies and institutions in Indonesia.

² These variations are also evident in other developing countries, both large and small (see, e.g., Fan et al. 2000 for the PRC; Ravallion and Datt 2001 for India; Balisacan and Pernia 2002 for the Philippines; and Deolalikar 2002 for Thailand).

II. DATA AND MEASUREMENT ISSUES

The National Socioeconomic Survey (*Survei Sosial Ekonomi Nasional* or SUSENAS) is the main source of data for poverty and inequality comparisons. The survey comes in two sets: the so-called *consumption module* and *core data* (hereafter referred to as SUSENAS module and SUSENAS core, respectively). The SUSENAS module provides detailed consumption data, is undertaken every three years, and allows disaggregation only up to the provincial level. For the 1990s, such data are available for 1993, 1996, and 1999. The SUSENAS core, on the other hand, covers not only consumption but also other socioeconomic indicators, though the specific indicators vary from year to year. Consumption data in the SUSENAS core are, however, not as detailed as those in the SUSENAS module. Indeed, consumption figures from the former are about 11 percent lower, on the average (for 1993-1999), than those from the latter. The advantage is that the data allow disaggregation up to the district level (urban district, *kotamadya*; rural district, *kabupaten*). Official government poverty figures calculated by the Central Bureau of Statistics (Biro Pusat Statistik, BPS) are based on the SUSENAS module.³

We have chosen to use the SUSENAS core since it yields a far greater number of observations for each survey year (285 districts vs. 26 provinces).⁴ However, to obtain the same aggregate poverty profile as that given by the SUSENAS module, we have adjusted the consumption data from the SUSENAS core such that the expenditure means by quintile correspond to those obtained from the SUSENAS module.

Apart from consumption, the SUSENAS provides data for an equally popular broad measure of household welfare, current household income. The survey, however, has a much less extensive treatment of household income than it has of current consumption, which, for our purposes, is fortuitous. On both conceptual and practical grounds, consumption is preferable to income as a broad measure of household welfare. Standard arguments in microeconomic theory suggest that welfare level is typically determined by “life-cycle” or “permanent” income, and current consumption is a good approximation of such income. Indeed, measured consumption is invariably less variable than measured income (Deaton 2001); then, too, accurate information is less difficult to obtain for consumption than it is for income (Deaton 1997, Ravallion 2001, Srinivasan 2001).


The National Income Accounts (NIA) is also a distinct source of data on the country’s average welfare. The level of per capita GDP is widely used for this purpose. However, closer to the concept of average welfare, as measured by households’ command over resources, is the level of personal consumption expenditure (PCE) per capita. In general, PCE, as measured in NIA, and household consumption expenditures (HCE), as measured in SUSENAS, do not necessarily agree either as to their levels or their growth rates, largely because of differences in definitions, methods, and

³ Although SUSENAS extends back to the 1960s, provincial-level data are strictly comparable only for the surveys beginning 1993 when the BPS implemented the heavily revised core questionnaire and expanded the core sample size from about 65,000 households prior to 1993 to around 200,000 households since then.

⁴ The classification of districts pertains to that prevailing in 1993. The data exclude East Timor.

coverage.⁵ PCE (which in the NIA is usually estimated as a residual) may, for example, exceed HCE simply because spending by the nonprofit sector (NGOs, religious groups, political parties) is often lumped with that by the household sector. At any rate, in the Indonesian case, average per capita levels of PCE and HCE move broadly in the same direction, at least for the 1990s (Figure 1).

Figure 1. **Average Per Capita Expenditure:
National Income Accounts versus SUSENAS**
(In '000 rupiah at current prices)



The chosen indicator of household welfare, i.e., per capita expenditure, has to be adjusted for spatial cost-of-living (SCOL) differences since prices in any given year vary substantially across provinces and districts of the country. Previous poverty and income inequality studies on Indonesia have been largely unsuccessful in making the necessary adjustments to either household incomes or expenditures, owing mainly to the absence of appropriately constructed SCOL indices. In theory, the SCOL index is simply the ratio of the cost of attaining a reference level of utility in, say, province k to the cost of attaining the same in the reference province r . To the extent that spatial poverty lines are comparable in utility terms (i.e., they imply the same standard of living), then the ratio of the poverty line for province k to that for the reference province r is an appropriate SCOL index. For our purposes, we have used the 1999 official poverty lines for urban areas to approximate SCOL differences for the 26 provinces (excluding East Timor). There are at least two reasons for preferring the urban poverty lines to the rural lines or some combination of urban and rural lines. Firstly, periodic consumer price surveys intended for consumer price index (CPI) construction cover only urban areas. Secondly, it appears that rural poverty lines are not comparable with urban lines in terms of the living standards they imply since the construction of the two sets of lines is based on the respective consumption patterns for urban and rural areas. Thus, focusing

⁵ Ravallion (2001) finds that, for developing and transition countries, the problem of comparability between survey and NIA data is more serious for income than for expenditure measures.

on urban lines and Jakarta as the reference province (Jakarta=100), we find large interprovincial differences in cost of living, ranging from 74 percent in South Sulawesi to 116 percent in Bengkulu (see Annex Table 1).

Comparison of household welfare over time also requires that the chosen welfare indicator, consumption expenditure, has to be adjusted for nominal price movements during the 1990s. A straightforward way to achieve this is to deflate the consumption expenditures using SCOL indices adjusted for province-specific CPI changes. For practical purposes, this would be sufficient if price movements were uniform across consumer goods during the period of interest. However, in reality the price movements vary across consumption items, especially during the economic crisis of the late 1990s.

We have constructed group-specific CPI to take account of the differential price regimes faced by the various population groups. The construction involves combining the information on province-specific price index with expenditure shares (weights) of quintile groups, based on the 1996 SUSENAS core, for the following commodity groups: food, prepared food and beverage, housing, clothing, health, education and recreation, and transport and communication. Table 2 summarizes the average quintile-specific price indices for 1993-1999.


As a consequence of the sharp rupiah depreciation starting in July 1997, overall price inflation during 1996-1999 (120 percent) was much higher than in 1993-1996 (27 percent). In addition, while price changes between 1993 and 1996 (precrisis period) did not vary much across quintiles, they did so between 1996 and 1999 (crisis period). During the latter period, consumer price inflation was 128 percent for the bottom quintile, while it was only 109 percent for the top quintile. The very high inflation rate for the poor during the crisis period was caused by the marked increases in the prices of food, particularly rice, which accounts for a dominant share of the poor's consumption basket (Sigit and Surbakti 1999).⁶

Table 2. **CPI by Expenditure Quintile**

	1993	1996	1999	Percent Change	
				1993-96	1996-99
National average	100.0	127.3	281.3	27.3	120.90
Quintile					
First (poorest)	100.0	128.2	292.2	28.2	128.0
Second	100.0	127.9	288.4	27.9	125.6
Third	100.0	127.6	284.6	27.6	123.1
Fourth	100.0	127.2	279.1	27.2	119.5
Fifth (richest)	100.0	126.1	264.0	26.1	109.4

⁶ A notable feature of the economic crisis in the late 1990s was that food prices rose much more sharply than nonfood prices. Food CPI rose by about 160 percent between 1996 and 1999, while nonfood CPI increased by only 76 percent during the same period.

Figure 2. **Distribution of Living Standards**



The resulting national distributions of per capita consumption expenditures for the three SUSENAS years are shown in Figure 2. Note that the expenditures are in real terms (at 1999 prices) and have been adjusted for provincial cost-of-living differences. Thus, with the poverty line (in real terms) known, it is straightforward to obtain the poverty incidence from Figure 2 for the various years. For example, if the national-average (population-weighted) official poverty line of about Rp904,400 per person is used, the resulting poverty incidence would be 26 percent for 1993, 13 percent for 1996, and 16 percent for 1999.⁷

As shown by Foster and Shorrocks (1988), two nonintersecting cumulative distribution curves also suggest that the direction of poverty change is unambiguous even for all other plausible poverty indices that satisfy certain appealing properties of a desirable poverty measure. This is the case for 1993 and 1996, as well as for 1996 and 1999. Thus, poverty is unambiguously higher in 1999 than in 1996, but still much lower than in 1993, for virtually all poverty norms and standard poverty measures that have been suggested in the literature.

To some extent, the pattern of poverty change shown above is qualitatively consistent with the observations reported in previous studies. Using their “consistent” estimates, Suryahadi et al. (2000) showed that poverty increased by 6.5 percentage points between 1996 and 1999, while the Asian Development Bank’s estimate (2000) of the change, based on official poverty lines, was roughly 6 percentage points. Our estimate of the increase in poverty rate from 1996 to 1999 is

⁷ If no allowance was made for differences in provincial cost-of-living differences, i.e., if the only adjustment made on the SUSENAS expenditure data for the three survey years was on price changes over time, the estimate of poverty incidence would have been higher by 4.3 percentage points for 1993, 3.3 percentage points for 1996, and 3.4 percentage points for 1999.

approximately 3 percentage points. Note again, however, that our estimate takes account of substantial interprovincial cost-of-living differences.

A caveat on the welfare distribution estimates for 1996 and 1999 is in order. The difference between the two years is strictly not an estimate of the extent of change *during* the crisis. The crisis did not begin in February 1996 and end in February 1999, which were the months covered by the SUSENAS data used in this paper. Economic growth continued to be positive and surpassed population growth (while inflation remained moderate) for nearly a year and a half after the early 1996 survey. This could have caused further decline in poverty, which was the norm in the 1980s and the first half of the 1990s. Thus, the increase in poverty during the crisis was likely higher than the 3 percentage points as reflected in Figure 2.

III. SUBNATIONAL DIFFERENCES IN AVERAGE WELFARE

Available data show enormous differences in natural endowment, agrarian structure, access to support services, and institutions, as well as effects of economywide pricing policies, across the country's 285 districts. Figure 3 highlights these differences for a few indicators, namely, schooling, farm characteristics, and access to information, technology and finance. The indicators (defined below in Section IV) pertain to district-level averages for the 1990s. In general, the values of these indicators are scattered widely around their overall (national) means. Moreover, even for districts with similar levels of real per capita income (expenditure), the dispersion is quite substantial.


By contrast, as can be seen in Figure 4, district-level data covering the three survey years in the 1990s (a total of 855 observations) show a strong positive correlation between district-level average expenditure and average welfare of the poor (the bottom 20 percent of the population based on ranking by per capita expenditure).⁸ The relationship is summarized by the fitted line, obtained by ordinary least squares (OLS) regression of the mean welfare of the poor on the overall mean expenditure.⁹ Note that both means are expressed in logarithms, hence, the slope of the fitted line can be interpreted as the elasticity of the average income of the poor with respect to the overall average income, henceforth referred to as the growth elasticity of poverty. This growth elasticity is close to 0.8, indicating that a 10 percent increase in the district-level income raises the living standards of the poor by 8 percent.¹⁰ At first glance, this appears to be remarkably close

⁸ Alternatively, as in common practice, poverty can be defined in terms of an explicit poverty line, below which a person is deemed poor. However, for our purposes, this practice is not particularly appealing, since it makes the estimate of poverty response sensitive to assumption about the poverty line.

⁹ From hereon, for expositional purposes, we use the term "mean per capita income" or simply "per capita income" for "mean per capita expenditure" for consistency throughout, unless otherwise specified. We also use the expression "mean welfare of the poor" or simply "welfare of the poor" or "living standards of the poor" for mean income or expenditure of the poor.

¹⁰ The estimated elasticity for each year—0.773 for 1993, 0.768 for 1996, and 0.775 for 1999—indicates that the overall estimate of 0.8 is robust.

Figure 3. District-level Differences for Selected Indicators



Notes:

School index: District average for distance of villages to junior high school and distance to senior high school.

Information index: District average for proportion of villages with public phone, proportion of villages with TV, and proportion of villages with postal office.


Electricity index: Proportion of villages with access to state-run electricity.

Road index: Proportion of villages with paved roads.

Source: Village Potential Statistics (PODES) for 1993, 1996, and 1999, BPS Indonesia.

continued.

Figure 3. (cont'd.)



Notes:

Finance index: District average for proportion of villages with banks and proportion of villages with coops.

Irrigation: Ratio of total irrigated area to the total area comprising wetlands, garden drylands, shifting cultivation lands, and grasslands.


Proportion of agricultural worker households: Ratio of agricultural laborer households to total agricultural households.

Source: PODES 1993, 1996, and 1999, BPS Indonesia.

to those reported in studies based on cross-country national averages. Dollar and Kraay (2001), for example, obtained an elasticity of around unity. Similarly, in reexamining cross-country evidence on poverty reduction from the late 1980s to the late 1990s, Bhalla (2001) estimated a growth elasticity of 0.8.

Nevertheless, the growth-poverty relationship is not as straightforward as Figure 4 might suggest. Simply regressing the per capita income of the poor on overall per capita income likely yields an inconsistent estimate of the growth elasticity of poverty. Measurement errors in per capita income (which is also used to construct our measure of the average income of the poor) bias the estimate of this elasticity. Moreover, there is the possibility that the incomes of the poor and overall incomes are jointly determined. Recent theory and evidence show a link running from inequality (hence, incomes of the poor) to subsequent overall income growth. One strand of the literature suggests that income (or asset) inequality inhibits subsequent overall income growth (Alesina 1998, Deininger and Squire 1998), while another strand says the reverse (Forbes 2000, Li and Zou 1998). Further, inconsistency of the parameter estimates of the growth-poverty relationship in Figure 4 arises from the omission of variables that have direct impact on the welfare of the poor and are correlated with overall average income, as shown in Figure 3. In addition, provincial indicators of human capital, infrastructure, and local institutions (e.g., “social capital”) also appear to correlate strongly with provincial mean incomes (Booth 2000, Kwon 2000, Garcia 1998).


Figure 4. **Welfare of the Poor versus District Average Expenditure**



We attempt to address the above problems by examining the robustness of the growth elasticity estimates and exploring other determinants of district-level performance in poverty reduction. Figure 5 summarizes our empirical approach.

To deal with the measurement error, we could use average income to instrument for overall average expenditure. However, the income variable is not available at the district level. The alternative instrument is district-level expenditure growth, which also takes care of the endogeneity issue.¹¹ In the case of the omitted-variables bias problem, we exploit the longitudinal nature of the district-level data and employ panel estimation techniques to control for differences in time-invariant, unobservable province-specific characteristics. Specifically, we use two standard panel estimation models—the fixed-effects model and the random-effects model—suited for addressing unobserved fixed-effects problems, but doing so in such a way that the endogeneity of overall mean income is observed.¹² Table 3 summarizes the results of the estimation. For comparison, we also show the OLS regression estimates implied by the fitted line in Figure 4, as well as the instrumental variable (IV) regression estimates.

Figure 5. **Empirical Framework**



Note: Lagged endogenous variables (per capita expenditure and overall average per capita income) are not shown.

¹¹ The assumption is that the measurement error in overall mean expenditure is invariant to survey years.

¹² The first model, the fixed-effects model, utilizes differences within each district across time. The technique is equivalent to regressing the average income of the poor on a set of intercept dummy variables representing the districts in the data, as well as on overall mean incomes. The second model, the random-effects model, is more efficient since it utilizes not only information across individual districts but also across periods. Its main drawback, however, is that it is consistent only if the district-specific effects are uncorrelated with the other explanatory variables.

Table 3. Basic Specifications: Elasticity of the Income of the Poor to Overall Income

	OLS	IV	2SLS Fixed-Effects	2SLS Random-Effects
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Log of mean expenditure	0.774 (39.74)	0.764 (8.65)	0.712 (16.66)	0.714 (20.95)
Constant	2.583 (9.30)	2.729 (2.16)	3.474 (5.68)	3.442 (7.05)
95 percent confidence interval for growth elasticity	0.73-0.81	0.59-0.94	0.63-0.79	0.65-0.78
F-test that all district dummy coefficients are zero		5.58		

Note: Dependent variable is logarithm of the mean expenditure for the bottom 20 percent of the population. Except for OLS, all estimations instrument for mean expenditure using lagged mean expenditure growth. Figures in parentheses are t-ratios. Data refer to the panel of 285 districts and 3 years covering the 1990s.

The panel estimation results indicate that, indeed, the unobserved district-specific effects are significant, leading to a reduction in the earlier OLS estimate of the growth elasticity of poverty at nearly 0.8 to about 0.7. This new elasticity estimate, including the values at 95 percent-confidence interval, is roughly the same from both panel estimation techniques. Hence, we employ the panel estimation technique, in particular the fixed-effects model that also allows for the endogeneity of the overall income variable. The assumption of the random-effects model that the unobserved district-level effects and the explanatory variables are uncorrelated is not supported by the data. This correlation problem applies as well to the IV estimation technique.

To sum up, our growth elasticity of poverty estimate is not nearly the one-for-one correspondence between increase in the welfare of the poor and growth in overall income, as shown in studies employing cross-country regressions. However, the estimate for Indonesia is higher than that for the Philippines, for which a similar study finds this elasticity to be about 0.5 (Balisacan and Pernia 2002). The comparison is instructive since the two countries are at roughly similar stages of economic development. Thus, while other factors appear to have direct effects on the welfare of the poor, in the Indonesian case, changes in the poor's welfare in response to overall economic growth seem fairly large. This could be explained by the relatively more labor-intensive and agriculture-based economic growth in Indonesia. Over the past two decades, growth of the agricultural sector was significantly faster in Indonesia than in the Philippines (3.7 percent in the 1980s and 2.2 percent in the 1990s for Indonesia vs. 1.9 percent and 1.8 percent for the Philippines).

IV. OTHER DETERMINANTS OF POVERTY REDUCTION

We now attempt to assess the impact of certain economic and social factors on poverty reduction in the various districts. As in the above, the variable to be explained refers to the wide differences in the per capita incomes of the poor across the country's 285 districts during the 1990s. Guiding our specifications are parsimony, development theory, and data availability. The explanatory variables include overall per capita income, relative price incentives, human capital, and access to infrastructure, technology, and finance.

The proxy for the human capital variable is the district-level average years of schooling of household heads, which is expected to directly influence the welfare of the poor, apart from its effect on district-level income growth. Numerous studies suggest that the higher the level of educational attainment, the higher is a person's expected earnings over a lifetime (Krueger and Lindhal 2001). For urban Java, the private rate of return on education is about 17 percent—higher than those found for most other countries (Byron and Takahashi 1989, as cited by Lanjouw et al. 2001). The social rate of return is also quite high, roughly 14 percent for junior secondary school and 11 percent for senior secondary school (McMahon and Boediono 1992).

Two alternative proxies for human capital are adult literacy and access to basic schooling. The first is defined as the proportion of the adult population who can read and write in Latin script. The second variable is defined as the average distance of villages to secondary (junior and senior high) schools. As is well known, since the late 1960s, Indonesia has witnessed an enormous expansion of educational opportunities at all levels. Duflo (2001) finds that each primary school constructed per 1,000 children led to an average increase of 0.12 to 0.19 years of education, as well as 1.5 to 2.7 percent increase in wages. Household data suggest, however, that while universal primary enrollment was reached as early as around 1986, secondary enrollment in the 1990s still varied quite enormously across provinces (Lanjouw et al. 2001; see also Figure 4). The large variation was true not only between islands, or between Java and the rest of the country, but also within major islands. For example, while West Kalimantan did badly in terms of education and poverty outcomes, the situation was far less worrisome in Central Kalimantan.

Roads represent access to markets, off-farm employment, and social services. This variable, defined as the proportion of villages with access to paved roads, may be seen as an indicator of spatial connectivity or, conversely, spatial isolation implying geographic "poverty traps."¹³

The presence of natural wealth (oil, gas, and minerals) is expected to influence growth and poverty reduction. This is defined in terms of the relative importance of oil, natural gas, and minerals in the local economy. The net effect of this variable on the welfare of the poor in resource-rich areas is, however, not *a priori* obvious.

¹³ In a somewhat related vein, Gallup et al. (1998) find that the geographic location of a country tends to influence the speed of its economic growth, noting in particular that landlocked countries tend to grow slower than those with direct access to sea transport. An alternative variable is the distance to the subdistrict or district administrative offices; however, it did not turn out to be significant.

The price incentives variable is given by the local terms-of-trade, defined as the ratio of prices of agricultural to nonagricultural products. Since poverty is concentrated in agriculture in developing countries (Pernia and Quibria 1999), including Indonesia (Asra 2000), this variable is expected to be positively related to the incomes of the poor.

Electricity is a proxy for access to technology, or simply the ability to use modern equipment. It is defined as the proportion of villages with state-run electricity. The communication-information variable also serves as indicator of access to technology. It is given here by a composite index representing the proportion of villages with access to all, or any combination, of the following: (i) public telephone; (ii) public television; (iii) post office; and (iv) news agent. We further combine the electricity and communication-information variables into a single composite index referred to simply as technology. This variable is also expected to positively influence the welfare of the poor, apart from its positive impact on overall growth.

Access to credit is critical to managing household consumption, particularly insofar as the poor are concerned, because it affords them the means to smooth their incomes in the event of unfavorable shocks. It is likewise key to securing working capital, maintaining assets, and expanding businesses. This variable is denoted by the proportion of villages in the district with either banks or credit cooperatives, or both.

Table 4 summarizes the results of the econometric estimation, including the results of the first-stage fixed-effects regression (FSFE), which indicate the response of overall growth to the exogenous variables. Annex Table 2 provides the descriptive statistics on the variables.

After controlling for the influence of other factors (including unobserved district-specific fixed effects), the growth of overall income appears to exert significant influence on the incomes of the poor. Indeed, the estimate of the growth elasticity is quite robust, consistently around 0.7 in the various specifications. Surprisingly, this estimate is close to that obtained in basic specifications in which district-specific effects are controlled for (regressions 3 and 4 in Table 3).

Evidence on the direct effect of schooling is rather mixed. The mean years of schooling is insignificant (regression 1), although it is significant if the variable is defined for the poor only (regression 1a). It is possible that schooling years may not adequately reflect differences in human capital across the income spectrum. However, for the poor, schooling years may correspond well to achieved human capital since school quality may be less heterogeneous within the group.

Adult literacy also appears not to have a direct impact on the welfare of the poor (regression 2). However, it exerts a significant influence on overall growth, suggesting that improvement in human capital reduces poverty principally via the growth process. In other words, investment in human capital is good for growth and, indirectly, poverty reduction.

Table 4. **Determinants of the Welfare of the Poor (Bottom 20 percent)**

Explanatory Variable	(1)	FSFE	(2)	FSFE	(3)	FSFE	(1a)	FSFE
Overall mean income (Y)	0.7244 (13.12)		0.7144 (13.42)		0.7149 (13.42)		0.7228 (13.76)	
Schooling								
Years of schooling	-0.0392 (-0.40)	0.0447 (0.60)					0.0166 (1.88)	-0.0034 (-0.51)
Adult literacy			0.1290 (0.74)	0.3107 (2.32)				
Distance to schools					-0.0173 (-1.19)	0.0166 (1.50)		
Terms of trade	0.0006 (1.77)	0.0014 (4.83)	0.0005 (1.35)	0.0013 (4.57)	0.0006 (1.64)	0.0014 (5.04)	0.0006 (1.63)	0.0014 (4.94)
Technology	0.2153 (1.84)	0.0287 (0.33)	0.2063 (1.77)	0.0436 (0.50)	0.2046 (1.76)	0.0402 (0.46)	0.2266 (1.97)	0.0319 (0.35)
Finance	0.0351 (0.48)	-0.0058 (-0.10)	0.0428 (0.58)	-0.0124 (-0.22)	0.0335 (0.45)	-0.0044 (-0.08)		
Roads	-0.0143 (-0.52)	0.0499 (2.34)	-0.165 (-0.56)	0.0320 (1.41)	-0.0116 (-0.42)	0.0479 (2.26)	-0.0176 (-0.79)	0.0516 (2.53)
Oil & gas	-0.1927 (-0.90)	0.3843 (2.35)	-0.2948 (-1.36)	0.3641 (2.21)	-0.2284 (-1.09)	0.4253 (2.64)	-0.2691 (-1.28)	0.4155 (2.56)
Lagged growth of Y		0.4566 (24.18)		0.4678 (24.8)		0.4611 (24.76)		0.4583 (24.95)
Intercept	3.2778 (4.23)	13.9773 (101.54)	3.2659 4.14	13.8259 (131.32)	3.2958 4.27	14.1001 (277.83)	3.1629 (4.15)	14.0700 (280.79)
R-squared	0.741		0.739		0.732		0.733	
F-ratio		145.11		145.23		146.37		169.81
Wald X^2 (X1000)	24141		23759		24267		24507	
Prob > X^2	0		0		0		0	
F-test that all fixed effects are zero	4.49		4.69		4.67		3.46	
No. of observations	570		558		570		570	

Note: Estimation is by 2SLS fixed-effects regression in which the dependent variable is the logarithm of mean per capita expenditure of the poorest 20 percent. FSFE is first-stage fixed-effects regression in which the dependent variable is the logarithm of overall mean per capita expenditure. Figures in parentheses are z-ratios for the 2SLS fixed-effects regression and t-ratios for FSFE.

Price incentives matter to poverty reduction, as indicated by the positive and significant coefficient of the terms-of-trade variable. This means that changes in the price of agriculture relative to the price prevailing in other sectors of the local economy have an impact on the welfare of the poor, both directly by affecting income redistribution and indirectly through its positive effect on overall growth.¹⁴ It is worth noting that the country's price and trade policy regimes in the 1980s and 1990s tended to penalize agriculture relative to manufacturing. Although significant trade reforms took effect in the 1990s and some protection was afforded the primary sector directly, the protection regime as a whole continued to tax agriculture, though to a lesser extent (Garcia 2000). This would have limited the income gains from trade reforms in provinces dependent on agriculture. Evidently, since agriculture is more tradable than either industry or services, and since agriculture is more labor-intensive than industry, reducing trade and price distortions promotes both poverty reduction and growth objectives.¹⁵

The access-to-technology variable is positive and significant, supporting the expectation that it matters to the incomes of the poor. Recall that this refers to the availability of electricity and publicly provided information channels at the village level. Villagers in areas where these services are absent may simply not have an important avenue for raising the productivity of their assets (in agriculture, mainly land and labor). The coefficient estimates, which average around 0.2, suggest that an improvement in access to these services by 10 percent raises the poor's incomes by roughly 2 percent, all other things being equal.

Surprisingly, the finance variable is insignificant, which runs counter to the common claim that access to formal financial intermediaries, particularly in agriculture, is critical for poor people. This variable, defined as the proportion of villages with banks or cooperatives, may be a poor proxy for access to credit.¹⁶ The specific location and scale of these financial intermediaries vis-à-vis the village population may give a better indicator, but such variable is not available. Moreover, the proxy finance variable correlates strongly with the technology variable. Nevertheless, deleting the finance variable in the estimating model does not significantly change the parameter estimates on the remaining variables.

The roads variable does not appear to be significant, but it has a strong impact on overall growth. This is consistent with the observation (e.g., Hill 1996) that the public provision of roads has not been designed as a vehicle for achieving intradistrict (or province) redistribution but rather as a part of a development strategy for spurring economic growth, especially in the countryside.

¹⁴ As noted earlier, income poverty in Indonesia is largely a rural phenomenon. Of the rural poor, the large majority are dependent on agriculture for employment and income. As such, an improvement in the terms-of-trade in provinces where agriculture is a dominant component of the local economy tends to raise the welfare levels of the poor.

¹⁵ Since labor in Indonesia is quite mobile (Manning 1997), even farmers in resource-poor areas should benefit from trade and price reforms.

¹⁶ The proportion of villages with banks or coops may not be a good indicator of access to finance since two districts with the same proportion of villages with banks or coops could have different levels of accessibility (e.g., the number of banks or coops may differ between them).

The variable representing natural wealth is also not significant, although it does influence overall growth significantly. This supports the observation of Tadjoeiddin et al. (2001) that there is no strong correlation between natural resource endowment and community welfare, defined in terms of human development indicators.¹⁷ However, revenues generated from natural resources have been an important means for financing development projects, especially those aimed at keeping interregional inequality low. Indeed, the government's New Order equalization policy—which was achieved mainly through fiscal policy instruments, such as central government transfer, interregional transfer, and other initiatives within the *Inpres* scheme for provincial governments—was quite effective in spurring growth outside the Java-Bali enclave, especially in the Outer Island provinces.

V. DIFFERENTIAL EFFECTS ACROSS QUINTILES

Do the welfare effects of the variables vary across income groups? If the upper ranges of the income distribution tend to benefit more than proportionately from overall economic growth (as implied by the less-than-unity estimate of growth elasticity in Tables 3 and 4), what policies or institutional arrangements could enhance the benefits of growth for the poor?

We address these issues by estimating the model for each of the other four income quintiles. In particular, we focus on the variant of the model in which the finance variable is dropped and the relevant schooling variable pertains to the mean years of schooling for the relevant quintile.¹⁸ Recall that in this variant we have used the mean schooling years of the poor (first quintile), rather than the overall (all-quintile) mean schooling years, as a regressor. This education variable yielded a positive and significant impact on the welfare of the poor. The estimation results for each quintile are summarized in Table 5. For ready comparison, the results for the first quintile reported in Table 4 (column 1a) is reproduced as the first column of Table 5.

In general, the results for the other quintiles resemble closely those for the first quintile. Apart from district mean income, average schooling in each income group directly and positively influences welfare of that group, as expected. Natural resource endowment (oil and gas), infrastructure (roads), and terms-of-trade exert their influence on welfare via their positive impact on overall income growth.

Note, however, that the growth elasticity of welfare tends to increase monotonically with income quintile, suggesting that the benefits of growth accrue more than proportionately to the higher income groups. Similar results have been found for the Philippines, except that the growth elasticities for the first two quintiles (bottom 40 percent of the population) are significantly higher for Indonesia.

¹⁷ Indicators for the “19 enclave districts” such as consumption, health, and HDI are more or less similar to those of the national average, regardless of these districts’ high level of per capita output. The 19 enclave districts include seven districts located in the four natural resource-rich provinces of Aceh, Riau, East Kalimantan, and Papua.

¹⁸ Using any of the other model variants reported in Table 4 will not substantially change the results in terms of patterns of impact across quintiles.

Table 5. Determinants of Average Welfare, by Quintile
[Q1=Poorest; Q5=Richest]

Explanatory Variable	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
Overall mean income (Y)	0.7228 ***	0.7729 ***	0.8324 ***	0.9191 ***	1.1900 ***
Years of schooling	0.0166 ** [-0.0034]	0.0215 *** [0.0026]	0.0211 *** [0.0111]	0.0162 *** [0.0056]	0.0164 *** [-0.0043]
Terms of trade	0.0006 * [0.0014] ***	0.0002 [0.0014] ***	0.0000 [0.0013] ***	0.0001 [0.0014] ***	0.0001 [0.0014] ***
Technology	0.2266 ** [0.0309]	0.1146 [0.0327]	0.0752 [0.0230]	0.0655 [0.0282]	0.1626 ** [0.0412]
Roads	-0.0176 [0.0516] ***	0.0215 [0.0484] **	0.0044 [0.0450] **	0.0150 [0.0477] **	-0.0199 [0.0496] **
Oil & gas	-0.2691 [0.4155] ***	-0.2628 [0.3950] **	-0.1763 [0.3286] **	0.0278 [0.3727] **	0.0280 [0.4086] ***
Lagged growth of Y	[0.4583] ***	[0.4587] ***	[0.4543] ***	[0.4554] ***	[0.4645] ***
Intercept	3.1629 *** [14.0705] ***	2.7740 *** [14.0429] ***	2.1245 *** [14.0033] ***	1.0940 *** [14.0214] ***	-2.2130 *** [14.0910] ***
Wald X^2 (X1000) Prob > X^2	24504 0	54710 0	70432 0	94042 0	52379

Note: Estimation is by 2SLS fixed-effects regression. The dependent variable is logarithm of the quintile mean per capita expenditure adjusted for provincial cost-of-living differences. Figures in brackets are results of first-stage fixed-effects regressions in which the dependent variable is logarithm of the district mean per capita expenditure. “***”, “**”, and “*” denote significance at the 1 percent, 5 percent, and 10 percent level, respectively.

It is also worth noting that returns to schooling are quite similar across quintiles. An additional year of schooling raises per capita income by roughly two percent, other things being equal.¹⁹ This result thus affirms the common claim in the development literature that education represents an important avenue for raising household welfare, even more so for the poor whose access to land and other assets is very limited.

Finally, it appears that access to technology tends to directly influence the welfare of the poorest quintile and the richest quintile but not those in between.

¹⁹ Note that average schooling years vary by quintile.

VI. CONCLUSION

Newly constructed panel data on Indonesia's 285 districts (*kotamadyas / kabupatens*) reveal huge differences in poverty changes, subnational economic growth, and local attributes. Econometric analysis of these data shows that the welfare of the poor responds quite strongly to overall income growth. The growth elasticity of poverty is about 0.7, i.e., a 10 percent increase in overall income raises the incomes of the poor by 7 percent. This growth-poverty nexus seems significantly stronger than in the Philippines where the growth elasticity is estimated to be only about 0.5. This may be explained by the higher growth of agriculture in Indonesia, which is also likely to have been more employment-generating. Still, the growth-poverty relationship is far from the one-to-one correspondence revealed by studies based on cross-country regressions. Thus, growth is good for the poor in Indonesia as in the Philippines, but it is not good enough.

Besides economic growth, other factors exert direct effects on the welfare of the poor, apart from their impact on growth itself. Among the critical ones are terms-of-trade regime, schooling, infrastructure, and access to technology. Although often referred to in the literature as important to the poor, the access to credit variable as defined by available data did not come out significant. Future work has to go beyond physical indicators of financial services to include "meso" indicators pertaining to distribution of physical assets (particularly land) and social capital.

On the whole, the present study and similar other studies analyzing subnational data show that there is much more to poverty reduction than merely promoting economic growth. While fostering growth is evidently crucial and is a relatively straightforward objective to pursue, a more complete poverty reduction strategy must take account of various institutional factors that matter, if the aim is rapid and sustained poverty reduction. Indeed, paying attention to these other factors will be good for both growth and poverty reduction.

**Annex Table 1. Urban Poverty Line and Cost-of -Living Index,
by Province (1999)**

	Urban Poverty Line	Cost of Living Index (Jakarta=100)
Aceh	78,286	0.869
North Sumatera	84,342	0.936
West Sumatera	100,131	1.111
Riau	90,609	1.006
Jambi	91,032	1.010
South Sumatera	88,533	0.983
Bengkulu	104,237	1.157
Lampung	96,635	1.072
DKI Jakarta	90,108	1.000
West Java	88,471	0.982
Central Java	80,369	0.892
DI Yogyakarta	92,037	1.021
East Java	83,223	0.924
Bali	94,190	1.045
West Nusa Tenggara	84,449	0.937
East Nusa Tenggara	79,473	0.882
West Kalimantan	95,767	1.063
Central Kalimantan	95,220	1.057
South Kalimantan	87,134	0.967
East Kalimantan	79,350	0.881
North Sulawesi	85,886	0.953
Central Sulawesi	83,579	0.928
South Sulawesi	77,513	0.860
Southeast Sulawesi	66,290	0.736
Maluku	95,556	1.060
Irian Jaya	76,250	0.846

Annex Table 2. **Descriptive Statistics**

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
<i>Income of the poor: ln(Ave. per capita expenditure of bottom 20 percent)^a</i>	13.62276	0.24862	12.45829	14.48235
<i>Overall mean income: ln(Ave. per capita expenditure)^a</i>	14.27043	0.28212	13.58527	15.86398
<i>Lagged growth of Y: growth of per capita expenditure in t-3 period, where t is current year^a</i>	0.05307	0.19085	-0.44098	0.50162
<i>Years of schooling:^a</i>				
Average schooling years of adult heads	6.54491	1.18820	4.20000	10.39000
Average schooling years of female adult heads	5.36862	1.26067	2.67000	9.14000
Average schooling years of adult heads in Poorest 20 percent	4.18174	1.37323	2.50000	16.00000
<i>Adult literacy: proportion of household heads who can read and write^b</i>	0.82311	0.11878	0.21500	0.99900
<i>Distance to schools: distance of secondary schools from village^c</i>	0.09434	0.10690	0.00065	0.70370
<i>Terms of trade: agricultural output deflator/nonagricultural output deflator^d</i>	108.99210	13.7118	86.41000	156.77000
<i>Technology: composite index representing the presence of public phone, TV, postal office, and electricity in the village^e</i>	0.18957	0.16739	0.00170	0.87500
<i>Finance: proportion of villages with banks and cooperatives^f</i>	0.22664	0.17073	0.01565	0.97725
<i>Roads: proportion of villages with paved roads^g</i>	0.70788	0.26905	0.01830	1.00000
<i>Mineral: proportion of oil and gas in total provincial output^h</i>	0.102345	0.161376	0.00150	0.65920

Notes/data sources:

^a Computed from SUSENAS Core 1993, 1996, and 1999.^b Estimates of ADB for 1993, 1996, and 1999.^c District average for distance of villages to junior high school and distance of villages to senior high school; computed from the PODES district data for 1993, 1996, and 1999.^d Computed from *Gross Regional Domestic Product of Provinces in Indonesia by Industrial Origin*, BPS for 1993, 1996, and 1999.^e District average of the following: Proportion of villages with public phone (approximated by the presence of at least one of the following in the village: coin public phone, card public phone, telephone kiosk, post and telephone kiosk); proportion of villages with TV; proportion of villages with postal office; and proportion of villages with electricity; computed from PODES 1993, 1996, and 1999 district data.^f District average of the (a) proportion of villages with banks and (b) proportion of villages with cooperatives; computed from PODES 1993, 1996, and 1999 district data.^g Source is PODES district data for 1993, 1996, and 1999.^h Computed from *Gross Regional Domestic Product of Provinces in Indonesia by Industrial Origin*, BPS for 1993, 1996, and 1999.

References

- ADB (Asian Development Bank) 2000. "Assessment of Poverty in Indonesia." Manila, Philippines. Processed.
- Alesina, A., 1998. "The Political Economy of High and Low Growth." In B. Pleskovic and J. Stiglitz, eds., *Annual World Bank Conference on Development Economics*. The World Bank, Washington, D. C.
- Asra, A., 2000. "Poverty and Inequality in Indonesia: Estimates, Decomposition and Key Issues." *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy* 5(1, 2):91-111.
- BPS (Biro Pusat Statistik), various years. *Gross Regional Domestic Product of Provinces in Indonesia by Industrial Origin*. Jakarta.
- , various years. *National Socioeconomic Survey*. Jakarta.
- , various years. *Village Potential Statistics (PODES)*. Jakarta.
- Balisacan, A. M., and E. M. Pernia, 2002. Probing Beneath Cross-National Averages: Poverty, Inequality, and Growth in the Philippines. ERD Working Paper Series No. 7, Economics and Research Department, Asian Development Bank.
- Bhalla, S., 2001. *Imagine There Is No Country: Globalization and Its Consequences for Poverty*. Institute of International Economics, Washington, D. C.
- Chen, S. H., and M. Ravallion, 2001. "How Did the World's Poorest Fare in the 1990s?" *The Review of Income and Wealth* 47(3):283-300.
- Deaton, A., 1997. *The Analysis of Household Surveys: A Microeconomic Approach to Development Policy*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press for the World Bank.
- , 2001. "Counting the World's Poor: Problems and Possible Solutions." *World Bank Research Observer* 16(2):125-47.
- Deininger, K., and L. Squire, 1998. "New Ways of Looking at Old Issues: Inequality and Growth." *Journal of Development Economics* 57:259-87.
- Deolalikar, A. B., 2002. Poverty, Growth and Inequality in Thailand. ERD Working Paper Series No. 8, Economics and Research Department, Asian Development Bank.
- Dollar, D., and A. Kraay, 2001. Growth Is Good for the Poor. World Bank Policy Research Paper No. 2587, Washington, D. C.
- Duflo, E., 2001. "Schooling and Labor Market Consequences of School Construction in Indonesia: Evidence from an Unusual Policy Experiment." *American Economic Review* 91:795-813.
- Fan, S., L. X. Zhang, and X. B. Zhang, 2000. "How Does Public Spending Affect Growth and Poverty? The Experience of China." Paper presented at the Second Annual Global Development Network Conference, Tokyo, 11-13 December.
- Forbes, K. J., 2000. "A Reassessment of the Relationship between Inequality and Growth." *American Economic Review* 90(September):869-87.
- Foster, J. E., and A. F. Shorrocks, 1988. "Poverty Orderings." *Econometrica* 56:173-77.
- Gallup, J. L., J. D. Sachs, with A. D. Mellinger, 1998. "Geography and Economic Development." In B. Pleskovic and J. E. Stiglitz, eds., *Annual World Bank Conference on Development Economics*. The World Bank, Washington, D. C.

- Garcia, J. G., 1998. "Why Do Differences in Provincial Incomes Persist in Indonesia?" *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies* 34(1):95-120.
- _____, 2000. "Indonesia's Trade and Price Interventions: Pro-Java and Pro-Urban." *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies* 36(December):93-112.
- Hill, H., 2002. "Spatial Disparities in Developing East Asia: A Survey." *Asia Pacific Economic Literature*. Forthcoming.
- _____, 1996. *The Indonesian Economy since 1966: Southeast Asia's Emerging Giant*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- IMF (International Monetary Fund), 2001. *The World Economic Outlook Database*. Available: <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/weo/2001/02/data/index.htm#5a>.
- Lanjouw, P., M. Pradhan, F. Saadah, H. Sayed, and R. Sparrow, 2001. "Poverty, Education and Health in Indonesia: Who Benefits from Public spending?" The World Bank, Washington, D. C. Processed.
- Krueger, A., and M. Lindhal, 2001. "Education for Growth: Why and For Whom?" *Journal of Economic Literature* 39(4):1101-36.
- Kwon, E. K., 2000. "Infrastructure, Growth, and Poverty Reduction in Indonesia: A Cross-Sectional Analysis." Asian Development Bank, Manila. Processed.
- Manning, C., 1997. Regional Labor Markets during Deregulation in Indonesia. Policy Research Working Paper 1728, The World Bank, Washington, D. C.
- Pernia, E. M., and M. G. Quibria, 1999. "Poverty in Developing Countries." In E. S. Mills and P. Cheshire, eds., *Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics*, Vol. 3. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Ravallion, M., 2001. Measuring Aggregate Welfare in Developing Countries: How Well Do National Accounts and Surveys Agree? World Bank Working Paper 2665, Washington, D. C.
- Ravallion, M., and G. Datt, 2001. When Is Growth Pro-Poor? Evidence from the Diverse Experiences of India's States. The World Bank, Washington, D. C. Processed.
- Sigit, H., and S. Surbakti, 1999. "The Social Impact of the Financial Crisis in Indonesia." Economics and Development Resource Center, Asian Development Bank, Manila. Mimeographed.
- Skoufias, E., 2000. "Changes in Household Welfare, Poverty and Inequality during the Crisis." *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies* 36(August):97-114.
- Srinivasan, T. N., 2001. "Comment on 'Counting the World's Poor' by Angus Deaton." *World Bank Research Observer* 16:157-68.
- Suryahadi, A., S. Sumarto, Y. Suharso, and L. Pritchett, 2000. "The Evolution of Poverty during the Crisis in Indonesia, 1996 to 1999." Social Monitoring and Early Response Unit, Jakarta. Processed.
- Tadjoeddin, M. Z., W. I. Suharyo, and S. Mishra, 2001. "Regional Disparity and Vertical Conflict in Indonesia." *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy* 6(3):283-304.
- World Bank, 2001. *World Development Indicators*. Washington, D. C.

PUBLICATIONS FROM THE ECONOMICS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

ERD WORKING PAPER SERIES (WPS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>No. 1 Capitalizing on Globalization
—<i>Barry Eichengreen, January 2002</i></p> <p>No. 2 Policy-based Lending and Poverty Reduction: An Overview of Processes, Assessment and Options
—<i>Richard Bolt and Manabu Fujimura, January 2002</i></p> <p>No. 3 The Automotive Supply Chain: Global Trends and Asian Perspectives
—<i>Francisco Veloso and Rajiv Kumar, January 2002</i></p> <p>No. 4 International Competitiveness of Asian Firms: An Analytical Framework
—<i>Rajiv Kumar and Doren Chadee, February 2002</i></p> <p>No. 5 The International Competitiveness of Asian Economies in the Apparel Commodity Chain
—<i>Gary Gereffi, February 2002</i></p> <p>No. 6 Monetary and Financial Cooperation in East Asia—The Chiang Mai Initiative and Beyond
—<i>Pradumna B. Rana, February 2002</i></p> <p>No. 7 Probing Beneath Cross-national Averages: Poverty, Inequality, and Growth in the Philippines
—<i>Arsenio M. Balisacan and Ernesto M. Pernia, March 2002</i></p> <p>No. 8 Poverty, Growth, and Inequality in Thailand
—<i>Anil B. Deolalikar, April 2002</i></p> <p>No. 9 Microfinance in Northeast Thailand: Who Benefits and How Much?
—<i>Brett E. Coleman, April 2002</i></p> <p>No. 10 Poverty Reduction and the Role of Institutions in Developing Asia
—<i>Anil B. Deolalikar, Alex B. Brillantes, Jr., Raghav Gaiha, Ernesto M. Pernia, Mary Racelis with the assistance of Marita Concepcion Castro-Guevara, Liza L. Lim, Pilipinas F. Quising, May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 11 The European Social Model: Lessons for Developing Countries
—<i>Assar Lindbeck, May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 12 Costs and Benefits of a Common Currency for ASEAN
—<i>Srinivasa Madhur, May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 13 Monetary Cooperation in East Asia: A Survey
—<i>Raul Fabella, May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 14 Toward A Political Economy Approach to Prolicy-based Lending</p> | <p>—<i>George Abonyi, May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 15 A Framework for Establishing Priorities in a Country Poverty Reduction Strategy
—<i>Ron Duncan and Steve Pollard, June 2002</i></p> <p>No. 16 The Role of Infrastructure in Land-use Dynamics and Rice Production in Viet Nam's Mekong River Delta
—<i>Christopher Edmonds, July 2002</i></p> <p>No. 17 Effect of Decentralization Strategy on Macroeconomic Stability in Thailand
—<i>Kanokpan Lao-Araya, August 2002</i></p> <p>No. 18 Poverty and Patterns of Growth
—<i>Rana Hasan and M. G. Quibria, August 2002</i></p> <p>No. 19 Why are Some Countries Richer than Others? A Reassessment of Mankiw-Romer-Weil's Test of the Neoclassical Growth Model
—<i>Jesus Felipe and John McCombie, August 2002</i></p> <p>No. 20 Modernization and Son Preference in People's Republic of China
—<i>Robin Burgess and Juzhong Zhuang, September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 21 The Doha Agenda and Development: A View from the Uruguay Round
—<i>J. Michael Finger, September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 22 Conceptual Issues in the Role of Education Decentralization in Promoting Effective Schooling in Asian Developing Countries
—<i>Jere R. Behrman, Anil B. Deolalikar, and Lee-Ying Son, September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 23 Promoting Effective Schooling through Education Decentralization in Bangladesh, Indonesia, and Philippines
—<i>Jere R. Behrman, Anil B. Deolalikar, and Lee-Ying Son, September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 24 Financial Opening under the WTO Agreement in Selected Asian Countries: Progress and Issues
—<i>Yun-Hwan Kim, September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 25 Revisiting Growth and Poverty Reduction in Indonesia: What Do Subnational Data Show?
—<i>Arsenio M. Balisacan, Ernesto M. Pernia, and Abuzar Asra, October 2002</i></p> |
|--|--|

ERD TECHNICAL NOTE SERIES (TNS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

- | | | | |
|-------|---|-------|---|
| No. 1 | Contingency Calculations for Environmental Impacts with Unknown Monetary Values
—David Dole
February 2002 | No. 4 | July 2002
Economic Issues in the Design and Analysis of a Wastewater Treatment Project
—David Dole
July 2002 |
| No. 2 | Integrating Risk into ADB's Economic Analysis of Projects
—Nigel Rayner, Anneli Lagman-Martin, and Keith Ward
June 2002 | No. 5 | An Analysis and Case Study of the Role of Environmental Economics at the Asian Development Bank
—David Dole and Piya Abeygunawardena
September 2002 |
| No. 3 | Measuring Willingness to Pay for Electricity
—Peter Choynowski | | |

ERD POLICY BRIEF SERIES (PBS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of charge)

- | | | | |
|-------|--|-------|--|
| No. 1 | Is Growth Good Enough for the Poor?
—Ernesto M. Pernia, October 2001 | No. 6 | Achieving the Twin Objectives of Efficiency and Equity: Contracting Health Services in Cambodia
—Indu Bhushan, Sheryl Keller, and Brad Schwartz, March 2002 |
| No. 2 | India's Economic Reforms
What Has Been Accomplished?
What Remains to Be Done?
—Arvind Panagariya, November 2001 | No. 7 | Causes of the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis: What Can an Early Warning System Model Tell Us?
—Juzhong Zhuang and Malcolm Dowling, June 2002 |
| No. 3 | Unequal Benefits of Growth in Viet Nam
—Indu Bhushan, Erik Bloom, and Nguyen Minh Thang, January 2002 | No. 8 | The Role of Preferential Trading Arrangements in Asia
—Christopher Edmonds and Jean-Pierre Verbiest, July 2002 |
| No. 4 | Is Volatility Built into Today's World Economy?
—J. Malcolm Dowling and J.P. Verbiest, February 2002 | No. 9 | The Doha Round: A Development Perspective
—Jean-Pierre Verbiest, Jeffrey Liang, and Lea Sumulong, July 2002 |
| No. 5 | What Else Besides Growth Matters to Poverty Reduction? Philippines
—Arsenio M. Balisacan and Ernesto M. Pernia, February 2002 | | |

MONOGRAPH SERIES

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of charge)

EDRC REPORT SERIES (ER)

- | | | | |
|-------|---|--------|--|
| No. 1 | ASEAN and the Asian Development Bank
—Seiji Naya, April 1982 | | Establishments in ASEAN Countries: Perspectives and Policy Issues
—Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz, January 1983 |
| No. 2 | Development Issues for the Developing East and Southeast Asian Countries and International Cooperation
—Seiji Naya and Graham Abbott, April 1982 | No. 10 | A Note on the Third Ministerial Meeting of GATT
—Jungsoo Lee, January 1983 |
| No. 3 | Aid, Savings, and Growth in the Asian Region
—J. Malcolm Dowling and Ulrich Hiemenz, April 1982 | No. 11 | Macroeconomic Forecasts for the Republic of China, Hong Kong, and Republic of Korea
—J.M. Dowling, January 1983 |
| No. 4 | Development-oriented Foreign Investment and the Role of ADB
—Kiyoshi Kojima, April 1982 | No. 12 | ASEAN: Economic Situation and Prospects
—Seiji Naya, March 1983 |
| No. 5 | The Multilateral Development Banks and the International Economy's Missing Public Sector
—John Lewis, June 1982 | No. 13 | The Future Prospects for the Developing Countries of Asia
—Seiji Naya, March 1983 |
| No. 6 | Notes on External Debt of DMCs
—Evelyn Go, July 1982 | No. 14 | Energy and Structural Change in the Asia-Pacific Region, Summary of the Thirteenth Pacific Trade and Development Conference
—Seiji Naya, March 1983 |
| No. 7 | Grant Element in Bank Loans
—Dal Hyun Kim, July 1982 | No. 15 | A Survey of Empirical Studies on Demand for Electricity with Special Emphasis on Price Elasticity of Demand
—Wisarn Pupphavesa, June 1983 |
| No. 8 | Shadow Exchange Rates and Standard Conversion Factors in Project Evaluation
—Peter Warr, September 1982 | No. 16 | Determinants of Paddy Production in Indonesia: 1972-1981—A Simultaneous Equation Model |
| No. 9 | Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing | | |

- Approach
—*T.K. Jayaraman, June 1983*
- No. 17 The Philippine Economy: Economic Forecasts for 1983 and 1984
—*J.M. Dowling, E. Go, and C.N. Castillo, June 1983*
- No. 18 Economic Forecast for Indonesia
—*J.M. Dowling, H.Y. Kim, Y.K. Wang, and C.N. Castillo, June 1983*
- No. 19 Relative External Debt Situation of Asian Developing Countries: An Application of Ranking Method
—*Jungsoo Lee, June 1983*
- No. 20 New Evidence on Yields, Fertilizer Application, and Prices in Asian Rice Production
—*William James and Teresita Ramirez, July 1983*
- No. 21 Inflationary Effects of Exchange Rate Changes in Nine Asian LDCs
—*Pradumna B. Rana and J. Malcolm Dowling, Jr., December 1983*
- No. 22 Effects of External Shocks on the Balance of Payments, Policy Responses, and Debt Problems of Asian Developing Countries
—*Seiji Naya, December 1983*
- No. 23 Changing Trade Patterns and Policy Issues: The Prospects for East and Southeast Asian Developing Countries
—*Seiji Naya and Ulrich Hiemenz, February 1984*
- No. 24 Small-Scale Industries in Asian Economic Development: Problems and Prospects
—*Seiji Naya, February 1984*
- No. 25 A Study on the External Debt Indicators Applying Logit Analysis
—*Jungsoo Lee and Clarita Barretto, February 1984*
- No. 26 Alternatives to Institutional Credit Programs in the Agricultural Sector of Low-Income Countries
—*Jennifer Sour, March 1984*
- No. 27 Economic Scene in Asia and Its Special Features
—*Kedar N. Kohli, November 1984*
- No. 28 The Effect of Terms of Trade Changes on the Balance of Payments and Real National Income of Asian Developing Countries
—*Jungsoo Lee and Lutgarda Labios, January 1985*
- No. 29 Cause and Effect in the World Sugar Market: Some Empirical Findings 1951-1982
—*Yoshihiro Iwasaki, February 1985*
- No. 30 Sources of Balance of Payments Problem in the 1970s: The Asian Experience
—*Pradumna Rana, February 1985*
- No. 31 India's Manufactured Exports: An Analysis of Supply Sectors
—*Ifzal Ali, February 1985*
- No. 32 Meeting Basic Human Needs in Asian Developing Countries
—*Jungsoo Lee and Emma Banaria, March 1985*
- No. 33 The Impact of Foreign Capital Inflow on Investment and Economic Growth in Developing Asia
—*Evelyn Go, May 1985*
- No. 34 The Climate for Energy Development in the Pacific and Asian Region: Priorities and Perspectives
—*V.V. Desai, April 1986*
- No. 35 Impact of Appreciation of the Yen on Developing Member Countries of the Bank
—*Jungsoo Lee, Pradumna Rana, and Ifzal Ali, May 1986*
- No. 36 Smuggling and Domestic Economic Policies in Developing Countries
—*A.H.M.N. Chowdhury, October 1986*
- No. 37 Public Investment Criteria: Economic Internal Rate of Return and Equalizing Discount Rate
—*Ifzal Ali, November 1986*
- No. 38 Review of the Theory of Neoclassical Political Economy: An Application to Trade Policies
—*M.G. Quibria, December 1986*
- No. 39 Factors Influencing the Choice of Location: Local and Foreign Firms in the Philippines
—*E.M. Pernia and A.N. Herrin, February 1987*
- No. 40 A Demographic Perspective on Developing Asia and Its Relevance to the Bank
—*E.M. Pernia, May 1987*
- No. 41 Emerging Issues in Asia and Social Cost Benefit Analysis
—*I. Ali, September 1988*
- No. 42 Shifting Revealed Comparative Advantage: Experiences of Asian and Pacific Developing Countries
—*P.B. Rana, November 1988*
- No. 43 Agricultural Price Policy in Asia: Issues and Areas of Reforms
—*I. Ali, November 1988*
- No. 44 Service Trade and Asian Developing Economies
—*M.G. Quibria, October 1989*
- No. 45 A Review of the Economic Analysis of Power Projects in Asia and Identification of Areas of Improvement
—*I. Ali, November 1989*
- No. 46 Growth Perspective and Challenges for Asia: Areas for Policy Review and Research
—*I. Ali, November 1989*
- No. 47 An Approach to Estimating the Poverty Alleviation Impact of an Agricultural Project
—*I. Ali, January 1990*
- No. 48 Economic Growth Performance of Indonesia, the Philippines, and Thailand: The Human Resource Dimension
—*E.M. Pernia, January 1990*
- No. 49 Foreign Exchange and Fiscal Impact of a Project: A Methodological Framework for Estimation
—*I. Ali, February 1990*
- No. 50 Public Investment Criteria: Financial and Economic Internal Rates of Return
—*I. Ali, April 1990*
- No. 51 Evaluation of Water Supply Projects: An Economic Framework
—*Arlene M. Tadle, June 1990*
- No. 52 Interrelationship Between Shadow Prices, Project Investment, and Policy Reforms: An Analytical Framework
—*I. Ali, November 1990*
- No. 53 Issues in Assessing the Impact of Project and Sector Adjustment Lending
—*I. Ali, December 1990*
- No. 54 Some Aspects of Urbanization and the Environment in Southeast Asia
—*Ernesto M. Pernia, January 1991*
- No. 55 Financial Sector and Economic Development: A Survey
—*Jungsoo Lee, September 1991*
- No. 56 A Framework for Justifying Bank-Assisted Education Projects in Asia: A Review of the Socioeconomic Analysis and Identification of Areas of Improvement
—*Etienne Van De Walle, February 1992*
- No. 57 Medium-term Growth-Stabilization Relationship in Asian Developing Countries and Some Policy Considerations
—*Yun-Hwan Kim, February 1993*
- No. 58 Urbanization, Population Distribution, and Economic Development in Asia
—*Ernesto M. Pernia, February 1993*
- No. 59 The Need for Fiscal Consolidation in Nepal: The Results of a Simulation
—*Filippo di Mauro and Ronald Antonio Butiong, July 1993*

- No. 60 A Computable General Equilibrium Model of Nepal
—*Timothy Buehrer and Filippo di Mauro, October 1993*
- No. 61 The Role of Government in Export Expansion in the Republic of Korea: A Revisit
—*Yun-Hwan Kim, February 1994*
- No. 62 Rural Reforms, Structural Change, and Agricultural Growth in the People's Republic of China
—*Bo Lin, August 1994*
- No. 63 Incentives and Regulation for Pollution Abatement with an Application to Waste Water Treatment
—*Sudipto Mundle, U. Shankar, and Shekhar Mehta, October 1995*
- No. 64 Saving Transitions in Southeast Asia
—*Frank Harrigan, February 1996*
- No. 65 Total Factor Productivity Growth in East Asia: A Critical Survey
—*Jesus Felipe, September 1997*
- No. 66 Foreign Direct Investment in Pakistan: Policy Issues and Operational Implications
—*Ashfaq H. Khan and Yun-Hwan Kim, July 1999*
- No. 67 Fiscal Policy, Income Distribution and Growth
—*Sailesh K. Jha, November 1999*

ECONOMIC STAFF PAPERS (ES)

- No. 1 International Reserves: Factors Determining Needs and Adequacy
—*Evelyn Go, May 1981*
- No. 2 Domestic Savings in Selected Developing Asian Countries
—*Basil Moore, assisted by A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury, September 1981*
- No. 3 Changes in Consumption, Imports and Exports of Oil Since 1973: A Preliminary Survey of the Developing Member Countries of the Asian Development Bank
—*Dal Hyun Kim and Graham Abbott, September 1981*
- No. 4 By-Passed Areas, Regional Inequalities, and Development Policies in Selected Southeast Asian Countries
—*William James, October 1981*
- No. 5 Asian Agriculture and Economic Development
—*William James, March 1982*
- No. 6 Inflation in Developing Member Countries: An Analysis of Recent Trends
—*A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury and J. Malcolm Dowling, March 1982*
- No. 7 Industrial Growth and Employment in Developing Asian Countries: Issues and Perspectives for the Coming Decade
—*Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1982*
- No. 8 Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980. Part 1: Regional Adjustments and the World Economy
—*Burnham Campbell, April 1982*
- No. 9 Developing Asia: The Importance of Domestic Policies
—*Economics Office Staff under the direction of Seiji Naya, May 1982*
- No. 10 Financial Development and Household Savings: Issues in Domestic Resource Mobilization in Asian Developing Countries
—*Wan-Soon Kim, July 1982*
- No. 11 Industrial Development: Role of Specialized Financial Institutions
—*Kedar N. Kohli, August 1982*
- No. 12 Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980. Part II: Debt Problems and an Evaluation of Suggested Remedies
—*Burnham Campbell, September 1982*
- No. 13 Credit Rationing, Rural Savings, and Financial Policy in Developing Countries
—*William James, September 1982*
- No. 14 Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Establishments in ASEAN Countries: Perspectives and Policy Issues
—*Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1983*
- No. 15 Income Distribution and Economic Growth in Developing Asian Countries
—*J. Malcolm Dowling and David Soo, March 1983*
- No. 16 Long-Run Debt-Servicing Capacity of Asian Developing Countries: An Application of Critical Interest Rate Approach
—*Jungsoo Lee, June 1983*
- No. 17 External Shocks, Energy Policy, and Macroeconomic Performance of Asian Developing Countries: A Policy Analysis
—*William James, July 1983*
- No. 18 The Impact of the Current Exchange Rate System on Trade and Inflation of Selected Developing Member Countries
—*Pradumna Rana, September 1983*
- No. 19 Asian Agriculture in Transition: Key Policy Issues
—*William James, September 1983*
- No. 20 The Transition to an Industrial Economy in Monsoon Asia
—*Harry T. Oshima, October 1983*
- No. 21 The Significance of Off-Farm Employment and Incomes in Post-War East Asian Growth
—*Harry T. Oshima, January 1984*
- No. 22 Income Distribution and Poverty in Selected Asian Countries
—*John Malcolm Dowling, Jr., November 1984*
- No. 23 ASEAN Economies and ASEAN Economic Cooperation
—*Narongchai Akrasanee, November 1984*
- No. 24 Economic Analysis of Power Projects
—*Nitin Desai, January 1985*
- No. 25 Exports and Economic Growth in the Asian Region
—*Pradumna Rana, February 1985*
- No. 26 Patterns of External Financing of DMCs
—*E. Go, May 1985*
- No. 27 Industrial Technology Development the Republic of Korea
—*S.Y. Lo, July 1985*
- No. 28 Risk Analysis and Project Selection: A Review of Practical Issues
—*J.K. Johnson, August 1985*
- No. 29 Rice in Indonesia: Price Policy and Comparative Advantage
—*I. Ali, January 1986*
- No. 30 Effects of Foreign Capital Inflows on Developing Countries of Asia
—*Jungsoo Lee, Pradumna B. Rana, and Yoshihiro Iwasaki, April 1986*
- No. 31 Economic Analysis of the Environmental Impacts of Development Projects
—*John A. Dixon et al., EAPI, East-West Center, August 1986*
- No. 32 Science and Technology for Development: Role of the Bank
—*Kedar N. Kohli and Ifzal Ali, November 1986*
- No. 33 Satellite Remote Sensing in the Asian and Pacific Region

- Mohan Sundara Rajan, December 1986*
- No. 34 Changes in the Export Patterns of Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: An Empirical Overview
—*Pradumna B. Rana, January 1987*
- No. 35 Agricultural Price Policy in Nepal
—*Gerald C. Nelson, March 1987*
- No. 36 Implications of Falling Primary Commodity Prices for Agricultural Strategy in the Philippines
—*Ifzal Ali, September 1987*
- No. 37 Determining Irrigation Charges: A Framework
—*Prabhakar B. Ghate, October 1987*
- No. 38 The Role of Fertilizer Subsidies in Agricultural Production: A Review of Select Issues
—*M.G. Quibria, October 1987*
- No. 39 Domestic Adjustment to External Shocks in Developing Asia
—*Jungsoo Lee, October 1987*
- No. 40 Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization through Financial Development: Indonesia
—*Philip Erquiaga, November 1987*
- No. 41 Recent Trends and Issues on Foreign Direct Investment in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries
—*P.B. Rana, March 1988*
- No. 42 Manufactured Exports from the Philippines: A Sector Profile and an Agenda for Reform
—*I. Ali, September 1988*
- No. 43 A Framework for Evaluating the Economic Benefits of Power Projects
—*I. Ali, August 1989*
- No. 44 Promotion of Manufactured Exports in Pakistan
—*Jungsoo Lee and Yoshihiro Iwasaki, September 1989*
- No. 45 Education and Labor Markets in Indonesia: A Sector Survey
—*Ernesto M. Pernia and David N. Wilson, September 1989*
- No. 46 Industrial Technology Capabilities and Policies in Selected ADCs
—*Hiroshi Kakazu, June 1990*
- No. 47 Designing Strategies and Policies for Managing Structural Change in Asia
—*Ifzal Ali, June 1990*
- No. 48 The Completion of the Single European Community Market in 1992: A Tentative Assessment of its Impact on Asian Developing Countries
—*J.P. Verbiest and Min Tang, June 1991*
- No. 49 Economic Analysis of Investment in Power Systems
—*Ifzal Ali, June 1991*
- No. 50 External Finance and the Role of Multilateral Financial Institutions in South Asia: Changing Patterns, Prospects, and Challenges
—*Jungsoo Lee, November 1991*
- No. 51 The Gender and Poverty Nexus: Issues and Policies
—*M.G. Quibria, November 1993*
- No. 52 The Role of the State in Economic Development: Theory, the East Asian Experience, and the Malaysian Case
—*Jason Brown, December 1993*
- No. 53 The Economic Benefits of Potable Water Supply Projects to Households in Developing Countries
—*Dale Whittington and Venkateswarlu Swarna, January 1994*
- No. 54 Growth Triangles: Conceptual Issues and Operational Problems
—*Min Tang and Myo Thant, February 1994*
- No. 55 The Emerging Global Trading Environment and Developing Asia
—*Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria, and Narhari Rao, July 1996*
- No. 56 Aspects of Urban Water and Sanitation in the Context of Rapid Urbanization in Developing Asia
—*Ernesto M. Pernia and Stella LF. Alabastro, September 1997*
- No. 57 Challenges for Asia's Trade and Environment
—*Douglas H. Brooks, January 1998*
- No. 58 Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects—A Review of Issues, Methods, and Approaches
—*Ramesh Adhikari, Paul Gertler, and Anneli Lagman, March 1999*
- No. 59 The Asian Crisis: An Alternate View
—*Rajiv Kumar and Bibek Debroy, July 1999*
- No. 60 Social Consequences of the Financial Crisis in Asia
—*James C. Knowles, Ernesto M. Pernia, and Mary Racelis, November 1999*

OCCASIONAL PAPERS (OP)

- No. 1 Poverty in the People's Republic of China: Recent Developments and Scope for Bank Assistance
—*K.H. Moinuddin, November 1992*
- No. 2 The Eastern Islands of Indonesia: An Overview of Development Needs and Potential
—*Brien K. Parkinson, January 1993*
- No. 3 Rural Institutional Finance in Bangladesh and Nepal: Review and Agenda for Reforms
—*A.H.M.N. Chowdhury and Marcelia C. Garcia, November 1993*
- No. 4 Fiscal Deficits and Current Account Imbalances of the South Pacific Countries: A Case Study of Vanuatu
—*T.K. Jayaraman, December 1993*
- No. 5 Reforms in the Transitional Economies of Asia
—*Pradumna B. Rana, December 1993*
- No. 6 Environmental Challenges in the People's Republic of China and Scope for Bank Assistance
—*Elisabetta Capannelli and Omkar L. Shrestha, December 1993*
- No. 7 Sustainable Development Environment and Poverty Nexus
—*K.F. Jalal, December 1993*
- No. 8 Intermediate Services and Economic Development: The Malaysian Example
—*Sutanu Behuria and Rahul Khullar, May 1994*
- No. 9 Interest Rate Deregulation: A Brief Survey of the Policy Issues and the Asian Experience
—*Carlos J. Glower, July 1994*
- No. 10 Some Aspects of Land Administration in Indonesia: Implications for Bank Operations
—*Sutanu Behuria, July 1994*
- No. 11 Demographic and Socioeconomic Determinants of Contraceptive Use among Urban Women in the Melanesian Countries in the South Pacific: A Case Study of Port Vila Town in Vanuatu
—*T.K. Jayaraman, February 1995*
- No. 12 Managing Development through Institution Building
—*Hilton L. Root, October 1995*
- No. 13 Growth, Structural Change, and Optimal

- Poverty Interventions
—*Shiladitya Chatterjee, November 1995*
- No. 14 Private Investment and Macroeconomic Environment in the South Pacific Island Countries: A Cross-Country Analysis
—*T.K. Jayaraman, October 1996*
- No. 15 The Rural-Urban Transition in Viet Nam: Some Selected Issues
—*Sudipto Mundle and Brian Van Arkadie, October 1997*
- No. 16 A New Approach to Setting the Future Transport Agenda
—*Roger Allport, Geoff Key, and Charles Melhuish, June 1998*
- No. 17 Adjustment and Distribution: The Indian Experience
—*Sudipto Mundle and V.B. Tulasidhar, June 1998*
- No. 18 Tax Reforms in Viet Nam: A Selective Analysis
—*Sudipto Mundle, December 1998*
- No. 19 Surges and Volatility of Private Capital Flows to Asian Developing Countries: Implications for Multilateral Development Banks
—*Pradumna B. Rana, December 1998*
- No. 20 The Millennium Round and the Asian Economies: An Introduction
—*Dilip K. Das, October 1999*
- No. 21 Occupational Segregation and the Gender Earnings Gap
—*Joseph E. Zveglich, Jr. and Yana van der Meulen Rodgers, December 1999*
- No. 22 Information Technology: Next Locomotive of Growth?
—*Dilip K. Das, June 2000*

STATISTICAL REPORT SERIES (SR)

- No. 1 Estimates of the Total External Debt of the Developing Member Countries of ADB: 1981-1983
—*I.P. David, September 1984*
- No. 2 Multivariate Statistical and Graphical Classification Techniques Applied to the Problem of Grouping Countries
—*I.P. David and D.S. Maligalig, March 1985*
- No. 3 Gross National Product (GNP) Measurement Issues in South Pacific Developing Member Countries of ADB
—*S.G. Tiwari, September 1985*
- No. 4 Estimates of Comparable Savings in Selected DMCs
—*Hananto Sigit, December 1985*
- No. 5 Keeping Sample Survey Design and Analysis Simple
—*I.P. David, December 1985*
- No. 6 External Debt Situation in Asian Developing Countries
—*I.P. David and Jungsoo Lee, March 1986*
- No. 7 Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the South Pacific Developing Member Countries. Part I: Existing National Accounts of SPDMCs—Analysis of Methodology and Application of SNA Concepts
—*P. Hodgkinson, October 1986*
- No. 8 Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the South Pacific Developing Member Countries. Part II: Factors Affecting Intercountry Comparability of Per Capita GNP
—*P. Hodgkinson, October 1986*
- No. 9 Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian Developing Countries, 1985
—*Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1987*
- No. 10 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian Developing Countries, 1986
—*Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1988*
- No. 11 Changing Pattern of Financial Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries
—*Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, March 1989*
- No. 12 The State of Agricultural Statistics in Southeast Asia
—*I.P. David, March 1989*
- No. 13 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1987-1988
—*Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, July 1989*
- No. 14 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1988-1989
—*Jungsoo Lee, May 1990*
- No. 15 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1989-1992
—*Min Tang, June 1991*
- No. 16 Recent Trends and Prospects of External Debt Situation and Financial Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries
—*Min Tang and Aludia Pardo, June 1992*
- No. 17 Purchasing Power Parity in Asian Developing Countries: A Co-Integration Test
—*Min Tang and Ronald Q. Butiong, April 1994*
- No. 18 Capital Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: Recent Trends and Future Prospects
—*Min Tang and James Villafuerte, October 1995*

SPECIAL STUDIES, COMPLIMENTARY (SSC)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

1. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Overview *September 1985*
2. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Bangladesh *July 1986*
3. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Sri Lanka *April 1987*
4. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: India *December 1987*
5. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Overview *January 1988*
6. Study of Selected Industries: A Brief Report *April 1988*
7. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Bangladesh *June 1988*
8. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: India *June 1988*
9. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Indonesia *June 1988*
10. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Nepal *June 1988*
11. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Pakistan *June 1988*
12. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Philippines *June 1988*
13. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Thailand *June 1988*
14. Towards Regional Cooperation in South Asia: ADB/EWC Symposium on Regional Cooperation in South Asia *February 1988*
15. Evaluating Rice Market Intervention Policies: Some Asian Examples *April 1988*
16. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Nepal *November 1988*
17. Foreign Trade Barriers and Export Growth *September 1988*
18. The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Industries in the Industrial Development of the Philippines *April 1989*
19. The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Industries in Industrial Development: The Experience of Selected Asian Countries *January 1990*
20. National Accounts of Vanuatu, 1983-1987 *January 1990*
21. National Accounts of Western Samoa, 1984-1986 *February 1990*
22. Human Resource Policy and Economic Development: Selected Country Studies *July 1990*
23. Export Finance: Some Asian Examples *September 1990*
24. National Accounts of the Cook Islands, 1982-1986 *September 1990*
25. Framework for the Economic and Financial Appraisal of Urban Development Sector Projects *January 1994*
26. Framework and Criteria for the Appraisal and Socioeconomic Justification of Education Projects *January 1994*
27. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Projects *February 1997*
28. Investing in Asia *1997*
29. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Telecommunication Projects *1998*
30. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Water Supply Projects *1999*

SPECIAL STUDIES, ADB (SS, ADB)

(Published in-house; Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

1. Rural Poverty in Developing Asia
Edited by M.G. Quibria
Vol. 1: Bangladesh, India, and Sri Lanka, 1994
\$35.00 (paperback)
Vol. 2: Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Philippines, and Thailand, 1996
\$35.00 (paperback)
2. External Shocks and Policy Adjustments: Lessons from the Gulf Crisis
Edited by Naved Hamid and Shahid N. Zahid, 1995
\$15.00 (paperback)
3. Gender Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries
Asian Development Bank, 1993
\$25.00 (paperback)
4. Urban Poverty in Asia: A Survey of Critical Issues
Edited by Ernesto Pernia, 1994
\$20.00 (paperback)
5. Indonesia-Malaysia-Thailand Growth Triangle: Theory to Practice
Edited by Myo Thant and Min Tang, 1996
\$15.00 (paperback)
6. Emerging Asia: Changes and Challenges
Asian Development Bank, 1997
\$30.00 (paperback)
7. Asian Exports
Edited by Dilip Das, 1999
\$35.00 (paperback)
\$55.00 (hardbound)
8. Mortgage-Backed Securities Markets in Asia
Edited by S.Ghon Rhee & Yutaka Shimomoto, 1999
\$35.00 (paperback)
9. Corporate Governance and Finance in East Asia: A Study of Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand
J. Zhuang, David Edwards, D. Webb, & Ma. Virginita Capulong
Vol. 1, 2000 \$10.00 (paperback)
Vol. 2, 2001 \$15.00 (paperback)
10. Financial Management and Governance Issues
Asian Development Bank, 2000
Cambodia \$10.00 (paperback)
People's Republic of China \$10.00 (paperback)
Mongolia \$10.00 (paperback)
Pakistan \$10.00 (paperback)
Papua New Guinea \$10.00 (paperback)
Uzbekistan \$10.00 (paperback)
Viet Nam \$10.00 (paperback)
Selected Developing Member Countries \$10.00 (paperback)
11. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Projects
Asian Development Bank, 1997
\$10.00 (paperback)
12. Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Water Supply Projects
Asian Development Bank, 1999
\$15.00 (hardbound)
13. Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects
Asian Development Bank, 2000
\$10.00 (paperback)

SPECIAL STUDIES, OUP (SS,OUP)

(Co-published with Oxford University Press; Available commercially through Oxford University Press Offices, Associated Companies, and Agents)

1. *Informal Finance: Some Findings from Asia*
Prabhu Ghate et. al., 1992
\$15.00 (paperback)
2. *Mongolia: A Centrally Planned Economy in Transition*
Asian Development Bank, 1992
\$15.00 (paperback)
3. *Rural Poverty in Asia, Priority Issues and Policy Options*
Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1994
\$25.00 (paperback)
4. *Growth Triangles in Asia: A New Approach to Regional Economic Cooperation*
Edited by Myo Thant, Min Tang, and Hiroshi Kakazu
1st ed., 1994 \$36.00 (hardbound)
Revised ed., 1998 \$55.00 (hardbound)
5. *Urban Poverty in Asia: A Survey of Critical Issues*
Edited by Ernesto Pernia, 1994
\$18.00 (paperback)
6. *Critical Issues in Asian Development: Theories, Experiences, and Policies*
Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1995
\$15.00 (paperback)
\$36.00 (hardbound)
7. *From Centrally Planned to Market Economies: The Asian Approach*
Edited by Pradumna B. Rana and Naved Hamid, 1995
Vol. 1: Overview
\$36.00 (hardbound)
Vol. 2: People's Republic of China and Mongolia
\$50.00 (hardbound)
Vol. 3: Lao PDR, Myanmar, and Viet Nam
\$50.00 (hardbound)
8. *Financial Sector Development in Asia*
Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995
\$50.00 (hardbound)
9. *Financial Sector Development in Asia: Country Studies*
Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995
\$55.00 (hardbound)
10. *Fiscal Management and Economic Reform in the People's Republic of China*
Christine P.W. Wong, Christopher Heady, and Wing T. Woo, 1995
\$15.00 (paperback)
11. *Current Issues in Economic Development: An Asian Perspective*
Edited by M.G. Quibria and J. Malcolm Dowling, 1996
\$50.00 (hardbound)
12. *The Bangladesh Economy in Transition*
Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1997
\$20.00 (hardbound)
13. *The Global Trading System and Developing Asia*
Edited by Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria, and Narhari Rao, 1997
\$55.00 (hardbound)
14. *Social Sector Issues in Transitional Economies of Asia*
Edited by Douglas H. Brooks and Myo Thant, 1998
\$25.00 (paperback)
\$55.00 (hardbound)
15. *Rising to the Challenge in Asia: A Study of Financial Markets*
Asian Development Bank, 1999
Vol. 1 \$20.00 (paperback)
Vol. 2 \$15.00 (paperback)
Vol. 3 \$25.00 (paperback)
Vols. 4-12 \$20.00 (paperback)

SERIALS

(Co-published with Oxford University Press; Available commercially through Oxford University Press Offices, Associated Companies, and Agents)

1. *Asian Development Outlook (ADO; annual)*
\$36.00 (paperback)
2. *Key Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries (KI; annual)*
\$35.00 (paperback)

JOURNAL

(Published in-house; Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

1. *Asian Development Review (ADR; semiannual)*
\$5.00 per issue; \$8.00 per year (2 issues)