

VIET NAM
COUNTRY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

| Item | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 ^a | 1999 ^a | 2000 ^b |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS | | | | | | |
| A. Income and Growth | | | | | | |
| 1. GDP per Capita (dollars, current) | 287.2 | 336.0 | 360.3 | 357.5 | 366.4 | ** |
| 2. GDP Growth (% in constant prices) | 9.5 | 9.3 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 6.1 |
| Agriculture | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 3.6 |
| Industry | 13.6 | 14.5 | 12.6 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 9.7 |
| Services | 9.8 | 8.8 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 4.4 |
| B. Saving and Investment (current market prices)^c | | | | | | |
| | (percent of GDP) | | | | | |
| 1. Gross Domestic Investment | 27.1 | 28.1 | 28.3 | 23.8 | 20.1 | 22.7 |
| 2. Gross National Saving | 13.6 | 17.6 | 21.4 | 19.2 | 24.1 | 25.0 |
| C. Money and Inflation | | | | | | |
| | (annual percent change) | | | | | |
| 1. Consumer Price Index (end of period) ^c | 12.9 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 9.2 | 0.1 | 2.5 |
| 2. Total Liquidity (M2) | 22.6 | 22.5 | 26.1 | 25.6 | 39.3 | 25.0 |
| D. Government Finance | | | | | | |
| | (percent of GDP) | | | | | |
| 1. Revenue and Grants | 23.3 | 22.9 | 20.9 | 20.5 | 18.8 | 16.9 |
| 2. Expenditure and Onlending | 24.1 | 23.7 | 24.8 | 22.5 | 21.2 | 19.6 |
| 3. Overall Fiscal Surplus/Deficit (-) ^d | -1.4 | -1.3 | -4.8 | -2.6 | -2.8 | -3.2 |
| E. Balance of Payments | | | | | | |
| 1. Merchandise Trade Balance (% of GDP) | -15.2 | -12.7 | -4.9 | -3.7 | 3.8 | 2.5 |
| 2. Current Account Balance (% of GDP) ^e | -13.5 | -10.5 | -6.9 | -4.6 | 4.0 | 2.3 |
| 3. Merchandise Export (\$) growth (annual percent change) | 28.2 | 41.2 | 24.6 | 2.4 | 23.2 | 12.9 |
| 4. Merchandise Import (\$) growth (annual percent change) | 59.3 | 25.5 | -0.2 | -1.1 | 1.1 | 17.3 |
| F. External Payments Indicators | | | | | | |
| 1. Gross Official Reserves (\$ million) | 1,323.0 | 1,673.0 | 1,858.0 | 1,995.0 | 2,947.0 | 3,903.0 |
| (weeks of imports) | 6.6 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 9.9 | 12.5 | 13.9 |
| 2. External Debt Service (% of exports of goods & services) | 12.1 | 9.8 | 11.1 | 13.2 | 10.7 | 9.8 |
| 3. External Debt (% of GDP) | 35.0 | 36.6 | 38.6 | 38.5 | 37.4 | 35.0 |
| Memorandum Items: | | | | | | |
| GDP (current prices, D billion) | 228,892 | 272,036 | 313,623 | 356,197 | 394,310 | 442,258 |
| Exchange Rate (D per \$, average) | 11,038.0 | 11,033.0 | 11,706.0 | 13,297.0 | 13,944.0 | 14,362.0 |
| Population (million) | 72.2 | 73.4 | 74.5 | 75.6 | 76.6 | ** |

** Data not available

^a 1998 and 1999 are staff estimates. Revised GSO figures for 1998 are 5.8 % for GDP, 3.5 % for AFF, 8.3 % for industry, 5.1% for services. Preliminary GSO estimates for 1999 are 4.8% for GDP, 5.2% for AFF, 7.7 % for industry and 2.3% for services.

^b Estimate.

^c Based on end of period.

^d Excluding on grants and including on lending.

^e Excluding official transfers.

Sources: General Statistical Office; State Bank of Viet Nam; Ministry of Finance; International Monetary Fund reports; and staff estimates.

VIET NAM
COUNTRY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

| | 1985 | 1990 | Latest Year |
|--|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| POPULATION INDICATORS | | | |
| Total Population (millions) | 59.9 | 66.2 | 79.3 (1998) |
| Annual Population Growth Rate (% change) | 2.08 | 2.25 | 1.61 (1998) |
| SOCIAL INDICATORS | | | |
| Total Fertility Rate (births per woman) | 4.2 (1987) | 3.3 (1992) | 2.3 (1997) |
| Maternal Mortality Rate (per hundred thousand live births) | ... | ... | 160 (1990-1998) |
| Infant Mortality Rate (below 1 year; per '000 live births) | 47 (1987) | 44 (1992) | 31 (1998) |
| Life Expectancy at Birth (years) | 65 | 67 | 67.8 (1998) |
| Female | 67 | 69 | 70.0 (1998) |
| Male | 63 | 64 | 65.3 (1998) |
| Adult Literacy (%) | 84 | 88 | 92.9 (1998) |
| Primary School Gross Enrollment (%) ^a | 110 (1986/87) | 103 (1991/92) | 114 (1996) |
| Female | ... | ... | ... |
| Lower Secondary School Gross Enrollment (%) ^a | 58 (1986/87) | 44 (1991/92) | 59 (1995/96) |
| Female | ... | ... | ... |
| Upper Secondary School Gross Enrollment (%) ^a | 24 (1986/87) | 13 (1991/92) | 20 (1995/96) |
| Female | ... | ... | ... |
| Child Malnutrition (% of under age 5) | 52 (1980-1985) | ... | 39 (1990-1997) |
| Population Below Poverty Line (%) | ... | ... | 37 (1997/98) |
| Population with Access to Safe Water (%) | 46 (1985-1988) | 27 (1988-1991) | 45 (1990-1998) |
| Population with Access to Sanitation (%) | ... | 18 (1988-1991) | 29 (1990-1998) |
| Public Education Expenditure (as % of GNP) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.2 (1998) |
| Public Health Expenditure (as % of GDP) | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 (1998) |
| Human Development Index | | 0.472 | 0.671 (1998) |
| Human Development Ranking | | 115 | 108 (1998) |
| | | 1980 | Latest Year |
| ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS | | | |
| Energy Efficiency of Emissions | | | |
| GDP per unit of energy use (PPP \$ per kg oil equivalent) | | n.a. | 3.2 (1997) |
| Traditional fuel use (% of total energy use) | | 49.1 | 40.5 (1996) |
| Carbon dioxide emissions (total metric tons) | | 16.8 | 37.6 (1996) |
| Carbon dioxide emissions (per capita metric tons) | | 0.3 | 0.5 (1996) |
| Water Pollution | | | |
| Emissions of organic water pollutants (kg/day) | | n.a. | n.a. |
| Industry share of emissions of organic water pollutants | | | |
| Wood (%) | | n.a. | n.a. |
| Primary Metals (%) | | n.a. | n.a. |
| Paper and Pulp (%) | | n.a. | n.a. |
| Chemical (%) | | n.a. | n.a. |
| Food and Beverages (%) | | n.a. | n.a. |
| Textiles (%) | | n.a. | n.a. |
| Land Use and Deforestation | | | |
| Forest area (sq km) | | n.a. | 91 (1995) |
| Average annual deforestation (sq km) | | n.a. | 1352 (1990-95) |
| Average annual deforestation (% change) | | n.a. | 1.4 (1990-95) |
| Rural population density (people per sq km of arable land) | | n.a. | 1071 (1997) |
| Arable land (% of land area) | | 18.2 | 17.4 (1997) |
| Permanent cropland (% of land area) | | 1.9 | 4.7 (1997) |
| Biodiversity and Protected Areas | | | |
| Nationally protected areas (sq km '000) | | n.a. | 9.9 (1996) |
| Percent of total land area | | n.a. | 3 (1996) |
| Mammals (number of threatened species) | | n.a. | 38 (1996) |
| Birds (number of threatened species) | | n.a. | 47 (1996) |
| Higher plants (number of threatened species) | | n.a. | 341 (1997) |
| Reptiles (number of threatened species) | | n.a. | 12 (1996) |
| Amphibians (number of threatened species) | | n.a. | 1 (1996) |
| Urban | | | |
| Urban population (millions) | | 10.3 | 15 (1998) |
| Percentage urban | | 19 | 20 (1998) |
| Per capita water use (liter/day) - Hanoi | | n.a. | 110 (1998) |
| Wastewater treated (percent) | | n.a. | n.a. (1998) |
| Per capita solid waste generation (kg/day) | | n.a. | 1.2 (1998) |
| Air Pollution | | | |
| City population ('000) | | | |
| Total Suspended particulates (micrograms per cubic meter) | | n.a. | n.a. |
| Sulfur dioxide (micrograms per cubic meter) | | n.a. | n.a. |
| Nitrogen dioxide (micrograms per cubic meter) | | n.a. | n.a. |

^a Gross enrollment ratios are defined as the number enrolled in a level of education, whether or not they belong in the relevant age group for that level, expressed as a percentage of the population in the age group 6-10 years for primary school, 11-14 years for lower secondary school, and 15-17 years for upper secondary school.

VIET NAM

PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE

Table 1 : Implementation, Disbursement Performance and Postevaluation Results

Public Sector Projects only

(as of 31 December 1999)

| A. Project Portfolio | Net Loan Amount \$ million % | | Rating (No.) ^a | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | | Total | | Implementation Progress | | | | Development Objectives | | | | |
| | | | No. | % | HS | S | PS | U | HS | S | PS | U | |
| Agriculture and Natural Resources | 370.7 | 22.3 | 7 | 28.0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Energy | 170.1 | 10.2 | 2 | 8.0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Finance and Industry | 186.2 | 11.2 | 2 | 8.0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Social Infrastructure | 424.7 | 25.6 | 8 | 32.0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transport and Communications | 508.2 | 30.6 | 6 | 24.0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others/Multisector | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1,659.8 | 100.0 | 25 | 100.0 | 2 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| B. Disbursements | OCR | ADF | Total |
|---|------|---------|---------|
| (1) Total funds available for withdrawal (\$ mn, active loans only) | 40.0 | 1,619.8 | 1,659.8 |
| (2) Disbursed amount (\$ mn, cumulative, active loans only) | 0.0 | 469.4 | 469.4 |
| (3) Percentage disbursed [(2)/(1)] (%) | 0.00 | 28.98 | 28.28 |
| (4) Disbursements (\$mn, active loans only, latest year) | 0.0 | 191.2 | 191.2 |
| (5) Disbursement ratio (%) ^b | 0.00 | 16.04 | 16.04 |
| C. Net Transfer of Resources ^c (\$ million) | OCR | ADF | Total |
| Net transfer in 1995 | 0.0 | 45.3 | 45.3 |
| Net transfer in 1996 | 0.0 | 25.8 | 25.8 |
| Net transfer in 1997 | 0.0 | 145.9 | 145.9 |
| Net transfer in 1998 | 0.0 | 124.1 | 124.0 |
| Net transfer in 1999 | 2.5 | 185.8 | 188.3 |

| D. Post-Evaluated Projects (By Year of Approval) | 1968 - 1977 | | 1978 - 1987 | | 1988 - 1999 | | 1968 - 1999 | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | | |
| 1. Postevaluation Rating (as of 31 December 1999) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rated Generally Successful (GS) | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | | |
| Rated Partly Successful (PS) | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 100.0 | | |
| Rated Unsuccessful (US) | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | | |
| No Rating | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 100.0 | | |
| 2. Postevaluation Rating by Sector 1968-99 (as of 31 December 1999) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | GS | | PS | | US | | NR | | Total | |
| | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| Agriculture and Natural Resources | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 60.0 |
| Energy | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 20.0 |
| Finance and Industry | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Social Infrastructure | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 20.0 |
| Transport and Communications | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Others/Multisector | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 100.0 |

^a HS: Highly satisfactory; S: Satisfactory; PS: Partially satisfactory; U: Unsatisfactory.^b Ratio of disbursement during the year over the undisbursed net loan balance less cancellations at the beginning of the year. Effective loans during the year have also been added to the beginning balance of undisbursed loans.^c Includes private sector projects for countries with private sector operations.

VIET NAM
PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE
Table 2: Status of Project Implementation
Public Sector Projects Only
(As of 31 December 1999)

| Sector ^a | Project Title | Net Loan Amount | | Approval Date (mm/yy) | Effectivity Date (mm/yy) | Closing Date | | Project Progress (% complete) | Cum Contract Awards (\$ million) | Cumulative Disbursement (\$ million) | Project Performance Rating ^b | |
|---------------------|--|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|
| | | OCR (\$ million) | ADF | | | Original (mm/yy) | Revised (mm/yy) | | | | Implementation Progress | Development Objective |
| AGR | Rural Credit | | 46.3 | Sep-96 | Apr-97 | Jun-01 | - | 31 | 39.3 | 43.0 | S | S |
| AGR | Rural Infrastructure Sector | | 105.6 | Oct-97 | Apr-98 | Dec-04 | - | 8 | 12.2 | 7.5 | S | S |
| AGR | Irrigation and Flood Protection Rehab. | | 75.1 | Oct-93 | Mar-94 | Dec-98 | Dec-00 | 66 | 49.4 | 40.0 | S | S |
| AGR | Red River Delta Water Resources (Sector) | | 55.8 | Dec-94 | Apr-95 | Sep-00 | - | 55 | 40.4 | 28.2 | S | S |
| AGR | Fisheries Infrastructure Improvement | | 52.5 | Nov-95 | Apr-96 | Dec-02 | - | 55 | 16.7 | 9.8 | S | S |
| AGR | Forestry Sector Project | | 32.8 | Mar-97 | Oct-97 | Dec-03 | - | 6 | 0.1 | 0.7 | S | S |
| AGR | Phuoc Hoa Multipurpose Water Resources | | 2.6 | Dec-97 | Jul-98 | Mar-00 | - | 50 | 2.0 | 0.8 | S | S |
| ENE | Power Distribution Rehabilitation | | 69.9 | Jun-95 | Sep-95 | Dec-99 | - | 55 | 43.6 | 36.6 | PS | S |
| ENE | Central and Southern VN Power Dist. | | 100.3 | Nov-97 | Feb-99 | Dec-02 | - | 12 | 1.9 | 0.4 | S | S |
| F&I | FSPL | | 86.5 | Nov-96 | Feb-97 | Dec-99 | - | 100 | 90.0 | 86.5 | PS | S |
| F&I | SCPL | 40.0 | 59.7 | Dec-99 | - | Dec-03 | - | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | S | S |
| SOC | Population & Family Health | | 40.3 | Sep-96 | Mar-97 | Jun-03 | - | 57 | 26.0 | 18.4 | HS | S |
| SOC | Lower Secondary Education Development | | 50.5 | Sep-97 | Mar-98 | Jun-04 | - | 35 | 6.2 | 3.8 | HS | HS |
| SOC | Vocational & Technical Education | | 52.6 | Dec-98 | Dec-99 | Oct-04 | - | 9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | S | S |
| SOC | Teacher Training | | 24.9 | Dec-99 | - | Jun-06 | - | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | S | S |
| SOC | HCMC Water Supply & Sanitation | | 62.8 | Nov-93 | Apr-95 | Jun-99 | Jun-01 | 64 | 47.5 | 22.0 | S | S |
| SOC | Provincial Towns Water Supply & Sanitation | | 57.8 | Aug-95 | Dec-95 | Dec-00 | - | 50 | 46.0 | 17.1 | S | S |
| SOC | 2nd Prov. Towns WS & Sanitation | | 65.5 | Feb-97 | Nov-97 | Jun-02 | - | 28 | 10.0 | 4.9 | S | S |
| SOC | HCMC Environmental Improvement | | 70.2 | Oct-99 | - | Jun-06 | - | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | S | S |
| T&C | Road Improvement | | 116.4 | Nov-93 | Nov-94 | Dec-98 | Dec-99 | 100 | 109.3 | 93.3 | S | S |
| T&C | Saigon Port | | 28.4 | Mar-95 | Jul-95 | Dec-98 | Jun-00 | 80 | 26.4 | 23.1 | S | S |
| T&C | Second Road Improvement | | 114.2 | Nov-96 | Jul-97 | Dec-01 | - | 24 | 67.4 | 21.8 | PS | S |
| T&C | Third Road Improvement | | 126.8 | Dec-98 | Sep-99 | Dec-03 | - | 0 | 54.3 | 5.4 | S | S |
| T&C | PP-HCMC Highway Project | | 97.5 | Dec-98 | Nov-99 | Jun-03 | - | 0 | 42.4 | 6.0 | S | S |
| T&C | East-West Corridor | | 24.9 | Dec-99 | - | Dec-04 | - | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | S | S |
| | Total | 40.0 | 1,619.8 | | | | | | 731.1 | 469.4 | | |

^a Sector:

AGR: Agriculture & Natural Resources

ENE: Energy

F&I: Finance and Industry

SOC: Social Infrastructure

T&C: Transport and Communications

OTH: Others/Multisector

^b HS: Highly satisfactory; S: Satisfactory; PS: Partially satisfactory; U: Unsatisfactory.

| VIET NAM OVERALL EXTERNAL ASSISTANCE * (in \$ million) | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| External Source | Past 3 Years (annual average) | | 1999 | |
| | Loan/Credit | TA | Loan/Credit | TA |
| A. Multilateral Assistance | | | | |
| ADB | 89.8 | ** | 185.1 | ** |
| EC | - | 0.3 | - | 3.0 |
| IFAD | 3.6 | ** | 5.7 | ** |
| IMF | 58.3 | - | - | - |
| UNICEF | - | 5.3 | - | 4.1 |
| UNDP | - | 2.0 | - | 4.2 |
| UNFPA | - | 7.0 | - | 7.0 |
| World Bank | 212.2 | ** | 158.9 | ** |
| WFP | - | 7.4 | - | 7.4 |
| Other UN Agencies | - | 9.1 | - | 2.9 |
| Subtotal | 363.9 | 31.1 | 349.7 | 28.5 |
| B. Bilateral Assistance | | | | |
| Australia | - | 43.8 | - | 48.5 |
| Belgium | 6.1 | 9.2 | 3.4 | - |
| Canada | - | 14.4 | - | 13.8 |
| Denmark | 1.9 | 29.4 | 5.4 | 29.9 |
| Finland | 0.6 | 7.2 | 1.5 | - |
| France | 37.6 | 23.0 | 54.4 | 15.2 |
| Germany | 10.8 | 39.8 | 13.8 | 32.3 |
| Italy | 0.0 | 0.9 | - | - |
| Japan | 177.7 | ** | 505.3 | ** |
| South Korea | 8.1 | ** | 8.7 | ** |
| Netherlands | 0.7 | 20.8 | - | - |
| New Zealand | - | 2.6 | - | 2.4 |
| Spain | 9.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | - |
| Sweden | 17.0 | 30.1 | 13.4 | 33.4 |
| Switzerland | 2.7 | 29.2 | 0.9 | 8.3 |
| United Kingdom | - | 7.9 | - | - |
| United States | - | 5.2 | - | 5.6 |
| Others | 3.3 | 37.0 | - | 36.7 |
| Subtotal | 272.5 | 295.8 | 607.5 | 226.1 |
| Total | 636.4 | 326.9 | 957.2 | 254.6 |
| Memo Items: | | | | |
| External Assistance as % of Current Expenditures | 15.0 | - | 25.5 | - |
| External Assistance as % of Capital Expenditures | 41.9 | - | 43.0 | - |
| Approvals from major sources | | | | |
| ADB | 325.5 | 10.5 | 220.0 | 10.3 |
| World Bank | 425.3 | - | 369.0 | - |
| Japan | 805.6 | 78.0 | 908.0 | 35.5 |
| France | 101.8 | 0.3 | 88.8 | - |

** Data not available.
* On disbursement basis.
* In 1998, loan disbursements include quick disbursing credit for debt reduction.
Sources: Viet Nam's Development Partners, Ministry of Planning and Investment, Ministry of Finance and UNDP, December 1999.

| VIET NAM OVERALL EXTERNAL ASSISTANCE (in \$ million) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| External Source | Past 3-5 Years (annual average) | | 1999 Approvals | |
| | Loan/Credit | TA | Loan/Credit | TA |
| A. Multilateral Assistance | | | | |
| ADB | 101.9 | 9.8 | 206.3 | - |
| UNDP ^a | - | 15.9 | - | 19.0 |
| World Bank ^b | 208.0 | 12.0 | 200.0 | 10.0 |
| Subtotal | 309.9 | 37.7 | 406.3 | 29.0 |
| B. Bilateral Assistance | | | | |
| Australia | - | 43.8 | - | 48.5 |
| Canada | - | 14.4 | - | 13.8 |
| France | 37.2 | 23.0 | 52.4 | 15.2 |
| Germany | 9.5 | 39.8 | - | - |
| Italy | 0.1 | 0.9 | - | - |
| Japan | 139.7 | 100.8 | - | - |
| United Kingdom | - | 7.9 | - | - |
| United States | - | 5.2 | - | 5.6 |
| Subtotal | 186.5 | 233.8 | 52.4 | 83.1 |
| Total | 496.5 | 271.5 | 458.7 | 112.1 |
| Memo Items: | | | | |
| External Assistance as % of Current Expenditures | | | | |
| External Assistance as % of Capital Expenditures | | | | |

^a Includes all funds administered by UNDP, including UNCDF, GEF and regional programmes.
^b In 1998, loan disbursements include quick disbursing credit for debt reduction.
Sources: Viet Nam's Development Partners, Ministry of Planning and Investment and UNDP, December 1999.

| Vietnam (\$ million, disbursed) | | | | | | | | | | | | Loan Disbursements | | | | Grant Disbursements | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| No | Agency | 1995 | | 1997 | | 1998 | | 1999 | | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Loan | TA | Loan | TA | Loan | TA | Loan | TA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Multilateral Assistance | | | | | | | | | | | | 28.80 | 149.40 | 127.80 | 206.30 | 9.60 | 10.40 | 9.40 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | ADB | 29.90 | 123.00 | 115.80 | 185.10 | ** | ** | ** | ** | 89.81 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 28.80 | 149.40 | 127.80 | 206.30 | 9.60 | 10.40 | 9.40 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | EC | - | - | - | - | 0.08 | 0.10 | - | - | #DIV/0! | 0.32 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10.34 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | IFAD | 2.40 | 3.90 | 4.40 | 5.70 | ** | ** | ** | ** | 3.58 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 189.00 | 181.00 | 254.00 | 200.00 | est | 17.60 | 12.50 | 5.90 | 10.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | IMF | - | 58.30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 175.00 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 189.00 | 181.00 | 254.00 | 200.00 | est | 17.60 | 12.50 | 5.90 | 10.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | UNICEF | - | - | - | - | 7.44 | 4.30 | - | - | #DIV/0! | 5.35 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | UNDP | - | - | - | - | 1.45 | 0.98 | - | - | #DIV/0! | 2.01 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | UNFPA | - | - | - | - | 2.83 | 4.38 | - | - | #DIV/0! | 7.01 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | WFP | 202.70 | 178.30 | 235.60 | 158.88 | ** | ** | ** | ** | 212.20 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | WFP | - | - | - | - | 6.76 | 10.01 | - | - | #DIV/0! | 7.35 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other UN Agencies | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 9.06 | 23.80 | 39.60 | 48.30 | 52.40 | est | 32.00 | 18.40 | 18.60 | 15.20 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | FAO | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.10 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 7.60 | 6.94 | 14.00 | - | 45.40 | 33.59 | 40.49 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | ICP | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.02 | - | - | 0.84 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | | | | | | | | 235.00 | 480.67 | 376.00 | 349.71 | 28.11 | 38.24 | 28.95 | 28.50 | 363.92 | 31.10 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 238.00 | 39.60 | 48.30 | 52.40 | est | 32.00 | 18.40 | 18.60 | 15.20 |
| Bilateral Assistance | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.13 | 44.95 | 46.25 | 48.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.80 | 14.20 | 13.10 | 13.80 | | | | | |
| 1 | Australia | - | - | - | - | 40.13 | 44.95 | 46.25 | 48.51 | #DIV/0! | 43.78 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Belgium | 7.00 | 4.21 | 3.38 | 3.38 | 8.40 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | #DIV/0! | 6.07 | 9.20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Canada | - | - | - | - | 11.80 | 14.30 | 13.10 | 13.80 | #DIV/0! | 14.37 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Denmark | 1.90 | 2.20 | 5.40 | 5.40 | 28.40 | 30.00 | 31.80 | 29.94 | #DIV/0! | 1.85 | 29.44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Finland | 0.60 | 0.60 | 1.47 | 1.47 | 6.13 | 6.80 | 6.80 | 6.80 | #DIV/0! | 0.62 | 7.22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | France | 25.50 | 47.34 | 39.57 | 54.44 | 32.00 | 18.40 | 18.60 | 15.20 | #DIV/0! | 37.58 | 23.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Germany | 10.30 | 39.80 | 13.80 | 13.81 | 35.40 | 39.80 | 40.80 | 32.28 | #DIV/0! | 10.76 | 39.83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Italy | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | #DIV/0! | 0.95 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Japan | 43.40 | 183.22 | 398.50 | 505.26 | ** | ** | ** | ** | 177.71 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | South Korea | 8.20 | 8.90 | 7.90 | 8.71 | 28.90 | 17.10 | 16.30 | ** | 8.14 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Netherlands | 0.70 | 20.80 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 20.77 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | New Zealand | - | 2.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.74 | 2.84 | 2.34 | 2.41 | 0.00 | 2.84 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Spain | 9.30 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.28 | 0.20 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Sweden | 17.00 | 30.10 | 13.40 | 13.43 | 30.00 | 34.00 | 35.30 | 33.36 | #DIV/0! | 17.04 | 30.12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Switzerland | 2.70 | 29.20 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 69.90 | 7.77 | 10.00 | 8.32 | #DIV/0! | 2.74 | 29.24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | United Kingdom | - | 7.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.97 | 8.93 | 7.91 | 7.91 | #DIV/0! | 0.00 | 7.94 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | USA | - | 5.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 5.60 | 5.60 | #DIV/0! | 0.00 | 3.20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Others | 3.30 | 37.00 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 31.44 | 36.92 | 40.74 | 36.68 | #DIV/0! | 3.35 | 37.03 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Austria | - | - | - | - | 4.10 | 1.00 | 0.10 | - | #DIV/0! | 0.89 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Denmark | - | - | - | - | 2.20 | 2.20 | 2.20 | - | #DIV/0! | 0.00 | 1.13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | France | - | - | - | - | 1.45 | 0.98 | 0.90 | - | #DIV/0! | 2.01 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Germany | - | - | - | - | 35.40 | 39.80 | 40.80 | - | #DIV/0! | 10.76 | 39.83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Italy | - | - | - | - | 0.84 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | #DIV/0! | 0.95 | #DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Japan | - | - | - | - | 177.70 | 505.26 | 505.26 | 226.10 | #DIV/0! | 177.71 | 505.26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.13 | 44.95 | 46.25 | 48.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.80 | 14.20 | 13.10 | 13.80 | | | | | |
| Grand Total | | | | | | | | | | | | 235.00 | 480.67 | 376.00 | 349.71 | 28.11 | 38.24 | 28.95 | 28.50 | 363.92 | 31.10 | 363.92 | 31.10 | 238.00 | 39.60 | 48.30 | 52.40 | est | 32.00 | 18.40 | 18.60 | 15.20 |
| Current Expenditure | | | | | | | | | | | | 441.00 | 4460.00 | 3957.00 | 3748.00 | - | - | - | - | 636.43 | 326.94 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Capital Expenditure | | | | | | | | | | | | 7.88369984 | 17.4387181 | 19.7164104 | 25.5394205 | - | - | - | - | 2224.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| % of Current | | | | | | | | | | | | 22.4935317 | 50.478044 | 52.6345895 | 43.0386691 | - | - | - | - | 22/25/2000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| % of Capital | | | | | | | | | | | | 22.4935317 | 50.478044 | 52.6345895 | 43.0386691 | - | - | - | - | 22/25/2000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ** Data not available | | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Capital Expenditure | | | | | | | | | | | | 343.80 | 726.60 | 759.50 | 939.00 | - | - | - | - | 333.00 | 359.60 | 284.00 | 220.00 | 189.00 | 181.00 | 254.00 | 200.00 | est | 17.60 | 12.50 | 5.90 | 10.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

VIET NAM
LENDING PIPELINE AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, 2001-2003

| Sector/Project Name | Poverty Classification ^{a*} | Crosscutting Operational Priority ^{b*} | Responsible Division | Year of PPTA | PROJECT COST (in \$ million) | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|
| | | | | | TOTAL | ADB | | | Gov't | Cofinancing (Others) |
| | | | | | | OCR | ADF | Total | | |
| 2001 FIRM LOANS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agriculture and Natural Resources | | | | | | | | | | |
| Central Region Poverty Reduction | CPI | GG | AWAR | 2000 | 47.3 | - | 47.3 | 47.3 | - | -) |
| Second Red River Water Resources (Sector) | PI | ENV | AWFN | 1998 | 105.0 | | 70.0 | 70.0 | - | 35.0 |
| Subtotal | | | | | 152.3 | - | 117.3 | 117.3 | - | 35.0 |
| Social Infrastructure | | | | | | | | | | |
| Third Provincial Towns Water Supply and Sanitation | PI | HD | AWWU | 1999 | 60.0 | - | 60.0 | 60.0 | - | -) |
| Subtotal | | | | | 60.0 | - | 60.0 | 60.0 | - | -) |
| Transport and Communications | | | | | | | | | | |
| Provincial Roads Improvement | NC | GG | IWTC | 2000 | 62.7 | - | 62.7 | 62.7 | - | -) |
| Subtotal | | | | | 62.7 | - | 62.7 | 62.7 | - | -) |
| Total | | | | | 275.0 | - | 240.0 | 240.0 | - | 35.0 |
| 2001 STANDBY LOAN | | | | | | | | | | |
| Finance and Industry | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Financial Sector Development II | NC | ECO/GG | IWFI | 1998 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | - | -) |
| Total | | | | | 100.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | - | -) |
| 2002 FIRM LOANS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agriculture and Natural Resources | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Agriculture Sector Development Program | ODI | PSD | AWAR | 1999 | 150.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 |
| 2 Phuoc Hoa Water Resources | ODI | ENV | AWFN | 1997 | 160.0 | - | 80.0 | 80.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 |
| Subtotal | | | | | 310.0 | 50.0 | 130.0 | 180.0 | 40.0 | 90.0 |
| Energy | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 Power Sector Development | ODI | ENV/RC | IWEN | 1999 | 300.0 | 80.0 | - | 80.0 | 90.0 | 130.0 |
| Subtotal | | | | | 300.0 | 80.0 | - | 80.0 | 90.0 | 130.0 |
| Social Infrastructure | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 Upper Secondary Education Development | PI | HD | AWEH | 2001 | 70.0 | - | 50.0 | 50.0 | 20.0 | TBD |
| Subtotal | | | | | 70.0 | - | 50.0 | 50.0 | 20.0 | TBD |
| Transport and Communications | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 GMS: Kunming-Haiphong Corridor | ODI | RC | IWTC | 2000 | 100.0 | - | 60.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Subtotal | | | | | 100.0 | - | 60.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Total | | | | | 780.0 | 130.0 | 240.0 | 370.0 | 170.0 | 240.0 |
| 2002 STANDBY LOANS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agriculture and Natural Resources | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Central Provinces Water Resources | PI | ENV | AWFN | 2001 | 130.0 | - | 80.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 |
| Subtotal | | | | | 130.0 | - | 80.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 |
| Social Infrastructure | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Low-Income Housing and Urban Development | PI | HD | AWWU | 2001 | 110.0 | - | 75.0 | 75.0 | 35.0 | TBD |
| Subtotal | | | | | 110.0 | - | 75.0 | 75.0 | 35.0 | TBD |
| Total | | | | | 240.0 | - | 155.0 | 155.0 | 55.0 | TBD |

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions.

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD = Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation.

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

VIET NAM
LENDING PIPELINE AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, 2001-2003

| Sector/Project Name | Poverty Classification ^{a*} | Crosscutting Operational Priority ^{b*} | Responsible Division | Year of PPTA | PROJECT COST (in \$ million) | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|
| | | | | | TOTAL | ADB | | | Gov't | Cofinancing (Others) |
| | | | | | | OCR | ADF | Total | | |
| 2003 FIRM LOANS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agriculture and Natural Resources | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Central Highlands Livelihood and Natural Resource Management | PI | ENV | AWFN/AWAR | 2001 | 90.0 | - | 50.0 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| 2 Central Provinces Water Resources | PI | ENV | AWFN | 2001 | 130.0 | - | 80.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 |
| Subtotal | | | | | 220.0 | - | 130.0 | 130.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 |
| Finance and Industry | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 SME Development/Microfinance | ODI | PSD | IWFI/AWAR | 2001 | 160.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 |
| Subtotal | | | | | 160.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 |
| Social Infrastructure | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 Low-Income Housing and Urban Development | PI | HD | AWWU | 2001 | 110.0 | - | 75.0 | 75.0 | 35.0 | TBD |
| Subtotal | | | | | 110.0 | - | 75.0 | 75.0 | 35.0 | TBD |
| Total | | | | | 490.0 | 50.0 | 255.0 | 305.0 | 95.0 | 90.0 |
| 2003 STANDBY LOANS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Energy | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Power Transmission and Distribution | ODI | | IWEN | 2002 | 160.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 80.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 |
| Subtotal | | | | | 160.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 80.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 |
| Social Infrastructure | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Health Sector Development | PI | HD | AWEH | 2002 | 100.0 | - | 60.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| 3 Lower Secondary Education Development II | PI | HD | AWEH | 2002 | 70.0 | - | 50.0 | 50.0 | 20.0 | TBD |
| Subtotal | | | | | 170.0 | - | 110.0 | 110.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 |
| Transport and Communications | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 Central Region Road Sector | PI | | IWTC | 2002 | 110.0 | - | 60.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 |
| Subtotal | | | | | 110.0 | - | 60.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 |
| Total | | | | | 440.0 | 50.0 | 200.0 | 250.0 | 90.0 | 80.0 |

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions.

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD = Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation.

^{*} This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

VIET NAM
LENDING PIPELINE AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, 2001-2003

| Sector/Project Name | Responsible Division | Type of TA | Amount (\$ '000) | | |
|--|----------------------|------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | | ADB | Others | Total |
| 2001 FIRM TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM | | | | | |
| Agriculture and Natural Resources | | | | | |
| 1 Central Highlands Livelihood and Natural Resource Management | AWFN | PPTA | 1,000.0 | - | 1,000.0 |
| 2 Assessment and Strengthening of Coastal Management Institutions | AWFN | ADTA | 400.0 | - | 400.0 |
| 3 Legal Framework for Sustainable Microfinance | AWAR | ADTA | 300.0 | - | 300.0 |
| 4 Capacity Building for Central Region Poverty Reduction | AWAR | ADTA | 800.0 | - | 800.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>2,500.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>2,500.0</u> |
| Energy | | | | | |
| 5 Implementation of the Power Sector Road Map and Grid Code | IWEN | ADTA | 400.0 | - | 400.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>400.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>400.0</u> |
| Social Infrastructure | | | | | |
| 8 Upper Secondary Education Development | AWEH | PPTA | | 600.0 | 600.0 |
| 9 Low Income Housing and Urban Development | AWWU | PPTA | 900.0 | - | 900.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>900.0</u> | <u>600.0</u> | <u>1,500.0</u> |
| Others/Multisector | | | | | |
| 11 Improving Project Financial Management and Portfolio Performance | COPP | ADTA | 500.0 | | 500.0 |
| 12 Gender Strategy and Action Plan | SOCD | ADTA | 350.0 | | 350.0 |
| Improvement of Resettlement Legal Framework & Institutional Capacity | SOCD | ADTA | 250.0 | | 250.0 |
| 13 Institutional Support to the Office of the Government | PW3 | ADTA | 500.0 | | 500.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>1,600.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>1,600.0</u> |
| Total | | | <u>5,400.0</u> | <u>600.0</u> | <u>6,000.0</u> |
| 2001 STANDBY TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM | | | | | |
| Agriculture and Natural Resources | | | | | |
| Central Provinces Water Resources Management | AWFN | PPTA | 1,000.0 | | 1,000.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>1,000.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>1,000.0</u> |
| Transport and Communications | | | | | |
| GMS: Kunming-Haiphong Economic Corridor | IWTC | PPTA | 600.0 | | 600.0 |
| Central Region Transportation Master Plan (Update) | IWTC | ADTA | 400.0 | | 400.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>1,000.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>1,000.0</u> |
| Finance and Industry | | | | | |
| Strengthening of Capital Markets Institutions | IWFI | ADTA | 700.0 | | 700.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>700.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>700.0</u> |
| Others/Multisector | | | | | |
| Legal Sector Capacity Building and Reform | OGC | ADTA | 750.0 | | 750.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>750.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>750.0</u> |
| Total | | | <u>3,450.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>3,450.0</u> |
| 2002 FIRM TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM | | | | | |
| Agriculture and Natural Resources | | | | | |
| 1 Central Region Coastal Management | AWFN | PPTA | 600.0 | - | 600.0 |
| 2 Support for Implementation of Agriculture Policy Reforms | AWAR | ADTA | 1,000.0 | - | 1,000.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>1,600.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>1,600.0</u> |
| Energy | | | | | |
| 3 Power Transmission and Distribution | IWEN | PPTA | 750.0 | - | 750.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>750.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>750.0</u> |
| Finance and Industry | | | | | |
| 4 SME Development/Microfinance | IWFI/AWAR | ADTA | 500.0 | | 500.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>500.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>500.0</u> |
| Social Infrastructure | | | | | |
| 5 Lower Secondary Education Development II | AWEH | PPTA | 600.0 | - | 600.0 |
| 6 Capacity Building for Upper Secondary Education | AWEH | ADTA | 600.0 | - | 600.0 |
| 7 Health Sector Development | AWEH | PPTA | 600.0 | - | 600.0 |
| 8 Provincial Towns Urban Development | AWWU | PPTA | 800.0 | - | 800.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>2,600.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>2,600.0</u> |
| Transport and Communications | | | | | |
| 9 Central Region Road Sector | IWTC | PPTA | 800.0 | - | 800.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>800.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>800.0</u> |
| Others/Multisector | | | | | |
| 10 Public Financial Management | VRM/PWOD | ADTA | 2,000.0 | - | 2,000.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>2,000.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>2,000.0</u> |
| Total Firm | | | <u>8,250.0</u> | <u>TBD</u> | <u>8,250.0</u> |

VIET NAM
LENDING PIPELINE AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, 2001-2003

| Sector/Project Name | Responsible Division | Type of TA | Amount (\$ '000) | | |
|--|----------------------|------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | | ADB | Others | Total |
| Standby | | | | | |
| Finance and Industry | | | | | |
| Social Safety Nets and Financial Markets Development | IWFI | ADTA | 600.0 | - | 600.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>600.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>600.0</u> |
| Social Infrastructure | | | | | |
| Public-Private Partnership in Vocational and Technical Training | AWEH | ADTA | 250.0 | - | 250.0 |
| GMS Prevention and Control of HIV/AIDS | AWEH | ADTA | 1,000.0 | 500.0 | 1,500.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>1,250.0</u> | <u>500.0</u> | <u>1,750.0</u> |
| Total Standby | | | <u>1,850.0</u> | <u>500.0</u> | <u>2,350.0</u> |
| 2003 FIRM TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM | | | | | |
| Agriculture and Natural Resources | | | | | |
| 1 Water Resources Sector Development | AWFN | PPTA | 1,000.0 | - | 1,000.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>1,000.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>1,000.0</u> |
| Energy | | | | | |
| 2 Strengthening of Transmission and Distribution Systems | IWEN | ADTA | 500.0 | - | 500.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>500.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>500.0</u> |
| Finance and Industry | | | | | |
| 3 Social Safety Nets and Financial Markets Development | IWFI | ADTA | 600.0 | - | 600.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>600.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>600.0</u> |
| Social Infrastructure | | | | | |
| 4 Public-Private Partnership in Vocational and Technical Training | AWEH | ADTA | 250.0 | - | 250.0 |
| 5 GMS Prevention and Control of HIV/AIDS | AWEH | ADTA | 1,000.0 | 500.0 | 1,500.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>1,250.0</u> | <u>500.0</u> | <u>1,750.0</u> |
| Others/Multisector | | | | | |
| 6 Institutions Support for Improving Public Service Efficiency, Phase II | VRM/PWD | ADTA | 1,500.0 | - | 1,500.0 |
| 7 Legal and Judicial Sector Capacity Building and Reform | OGC | ADTA | 1,000.0 | - | 1,000.0 |
| | Subtotal | | <u>2,500.0</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>2,500.0</u> |
| Total | | | <u>5,850.0</u> | <u>500.0</u> | <u>6,350.0</u> |

VIET NAM
FIRM LENDING PROGRAM, 2001-2003
BY POVERTY CLASSIFICATION, CROSSCUTTING OPERATIONAL PRIORITY AND SECTOR

| Classification | 2001 | | 2002-2003 | |
|--|----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| | No. | % | No. | % |
| I. By Poverty Classification* | | | | |
| A. Core Poverty Intervention | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| B. Poverty Intervention (Non-core) | 2 | 40.0 | 4 | 44.4 |
| C. Other Development Interventions | 2 | 40.0 | 5 | 55.6 |
| Total | 5 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 |
| II. By Crosscutting Operational Priority* | | | | |
| A. Environment | 2 | 50.0 | 4 | 44.4 |
| B. Gender and Development | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| C. Good Governance | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| D. Human Development | 1 | 25.0 | 2 | 22.2 |
| E. Private Sector Development | 1 | 25.0 | 2 | 22.2 |
| F. Regional Cooperation | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Total | 4 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 |
| III. By Sector | | | | |
| A. Agriculture and Natural Resources | 2 | 40.0 | 4 | 44.4 |
| B. Energy | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 11.1 |
| C. Finance and Industry | 1 | 20.0 | 1 | 11.1 |
| D. Social Infrastructure | 1 | 20.0 | 2 | 22.2 |
| E. Transport and Communications | 1 | 20.0 | 1 | 11.1 |
| F. Others/Multisector | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 5 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 |

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

VIET NAM
LENDING PROGRAM, 2001-2003
BY POVERTY CLASSIFICATION, CROSSCUTTING OPERATIONAL PRIORITY AND SECTOR

| Classification | 2001 (Firm) | | | | 2002-2003 | | | | 2001-2003 | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | No. | % | Amount | % | No. | % | Amount | % | No. | % | Amount | % |
| I. By Poverty Classification | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A. Core Poverty Intervention | 1 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 12.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 7.1 | 40.0 | 4.1 |
| B. Poverty Intervention (Non-core) | 2 | 40.0 | 120.0 | 38.7 | 4 | 44.4 | 255.0 | 37.8 | 6 | 42.9 | 375.0 | 38.1 |
| C. Other Development Interventions | 2 | 40.0 | 150.0 | 48.4 | 5 | 55.6 | 420.0 | 62.2 | 7 | 50.0 | 570.0 | 57.9 |
| Total | 5 | 100.0 | 310.0 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 675.0 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 985.0 | 100.0 |
| II. By Crosscutting Operational Priority | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A. Environment | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 38.5 | 4 | 44.4 | 290.0 | 43.0 | 6 | 46.2 | 390.0 | 41.7 |
| B. Gender and Development | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| C. Good Governance | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| D. Human Development | 1 | 25.0 | 60.0 | 23.1 | 2 | 22.2 | 125.0 | 18.5 | 3 | 23.1 | 185.0 | 19.8 |
| E. Private Sector Development | 1 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 38.5 | 2 | 22.2 | 200.0 | 29.6 | 3 | 23.1 | 300.0 | 32.1 |
| F. Regional Cooperation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 11.1 | 60.0 | 8.9 | 1 | 7.7 | 60.0 | 6.4 |
| Total | 4 | 100.0 | 260.0 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 675.0 | 100.0 | 13 | 100.0 | 935.0 | 100.0 |
| III. By Sector | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A. Agriculture and Natural Resources | 2 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 32.3 | 4 | 44.4 | 310.0 | 45.9 | 6 | 42.9 | 410.0 | 41.6 |
| B. Energy | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 11.1 | 80.0 | 11.9 | 1 | 7.1 | 80.0 | 8.1 |
| C. Finance and Industry | 1 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 32.3 | 1 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 14.8 | 2 | 14.3 | 200.0 | 20.3 |
| D. Social Infrastructure | 1 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 19.4 | 2 | 22.2 | 125.0 | 18.5 | 3 | 21.4 | 185.0 | 18.8 |
| E. Transport and Communications | 1 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 16.1 | 1 | 11.1 | 60.0 | 8.9 | 2 | 14.3 | 110.0 | 11.2 |
| F. Others/Multisector | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 5 | 100.0 | 310.0 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 675.0 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 985.0 | 100.0 |

VIET NAM

| PROJECT PROFILE | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|---|--|--------------|
| 1. Project Name: Second Red River Water Resources Sector | | | 2. Sector/Subsector: Agriculture and Natural Resources/ Irrigation and Rural Development | | |
| 3. Poverty Classification: ^{a *} PI | | | 4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^{b *} ENV | | |
| 5. Rationale & Objectives: Eighty percent of the poor in Viet Nam are farmers, who are dependent upon reliable and timely irrigation and drainage services (especially for rice cultivation) and vulnerable to the consequences of droughts, floods and poor water quality. Poverty is closely linked to unreliable and insufficient access to water and drainage services. Rehabilitation of irrigation and drainage infrastructure and improvement of water management is therefore a self-targeting poverty intervention. In order to be effective, such interventions must be planned and executed in a river basin framework. Viet Nam has started devolving water resources sector responsibilities to the river basin level but its institutions and arrangements need to be strengthened. The objective of the proposed Project is to improve agricultural performance (incomes) of poorer communities through sustainable improvements in irrigation, better drainage, watershed protection and flood protection, within a framework of integrated water resource management in the Red River basin. | | | 6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs: (i) Consultation meetings are being carried out with stakeholders ; (ii) Selection of subprojects will be made adopting a consultative approach with stakeholders in selected provinces; (iii) Participating communities will be requested during the social preparation phase to prepare a village-level poverty reduction plan that shows how project activities together with their own initiatives will achieve the objectives of the project. | | |
| 7. Scope: The Project scope has been organized into two parts to address this objective. Part A will address aspects related to integrated water resource management and associated institution building, while Part B will address the provision of infrastructure improvements and the necessary agricultural support services at community level to optimize the benefits from the water services. | | | | | |
| 8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan: | | | Remarks In view of the need for further water resources investments in the Red River basin with focus on poverty reduction and based on the lessons learned from the ongoing sector project, the Government expressed the need for a more integrated and decentralized approach. The preliminary analysis of the proposed project shows opportunities for poverty reduction, positive environmental impact, and a participatory and socially acceptable design. Cofinancing interest expressed by France and Netherlands. | | |
| Loan Project Cost (\$m) | | | | | |
| Financing (Source) | FC | LC | | | Total |
| ADB | 35.8 | 24.2 | | | 60.0 |
| Co-financing | | 25.0 | | | 25.0 |
| Borrower | | 35.0 | | | 35.0 |
| Sub-borrowers | | | | | - |
| Total | 35.8 | 84.2 | 120.0 | | |
| 9. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups: The Project will have a direct impact on farm incomes, nutritional levels and living standards in the Red River Basin Delta. Increased rice production will be achieved in areas where cropping intensity is low due to drainage problems and higher yielding varieties and diversified crops can be introduced as a result of improved irrigation performance. The economy as a whole will benefit through increased rice exports. | | | | | |
| 10. Executing Agency: Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development | | | 11. Project Implementation Period: Start: 2001 End: 2006 | | |
| 12. Environment Category: B | | | 13. Processing Year: 2001 | | |

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions.

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD = Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation.

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

VIET NAM

| PROJECT PROFILE | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|--|--|--------------|
| 1. Project Name: Central Region Poverty Reduction Project | | | 2. Sector/Subsector: Agriculture and Natural Resources/ Irrigation and Rural Development | | |
| 3. Poverty Classification: ^{a*} CPI | | | 4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^{b*} ENV | | |
| 5. Rationale & Objectives: The incidence of income poverty in the Central Region is about 45 percent, with a food poverty line of 20 percent. It is the least developed region of the country with a per capita GDP of \$218, which is about 62% of the national average of \$350. The highest incidence of poverty can be found among the region's farmers. These poor households generally have limited land holdings, suffer from low agricultural productivity, lack outside employment opportunities for surplus labor and, for the most part, have no ready access to savings and credit. The highest levels of underemployment in the country are also found in this region. | | | 6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs: The Project is being formulated through a project preparation technical assistance (TA). The TA will make use of extensive participatory analysis and inputs from may different stakeholder and beneficiary groups, local and national government authorities. Workshops will be convened in Hanoi and regional locations to facilitate consultations with target beneficiaries and stakeholders. | | |
| 7. Scope: The Project will seek to achieve the following: (i) Improving rural productivity, food and income security; (ii) improving infrastructure, access to financial services and mobilizing the private sector; (iii) effective natural resource management; (iv) greater participation and empowerment of the rural poor; and (v) improving decision making and public sector management. The Project will likely include the following components: (i) increased food security and household incomes through improved on--farm productive activities in agriculture (crop production, livestock, aquaculture/fishery, forestry) and off-farm employment opportunities through access to rural financial services; (ii) support for small-scale village/community level infrastructure; (iii) capacity building of local government authorities and beneficiaries to successfully plan and implement project activities; and (iv) strengthening community participation in provision of services and upgrading of local technical skills to facilitate village-level productive activities. | | | | | |
| 8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan: | | | Remarks Preliminary cofinancing interest from DFID | | |
| Loan Project Cost (\$m) | | | | | |
| Financing (Source) | | | | | |
| | FC | LC | | | Total |
| ADB | tbd | tbd | | | 40.0 |
| Co-financing | tbd | tbd | | | 5.0 |
| Borrower | tbd | tbd | 15.0 | | |
| Total | tbd | tbd | 60.0 | | |
| 9. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups: The Project will contribute to reduction in the level of absolute poverty in the project area, improvement in the status and quality of life of vulnerable groups, and improved natural resource management. | | | | | |
| 10. Executing Agency: Local Government through relevant Provincial People's Committees. | | | 11. Project Implementation Period: Start: 2001 End: tbd | | |
| 12. Environment Category: A | | | 13. Processing Year: 2001 | | |

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions.

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD = Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation.

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

VIET NAM

| PROJECT PROFILE | | | | |
|--|-------------|---|--------------|---|
| 1. Project Name: Provincial roads Improvement Project | | 2. Sector/Subsector: Transport/Rural Roads | | |
| 3. Poverty Classification: ^{a*} ODI | | 4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^{b*} | | |
| 5. Rationale & Objectives: Despite the overall good coverage of the road system in Viet Nam, most of it is in poor condition. Initial efforts in the road subsector focussed on National Highway No. 1. Aid agencies also provided assistance for improving/upgrading other important components of the national highway network as well as the district and commune networks. Little attention has been given to the provincial road network that provides for continuity between the national, district and commune road networks. Therefore the overall goal of the project will be to help the Government implement its poverty reduction programs by providing assistance for the improvement of the provincial road networks in 17 provinces located in the northern part of the country. These improvements will be economically feasible, technically sound, cost effective, and environmentally and socially responsible. Activities and assistance to rationalize and improve the policies directing and the capacities of the provincial departments of transport will be included. | | 6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs: The Project is being formulated through a project preparation technical assistance (TA). The TA involves consultations with representatives of local communities, community leaders and various other stakeholders. NGOs active in the field will also be consulted. Feedback from such consultations will be used in designing the project, its implementation and sustainability arrangements. | | |
| 7. Scope: The Project will have the following four components: (i) Improvement of about 4,000 km of provincial roads in 17 poor northern provinces; (ii) Consultant services to assist with subproject preparation and implementation; (iii) Consultant services (probably an NGO) to monitor social, environmental and resettlement aspects of implementation; and (iv) Consultant services to assist with developing local level road management capabilities. | | | | |
| 8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan: | | | | Remarks JBIC is a potential cofinancier |
| Loan Project Cost (\$m) | | | | |
| Financing (Source) | FC | LC | Total | |
| ADB | 30.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | |
| Co-financing | 30.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | |
| Borrower | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | |
| Total | 60.0 | 60.0 | 120.0 | |
| 9. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups: The Project will improve the efficiency of transport to and from the rural parts of the 17 provinces thus improving economic opportunity, and improved access to Government and other services. Benefits will accrue to transport users and the rural people served by these roads. | | | | |
| 10. Executing Agency: Ministry of Transport and Communications | | 11. Project Implementation Period: Start: 2001 End: 2004 | | |
| 12. Environment Category: B | | 13. Processing Year: 2001 | | |

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions.

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD = Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation.

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

VIET NAM

| PROJECT PROFILE | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------|------|----|-------------|-----|----------|----|-------|----|--|
| 1. Project Name: Third Provincial Towns Water Supply and Sanitation | 2. Sector/Subsector Social Infrastructure/ Water Supply & Sanitation | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Poverty Classification: ^{a*} PI | 4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^{b*} HD | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Rationale & Objectives: The Project will help the Government achieve its development targets for the sector of providing safe drinking water to 90 percent of the urban population and appropriate sanitation facilities in selected provincial and district towns, eliminating subsidies and making the water supply companies financially self-sustaining; and reforming key sector institutions at the central and provincial levels. | 6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs: Workshops and beneficiary participation are being undertaken under the ongoing PPTA. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Scope The Project will cover 5 provincial towns and 3 district towns, and include water supply and sanitation improvements, upgrading of raw water pipelines, distribution pipework, water meters, and water treatment facilities. It will also include significant sanitation components including upgrading of latrines, installation of septic tanks, and connections to common drainage system. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan (\$): Loan Project Cost (\$m) | Remarks: Cofinancing interest expressed by AFD (France). | | | | | | | | | | |
| <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Source</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Bank</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cofinancing</td> <td>Tbd</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Borrower</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>85</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Source | Total | Bank | 60 | Cofinancing | Tbd | Borrower | 25 | Total | 85 | |
| Source | Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bank | 60 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cofinancing | Tbd | | | | | | | | | | |
| Borrower | 25 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 85 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups: Benefits include provision of safe drinking water at affordable prices to the people, particularly the poor, health improvements to the population served, environmental improvements in the Project areas. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 Executing Agency Ministry of Construction | 11. Project Implementation Period: Start: 2001 End: 2006 | | | | | | | | | | |
| # Environment Category: B | 13. Processing Year: 2001 | | | | | | | | | | |

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions.

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD = Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation.

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.

VIET NAM

| PROJECT PROFILE | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|--|--|--------------|
| 1. Project Name: Financial Sector Program Loan II (FSPL II) | | | 2. Sector/Subsector: Finance/Capital Markets | | |
| 3. Poverty Classification: ^{a*} ODI | | | 4. Crosscutting Operational Priority: ^{b*} PSD | | |
| 5. Rationale & Objectives: Production is dominated by SOEs. Economic growth will become highly constrained unless capital is allocated to well-governed, accountable SOEs and private enterprises. The capital market plays a vital role in creating and aligning ownership and market incentives. Capital markets and equitization mutually support each others' development, and dynamism that sustains growth, as seen in China. The development of capital markets, in particular the stock exchange, will (i) improve governance and management of enterprises, (ii) promote the mobilization and efficient use of capital, (iii) improve the financial structure of enterprises, and (iv) support balance growth of the financial system. | | | 6. Beneficiary Participation/Consultation Needs: Private sector | | |
| 7. Scope Develop capital markets by (i) improving the legal and regulatory environment, (ii) promoting market liquidity through the development of money markets, (iii) supporting a level playing field to facilitate the growth of private enterprises (supplying issues to the market), (iv) possibly, further facilitating equitization (supplying issues to the market), and (iv) possibly, developing the pension system and insurance markets (developing demand for issues). | | | | | |
| 8. Estimated Cost & Financing Plan (\$): | | | Remarks: Further refinements may be necessary to the Bank's program operations in the financial sector upon completion of the financial sector strategy. | | |
| Loan Project Cost (\$m) | | | | | |
| Financing (Source) | FC | LC | | | Total |
| Bank | | | | | 100.0 |
| Co-financing | | | | | 30.0 |
| Borrower | | | | | 10.0 |
| Sub-borrowers | | | | | |
| Total | | | 140.0 | | |
| 9. Estimated Benefits and Beneficiary Groups: Viet Nam has experienced a remarkable reduction in poverty based on economic growth. The record of growth is now in jeopardy without SOE reform. Capital markets have a vital role to play in this reform. Benefits will be widespread. | | | | | |
| 10. Executing Agency State Bank of Viet Nam and (possibly) State Securities Commission | | | 11. Project Implementation Period: Start: 2001 End: 2004 | | |
| 12. Environment Category: B | | | 13. Processing Year: 2001 | | |

^a CPI = Core Poverty Intervention; PI = Poverty Intervention; ODI = Other Development Interventions.

^b ENV = Environmental Protection; GAD = Gender and Development; GG = Good Governance; HD = Human Development; PSD = Private Sector Development and RC = Regional Cooperation.

* This classification was completed prior to the finalization of the improved and redesigned classification system in December 2000, which will be applied from January 2001.