

## ADB Results Framework at a Glance 2008 Performance

### Level 1: Asia and the Pacific Development Outcomes

INDICATORS	2005 Baseline	2006 Latest	2015 Target	Score
<b>Poverty and Human Development</b>				●
Population living on less than \$1.25 a day (%)	27.5	27.4	26.5	●
Primary education completion rate (%) <sup>a</sup>	92.4	91.1	100	●
Ratio of girls to boys in:				
Primary education	0.95	0.96	1.00	●
Secondary education	0.93	0.93	1.00	●
Tertiary education	0.82	0.84	1.00	●
Women in non-agricultural wage employment (%)	30.4	29.9	Increase	●
Under-5 child mortality (per 1,000 live births) <sup>b</sup>	59.7	58.0	28.9	●
Women (aged 15 and above) living with HIV (number, million)	1.58	1.69	Halt or reverse	●
Population with sustainable access to improved water source (%)				
Urban	92.0	95.5	96.7	●
Rural	76.2	82.3	80.7	●
Population with access to improved sanitation (%)				
Urban	70.0	69.2	80.1	●
Rural	33.0	41.8	64.2	●

<sup>a</sup> Long-term projection on-track.

<sup>b</sup> Long-term projection off-track.

INDICATORS	Baseline		Latest		Score
	Year	Value	Year	Value	
<b>Other Regional Outcomes</b>					●
Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita <sup>a</sup>					
GDP per capita (at constant 2000 prices, \$)	2006	1,099	2007	1,198	●
Regional cooperation and integration					
Intraregional trade in the Asia and Pacific region's total trade (%)	2005	52	2007	58	●
Access to basic infrastructure					
Access to telecommunications: fixed lines and mobile telephone subscribers (per 1,000 inhabitants)	2006	398	2007	472	●
Access of rural population to an all-season road (%)	2003	76	—	—	N/A
Household electrification rate (%)	2002	69	2008	73	●
Governance					
Cost to start business (% of gross national income per capita)	2006	41	2008	31	●
Time to start business (days)	2006	43	2008	37	●
Governance and public sector management assessment from country performance assessments	2006	3.3	2008	3.3	●
Environment					
Carbon dioxide emissions (metric tons per capita)	2004	2.4	2005	2.5	●

NA = assessment not possible at this stage.

<sup>a</sup> Long-term projection on-track.

## ADB Results Framework at a Glance 2008 Performance

[Note: The below table assesses only one element of Level 2 performance, and should not be viewed as the sole basis for assessing ADB performance.]

### Level 2: Contribution to Country Outcomes: ADB's Key Sector Outputs

INDICATORS	Baseline 2004-2007	2005-2008	Score
<b>Education</b>			
Classrooms built or upgraded (number)	115,100	51,000	●
Teachers trained (number)	564,000	598,200	●
Students benefiting from school improvement programs or special assistance (number)	19,650,000	18,413,000	●
<b>Energy</b>			
Installed energy generation capacity (MW equiv.)	4,200	3,700	●
Transmission lines installed or upgraded (km)	9,100	17,300	●
Distribution lines installed or upgraded (km)	17,200	26,000	●
New households connected to electricity (number)	751,900	2,334,000	●
Greenhouse gas emission reduction (tCO <sub>2</sub> -equiv/yr)	22,517,000	8,842,000	●
<b>Finance</b>			
Microfinance accounts opened/end borrowers reached (number)	1,182,000	2,193,000	●
SME loan accounts opened/end borrowers reached (number)	5,900	176,700	●
<b>Transport</b>			
Expressways built or upgraded (km)	1,500	1,400	●
National highways, provincial, district, and rural roads built or upgraded (km)	26,900	39,100	●
Railways constructed or/and upgraded (km)	2,400	3,200	●
Beneficiaries from road projects (number)	410,163,000	422,042,000	●
<b>Water</b>			
Water supply pipes installed or upgraded/length of network (km)	19,300	21,300	●
New households served with water supply (number)	2,104,000	1,302,000	●
Wastewater treatment capacity added (in m <sup>3</sup> /day)	1,380,000	1,010,000	●
New households served with sanitation (number)	1,501,000	1,159,000	●
Land improved through irrigation services, drainage, and flood management (hectares)	1,556,000	1,169,000	●

## ADB Results Framework at a Glance 2008 Performance

### Level 3: Operational Effectiveness

INDICATORS	2006 Baseline	2007	2008	2012 Target	Score
<b>Operational Quality and Portfolio Performance</b>					●
Evaluation ratings of results-based CPS (% successful)	TBD	—	—	70	NA
Quality-at-entry rating of CPS (% satisfactory)	33	—	75	80	●
Average annual combined ratings of PPERs, PVRs and PCRs (% successful) <sup>a</sup>	72	73	71	80	●
Project performance rating at implementation (% satisfactory) <sup>a</sup>	90	92	92	Maintain	●✓
Quality-at-entry rating of sovereign projects (% satisfactory)	81	—	85	85	●✓
Perceptions on ADB effectiveness in reducing poverty (% with excellent and good perception)	45	—	—	60	NA
<b>Finance Mobilization</b>					●
Overall disbursement ratio for sovereign operations (%)	23	25	29	Maintain	●✓
Overall disbursement ratio for nonsovereign loans and equity (%)	43	61	45	At least 50	●
Proportion of DVA cofinancing relative to ADB loans & grants approved annually (%) <sup>a</sup>	10	10	13	20	●
<b>Strategic Focus in Operations</b>					●
Proportion of financing for Strategy 2020 core operational areas (%) <sup>b</sup>	79	—	79	80	●
Proportion of projects supporting private sector development (%) <sup>a</sup>	29	35	37	30	●✓
Proportion of projects supporting regional cooperation and integration (%) <sup>a</sup>	7	7	7	15	●
Proportion of projects supporting environmental sustainability (%) <sup>a</sup>	14	17	21	25	●
Proportion of projects with gender mainstreaming (%) <sup>a</sup>	35	30	27	40	●
<b>Knowledge Management</b>					●
Annual MAKE survey assessment rating (%)	54	58	56	60	●
Ratings of TCRs (% successful) <sup>a</sup>	78	78	77	80	●
<b>Partnership</b>					●
Proportion of public sector projects with NGO and/or CSO participation (%)	79	81	77	80	●
New program-based approaches approved (number)	5	19	13	10	●✓
Proportion of CPS and CPR missions conducted jointly with at least one other development partner (% annually)	33	37	39	60	●

● Target achieved and/or exceeded.

NA = assessment not possible at this stage.

<sup>a</sup> Figures represent 3-year averages.

<sup>b</sup> Baseline determined in 2008.

## ADB Results Framework at a Glance 2008 Performance

### Level 4: Organizational Effectiveness

INDICATORS	2006 Baseline	2007	2008	2012 Target	Score
<b>Human Resources</b>					●
Budgeted professional staff and national officers in operations departments (%) <sup>a</sup>	52	53	53	56	●
Budgeted professional staff and national officers in resident missions (%) <sup>a</sup>	42	44	46	48	●
Representation of women professional staff in total (%) <sup>b</sup>	29	29	28	35	●
Staff engagement survey results (index) <sup>c</sup>	60	—	60	67	NA
<b>Budgetary Resources</b>					●
Internal administrative expenses per \$1million of public and private sector project approval (\$'000) <sup>d</sup>	43	36	32	Maintain	●
Internal administrative expenses per project approved (\$ million in 2000 constant prices) <sup>d</sup>	2.8	2.5	2.4	Maintain	●
Internal administrative expenses per \$1 million disbursement (\$'000) <sup>d</sup>	62	53	46	Maintain	●
Internal administrative expenses per project under implementation (\$'000 in 2000 constant prices) <sup>d</sup>	427	411	399	Maintain or increase	●
<b>Business Process and Practices</b>					●
Average time from loan approval to first disbursement in sovereign operations (months) <sup>e</sup>	12.3	12.2	11.8	10	●
Average loan (sovereign operations) processing time (months from fact-finding to loan approval)	21	18	16	18	●✓
Proportion of loans and grants administered by field offices (%)	39	39	38	43	●

● Target achieved and/or exceeded.

NA = assessment not possible at this stage.

<sup>a</sup> Baseline is 2004-2006 average, 2007 and 2008 annual progress reporting.

<sup>b</sup> Baseline determined in 2007. Target value is for 2010.

<sup>c</sup> Baseline determined in 2008.

<sup>d</sup> Figures represent 3-year averages.

<sup>e</sup> Represent average of previous 5 years.