

Life Cycle Considerations for selection of Wastewater Treatment Alternatives

S. V. Srinivasan, E. Ravindranath and S. Rajamani,
Department of Environmental Technology, Central Leather Research
Institute, Adyar, Chennai – 600 020, India
srinivasansv@yahoo.com

Abstract

For control of water pollution due to both domestic and industrial wastewater, the conventional wastewater treatment using activated sludge process alone or in combination with chemical coagulation has been adopted, which is energy intensive process, requires huge quantity of chemicals and also generates huge quantity of sludge. The treatment alternative selection in wastewater treatment plants is solely based on treatment requirement, land availability and capital costs. Rather, it should be based considering all the criteria over the life of the wastewater treatment plant including energy and chemical consumptions and overall environmental impacts. Life cycle analysis (LCA) approach considers all of the criteria and come up with a rational basis. In this study, a simple protocol considering the life cycle approaches to assist plant designers in selecting the appropriate treatment technologies with less environmental impact as well as less operation and maintenance cost.

The views expressed in this paper are the views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank (ADB), or its Board of Directors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this paper and accepts no responsibility for any consequences of their use. Terminology used may not necessarily be consistent with ADB official terms.

Introduction

1. Water quality-monitoring studies carried out by various agencies regularly identify that the organic and microbial pollutants are the major contaminants of freshwater bodies in India. Domestic wastewater generated from urban areas of the country is the major contributor of these pollutants as less than 25% is treated before disposal. While efforts are on to improve the quality of water bodies by providing sewerage collection and sewage treatment plants for small towns located on riverbanks.

2. Urbanization is by far the most important social change that has taken place in India in recent times. From a modest base of 25.8 million in 1901, the urban population has grown to 285 million in 2001, signalling an astonishing eleven-fold increase in one century. More than 60% of this urban growth, however, has occurred within the last three decades. Unregulated urbanisation with inadequate infrastructure for water supply and sanitation has led to an alarming deterioration of environmental quality and worsening quality of life in both rural and urban India. Presently more than 85% of an estimated 16,662 MLD of domestic wastewater generated in the country is being discharged into the environment without any treatment. Consequently, a majority of the surface water sources has been contaminated with organic and microbial pollutants. However, pollution due to industrial discharges are controlled, monitored and regulated by the

pollution control authorities. Regulations are made more stringent based on the specific site requirements.

3. The status and growth of wastewater generation, collection and treatment in towns over the years indicates that the wastewater treatment capacity has not taken pace with the increase in wastewater generation. The main constrain in establishment of sewerage collection and sewage treatment plant is the initial capital cost and operation and maintenance cost for sustaining the treatment. With the implementation of the National River Conservation Programme (NRCP) for major rivers in India, about 70% of the capital cost is financed by the Central Government (Ministry of Environment and Forests) to towns situated on the bank of the river for establishment of sewerage collection of network and sewage treatment plant as subsidy. For successful and sustained operation of sewage treatment, the operation and maintenance cost shall be minimum and bearable by the municipality of the town. Similarly in industrial effluent treatment plant also, the operation and maintenance cost shall be minimum and bearable by the industries.

Wastewater Treatment alternatives

4. In this study, different proven technologies for wastewater treatment were considered. The treatment system includes primary treatment with and without chemical addition for removal of suspended solids, secondary treatment using aerobic and anaerobic process for reduction of Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and tertiary treatment or polishing treatment for reduction of pathogenic organisms in case of domestic sewage. Based on the characteristics of the wastewater and requirement of treated wastewater quality, the different alternatives are to be selected based on the performance. Different possible treatment configurations for wastewater treatment plant are given below in Table 1:

Table 1: Various wastewater treatment alternatives

<i>Alternative – I</i>	Physio-Chemical Treatment (PCT)+ Activated Sludge Process (ASP) + Chlorination
<i>Alternative – II</i>	Physio-Chemical Treatment (PCT)+ Activated Sludge Process (ASP) + Waste Stabilization Pond (WSP)
<i>Alternative – III</i>	Pre-settler (PS)+ Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (UASB) Reactor + Activated Sludge Process (ASP) + Chlorination
<i>Alternative – IV</i>	Pre-settler (PS)+ Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (UASB) Reactor + Activated Sludge Process (ASP) + Waste Stabilization Pond (WSP)
<i>Alternative – V</i>	Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (UASB) Reactor + Waste Stabilization Pond (WSP)
<i>Alternative – VI</i>	Physio-Chemical Treatment (PCT)+ Anaerobic lagoon (AL) + Activated sludge process +

	Waste Stabilization Pond (WSP)
<i>Alternative – VII</i>	Anaerobic lagoon (AL) + Waste Stabilization Pond (WSP)

Life Cycle Consideration factors

5. The above alternatives were compared considering life cycle impact and other factors like chemical and energy consumption, quantity of sludge generation, emission of green house gases, capital cost (civil construction and mechanical installation), maintenance cost, and land requirement. For the above various treatment alternatives, the weightage for each factor has been given four scales viz., no, low, medium and high impact and the same are shown in Table 2.

Selection of Wastewater Treatment Alternative

6. For selection of wastewater treatment alternative, the appropriate alternatives are to chosen based on the characteristics of the influent wastewater and requirement of quality of the treated wastewater to be disposed. Within the appropriate alternatives, number of no, low, medium and high factors are to counted and the total impact value is to be calculated by assigning values 0, 1, 2, 3 for no, low, medium and high impact factors respectively. The effective alternative is the one with lowest total impact value.

Sewage treatment Plant

7. In the case of sewage treatment, the alternatives I, II, V and VII will be able to meet environmental performance requirements. For the four alternatives, total impact value are to calculated based on the life cycle factors given in Table 2.

Table 2: Life Cycle considerations factors on various wastewater treatment alternatives

Life cycle impact and other factors	Wastewater Treatment Alternatives (I to VII)						
	PCT + ASP+ Chlorination (I)	PCT + ASP+ WSP (II)	PS+ UASB +ASP+ Chlorination (III)	PS+ UASB+ ASP + WSP (IV)	UASB + WSP (V)	PCT + AL+ASP+ WSP (VI)	AL + WSP (VII)
Chemical requirement	High	Medium	Medium	No	No	Medium	No
Energy requirement	High	High	Medium	Medium	Low	High	Low
Green house gas emissions	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Low	High	High
Sludge generation	High	High	Medium	Medium	Low	High	Low
Capital cost	Medium	Medium	High	High	Medium	Medium	Low
Land requirement	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High
Chemical Hazard/ Risk	High	No	High	No	No	No	No