



April 2010

Asian Development Bank's Progress on Aid Effectiveness: 2010 Update

Asian Development Bank

ABBREVIATIONS

ADB	–	Asian Development Bank
CPS	–	country partnership strategy
DAC	–	Development Assistance Committee
DMC	–	developing member country
Lao PDR	–	Lao People's Democratic Republic
NCB	–	national competitive bidding
OECD	–	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PBA	–	program-based approaches
PFM	–	public financial management
PIU	–	project implementation unit
TA	–	technical assistance

NOTE

In this report, "\$" refers to US dollars.

Director General	K. Sakai, Strategy and Policy Department (SPD)
Director	I. Bhushan, Strategic Planning, Policy and Interagency Relations Division, SPD
Team leader	M. Senapaty, Principal Planning and Policy Specialist, SPD

In preparing any country program or strategy, financing any project, or by making any designation of or reference to a particular territory or geographic area in this document, the Asian Development Bank does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. ADB's PROGRESS IN MEETING THE QUANTITATIVE TARGETS	1
A. Overview	1
B. ADB on Track to Meet Targets on Six Indicators	2
C. ADB Lags on Three Indicators	5
III. CONCLUSIONS AND MOVING FORWARD	6
APPENDIXES:	
1. Country Details on ADB Progress with Quantitative Indicators	7
2. Details on ADB Progress with Quantitative Indicators by Group	16

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report updates the progress by the Asian Development Bank (ADB) in meeting its commitments under the 2005 Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness and the 2008 Accra Agenda for Action. It is based on ADB's fourth annual internal survey on aid effectiveness and reflects 2009 data from the same 25 developing member countries included in the previous survey. Unlike the 2009 report, which also reviewed ADB's progress on qualitative issues, this report focuses solely on the quantitative targets set by the Paris Declaration for achievement in 2010.

The report finds that ADB as whole continued to meet the targets on five of the nine relevant Paris Declaration indicators in 2009: aligning aid with national priorities, coordinating technical assistance, using country public financial management systems, reducing parallel project implementation units, and increasing joint missions. It also made significant progress in joint country analytical work. The survey showed that ADB, barring unforeseen circumstances, should achieve the targets on these six indicators in 2010.

However, ADB needs to improve its performance in the use of country procurement systems, the use of program-based approaches (PBAs), and aid predictability. The decline in the country procurement indicator in 2009 was partly because a larger proportion of awarded contracts underwent international rather than national competitive bidding. This limited the use of country procurement systems by ADB. The level of ADB assistance disbursed through PBAs in 2009 was below target, although the number of new projects employing PBAs increased from 2008. The decline in the aid predictability indicator was mostly attributable to approvals for major crisis response operations that could not have been foreseen or included in the pipeline.

The 2009 survey report, which was issued in February 2010, identified ways for ADB to engage with governments of developing member countries and other development partners in establishing a framework for improvement in the three areas where it lags. In 2010, ADB will focus on implementing these initiatives and ensuring that these steps meet the needs of partner countries and help build their aid effectiveness capacities. This will include continued encouragement of the use of Capacity Development for Development Effectiveness Facility, the Asia Pacific community of practice on managing for development results, and the Asia Pacific Procurement Partnership Initiative as forums for peer learning. Ahead of the fourth High Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness in Republic of Korea in 2011, ADB will continue to work closely with development partners to review conditionality practices, disseminate good practices at the country level, and support south-south cooperation and peer learning between its member countries, in an effort to improve the development effectiveness of its assistance.

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This report updates the progress by the Asian Development Bank (ADB) in meeting its aid effectiveness targets and commitments under the 2005 Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness¹ and the 2008 Accra Agenda for Action.² It is based on ADB's fourth annual internal survey on aid effectiveness and supports the international effort to monitor movement toward goals set by these agreements.

2. The 2010 report reflects 2009 data from the same 25 developing member countries (DMCs) included in the previous survey.³ These DMCs received 99.8% of ADB's aid disbursements in 2009. To ensure consistency across countries, disbursements from ADB's Countercyclical Support Facility were not included in the survey, although other crisis-related disbursements were.⁴ Unlike the 2009 report, which also discussed qualitative issues raised by shifts in the international aid architecture and the expanded effectiveness themes of the Accra Agenda, this report focuses solely on the quantitative targets set by the Paris Declaration for achievement in 2010.⁵ The quantitative indicators in both reports are based on or adapted from the indicators and targets used for monitoring by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).⁶

II. ADB's PROGRESS IN MEETING THE QUANTITATIVE TARGETS

A. Overview

3. In 2009, ADB continued to meet the targets on five of the nine quantitative indicators⁷: (i) aligning aid with national priorities, (ii) coordinating technical assistance (TA), (iii) using country public financial management (PFM) systems, (iv) reducing the number of parallel project implementation units (PIUs), and (v) coordinating missions. The proportion of joint country analytical work ADB undertook also grew in 2009. ADB needs to improve its performance in the use of country procurement systems, the use of program-based approaches (PBAs), and aid predictability. See Figure 1. Details on performance by borrowing group are in Appendix 2.

¹ Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness, Paris, 28 February–2 March 2005.

<http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/11/41/34428351.pdf>

² Accra Agenda for Action, Accra, 2–4 September 2008. <http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/58/16/41202012.pdf>

³ The 2010 survey covered 25 of ADB's 40 borrowing countries: 11 from Group A (Asian Development Fund-only borrowers)—Afghanistan, Bhutan, Cambodia, the Kyrgyz Republic, the Lao People's Democratic Republic, the Maldives, Mongolia, Nepal, Samoa, Tajikistan, and Tonga; 10 from Group B (blend countries that borrow from both the Asian Development Fund and ADB's ordinary capital resources)—Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bangladesh, Georgia, India, Pakistan, Papua New Guinea, Sri Lanka, Uzbekistan, and Viet Nam; and 4 from Group C (countries borrowing only from ordinary capital resources)—the People's Republic of China, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, and the Philippines. Before January 2009, Indonesia was considered part of Group B.


⁴ ADB. 2009. *Enhancing ADB's Response to the Global Economic Crisis—Establishing the Countercyclical Support Facility*. Manila

⁵ ADB. 2010. *Asian Development Bank's Aid Effectiveness Report 2009 and the Way Forward*. Manila.

⁶ OECD. 2008. *2008 Survey on Monitoring the Paris Declaration: Making Aid More Effective by 2010*. Paris.

⁷ This survey covered 9 of 14 Paris Declaration indicators. The five that were not covered were indicator 8 (aid is untied), which does not apply because all ADB aid is untied; and indicators 1 (operational development strategies), 2 (reliable country systems), 11 (results-oriented frameworks), and 12 (mutual accountability), all of which were tracked through qualitative assessments only. The assessment criteria and baseline for indicators 1 and 11 were based on analysis by the World Bank for the 2005 Comprehensive Development Framework Progress Report entitled *Enabling Country Capacity to Achieve Results* and progress was reviewed by the World Bank in the 2007 report entitled *Results-Based National Development Strategies: Assessment and Challenges Ahead*.

Figure 1: ADB's Progress in Meeting Quantitative Targets 2005–2009



no.= number, PFM = public financial management , PIU = project implementation unit.

Note: The percentage of bilateral aid that is untied (indicator 8) does not apply to ADB because all ADB aid is untied.

^a For these indicators, the average country ratio is used and not the weighted ratio.

^b Figures refer to number of parallel PIUs.

^c The use of program-based approaches in lending (indicator 9) was not surveyed in 2008.

Source: Asian Development Bank estimates.

B. ADB on Track to Meet Targets on Six Indicators

4. **Aid alignment on national priorities (indicator 3).** In 2009, 89% of ADB's aid disbursements were reflected in DMC national budgets. This exceeded the target of 85% and was a slight increase over 2008. The objective of this indicator, which measures the proportion of total aid disbursed to the government that was recorded in the national budget, is to encourage countries and development partners to record aid as accurately as possible in

budgets to improve transparency and accountability.⁸ In the five countries where ADB fell short of the 85%, most of the issues were structural. The shortfall in Azerbaijan related to the design of government budget recording systems. Nepal's fiscal year differs from the calendar-year accounting system used by ADB. In Tonga, the government began collecting aid information for the national budget only recently and needs to refine the process further. In the Maldives, efforts are underway to improve project implementation and address its weak portfolio performance, which affected its showing. ADB is working closely with the Government of the Lao People's Democratic Republic (Lao PDR) and its development partners to implement the fiscal and other reforms necessary to address the misalignment.

5. Coordinated technical support for capacity development (indicator 4). Since 2007, ADB has consistently exceeded this indicator's target of 50%. About 53% of ADB's TA programs in 2009 were coordinated with DMC capacity development programs. The indicator measures the percentage of capacity development support provided by development partners through free-standing and embedded technical cooperation in which (i) partner countries exercise effective leadership over their capacity development programs, (ii) technical cooperation in support of capacity development is aligned with the countries' development objectives and strategies, and (iii) multiple development partners coordinate their partner-led capacity development activities and contributions. ADB was unable to meet the 50% target in Armenia, India, Indonesia, the Kyrgyz Republic, the Lao PDR, Nepal, and Uzbekistan in 2009. ADB remains committed to fully support government capacity development programs in these countries, but also recognizes that such programs must be demand-driven, coordinated with local development partners, and implemented with country ownership if they are to be successful. In the Lao PDR, for example, ADB has started focusing its TA in problem areas that the National Socio-Economic Development Plan (2006–2010) has identified as priority targets for capacity development support. These projects are also now linked to the results framework in the ADB's country partnership strategy (CPS) for Lao PDR.⁹

6. Use of country public financial management systems (indicator 5a). In 2009, 92% of ADB's assistance used country PFM systems for budget execution, financial reporting, and auditing procedures, down marginally from 94% in 2008 but well above the target of 78%. This indicator measures the average volume of aid that used PFM systems as a percentage of total assistance provided to the government sector. Development partners can use a DMC's PFM systems only if these adhere to broadly accepted good practices or the country has a reform program in place to achieve such standards. The survey results show high overall average achievement, which is boosted by the strong performance of large DMCs including Bangladesh, the People's Republic of China, India, Pakistan, and the Philippines. ADB fell short of the target in Azerbaijan, the Kyrgyz Republic, Mongolia, and Viet Nam, where PFM systems were not yet strong enough to meet the 78% goal. In Tonga, ADB failed to meet the target because TA contracting and payments are managed outside the government system. In Uzbekistan, external assistance is held outside the state budget and all development partners, including ADB, have to use their own financial management systems. ADB is helping governments with weak performances strengthen PFM systems through loans and TA.

⁸ In some countries, the amount of aid disbursed by ADB was higher than the government estimate recorded in the budget. For statistical accuracy, therefore, the average country ratio was used to measure progress in this indicator instead of the weighted country ratio.

⁹ ADB. 2008. *Lao People's Democratic Republic Country Operations Business Plan 2009-2011*. <http://www.adb.org/Documents/CSPs/LAO/2008/COBP-LAO-2008.pdf>

7. **Use of parallel project implementation units (indicator 6).** In 2009, the number of parallel PIUs used by ADB in the 25 survey countries dropped to 5 from 11 in 2008.¹⁰ This was far below the 2010 target of a two-thirds reduction from 2005, or 32 parallel PIUs, indicating significant improvement in ADB's performance over that of 2008. ADB uses PIUs only in special circumstances or where implementation capacity is inadequate, which is now rarely the case in the countries surveyed. In 2009, one PIU was required to implement the Road Network Project II in Bhutan¹¹ while management capacities were being enhanced in the Department of Roads. A parallel PIU was also used in India because of special circumstances in a state following an earthquake. In Papua New Guinea, where the remaining three parallel PIUs were employed, the government prefers to use in-house PIUs to ensure a high standard of financial management and implementation. When in-house capacity is developed, these PIUs are absorbed by the government.

8. **Joint missions (indicator 10a).** ADB conducted 54% of its missions in 2009 jointly with other development partners, a sharp improvement over the 44% recorded in 2008 and well above the 2010 target of 40%. This indicator aims to measure improvements in harmonization and reductions in partner country transaction costs. As per a modification adopted in the previous report covering 2008 data, only missions that originated from ADB headquarters for CPSs and country portfolio reviews were included.¹² Some or all of these missions were conducted jointly with other development partners in 11 of 18 partner countries surveyed. These DMCs were Bangladesh, Cambodia, the People's Republic of China, the Kyrgyz Republic, the Lao PDR, Mongolia, Nepal, the Philippines, Samoa, Tajikistan, and Viet Nam. ADB recognizes the value of these joint missions and will work to increase their number whenever feasible.

9. **Joint country analytical work (indicator 10b).** In 2009, 63% of ADB's country analytical work was conducted jointly with other development partners and DMC governments. Although shy of the indicator's 66% target, this was a major improvement over the 2008 figure of 46%. ADB met the target in 13 of the 25 countries surveyed. For country analytical work to be considered "joint", it must be undertaken (i) with another development partner, (ii) by one development partner on behalf of another, or (iii) with substantive government involvement. To ensure that countries were not underreporting work done, this survey asked resident missions and regional departments to enumerate the analytical work that they engaged in, jointly or otherwise, that was related to the CPS separately from work unrelated to the CPS. In general, this resulted in more coordinated work being reported. ADB's performance on this indicator is expected to improve further with the unbundling of information- and knowledge-generation activities from the CPS in January 2010, which would facilitate greater flexibility in the timing of joint preparation of country analytical work.¹³

¹⁰ In this survey, as in OECD-DAC monitoring, a PIU is considered parallel only when it fulfils three of the following four conditions: (i) it is accountable to external funding agencies and/or development partners rather than to the country implementing agencies, (ii) the terms of reference for externally appointed staff are determined by the development partner rather than the country implementing agencies, (iii) most of the professional staff are appointed by the development partner rather than the country implementing agency, and (iv) the salary structure of national staff (including benefits) is higher than those of civil service personnel.

¹¹ ADB. 2009. *Proposed Asian Development Fund Grant Kingdom of Bhutan: Road Network Project II*. Manila.

¹² This definition is in line with the measurement technique used in the *Development Effectiveness Review* but is more limited than the OECD-DAC definition, which includes all missions, joint or otherwise, that (i) are undertaken by or on behalf of a development partner, including program developers, appraisers, and evaluators, and sector assessment teams commissioned by a development partner; (ii) involve international travel typically but not exclusively from development partner headquarters; and (iii) request to meet with government officials, including those in local government. The revised definition was adopted because of inconsistencies in reporting across survey countries when the OECD-DAC definition was used.

¹³ ADB. 2009. *Country Partnership Strategy: Responding to the New Aid Architecture*. Report of the Country Partnership Strategy Working Group. Manila

C. ADB Lags on Three Indicators

10. **Use of country procurement systems (indicator 5b).** ADB used country procurement systems for national procurement for only 45% of aid disbursements in 2009, well below the 2010 target of 60% and down from 59% in 2008.¹⁴ The awarding of a larger proportion of contracts through international competitive bidding rather than national competitive bidding contributed to the poorer performance. ADB met the 60% target in only five countries: Armenia, Georgia, Indonesia, Pakistan, and the Philippines. Armenia was the only new addition to this list relative to 2008. ADB is supporting DMCs' efforts to improve their procurement systems and meet international standards. At the end of 2009, Management approved the Asia Pacific Procurement Partnership Initiative, which set aside \$1.3 million in TA funds to encourage better collaboration, policy dialogue, knowledge sharing, and capacity building by DMCs in reforming and carrying out procurement.¹⁵

11. **Aid predictability (indicator 7).** In 2009, 77% of ADB's scheduled disbursements were effectively disbursed and recorded in the accounting systems of the 25 DMCs surveyed, down from 84% a year earlier and considerably short of the 89% target. ADB reached the target in only 10 countries. The decline from 2008 was mostly the result of the major assistance approved in 2009 for financial and economic crisis response operations that could not have been foreseen or included in the pipeline. ADB's performance in countries such as Armenia and Azerbaijan was hurt by legislative impediments that prevented loan projects not anticipated during the project cycle ending in September from being scheduled in the following year's budget except with special government authorization. Because ADB works to achieve government ownership in the planning and implementation of aid programs, and coordinates with other development partners, its assistance is generally highly predictable. However, its performance on this indicator is vulnerable to emergencies and structural problems such as those seen in 2009. Security issues such as those confronting Afghanistan's transport sector can also cause unavoidable delays, as can persistent obstacles rooted in the weak capacity and poor budgeting systems that ADB is helping DMC governments address.

12. **Use of program-based approaches in lending (indicator 9).** About 55% of ADB's aid was disbursed through PBAs in 2009, a level similar to the 2005 baseline and lower than the Paris Declaration target of 66%.¹⁶ PBAs are encouraged because they stimulate country ownership and the use of country systems, increase harmonization among development partners, and lower transaction costs.¹⁷ Although disbursements fell short of the target, the

¹⁴ The definition used by ADB is narrower than the original OECD-DAC definition. ADB's definition only reflects the use of country systems, as noted, by the reported application of national competitive bidding (NCB) as a proportion of the procurement of all goods and works financed by ADB in the DMC. Although ADB Procurement Guidelines (2007, as amended from time to time) state that foreign bidders must be allowed to bid under NCB if they wish to, typically NCB attracts only local bidders. Therefore, when international bidder participation is anticipated, international competitive bidding procedures are generally applied instead of NCB.

¹⁵ ADB. 2009. *Technical Assistance for the Asia Pacific Procurement Partnership Initiative*. Manila.

¹⁶ The use of PBAs in lending was not surveyed in 2008.

¹⁷ The ADB definition of a PBA corresponds with the OECD-DAC definition, which classifies a PBA as an approach that meets four criteria. The partner country exercises leadership over the program, supported by development partners. A single comprehensive program and budget framework is used. A formal process for development partner coordination and harmonization of aid procedures is in place for at least two of the following systems: reporting, budgeting, financial management, and procurement. ADB support to the program uses at least two of the

number of new ADB projects approved during the year that will use PBAs rose significantly.¹⁸ This follows clarifications for staff, as part of the 2009 review of program lending policy implementation, on the definition of a PBA and how it applies to ADB modalities. To enhance accuracy, DMCs were also asked in the 2009 survey to report the use of PBAs in program lending, multitranche financing facilities, and investment lending. Based on these guidelines, 15 countries reported supporting PBAs in 2009, although ADB met the Paris Declaration target only in Armenia, Indonesia, Pakistan, and the Philippines. ADB is promoting PBAs in several DMCs. The Government of Cambodia, in particular, has shown strong leadership in implementing PBAs in PFM and trade reform. In both cases, a single comprehensive program has been established, with a formalized process for development partner coordination and reporting, and significant use of local systems for the design, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of aid programs.

III. CONCLUSIONS AND MOVING FORWARD

13. ADB has made good progress towards the quantitative targets for aid effectiveness since monitoring began in 2006. The 2009 survey shows that, barring unforeseen circumstances, ADB will reach six of the nine targets by the end of 2010, thus meeting the Paris Declaration deadline for those indicators. However, given the entrenched nature of the obstacles, ADB may not meet the targets for using country procurement systems and PBAs, and achieving aid predictability. It will continue to strive nonetheless to make improvements on these three indicators within the limits created by current country capacities and systems, and where such efforts match the needs and priorities of its DMCs. The increased use of PBAs in new project approvals provides an encouraging example.

14. The 2009 survey report, which was issued in February 2010, identified ways for ADB to engage with DMC governments and other development partners in establishing a framework for improvement in the areas where it lags.¹⁹ In 2010, ADB will focus on implementing these initiatives and ensuring that these steps meet the needs of partner countries and help build their aid effectiveness capacities. This will include continued encouragement of the use of the Capacity Development for Development Effectiveness Facility, the Asia Pacific community of practice on managing for development results, and the Asia Pacific Procurement Partnership Initiative as international forums for peer learning. Ahead of the fourth High-Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness in Republic of Korea in 2011, ADB will continue to work closely with other development partners to review conditionality practices, disseminate good practices at the country level, and support south–south cooperation and peer learning between its member countries, in an effort to improve the development effectiveness of its assistance.²⁰

following local systems: program design, program implementation, financial management, and monitoring and evaluation.

¹⁸ ADB approved 30 new PBAs in 2009, 18 of which were from Group A countries. This was a significant improvement over the 13 new PBAs approved in 2008, including 8 from Group A countries. It also exceeded ADB's *Development Effectiveness Review* targets of 10 new PBAs to be approved annually by ADB by 2012, with 8 new PBA approvals for ADF countries.

¹⁹ For details on these initiatives, see ADB. 2009. *Asian Development Bank's Aid Effectiveness Report 2009 and the Way Forward*. Manila (paras. 45–50). <http://www.adb.org/Documents/Policies/aid-effectiveness/aid-effectiveness-report-2009.pdf>

²⁰ Detailed work plans for the Capacity Development for Development Effectiveness Facility and the Asia Pacific Procurement Partnership Initiative will be developed in 2010.

COUNTRY DETAILS ON ADB PROGRESS WITH QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS

Table A1.1: Indicator 3—Aid Flows Are Aligned with National Priorities (2010 Target: 85%)

Partner Country	2005				2006				2007				2008				2009			
	Govt's Budget Estimates of Aid Flows (\$ mn)		Aid disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)		Govt's Budget Estimates of Aid Flows (\$ mn)		Aid disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)		Govt's Budget Estimates of Aid Flows (\$ mn)		Aid disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)		Govt's Budget Estimates of Aid Flows (\$ mn)		Aid disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)		Govt's Budget Estimates of Aid Flows (\$ mn)		Aid disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)	
	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)
Afghanistan	121.8	23.9	19.6	16.1	113.2	67.2	59.4	52.3	129.9	129.9	100.0	77.6	210.9	87.5	41.5	19.2	130.0	133.1	97.7	
Armenia	8.0	8.4	95.8	107.3	119.8	119.8	100.0	
Azerbaijan	...	1.8	15.0	20.9	71.7	35.0	59.2	35.0	59.2	59.1	
Bangladesh	208.0	258.0	80.6	38.8	385.0	322.0	83.6	35.0	355.0	422.1	84.1	44.3	448.3	435.9	97.2	611.0	604.7	99.0	99.0	
Bhutan	...	12.5	27.3	4.6	16.8	16.8	37.6	8.9	23.7	8.3	8.3	8.3	100.0	37.9	37.9	100.0	100.0	
Cambodia	22.9	84.5	27.1	15.6	15.6	55.0	28.4	63.1	63.1	69.8	90.3	147.2	144.1	97.9	84.6	84.6	89.5	94.5	94.5	
China, People's Republic of	...	890.6	1,257.5	1,257.5	1,355.6	...	
Georgia	69.9	69.9	100.0	111.4	111.4	112.2	99.3	
India	...	661.2	1,393.4	1,546.8	90.1	1,393.4	1,367.7	98.2	98.2	
Indonesia	...	1,015.0	861.5	...	625.3	625.3	1,136.3	55.0	955.2	955.2	100.0	829.6	809.6	97.6	97.6		
Kazakhstan	...	27.3	8.3	8.3	100.0	42.5	42.7	99.5	99.5	
Kyrgyz Republic	31.0	30.3	97.9	30.0	30.0	42.8	70.1	33.8	33.8	34.2	98.8	46.2	46.2	51.5	89.6	45.6	47.1	96.7	96.7	
Lao PDR	78.7	78.7	100.0	78.5	78.5	78.5	100.0	74.3	74.3	74.8	99.3	65.7	65.7	62.6	95.3	65.3	80.9	80.7	80.7	
Maldives	...	4.9	7.8	4.9	62.8	5.1	...	7.5	7.5	7.2	96.4	11.5	5.9	51.3	51.3	
Mongolia	0.0	29.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.2	0.0	29.9	80.6	
Nepal	89.9	43.7	48.6	109.5	109.5	108.0	98.6	89.7	89.7	108.4	82.7	174.0	174.0	134.0	77.0	168.8	206.3	81.8	81.8	
Pakistan	...	563.5	790.5	990.5	1,893.9	...	1,129.0	
Papua New Guinea	...	21.5	29.3	...	36.8	36.8	27.6	74.8	28.0	16.8	...	
Philippines	...	239.6	833.0	419.2	655.0	658.3	99.5	774.7	830.1	93.3	93.3	
Samoa	...	3.6	4.2	...	10.0	10.8	92.8	92.8	
Sri Lanka	206.0	206.0	100.0	180.0	180.0	180.0	100.0	134.4	134.4	137.0	98.1	276.0	276.0	308.0	89.6	287.0	288.0	99.7	99.7	
Tajikistan	29.5	26.0	88.0	12.0	12.0	35.1	34.2	38.3	38.3	38.3	100.0	56.3	56.3	56.3	100.0	115.2	115.2	100.0	100.0	
Tonga	1.6	15.9	10.0	5.0	5.0	1.5	30.5	
Uzbekistan	...	96.2	51.1	127.5	...	
Vietnam	223.7	223.7	100.0	184.1	184.1	184.1	100.0	198.1	198.1	229.9	86.2	276.0	276.0	287.0	99.2	607.8	621.6	97.8	97.8	
Total	1,011.5	1,004.7	99.3	1,143.0	1,143.0	1,110.7	97.2	1,816.2	1,816.2	2,446.4	74.2	4,822.7	4,822.7	4,866.1	99.1	5,486.1	5,664.4	96.9	96.9	
Average Country Ratio			66.2				62.8				76.4				86.7			88.5	88.5	

... = data not available. ADB = Asian Development Bank, Govt = government, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, mn=million

^a Ratio is a/b except where the government's budget estimates are greater than disbursements (b/a).

Sources: Data provided by the ADB's Central Operations Services Office, resident missions, and regional departments.

Table A1.2: Indicator 4—Strengthen Capacity by Coordinated Support (2010 Target: 50%)

Partner Country	2005				2006				2007				2008				2009				
	Coordinated Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Total Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Coordinated Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Total Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Coordinated Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Total Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Coordinated Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Total Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Coordinated Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Total Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		
	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	
Afghanistan	0.2	8.3	1.0	7.0	11.4	11.4	11.4	11.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	3.0	3.0	4.5	4.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	100.0	
Armenia	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	
Azerbaijan	0.6	...	
Bangladesh	5.0	8.0	5.5	5.5	2.5	5.1	48.4	3.3	5.9	55.9	2.9	5.3	54.7	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	2.9	5.3	54.7	
Bhutan	0.7	1.6	1.3	1.9	68.4	1.2	1.6	75.0	0.4	1.2	33.9	2.2	2.2	100.0	1.2	1.2	33.9	2.2	2.2	100.0	
Cambodia	4.1	31.5	13.0	22.6	13.3	1.5	14.2	10.7	...	5.1	...	4.9	5.1	5.1	4.9	...	
China, People's Republic of	23.2	23.2	12.8	...	
Georgia	0.8	2.0	
India	17.4	17.4	21.3	3.7	11.4	32.8	
Indonesia	1.8	11.1	1.3	10.2	12.3	0.1	9.4	1.4	0.1	5.5	1.4	0.4	6.1	5.8	5.5	5.5	0.0	0.2	
Kazakhstan
Kyrgyz Republic	1.8	1.8	0.7	0.7	100.0	2.4	2.4	100.0	1.5	2.1	71.0	0.2	1.6	14.5	2.1	2.1	71.0	0.2	1.6	14.5	
Lao PDR	11.2	11.2	9.3	9.3	100.0	1.1	7.2	15.3	7.1	7.1	100.0	1.5	7.2	20.9	7.1	7.1	100.0	1.5	7.2	20.9	
Maldives	0.9	1.2	75.0	1.1	1.4	78.6	0.4	0.7	57.1	0.1	0.3	39.4	0.3	0.3	39.4	...	0.2	...	
Mongolia	4.1	4.1	100.0	3.3	100.0	3.4	3.4	100.0	2.1	2.1	100.0	3.1	3.1	100.0	2.1	2.1	100.0	3.1	3.1	100.0	
Nepal	1.4	2.2	61.8	2.0	6.3	31.0	0.0	4.6	0.0	5.0	6.9	72.5	2.5	6.8	6.9	6.9	72.5	2.5	6.8	36.9	
Pakistan	...	6.5	...	7.9	8.6	...	5.6	7.7	73.3	6.5	8.2	7.7	7.7	73.3	6.5	8.2	78.9	
Papua New Guinea	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	2.7	100.0	1.5	2.7	55.6	2.7	2.7	55.6	...	0.2	...	
Philippines	3.9	4.6	84.8	4.2	4.7	89.2	2.1	2.7	79.2	4.9	4.9	100.0	5.1	5.1	4.9	4.9	100.0	5.1	5.1	100.0	
Samoa	1.5	1.5	100.0	0.9	0.9	1.5	1.5	100.0	0.9	0.9	100.0	
Sri Lanka	0.8	3.7	22.6	0.0	3.5	0.0	3.4	0.0	2.0	2.0	100.0	...	1.0	2.0	2.0	100.0	...	1.0	
Tajikistan	1.5	4.0	36.3	1.4	4.5	31.5	0.2	4.4	5.5	3.7	3.7	100.0	1.3	1.3	3.7	3.7	100.0	1.3	1.3	100.0	
Tonga	0.4	0.4	100.0	0.6	0.6	100.0	0.2	0.2	100.0	0.7	0.7	100.0	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7	100.0	0.5	0.5	100.0	
Uzbekistan	0.8	2.0	41.1	0.2	0.8	2.0	2.0	41.1	0.2	0.8	30.8	
Viet Nam	9.0	9.0	100.0	1.4	5.7	24.6	35.3	100.0	0.0	11.0	0.0	11.0	11.0	0.0	...	13.8	...	
Total	46.8	105.0	44.6	89.8	40.0	62.4	106.5	58.5	48.6	89.7	54.2	34.0	64.6	52.6	89.7	89.7	54.2	34.0	64.6	52.6	

... = data not available, ADB = Asian Development Bank, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, mn = million

Sources: Data provided by ADB's resident missions and regional departments.

Table A1.3: Indicator 5a—Use of Country Public Financial Management Systems (2010 Target: 78%)

Partner Country	2005				2007				2008				2009			
	Aid Disbursed for Govt Sector		Financial Reporting		Budget Execution		Auditing		Aid Disbursed for Govt Sector		Financial Reporting		Budget Execution		Auditing	
	(\$ mn)	(%)	(\$ mn)	(%)	(\$ mn)	(%)	(\$ mn)	(%)	(\$ mn)	(%)	(\$ mn)	(%)	(\$ mn)	(%)	(\$ mn)	(%)
	a	b	c	d	a	b	c	d	a	b	c	d	a	b	c	d
				ave(b,c,d)/a				ave(b,c,d)/a				ave(b,c,d)/a				ave(b,c,d)/a
Afghanistan	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	129.9	129.9	129.9	129.9	87.5	81.6	81.6	81.6	133.1	130.1	130.1	130.1
Armenia	...	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	119.8	119.8	119.8	119.8
Azerbaijan	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.9	20.8	20.8	20.8	59.2	58.5	35.0	35.0
Bangladesh	258.0	258.0	258.0	258.0	422.1	422.1	422.1	422.1	435.9	435.9	435.9	435.9	604.7	604.7	604.7	604.7
Bhutan	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	37.9	37.9	37.9	37.9
Cambodia	84.5	22.9	0.0	0.0	69.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	144.1	144.1	144.1	144.1	89.5	89.5	89.5	89.5
China, People's Republic of	890.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1257.5	1257.5	1257.5	1257.5	1355.6	1355.6	1355.6	1355.6
Georgia	...	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.9	69.9	69.9	69.9	112.2	111.4	111.4	111.4
India	661.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1546.8	1529.4	1529.4	1529.4	1367.7	1356.4	1356.4	1356.4
Indonesia	1015.0	1015.0	265.0	265.0	1136.3	1136.3	236.3	236.3	955.2	955.2	955.2	955.2	809.6	809.6	809.6	809.6
Kazakhstan	27.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	42.7	42.5	42.5	42.5
Kyrgyz Republic	30.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	51.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	47.1	8.7	8.7	8.7
Lao PDR	78.7	78.7	78.7	65.8	74.8	74.8	74.8	53.1	62.6	55.4	55.4	55.4	80.9	73.8	73.8	73.8
Maldives	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9
Mongolia	29.9	29.9	29.9	29.9	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	29.9	0.0	29.9	0.0	80.6	0.0	80.6	0.0
Nepal	43.7	43.7	0.0	0.0	108.4	108.4	108.4	108.4	134.0	127.1	127.1	127.1	206.3	199.5	199.5	199.5
Pakistan	563.5	563.5	563.5	563.5	990.5	990.5	990.5	990.5	1833.9	1873.2	1873.2	1873.2	1129.0	1120.7	1120.7	1120.7
Papua New Guinea	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	27.6	27.6	27.6	27.6	28.0	22.8	22.8	22.8	16.8	16.6	16.6	16.6
Philippines	239.6	175.0	0.0	0.0	419.2	325.0	0.0	0.0	658.3	588.0	655.0	588.0	830.1	775.0	817.3	775.0
Samoa	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	2.6	0.0	2.6	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8
Sri Lanka	206.0	206.0	206.0	206.0	137.0	137.0	137.0	137.0	308.0	306.0	308.0	308.0	288.0	288.0	287.0	287.0
Tajikistan	26.0	26.0	26.0	0.0	38.3	38.3	0.0	0.0	56.3	56.3	56.3	56.3	115.2	115.2	115.2	115.2
Tonga	15.9	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0
Uzbekistan	96.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	51.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	127.5
Viet Nam	223.7	95.7	95.7	95.7	229.9	229.9	229.9	49.7	287.0	47.0	47.0	47.0	621.6	192.3	192.3	192.3
Total	4542.3	2577.2	1855.6	1546.7	3856.0	3658.9	2395.6	2193.7	8114.8	7609.1	7705.4	7998.6	8165.7	7523.4	7495.9	7495.9

... = data not available, ave = average. ADB = Asian Development Bank Govt = government, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, mn = million.
Sources: Data provided by the Asian Development Bank's resident missions and regional departments.

Table A1.4: Indicator 5b—Use of Country Procurement Systems (2010 Target: 60%)

Partner Country	2005						2006						2007						2008						2009					
	Aid Disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)		Procurement Systems (\$ mn)		Ratio (%)		Aid Disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)		Procurement Systems (\$ mn)		Ratio (%)		Aid Disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)		Procurement Systems (\$ mn)		Ratio (%)		Aid Disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)		Procurement Systems (\$ mn)		Ratio (%)							
	a	b	a	b	a/b	a	b	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b					
Afghanistan	23.9	0.7	67.2	67.2	100.0	129.9	129.9	100.0	129.9	129.9	100.0	100.0	87.5	3.7	4.3	133.1	24.5	18.4	133.1	133.1	133.1	133.1	24.5	18.4	133.1					
Armenia	8.4	0.9	10.6	119.8	86.1	71.9	119.8	119.8	119.8	86.1	71.9	119.8	86.1					
Azerbaijan	1.8	0.2	20.9	4.6	21.8	59.2	2.3	3.9	59.2	59.2	59.2	2.3	3.9	59.2	2.3					
Bangladesh	258.0	150.4	322.0	200.0	62.1	422.1	326.0	77.2	435.9	319.9	73.4	604.7	263.6	319.9	73.4	604.7	263.6	43.6	604.7	604.7	604.7	263.6	43.6	604.7	263.6					
Bhutan	12.5	5.0	4.6	4.6	100.0	8.9	8.9	100.0	8.3	3.4	40.7	37.9	6.9	3.4	40.7	37.9	6.9	18.3	37.9	37.9	37.9	6.9	18.3	37.9	6.9					
Cambodia	84.5	30.3	55.0	0.0	0.0	69.8	1.1	1.5	144.1	49.6	34.4	89.5	21.1	49.6	34.4	89.5	21.1	23.5	89.5	89.5	89.5	21.1	23.5	89.5	21.1					
China, People's Republic of	890.6	61.2	1,257.5	201.4	16.0	1,355.6	229.9	201.4	16.0	1,355.6	229.9	17.0	1,355.6	1,355.6	1,355.6	229.9	17.0	1,355.6	229.9					
Georgia	69.9	69.9	100.0	112.2	97.4	69.9	69.9	100.0	112.2	86.8	112.2	112.2	112.2	97.4	86.8	112.2	97.4					
India	661.2	139.2	21.1	1,546.8	602.2	38.9	1,367.7	28.3	602.2	38.9	1,367.7	28.3	28.3	1,367.7	1,367.7	1,367.7	28.3	28.3	1,367.7	28.3					
Indonesia	1,015.0	904.4	861.5	196.1	22.8	1,136.3	177.2	15.6	955.2	880.3	92.2	809.6	57.6	880.3	92.2	809.6	57.6	72.6	809.6	809.6	809.6	57.6	72.6	809.6	57.6					
Kazakhstan	27.3	8.3	0.7	8.8	4.6	10.7	8.3	0.7	8.8	4.6	10.7	8.3	8.3	8.3	0.7	8.8	4.6	10.7					
Kyrgyz Republic	30.3	18.1	42.8	8.0	18.7	34.2	0.0	0.0	51.5	13.9	27.0	47.1	25.6	51.5	13.9	27.0	47.1	25.6	51.5	51.5	51.5	13.9	27.0	47.1	25.6					
Lao PDR	78.7	33.7	78.5	0.0	0.0	74.8	0.0	0.0	62.6	27.2	43.4	80.9	18.6	62.6	27.2	43.4	80.9	22.9	80.9	80.9	80.9	18.6	22.9	80.9	18.6					
Maldives	4.9	1.8	4.9	4.9	100.0	5.1	5.1	100.0	7.2	0.0	0.3	5.9	30.4	7.2	0.0	0.3	5.9	30.4	7.2	7.2	0.0	0.3	5.9	30.4						
Mongolia	29.9	15.5	28.6	0.0	0.0	24.2	0.0	0.0	29.9	9.7	32.4	80.6	58.3	29.9	9.7	32.4	80.6	58.3	29.9	29.9	29.9	9.7	32.4	80.6						
Nepal	43.7	20.9	108.0	76.0	70.4	108.4	108.4	100.0	134.0	29.8	22.2	206.3	41.5	134.0	29.8	22.2	206.3	41.5	206.3	206.3	206.3	41.5	20.1	206.3	41.5					
Pakistan	563.5	399.9	790.5	571.5	72.3	990.5	765.4	77.3	1,893.9	1,667.0	88.0	1,129.0	77.2	1,893.9	1,667.0	88.0	1,129.0	77.2	1,129.0	1,129.0	1,129.0	77.2	77.2	1,129.0	77.2					
Papua New Guinea	21.5	4.3	29.3	29.3	100.0	27.6	27.6	100.0	28.0	3.1	11.0	16.8	20.5	28.0	3.1	11.0	16.8	20.5	28.0	28.0	28.0	3.1	11.0	16.8	20.5					
Philippines	239.6	201.1	833.0	83.0	10.0	419.2	94.2	22.5	658.3	619.3	94.1	830.1	76.7	658.3	619.3	94.1	830.1	76.7	830.1	830.1	830.1	619.3	76.7	830.1	619.3					
Samoa	3.6	2.5	68.6	4.2	0.7	18.0	10.8	4.4	4.2	0.7	18.0	10.8	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2	0.7	18.0	10.8	4.4					
Sri Lanka	206.0	104.6	180.0	59.0	32.8	137.0	0.0	0.0	308.0	101.9	33.1	288.0	25.5	308.0	101.9	33.1	288.0	25.5	288.0	288.0	288.0	101.9	25.5	288.0	101.9					
Tajikistan	26.0	12.1	35.1	0.0	0.0	38.3	0.0	0.0	56.3	21.3	37.8	115.2	8.6	56.3	21.3	37.8	115.2	8.6	115.2	115.2	115.2	21.3	8.6	115.2	21.3					
Tonga	15.9	15.9	15.9	15.9	15.9	15.9	...				
Uzbekistan	96.2	23.4	24.3	51.1	9.0	17.6	127.5	9.3	51.1	9.0	17.6	127.5	9.3	127.5	127.5	127.5	9.0	9.3	127.5	9.0					
Viet Nam	223.7	95.3	184.1	67.1	36.4	229.9	68.9	30.0	287.0	165.0	57.5	312.6	50.3	287.0	165.0	57.5	312.6	50.3	312.6	312.6	312.6	165.0	50.3	312.6	165.0					
Total	4,515.0	2,224.5	3,625.0	1,366.7	37.7	3,856.0	1,712.5	44.4	8,114.8	4,804.6	59.2	8,291.7	45.2	8,114.8	4,804.6	59.2	8,291.7	45.2	8,291.7	8,291.7	8,291.7	4,804.6	45.2	8,291.7	45.2					

... = data not available, ADB = Asian Development Bank, Govt = government, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, mn = million.

Notes: (i) ADB is required to provide equal opportunities to firms in all its member countries to bid for any project using international competitive bidding procedures. This necessitates uniformity in the bidding procedures across countries. As a result, ADB procurement procedures rather than country procurement procedures are used for international bidding. Therefore, country progress on the Paris Declaration target on procurement systems is limited to the extent by which country systems are used for national procurement only.

(ii) Procurement data for Bangladesh pertains to the fiscal year 1 July 2008–30 June 2009.

Source: Procurement data for 2005, 2008, and 2009 were provided by ADB's Central Operations Services Office. The rest was provided by resident missions and regional departments.

Table A1.5: Indicator 6—Avoid Parallel Implementation Structures (2010 Target: 32)

Partner Country	Number of Parallel PIUs				
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Afghanistan	0	0	0	0	0
Armenia	0	0
Azerbaijan	0
Bangladesh	0	0	0	0	0
Bhutan	0	1	1	0	1
Cambodia	30	0	28	0	0
China, People's Republic of	0	0	0
Georgia	0	0	0
India	1	0	0	1	1
Indonesia	0	0	0	0	0
Kazakhstan	1	0
Kyrgyz Republic	10	6	12	0	0
Lao PDR	0	0	0	0	0
Maldives	0	0	...
Mongolia	0	0	0	0	0
Nepal	6	6	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	...	0
Papua New Guinea	10	10	0	4	3
Philippines	30	25	0	0	0
Samoa	4	0
Sri Lanka	0	0	0	0	0
Tajikistan	8	7	10	0	0
Tonga	0	0	0	1	0
Uzbekistan	0	0	0
Viet Nam	0	0	0	0	0
Total	95	55	51	11	5
%	100%	86%	80%	12%	5%

... = data not available, ADB = Asian Development Bank, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, PIU = project implementation unit.

Note: The target for this indicator is to reduce the number of PIUs by two-thirds relative to the 2005 baseline, implying a target of 32 PIUs in total for all the countries surveyed in 2008; or in percentage terms, a target of 33%.

Sources: Data provided by ADB's resident missions and regional departments.

Table A1.6: Indicator 7—Aid Is More Predictable (2010 Target: 89%)

Partner Country	2005				2006				2007				2008				2009			
	Disbursements Recorded by Govt (\$ mn)		Aid Scheduled for 2005 Ratio (%)		Disbursements Recorded by Govt (\$ mn)		Aid Scheduled for 2006 Ratio (%)		Disbursements Recorded by Govt (\$ mn)		Aid Scheduled for 2007 Ratio (%)		Disbursements Recorded by Govt (\$ mn)		Aid Scheduled for 2008 Ratio (%)		Disbursements Recorded by Govt (\$ mn)		Aid Scheduled for 2009 Ratio (%)	
	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)
Afghanistan	23.9	39.2	61.0	67.5	168.0	167.6	98.8	125.4	190.0	130.1	66.0	81	119.8	282	23.5	130.1	182.2	71.4		
Armenia	6.4	8.1	78.8	30.2	68.8	35.0	63.9	54.8	
Azerbaijan	0.0	0.0	20.8	30.2	68.8	30.2	68.8	35.0	63.9	54.8	
Bangladesh	208.0	174.0	83.7	306.0	100.0	363.8	94.1	448.3	308.2	611.0	68.7	392.3	392.3	64.2	64.2	
Bhutan	12.5	12.6	99.1	4.6	5.6	82.1	8.9	8.3	12.7	65.3	37.9	16.0	42.4	42.4	
Cambodia	68.2	100.0	99.7	100.2	99.7	89.5	99.7	89.7	
China, People's Republic of	874.8	716.2	81.9	1,234.3	1,084.0	87.8	1,131.5	98.8	1,131.5	98.8	
Georgia	0.0	0.0	69.9	69.9	100.0	112.2	99.3	112.2	99.3	
India	601.0	662.0	90.8	1,393.4	1,400.0	99.5	1,447.3	96.3	1,447.3	96.3	
Indonesia	...	306.1	746.7	747.2	99.9	1,008.9	90.3	791.5	835.8	94.7	
Kazakhstan	0.0	0.0	8.3	10.5	79.0	42.5	100.0	42.5	100.0	
Kyrgyz Republic	30.3	27.1	89.3	42.8	38.8	90.7	33.8	33.8	37.0	91.2	46.3	93.6	45.6	46.6	97.8	
Lao PDR	78.7	78.7	100.0	78.5	100.0	66.8	66.8	66.8	73.6	90.8	84.4	65.3	65.3	88.6	88.6	
Maldives	4.9	10.3	48.0	4.9	8.7	56.6	5.1	7.2	7.2	70.8	8.1	89.1	5.9	9.6	61.8	
Mongolia	29.9	37.6	79.5	28.6	36.5	78.4	24.2	24.2	24.3	99.6	
Nepal	43.0	72.4	59.4	108.0	60.0	55.6	44.1	44.1	127.1	156.3	81.3	199.5	17.0	58.6	
Pakistan	...	688.3	856.9	740.6	77.2	1,788.7	1,432.0	1,750.0	1,688.2	96.5	
Papua New Guinea	21.5	53.7	40.1	29.3	18.9	64.5	27.6	27.6	27.0	98.0	18.8	25.3	13.8	14.2	97.2	
Philippines	...	176.3	351.3	615.3	...	647.1	90.2	830.1	657.3	79.2	
Samoa	0.0	0.0	2.6	3.9	66.7	10.8	10.2	10.2	94.4	
Sri Lanka	205.0	253.0	81.0	180.0	228.0	78.9	134.4	134.4	159.0	84.5	232.2	75.4	287.0	192.3	67.0	
Tajikistan	26.0	30.6	84.9	35.1	38.3	77.2	38.3	38.3	37.6	98.2	57.0	98.8	115.2	64.1	55.6	
Tonga	0.7	1.0	0.5	53.0	
Uzbekistan	95.2	97.9	97.2	51.9	50.6	97.6	104.5	
Viet Nam	209.8	210.0	99.9	252.1	319.3	79.0	188.1	188.1	258.3	76.7	288.0	99.7	621.6	463.5	74.6	
Total	2,464.5	2,475.2	99.6	1,137.1	1,226.9	92.7	2,866.0	2,866.0	2,814.9	98.2	7,760.4	94.6	8,461.0	7,680.4	90.8	8,461.0	7,680.4	90.8	90.8	
Average Country Ratio			79.7			77.5				85.7					84.1				76.5	

... = data not available, ADB = Asian Development Bank, Govt = government, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, mn = million.

^a Ratio is a/b except where government's budget estimates are greater than disbursements (b/a).

Sources: Data provided by ADB resident missions and regional departments.

Table A1.7: Indicator 9—Use of Program-Based Approaches in Lending (2010 Target: 66%)

Partner Country	2005				2006				2007				2009			
	Disbursements for PBAs (\$ mn)		Total Aid (\$ mn)		Disbursements for PBAs (\$ mn)		Total Aid (\$ mn)		Disbursements for PBAs (\$ mn)		Total Aid (\$ mn)		Disbursements for PBAs (\$ mn)		Total Aid (\$ mn)	
	a	b	(%) a/b	(%) a/b	a	b	(%) a/b	(%) a/b	a	b	(%) a/b	(%) a/b	a	b	(%) a/b	(%) a/b
Afghanistan	0.0	23.9	0.0	0.0	24.3	67.2	36.2	49.4	129.9	24.0	133.1	38.0	80.0	119.8	18.0	66.8
Armenia	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Azerbaijan	11.2	59.2	18.8	66.8
Bangladesh	20.0	258.0	7.8	18.6	322.0	5.8	5.8	195.6	422.1	2.2	604.7	46.3	2.2	604.7	0.4	0.4
Bhutan	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	4.1	4.6	0.0	4.1	8.9	...	37.9	46.1	...	37.9
Cambodia	11.0	84.5	13.0	0.0	0.0	55.0	0.0	21.4	69.8	13.7	89.5	30.6	13.7	89.5	15.3	15.3
China, People's Republic of	1,355.6	1,355.6
Georgia	112.2	112.2
India	1,367.7	1,367.7
Indonesia	750.0	1,015.0	73.9	600.0	861.5	69.6	69.6	900.0	1,136.3	537.0	809.6	79.2	537.0	809.6	66.3	66.3
Kazakhstan	42.7	42.7
Kyrgyz Republic	0.0	30.3	0.0	8.0	42.8	18.7	18.7	0.0	34.2	24.6	47.1	0.0	24.6	47.1	52.2	52.2
Lao PDR	11.3	78.7	14.4	5.8	78.5	7.4	7.4	5.9	74.8	23.7	80.9	7.9	23.7	80.9	29.2	29.2
Maldives	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	5.1	...	5.9	0.0	...	5.9
Mongolia	8.8	29.9	29.4	5.2	28.6	18.2	18.2	0.4	24.2	49.7	80.6	1.6	49.7	80.6	61.7	61.7
Nepal	24.0	43.7	54.9	49.0	108.0	45.4	45.4	15.6	108.4	125.9	206.3	14.4	125.9	206.3	61.0	61.0
Pakistan	456.7	563.5	81.0	571.5	790.5	72.3	72.3	765.4	990.5	895.1	1,129.0	77.3	895.1	1,129.0	79.3	79.3
Papua New Guinea	0.0	21.5	0.0	0.0	29.3	27.6	0.0	27.6	27.6	...	16.8	100.0	...	16.8
Philippines	175.0	239.6	73.0	750.0	833.0	90.0	90.0	325.0	419.2	775.0	830.1	77.5	775.0	830.1	93.4	93.4
Samoa	1.2	10.8	...	1.2	10.8
Sri Lanka	20.0	206.0	9.7	35.0	180.0	19.4	19.4	0.0	137.0	...	288.0	0.0	...	288.0
Tajikistan	7.7	26.0	29.6	2.5	35.1	7.2	7.2	1.0	38.3	40.0	115.2	2.5	40.0	115.2	34.7	34.7
Tonga	1.5	1.5
Uzbekistan	127.5	127.5
Viet Nam	95.7	223.7	42.8	67.1	184.1	36.4	36.4	48.7	229.9	100.0	621.6	21.2	100.0	621.6	16.1	16.1
Total	1,580.2	2,861.6	55.2	2,137.0	3,625.0	59.0	59.0	2,360.0	3,856.0	2,703.2	4,937.5	61.2	2,703.2	4,937.5	54.7	54.7

... = data not available, ADB = Asian Development Bank, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, no. = number.

Note: Use of PBAs in lending was not surveyed in 2008.

Sources: Data provided by ADB resident missions and regional departments.

Table A1.8: Indicator 10a—Coordinated Missions (2010 Target: 40%)

Partner Country	2005				2006				2007				2008				2009			
	Coordinated Donor Missions (no.)		Total Donor Missions (no.)	Ratio (%)	Coordinated Donor Missions (no.)		Total Donor Missions (no.)	Ratio (%)	Coordinated Donor Missions (no.)		Total Donor Missions (no.)	Ratio (%)	Coordinated Donor Missions (no.)		Total Donor Missions (no.)	Ratio (%)	Coordinated Donor Missions (no.)		Total Donor Missions (no.)	Ratio (%)
	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b	a	b
Afghanistan	0	50	0.0	0	71	0.0	20	100.0	0	5	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0
Armenia
Azerbaijan
Bangladesh	10	45	22.2	12	57	21.1	41	35.3	1	116	35.3	1	1	100.0	3	3	100.0	3	3	100.0
Bhutan	3	23	13.0	5	32	15.6	4	9.5	0	42	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0
Cambodia	8	104	7.7	10	123	8.1	9	8.6	0	105	0.0	0	0	0.0	1	1	100.0	1	1	100.0
China, People's Republic of
Georgia
India	12	99	12.1	11	92	12.0	10	12.5	0	80	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0
Indonesia
Kazakhstan
Kyrgyz Republic	2	80	2.5	2	56	3.6	3	5.3	1	57	5.3	1	1	100.0	1	1	100.0	1	1	100.0
Lao PDR	20	93	21.5	17	94	18.1	22	23.4	1	94	23.4	1	1	100.0	1	2	50.0	1	2	50.0
Maldives	4	72	5.6	7	31	22.6	7	16.7	0	42	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0
Mongolia	1	12	8.3	1	20	5.0	0	0.0	3	21	0.0	3	8	37.5	1	1	100.0	1	1	100.0
Nepal	15	47	31.9	17	70	24.3	6	11.3	3	53	11.3	3	3	100.0	1	4	25.0	1	4	25.0
Pakistan
Papua New Guinea	28	65	43.1	5	59	8.5	23	100.0	0	23	0.0	0	1	0.0	0	1	0.0	0	1	0.0
Philippines	3	60	5.0	3	59	5.1	0	0.0	0	54	0.0	0	0	0.0	1	2	50.0	1	2	50.0
Samoa
Sri Lanka	0	50	0.0	3	50	6.0	2	2.6	0	76	0.0	0	1	0.0	0	1	0.0	0	1	0.0
Tajikistan	1	49	2.0	1	64	1.6	5	7.0	3	71	7.0	3	4	75.0	7	7	100.0	7	7	100.0
Tonga	3	9	33.3	3	6	50.0	7	70.0	0	10	0.0	0	0	0.0
Uzbekistan
Viet Nam	2	106	1.9	0	79	0.0	3	4.3	0	70	0.0	0	1	0.0	2	2	100.0	2	2	100.0
Total	112	964	11.6	97	963	10.1	166	14.7	16	1131	14.7	16	36	44.4	20	37	54.1	20	37	54.1

... = data not available, ADB = Asian Development Bank, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, no. = number.

Note: 2008 data are based on the number of missions originating from ADB headquarters for country partnership strategies and country partnership reviews. All other missions originating from ADB headquarters or from resident missions have not been counted. In previous years, all missions were counted.

Sources: Data obtained from ADB's Central Operations Services Office, resident missions, and regional departments.

Table A1.9: Indicator 10b—Joint Country Analytical Work (2010 Target: 66%)

Partner Country	2005						2006						2007						2008						2009							
	Coordinated Analytical Work		Total Donor Analytical Work		2005 Ratio (%)		Coordinated Analytical Work		Total Donor Analytical Work		2006 Ratio (%)		Coordinated Analytical Work		Total Donor Analytical Work		2007 Ratio (%)		Coordinated Analytical Work		Total Donor Analytical Work		2008 Ratio (%)		Coordinated Analytical Work		Total Donor Analytical Work		2009 Ratio (%)			
	(no.)	(no.)	(no.)	(no.)	a/b	b	(no.)	(no.)	(no.)	(no.)	a/b	b	(no.)	(no.)	(no.)	(no.)	a/b	b	(no.)	(no.)	(no.)	(no.)	a/b	b	(no.)	(no.)	(no.)	(no.)	a/b	b		
Afghanistan	0	4	0	17	0.0	0	0	0	2	0.0	2	1	1	1	1	100.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0		
Armenia	100.0	
Azerbaijan	100.0	
Bangladesh	3	8	1	10	37.5	1	10	10.0	18	33.3	6	4	4	4	4	100.0	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	100.0		
Bhutan	5	7	2	2	71.4	2	2	100.0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	100.0	1	3	33.3	0	1	1	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0		
Cambodia	4	6	1	4	66.7	1	4	25.0	2	4	4.9	1	2	4	4	4.9	1	3	50.0	1	1	1	100.0	1	1	1	1	1	1	100.0		
China, People's Republic of	33.3	
Georgia	0.0	
India	0.0	
Indonesia	1	6	1	5	16.7	1	5	20.0	2	5	40.0	0	2	6	33.3	0	6	33.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0		
Kazakhstan	0.0	
Kyrgyz Republic	7	9	3	3	77.8	3	3	100.0	3	3	100.0	14	14	14	14	100.0	2	4	50.0	2	4	50.0	2	4	4	4	4	4	50.0			
Lao PDR	15	18	12	16	83.3	12	16	75.0	10	16	62.5	1	1	1	1	100.0	3	7	42.9	3	7	42.9	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	42.9		
Maldives	0	1	0	4	0.0	4	6	66.7	3	3	100.0	2	3	3	3	100.0	0	1	0.0	0	1	100.0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.0		
Mongolia	1	1	1	1	100.0	1	1	100.0	1	3	33.3	0	11	11	11	100.0	5	5	100.0	5	5	100.0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	100.0		
Nepal	0	21	0	25	0.0	0	25	0.0	7	17	41.2	1	12	12	12	100.0	4	10	40.0	4	10	40.0	4	10	10	10	10	10	10	40.0		
Pakistan	0.0
Papua New Guinea	3	3	4	4	100.0	4	4	100.0	4	4	100.0	4	4	4	4	100.0	3	4	75.0	3	4	75.0	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	75.0		
Philippines	88.9
Samoa	100.0	
Sri Lanka	1	6	3	6	50.0	3	6	50.0	1	6	16.7	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	2	0.0	0	2	0.0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	0.0		
Tajikistan	0	0	0	3	0.0	3	3	100.0	0	2	0.0	7	7	7	7	100.0	9	14	64.3	9	14	64.3	9	14	14	14	14	14	14	64.3		
Tonga	2	3	2	3	66.7	2	3	66.7	2	4	50.0	2	3	3	3	100.0	2	2	100.0	2	2	100.0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	100.0		
Uzbekistan	75.0
Viet Nam	0	5	1	5	0.0	1	5	20.0	1	1	100.0	3	8	8	8	100.0	4	5	80.0	4	5	80.0	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	80.0		
Total	42	98	38	110	42.9	38	110	34.5	44	139	31.7	57	124	124	124	46.0	72	115	62.6	72	115	62.6	72	115	115	115	115	62.6	62.6			

... = data not available, ADB = Asian Development Bank, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, no. = number.

Note: Under the Paris Declaration criteria, for country analytic work to be considered "joint" it must be undertaken (i) with another development partner, (ii) by one development partner on behalf of another, or (iii) with substantive government involvement.

Sources: Data provided by ADB resident missions and regional departments.

DETAILS ON ADB PROGRESS WITH QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS BY GROUP
Table A2.1: Indicator 3—Aid Flows Are Aligned with National Priorities (2010 Target: 85%)

Partner Group/Country	2005						2006						2007						2008						2009						
	Govt's Budget Estimates of Aid Flows (\$ mn)		Aid for Govt Sector (\$ mn)		2005 Ratio a/b ^a (%)		Govt's Budget Estimates of Aid Flows (\$ mn)		Aid for Govt Sector (\$ mn)		2006 Ratio a/b ^a (%)		Govt's Budget Estimates of Aid Flows (\$ mn)		Aid for Govt Sector (\$ mn)		2007 Ratio a/b ^a (%)		Govt's Budget Estimates of Aid Flows (\$ mn)		Aid for Govt Sector (\$ mn)		2008 Ratio a/b ^a (%)		Govt's Budget Estimates of Aid Flows (\$ mn)		Aid for Govt Sector (\$ mn)		2009 Ratio a/b ^a (%)		
	a	b	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	
Group A: Asian Development Fund only																															
Afghanistan	121.8	23.9	113.2	67.2	59.4	129.9	129.9	129.9	129.9	129.9	100.0	100.0	129.9	87.5	210.9	87.5	41.5	130.0	133.1	133.1	133.1	133.1	41.5	130.0	133.1	133.1	133.1	133.1	97.7	97.7	
Bhutan	...	12.5	27.3	4.6	16.8	37.6	37.6	37.6	37.6	37.6	23.7	23.7	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	100.0	37.9	37.9	37.9	37.9	100.0	100.0	37.9	37.9	37.9	37.9	37.9	100.0	100.0	
Cambodia	22.9	84.5	15.6	55.0	28.4	63.1	63.1	63.1	63.1	63.1	90.3	90.3	69.8	144.1	147.2	144.1	97.9	84.6	89.5	89.5	89.5	97.9	97.9	84.6	89.5	89.5	89.5	89.5	94.5	94.5	
Kyrgyz Republic	31.0	30.3	97.9	42.8	70.1	33.8	34.2	34.2	34.2	34.2	98.8	98.8	34.2	98.8	46.2	46.2	98.8	45.6	47.1	47.1	47.1	96.7	96.7	45.6	47.1	47.1	47.1	47.1	96.7	96.7	
Lao PDR	78.7	78.7	78.5	78.5	100.0	74.3	74.8	74.8	74.8	74.8	99.3	99.3	74.8	74.8	65.7	65.7	95.3	65.3	80.9	80.9	80.9	80.9	95.3	95.3	65.3	80.9	80.9	80.9	80.7	80.7	
Maldives	...	4.9	7.8	4.9	62.8	...	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	62.8	62.8	5.1	5.1	7.5	7.5	96.4	11.5	5.9	5.9	5.9	51.3	96.4	96.4	11.5	5.9	5.9	51.3	51.3		
Mongolia	0.0	29.9	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	80.6	80.6	80.6	
Nepal	89.9	43.7	109.5	108.0	98.6	89.7	108.4	108.4	108.4	108.4	82.7	82.7	108.4	108.4	174.0	134.0	77.0	168.8	206.3	206.3	206.3	77.0	168.8	206.3	206.3	206.3	206.3	81.8	81.8		
Samoa	...	3.6	10.8	10.8	10.8	92.8	92.8	
Tajikistan	29.5	26.0	12.0	35.1	34.2	38.3	38.3	38.3	38.3	38.3	100.0	100.0	38.3	56.3	56.3	56.3	100.0	115.2	115.2	115.2	115.2	100.0	100.0	115.2	115.2	115.2	115.2	100.0	100.0		
Tonga	1.6	1.6	1.6	10.0	5.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	30.5	79.1	79.1	673.9	808.8	808.8	83.3	83.3		
Subtotal	373.8	320.6	393.9	424.7	92.8	466.6	488.5	466.6	488.5	466.6	95.5	95.5	488.5	567.4	717.6	567.4	79.1	673.9	808.8	808.8	808.8	79.1	673.9	808.8	808.8	808.8	83.3	83.3	83.3	83.3	
Average Country Ratio by Group					52.3						74.4	74.4					78.6					78.6	78.6					82.6	82.6		
Group B: Asian Development Fund and ordinary capital resources																															
Armenia	119.8	119.8	119.8	100.0	100.0	
Azerbaijan	...	1.8	35.0	59.2	59.2	99.1	99.1	
Bangladesh	208.0	258.0	385.0	322.0	83.6	355.0	422.1	422.1	422.1	422.1	84.1	84.1	448.3	435.9	448.3	435.9	97.2	611.0	604.7	604.7	604.7	99.0	99.0	611.0	604.7	604.7	604.7	99.1	99.1		
Georgia	111.4	112.2	112.2	99.3	99.3	
India	...	661.2	1,393.4	1,393.4	1,393.4	100.0	1,393.4	1,367.7	1,367.7	1,367.7	98.2	98.2	1,393.4	1,367.7	1,367.7	1,367.7	98.2	98.2		
Indonesia	...	1,015.0	...	861.5	...	625.3	1,136.3	1,136.3	1,136.3	1,136.3	55.0	55.0	955.2	955.2	955.2	955.2	100.0	
Pakistan	...	563.5	...	790.5	990.5	990.5	990.5	990.5	16.8	16.8	16.8	
Papua New Guinea	...	21.5	...	29.3	27.6	27.6	27.6	27.6	74.8	74.8	
Sri Lanka	206.0	206.0	180.0	180.0	100.0	134.4	137.0	137.0	137.0	137.0	100.0	100.0	137.0	137.0	276.0	308.0	89.6	287.0	288.0	288.0	288.0	99.7	99.7	287.0	288.0	288.0	288.0	99.7	99.7		
Uzbekistan	...	96.2	
Viet Nam	223.7	223.7	184.1	184.1	100.0	198.1	229.9	229.9	229.9	229.9	100.0	100.0	229.9	287.0	276.0	287.0	96.2	607.8	621.6	621.6	621.6	97.8	97.8	607.8	621.6	621.6	621.6	97.8	97.8		
Subtotal	637.7	687.7	749.1	686.1	91.6	1,349.6	1,952.8	1,349.6	1,952.8	1,349.6	91.6	91.6	1,349.6	3,632.0	3,441.8	3,632.0	94.8	3,165.4	3,173.1	3,173.1	3,173.1	99.8	99.8	3,165.4	3,173.1	3,173.1	3,173.1	99.8	99.8		
Average Country Ratio by Group					93.5						93.5	93.5					92.6					92.6	92.6					93.3	93.3		
Group C: Ordinary capital resources only																															
China, People's Republic	...	890.6
Indonesia
Kazakhstan	...	27.3
Philippines	...	239.6	...	833.0	419.2	419.2	419.2	419.2
Subtotal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Average Country Ratio by Group					0.0						0.0	0.0					0.0					0.0	0.0					99.7	99.7		
Total	1,011.5	1,008.3	1,143.0	1,110.7	97.2	1,816.2	2,441.3	1,816.2	2,441.3	1,816.2	74.4	74.4	4,822.7	4,866.1	4,822.7	4,866.1	99.1	5,486.1	5,664.4	5,664.4	5,664.4	96.9	96.9	5,486.1	5,664.4	5,664.4	96.9	96.9			
Overall Average Country Ratio					62.8						76.4	76.4					86.7					86.7	86.7					88.5	88.5		

... = data not available, ADB = Asian Development Bank, Govt = government, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, mn = million.

^a Ratio is a/b except where the government's budget estimates are greater than disbursements (b/a).

Sources: Data provided by the ADB's Central Operations Services Office, resident missions, and regional departments.

Table A2.2: Indicator 4—Strengthen Capacity by Coordinated Support (2010 Target: 50%)

Partner Group/Country	2005						2006						2007						2008						2009	
	Coordinated Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Total Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)	2005 Ratio (%) a/b	Coordinated Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Total Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)	2006 Ratio (%) a/b	Coordinated Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Total Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)	2007 Ratio (%) a/b	Coordinated Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Total Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)	2008 Ratio (%) a/b	Coordinated Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)		Total Technical Cooperation (\$ mn)	2009 Ratio (%) a/b						
	a	b			a	b			a	b			a	b			a	b								
Group A: Asian Development Fund only																										
Afghanistan	0.2	8.3	2.3	1.0	7.0	14.4	11.4	4.5	11.4	4.5	100.0	11.4	4.5	4.5	100.0	4.5	3.0	3.0	100.0							
Bhutan	0.7	1.6	43.8	1.3	1.9	68.4	1.2	0.4	1.2	0.4	75.0	1.6	1.6	2.2	33.9	2.2	2.2	100.0								
Cambodia	4.1	31.5	13.0	3.0	22.6	13.3	1.5	10.7	14.2	10.7	100.0	14.2	5.1	5.1	100.0	5.1	4.9	96.1								
Kyrgyz Republic	1.8	1.8	100.0	0.7	0.7	100.0	2.4	2.4	2.4	100.0	100.0	2.4	2.1	2.1	71.0	0.2	1.6	14.5								
Lao PDR	11.2	11.2	100.0	9.3	9.3	100.0	1.1	7.2	7.2	16.3	7.1	7.1	7.1	1.5	100.0	1.5	7.2	20.9								
Maldives	0.9	1.2	75.0	1.1	1.4	78.6	0.4	0.7	0.7	57.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	39.4	0.2	0.2	0.2									
Mongolia	4.1	4.1	100.0	3.3	3.3	100.0	3.4	3.4	3.4	100.0	100.0	2.1	2.1	3.1	100.0	3.1	3.1	100.0								
Nepal	1.4	2.2	61.8	2.0	6.3	31.0	0.0	4.6	4.6	0.0	0.0	5.0	6.9	2.5	72.5	2.5	6.8	36.9								
Samoa	1.5	1.5	100.0	0.9	0.9	100.0								
Tajikistan	1.5	4.0	36.3	1.4	4.5	31.5	0.2	4.4	4.4	5.5	3.7	3.7	3.7	1.3	100.0	1.3	1.3	100.0								
Tonga	0.4	0.4	100.0	0.6	0.6	100.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	100.0	100.0	0.7	0.7	0.5	100.0	0.5	0.5	100.0								
Subtotal	26.2	66.3	39.5	23.7	57.5	41.1	21.9	50.2	50.2	43.6	26.8	30.3	15.2	26.5	57.3											
Group B: Asian Development Fund and ordinary capital resources																										
Armenia	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0							
Azerbaijan	0.6	...							
Bangladesh	5.0	8.0	62.5	5.5	5.5	100.0	2.5	5.1	5.1	48.4	3.3	5.9	5.9	54.7	2.9	2.9	5.3	54.7								
Georgia	0.8	2.0							
India	3.7	17.4	21.3	3.7	11.4	32.8								
Indonesia	1.8	11.1	16.5	1.3	10.2	12.3	0.1	9.4	9.4	1.4	1.4	5.5	5.5								
Pakistan	...	6.5	7.9	8.6	8.6	5.6	7.7	73.3	6.5	8.2	78.9	...								
Papua New Guinea	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	100.0	1.5	2.7	55.6	...	0.2	0.2	...									
Sri Lanka	0.8	3.7	22.6	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	3.4	3.4	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	100.0	1.0	1.0	...									
Uzbekistan	0.8	2.0	41.1	0.2	0.8	30.8								
Viet Nam	9.0	9.0	100.0	1.4	5.7	24.6	35.3	35.3	35.3	100.0	0.0	11.0	11.0	13.8	0.0	13.8	...									
Subtotal	16.7	34.0	49.0	8.1	27.7	29.3	38.4	53.7	53.7	71.4	17.0	54.6	13.4	27.0	49.6											
Group C: Ordinary capital resources only																										
China, People's Republic of	23.2	12.8	...							
Indonesia	0.4	6.1	5.8							
Kazakhstan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	...								
Philippines	3.9	4.6	84.8	4.2	4.7	89.2	2.1	2.7	2.7	79.2	4.9	4.9	4.9	100.0	5.1	5.1	100.0									
Subtotal	3.9	4.6	84.8	4.2	4.7	89.2	2.1	2.7	2.7	79.2	4.9	4.9	4.9	100.0	5.5	11.2	48.9									
TOTAL	46.8	105.0	44.6	35.9	89.8	40.0	62.4	106.5	106.5	58.5	48.6	89.7	34.0	64.6	52.6											

... = data not available, ADB = Asian Development Bank, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, mn = million.

Sources: Data provided by ADB's resident missions and regional departments.

Table A2.4: Indicator 5b—Use of Country Procurement Systems (2010 Target: 60%)

Partner Group/ Country	2005			2006			2007			2008			2009		
	Disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)	Procurement Systems (\$ mn)	Ratio (%)	Disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)	Procurement Systems (\$ mn)	Ratio (%)	Disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)	Procurement Systems (\$ mn)	Ratio (%)	Disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)	Procurement Systems (\$ mn)	Ratio (%)	Disbursed for Govt Sector (\$ mn)	Procurement Systems (\$ mn)	Ratio (%)
Group A: Asian Development Fund only															
Afghanistan	23.9	0.7	3.0	67.2	67.2	100.0	129.9	129.9	100.0	87.5	3.7	4.3	133.1	24.5	18.4
Bhutan	12.5	5.0	40.0	4.6	4.6	100.0	8.9	8.9	100.0	8.3	3.4	40.7	37.9	6.9	18.3
Cambodia	84.5	30.3	35.9	55.0	0.0	0.0	69.8	1.1	1.5	144.1	49.6	34.4	89.5	21.1	23.5
Kyrgyz Republic	30.3	18.1	59.7	42.8	8.0	18.7	34.2	0.0	0.0	51.5	13.9	27.0	47.1	12.1	25.6
Lao PDR	78.7	33.7	42.9	78.5	0.0	0.0	74.8	0.0	0.0	62.6	27.2	43.4	80.9	18.6	22.9
Maldives	4.9	1.8	36.1	4.9	4.9	100.0	5.1	5.1	100.0	7.2	0.0	0.3	5.9	1.8	30.4
Mongolia	29.9	15.5	51.9	28.6	0.0	0.0	24.2	0.0	0.0	29.9	9.7	32.4	80.6	47.0	58.3
Nepal	43.7	20.9	47.9	108.0	76.0	70.4	108.4	108.4	100.0	134.0	29.8	22.2	206.3	41.5	20.1
Samoa	3.6	2.5	68.6	4.2	0.7	18.0	10.8	0.5	4.4
Tajikistan	26.0	12.1	46.7	35.1	0.0	0.0	38.3	0.0	0.0	56.3	21.3	37.8	115.2	8.6	7.5
Tonga	15.9	1.5
Subtotal	338.0	140.6	41.6	424.7	160.7	37.8	493.6	253.3	51.3	585.6	159.4	27.2	807.3	182.5	22.6
Group B: Asian Development Fund and ordinary capital resources															
Armenia	1.8	0.2	9.1	8.4	0.9	10.6	119.8	86.1	71.9
Azerbaijan	258.0	150.4	58.3	322.0	200.0	62.1	422.1	326.0	77.2	435.9	319.9	73.4	604.7	263.6	43.6
Georgia	661.2	139.2	21.1	1546.8	602.2	38.9	1,367.7	386.7	28.3
India	1,015.0	904.4	89.1	861.5	196.1	22.8	1,136.3	177.2	15.6	955.2	880.3	92.2
Indonesia	563.5	399.9	71.0	790.5	571.5	72.3	990.5	765.4	77.3	1,893.9	1,667.0	88.0	1,129.0	871.1	77.2
Pakistan	21.5	4.3	20.1	29.3	29.3	100.0	27.6	27.6	100.0	28.0	3.1	11.0	16.8	3.4	20.5
Papua New Guinea	206.0	104.6	50.8	180.0	59.0	32.8	137.0	-	-	308.0	101.9	33.1	288.0	73.4	25.5
Sri Lanka	96.2	23.4	24.3	51.1	9.0	17.6	127.5	11.8	9.3
Uzbekistan	223.7	95.3	42.6	184.1	67.1	36.4	229.9	68.9	30.0	287.0	165.0	57.5	621.6	312.6	50.3
Viet Nam	3,046.8	1,821.6	59.8	2,367.3	1,123.0	47.4	2,943.3	1,365.1	46.4	5,605.0	3,823.8	68.2	4,446.4	2,108.4	47.4
Subtotal	1,257.5	201.4	16.0	1,355.6	229.9	17.0
Group C: Ordinary capital resources only															
China, People's Republic of	890.6	61.2	6.9	809.6	587.6	72.6
Indonesia	27.3	8.3	0.7	8.8	42.7	4.6	8.8
Kazakhstan	239.6	201.1	83.9	833.0	83.0	10.0	419.2	94.2	22.5	686.3	619.3	94.1	830.1	636.7	94.1
Philippines	1,130.2	262.3	23.2	833.0	83.0	10.0	419.2	94.2	22.5	1,924.1	821.5	42.7	3,038.0	1,458.9	48.0
Subtotal	4,515.0	2,224.5	49.3	3,625.0	1,366.7	37.7	3,856.0	1,712.5	44.4	8,114.8	4,804.6	59.2	8,291.7	3,749.8	45.2

... = data not available, ADB = Asian Development Bank, Govt = government, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, mn = million.

Note: ADB is required to provide equal opportunities to firms in all its member countries to bid for any project using international competitive bidding procedures. This necessitates uniformity in the bidding procedures across countries. As a result, ADB procurement procedures rather than country procurement procedures are used for international bidding. Therefore, country progress on the Paris Declaration target on procurement systems is limited to the extent by which country systems are used for national procurement only.

Source: Procurement data for 2005 and 2008 was provided by ADB's Central Operations Services Office. The rest was provided by resident missions and regional departments.

Table A2.5: Indicator 6—Avoid Parallel Implementation Structures (2010 Target: 32)

Partner Group/Country	Number of Parallel PIUs				Groupwise Targets
	2005	2006	2007	2008	
Group A: Asian Development Fund only					
Afghanistan	0	0	0	0	0
Bhutan	0	0	0	0	1
Cambodia	30	0	28	0	0
Kyrgyz Republic	10	6	12	0	0
Lao PDR	0	0	0	0	0
Maldives	0	0	0	0	...
Mongolia	0	0	0	0	0
Nepal	6	6	0	0	0
Samoa	4	0
Tajikistan	8	7	10	0	0
Tonga	0	0	0	1	0
Subtotal	54	19	50	5	1
Group B: Asian Development Fund and ordinary capital resources					
Armenia	0	0
Azerbaijan	0
Bangladesh	0	0	0	0	0
Georgia	0	0	0
India	1	0	0	1	1
Indonesia	0	0	0	0	...
Pakistan	0	0	0	...	0
Papua New Guinea	10	10	0	4	3
Sri Lanka	0	0	0	0	0
Uzbekistan	0	0	0
Viet Nam	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	11	10	0	5	4
Group C: Ordinary capital resources only					
China, People's Republic of	0	0	0
Indonesia	0
Kazakhstan	1	0
Philippines	30	25	0	0	0
Subtotal	30	25	0	1	0
TOTAL	95	54	50	11	5

... = data not available, ADB=Asian Development Bank, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, PIU = project implementation unit.

Note: The target for this indicator is to reduce the number of PIUs by two-thirds relative to the 2005 baseline, implying a target of 32 PIUs in total for all the countries surveyed in 2008; or in percentage terms, a target of 33%.

Sources: Data provided by ADB's resident missions and regional departments.

Table A2.6: Indicator 7—Aid is More Predictable (2010 Target: 89%)

Partner Group/Country	2005				2006				2007				2008				2009			
	Disbursements Recorded by Govt (\$ mn)		Aid Scheduled for Disbursement (\$ mn)		Disbursements Recorded by Govt (\$ mn)		Aid Scheduled for Disbursement (\$ mn)		Disbursements Recorded by Govt (\$ mn)		Aid Scheduled for Disbursement (\$ mn)		Disbursements Recorded by Govt (\$ mn)		Aid Scheduled for Disbursement (\$ mn)		Disbursements Recorded by Govt (\$ mn)		Aid Scheduled for Disbursement (\$ mn)	
	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)	a	b	a/b ^a	(%)
Group A: Asian Development Fund only																				
Afghanistan	23.9	39.2	61.0	67.2	67.2	99.5	67.5	168.0	167.6	99.8	125.4	190.0	130.1	182.2	71.4					
Bhutan	12.5	12.6	99.1	4.6	5.6	82.1	8.9	8.3	5.8	65.2	8.3	12.7	37.9	16.0	42.4					
Cambodia	68.2	74.8	74.8	91.2	100.0	100.2	89.5	99.7	89.7					
Kyrgyz Republic	30.3	27.1	89.3	42.8	38.8	90.7	33.8	37.0	91.2	91.2	46.3	46.3	46.6	46.6	97.8					
Lao PDR	78.7	78.7	100.0	78.5	78.5	100.0	66.8	73.6	90.8	90.8	55.4	65.7	73.8	65.3	88.6					
Maldives	4.9	10.3	48.0	4.9	8.7	56.6	5.1	7.2	70.8	81.1	7.2	8.1	5.9	9.6	61.8					
Mongolia	29.9	37.6	79.5	28.6	36.5	78.4	24.2	24.3	99.6	99.6	127.1	156.3	199.5	117.0	58.6					
Nepal	43.0	72.4	59.4	108.0	60.0	55.6	44.1	91.1	48.4	48.4	2.6	3.9	10.8	10.2	94.4					
Samoa					
Tajikistan	26.0	30.6	84.9	35.1	27.1	77.2	38.3	37.6	98.2	98.2	56.3	57.0	115.2	64.1	55.6					
Tonga	4.6	1.0	0.5	53.0					
Subtotal	249.2	308.4	80.8	369.7	354.7	95.9	457.4	519.0	88.1	88.1	531.8	640.2	709.1	611.2	86.2					
Average Country Ratio by Group			77.7			76.0				83.9				82.8	71.3					
Group B: Asian Development Fund and ordinary capital resources																				
Armenia	6.4	8.1	119.8	28.2	23.5					
Azerbaijan	...	23.0	20.8	30.2	35.0	63.9	54.8					
Bangladesh	208.0	174.0	83.7	306.0	306.0	100.0	342.5	363.8	94.1	94.1	448.3	306.2	611.0	392.3	64.2					
Georgia	69.9	69.9	111.4	112.2	99.3					
India	601.0	662.0	90.8	1,393.4	1,400.0	1,393.4	1,447.3	96.3					
Indonesia	...	306.1	596.0	...	746.7	747.2	99.9	99.9	1,008.9	1,117.5					
Pakistan	...	668.3	856.9	...	959.5	740.6	77.2	77.2	1,786.7	1,432.0	1,750.0	1,686.2	96.5					
Papua New Guinea	21.5	53.7	40.1	29.3	18.9	64.5	27.6	27.0	98.0	98.0	18.8	25.3	13.8	14.2	97.2					
Sri Lanka	205.0	253.0	81.0	180.0	228.0	78.9	134.4	159.0	84.5	84.5	306.0	232.2	287.0	192.3	67.0					
Uzbekistan	95.2	97.9	97.2	51.9	50.6	...	104.5	...					
Viet Nam	209.8	210.0	99.9	252.1	319.3	79.0	196.1	258.3	76.7	76.7	287.0	286.0	621.6	463.5	74.6					
Subtotal	1,340.5	1,450.6	92.4	767.4	872.2	88.0	2,408.7	2,296.0	95.3	95.3	5,402.0	4,962.0	4,943.0	4,506.6	91.2					
Average Country Ratio by Group			82.1			80.6			88.4					84.8	74.8					
Group C: Ordinary capital resources only																				
China, People's Republic of	874.8	716.2	81.9	1,234.3	1,084.0	1,144.8	1,131.5	98.8					
Indonesia					
Kazakhstan	8.3	10.5	42.5	42.5	100.0					
Philippines	...	176.3	584.0	647.1	830.1	657.3	79.2					
Subtotal	874.8	716.2	81.9	-	351.3	...	615.3	615.3	1,826.6	1,741.6	2,808.9	2,667.0	94.9					
Average Country Ratio by Group			81.9			0.0			0.0					85.7	93.2					
TOTAL	2,464.5	2,475.2	99.6	1,137.1	1,226.9	92.7	2,866.0	2,814.9	96.2	96.2	7,760.4	7,343.8	8,461.0	7,784.9	92.0					
Overall Average Country Ratio			79.7			77.5			85.7					76.5	76.5					

... = data not available, Govt = government, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, mn = million.

^a Ratio is a/b except where government's budget estimates are greater than disbursements (b/a).

Sources: Data provided by Asian Development Bank resident missions and regional departments.

Table A2.7: Indicator 9—Use of Program-Based Approaches in Lending (2010 Target: 66%)

Partner Country	2005				2006				2007				2009			
	Disbursements for PBAs (\$ mn)		Total Aid (\$ mn)	Ratio (%)	Disbursements for PBAs (\$ mn)		Total Aid (\$ mn)	Ratio (%)	Disbursements for PBAs (\$ mn)		Total Aid (\$ mn)	Ratio (%)	Disbursements for PBAs (\$ mn)		Total Aid (\$ mn)	Ratio (%)
	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b	a	b	a/b	a/b
Group A: Asian Development Fund only																
Afghanistan	0.0	23.9	0.0	24.3	67.2	36.2	49.4	129.9	38.0	24.0	133.1	18.0
Bhutan	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	4.6	0.0	4.1	8.9	46.1	...	37.9
Cambodia	11.0	84.5	13.0	0.0	55.0	0.0	21.4	69.8	30.6	13.7	89.5	15.3
Kyrgyz Republic	0.0	30.3	0.0	8.0	42.8	18.7	0.0	34.2	0.0	24.6	47.1	52.2
Lao PDR	11.3	78.7	14.4	5.8	78.5	7.4	5.9	74.8	7.9	23.7	80.9	29.2
Maldives	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	5.1	0.0	...	5.9
Mongolia	8.8	29.9	29.4	5.2	28.6	18.2	0.4	24.2	1.6	49.7	80.6	61.7
Nepal	24.0	43.7	54.9	49.0	108.0	45.4	15.6	108.4	14.4	125.9	206.3	61.0
Samoa	1.2	10.8	11.0
Tajikistan	7.7	26.0	29.6	2.5	35.1	7.2	1.0	38.3	2.5	40.0	115.2	34.7
Tonga	1.5
Subtotal	62.8	334.4	18.8	94.8	424.7	22.3	97.7	493.6	19.8	302.7	763.6	39.6
Group B: Asian Development Fund and ordinary capital resources																
Armenia	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	119.8	66.8
Azerbaijan	11.2	59.2	18.8
Bangladesh	20.0	258.0	7.8	18.6	322.0	5.8	195.6	422.1	46.3	2.2	604.7	0.4
Georgia	112.2
India	1367.7
Indonesia	750.0	1015.0	73.9	600.0	861.5	69.6	900.0	1136.3	79.2
Pakistan	456.7	563.5	81.0	571.5	790.5	72.3	765.4	990.5	77.3	895.1	1129.0	79.3
Papua New Guinea	0.0	21.5	0.0	0.0	29.3	0.0	27.6	27.6	100.0	...	16.8
Sri Lanka	20.0	206.0	9.7	35.0	180.0	19.4	0.0	137.0	0.0	...	288.0
Uzbekistan	127.5
Viet Nam	95.7	223.7	42.8	67.1	184.1	36.4	48.7	229.9	21.2	100.0	621.6	16.1
Subtotal	1,342.4	2,287.7	58.7	1,292.2	2,367.4	54.6	1,937.3	2,943.3	65.8	1,088.5	2,534.2	42.9
Group C: Ordinary capital resources only																
China, People's Republic of	1355.6
Indonesia	809.6
Kazakhstan	42.7
Philippines	175.0	239.6	73.0	750.0	833.0	90.0	325.0	419.2	77.5	775.0	830.1	93.4
Subtotal	175.0	239.6	73.0	750.0	833.0	90.0	325.0	419.2	77.5	1,312.0	1,639.7	80.0
TOTAL	1,580.2	2,861.6	55.2	2,137.0	3,625.0	59.0	2,360.0	3,856.0	61.2	2,703.2	4,937.5	54.7

... = data not available, ADB = Asian Development Bank, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, no. = number, PBA = program-based approach.
 Note: Use of PBAs in lending was not surveyed in 2008.

Sources: Data obtained from ADB's Central Operations Services Office, resident missions, and regional departments.

Table A2.8: Indicator 10a—Coordinated Missions (2010 Target: 40%)

Partner Group/ Country	2005						2006						2007						2008						2009						
	Coordinated Missions (no.)		Total Missions (no.)		Ratio (%)		Coordinated Missions (no.)		Total Missions (no.)		Ratio (%)		Coordinated Missions (no.)		Total Missions (no.)		Ratio (%)		Coordinated Missions (no.)		Total Missions (no.)		Ratio (%)		Coordinated Missions (no.)		Total Missions (no.)		Ratio (%)		
	a	b	a	b	a/b	a/b	a	b	a	b	a/b	a/b	a	b	a	b	a/b	a/b	a	b	a	b	a/b	a/b	a	b	a	b	a/b	a/b	
Group A: Asian Development Fund only																															
Afghanistan	0	50	0	71	0.0	0.0	20	20	100.0	100.0	0	5	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Bhutan	3	23	5	32	13.0	15.6	4	42	9.5	9.5	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Cambodia	8	104	10	123	7.7	8.1	9	105	8.6	8.6	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Kyrgyz Republic	2	80	2	56	2.5	3.6	3	57	5.3	5.3	1	1	1	1	100.0	100.0	1	1	100.0	100.0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100.0	100.0	
Lao PDR	20	93	17	94	21.5	18.1	22	94	23.4	23.4	1	1	1	1	100.0	100.0	1	1	100.0	100.0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100.0	100.0	
Maldives	4	72	7	31	5.6	22.6	7	42	16.7	16.7	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Mongolia	1	12	1	20	8.3	5.0	0	21	0.0	0.0	3	8	3	8	37.5	37.5	1	1	100.0	100.0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100.0	100.0	
Nepal	15	47	17	70	31.9	24.3	6	53	11.3	11.3	3	3	3	3	100.0	100.0	1	4	25.0	25.0	1	4	25.0	1	4	25.0	1	4	25.0	25.0	
Samoa
Tajikistan	1	49	1	64	2.0	1.6	5	71	7.0	7.0	3	4	4	4	75.0	75.0	7	7	100.0	100.0	7	7	100.0	7	7	100.0	7	7	100.0	100.0	
Tonga	3	9	3	6	33.3	50.0	7	10	70.0	70.0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Subtotal	57	539	63	567	10.6	11.1	83	515	16.1	16.1	11	22	50.0	50.0	13	18	72.2	72.2	13	18	72.2	13	18	72.2	13	18	72.2	72.2	72.2		
Group B: Asian Development Fund and ordinary capital resources																															
Armenia
Azerbaijan
Bangladesh	10	45	12	57	22.2	21.1	41	116	35.3	35.3	1	3	3	3	100.0	100.0	3	3	100.0	100.0	3	3	100.0	3	3	100.0	3	3	100.0	100.0	
Georgia
India
Indonesia	12	99	11	92	12.1	12.0	10	80	12.5	12.5	0	1	1	1	100.0	100.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Pakistan
Papua New Guinea	28	65	5	59	43.1	8.5	23	23	100.0	100.0	0	1	1	1	100.0	100.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Sri Lanka	0	50	3	50	0.0	6.0	2	76	2.6	2.6	0	1	1	1	100.0	100.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Uzbekistan
Viet Nam	2	106	0	79	1.9	0.0	3	70	4.3	4.3	0	1	1	1	100.0	100.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Subtotal	52	365	31	337	14.2	9.2	83	562	14.8	14.8	5	11	45.5	45.5	5	15	33.3	33.3	5	15	33.3	5	15	33.3	5	15	33.3	33.3			
Group C: Ordinary capital resources only																															
China, People's Republic of
Indonesia
Kazakhstan
Philippines	3	60	3	59	5.0	5.1	0	54	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Subtotal	3	60	3	59	5.0	5.1	0	54	0.0	0.0	0	3	0.0	0.0	0	3	0.0	0.0	0	3	0.0	0	3	0.0	0	3	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	112	964	97	963	11.6	10.1	166	1,131	14.7	14.7	16	36	44.4	44.4	20	37	54.1	54.1	20	37	54.1	20	37	54.1	20	37	54.1	54.1			

... = data not available, ADB = Asian Development Bank, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, no. = number.

Note: 2008 data are based on the number of missions originating from ADB headquarters for country partnership strategies and country partnership reviews. All other missions originating from ADB headquarters or from resident missions have not been counted. In previous years, all missions were counted.

Sources: Data obtained from ADB's Central Operations Services Office, resident missions, and regional departments.

Table A2.9: Indicator 10b—Joint Country Analytical Work (2010 Target: 66%)

Partner Group/Country	2005				2006				2007				2008				2009			
	Coordinated Analytical Work (no.)		Total Analytical Work (no.)		Coordinated Analytical Work (no.)		Total Analytical Work (no.)		Coordinated Analytical Work (no.)		Total Analytical Work (no.)		Coordinated Analytical Work (no.)		Total Analytical Work (no.)		Coordinated Analytical Work (no.)		Total Analytical Work (no.)	
	a	b	a/b (%)		a	b	a/b (%)		a	b	a/b (%)		a	b	a/b (%)		a	b	a/b (%)	
Group A: Asian Development Fund only																				
Afghanistan	0	4	0.0	0	17	0.0	0	2	2	0.0	1	1	1	1	100.0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Bhutan	5	7	71.4	2	2	100.0	3	2	2	100.0	1	3	33.3	0	1	0.0	1	1	100.0	0.0
Cambodia	4	6	66.7	1	4	25.0	2	41	4.9	1	2	50.0	1	2	50.0	0	1	1	100.0	0.0
Kyrgyz Republic	7	9	77.8	3	3	100.0	3	14	100.0	14	14	100.0	2	4	50.0	2	4	50.0	4	50.0
Lao PDR	15	18	83.3	12	16	75.0	10	16	62.5	1	1	100.0	3	7	42.9	3	7	42.9	7	42.9
Maldives	0	1	0.0	4	6	66.7	3	3	100.0	2	3	66.7	0	1	0.0	0	1	0.0	1	0.0
Mongolia	1	1	100.0	1	1	100.0	1	3	33.3	0	11	0.0	5	5	100.0	5	5	100.0	5	100.0
Nepal	0	21	0.0	0	25	0.0	0	8	0.0	0	12	8.3	4	10	40.0	4	10	40.0	4	40.0
Samoa
Tajikistan	0	0	0.0	3	3	100.0	0	2	0.0	2	2	100.0	7	7	100.0	9	14	64.3	3	100.0
Tonga	2	3	66.7	2	3	66.7	2	4	50.0	2	3	66.7	2	3	66.7	2	2	100.0	2	100.0
Subtotal	34	70	48.6	28	80	35.0	23	84	27.4	32	59	54.2	29	48	60.4	29	48	60.4	48	60.4
Group B: Asian Development Fund and ordinary capital resources																				
Armenia
Azerbaijan
Bangladesh	3	8	37.5	1	10	10.0	6	18	33.3	4	4	100.0	4	4	100.0	4	4	100.0	4	100.0
Georgia
India
Indonesia	1	6	16.7	1	5	20.0	2	5	40.0	0	2	0.0	0	2	0.0
Pakistan
Papua New Guinea	3	3	100.0	4	4	100.0	4	4	100.0	4	6	66.7	4	6	66.7	3	4	75.0	3	100.0
Sri Lanka	1	6	16.7	3	6	50.0	1	6	16.7	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	2	0.0	2	0.0
Uzbekistan
Viet Nam	0	5	0.0	1	5	20.0	1	1	100.0	3	8	37.5	4	5	80.0	4	5	80.0	4	80.0
Subtotal	8	28	28.6	10	30	33.3	21	51	41.2	22	47	46.8	24	31	77.4	24	31	77.4	31	77.4
Group C: Ordinary capital resources only																				
China, People's Republic of
Indonesia
Kazakhstan
Philippines
Subtotal	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	4	0.0	3	11	27.3	8	9	88.9	8	9	88.9	9	88.9
TOTAL	42	98	42.9	38	110	34.5	44.0	139.0	31.7	57	124	46.0	72	115	62.6	72	115	62.6	115	62.6

... = data not available; ADB = Asian Development Bank, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic, no. = number.

Note: Under the Paris Declaration criteria, for country analytic work to be considered "joint" is must be undertaken (i) with another development partner, (ii) by one development partner on behalf of another, or (iii) with substantive government involvement.

Sources: Data provided by ADB resident missions and regional departments.