

# Environmental Monitoring Report

---

## Semi-annual Environmental Performance Review No6

(July– December 2013)

**Vietnam: Integrated Rural Development Project for  
the Central Provinces**

Prepared by Central Project Management Unit for the Asian Development Bank.

## ABBREVIATION

ADB	Asian Development Bank
AFD	Agence Française de Développement
CPMU	Central Project Management Unit
CSC	Construction Supervision Consultant
DONRE	Department of Natural Resources and Environment (provincial)
EMP	Environmental Management Plan
IRDSPCP	Integrated Rural Development Sector Project in the Central Provinces
IEE	Initial Environmental Examination
LIC	Loan Implementation Consultants
MONRE	Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment
PPMU	Provincial Project Management Unit
UXO	Unexploded Ordinance

This environmental monitoring report is a document of the borrower. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of ADB's Board of Directors, Management, or staff, and may be preliminary in nature.

In preparing any country program or strategy, financing any project, or by making any designation of or reference to a particular territory or geographic area in this document, the Asian Development Bank does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.

## 1. Background of the monitoring report

- Loan 2357(SF) for the Integrated Rural Development Sector Project in the Central Provinces (the Project) was approved by ADB on 15<sup>th</sup> October 2007. The total cost of the Project was estimated at \$168.2 million and is jointly financed by ADB and AFD. ADB provided a loan of \$90 million equivalent while AFD provided a loan of €40 million (\$52 million equivalent) and a grant of €1.0 million (\$1.3 million equivalent). The Government committed \$24.8 million equivalent in counterpart financing. The Loan Agreement between ADB and the State Bank of Viet Nam was signed on 20<sup>th</sup> December 2007 with a loan closing date of 30<sup>th</sup> June 2014. The loan was declared effective on 6<sup>th</sup> May 2008. An advisory TA of \$1.0 million for Infrastructure Policy Reform was piggy-backed to the Loan. The Loan and Grant Agreements between AFD and the Borrower were signed on 17<sup>th</sup> December 2007 and declared effective by AFD on the same date. ADB and AFD signed a co-financing agreement in August/September 2008 with the responsibility for loan administration delegated to ADB. This responsibility was further delegated from ADB Headquarters to Vietnam Resident Mission (VRM) in January 2010.
- The overall impact of the Project is expected to enhance rural livelihood opportunities and standard of living for the rural population residing in the Central Region of Viet Nam. This is to be achieved through improved quality, greater coverage and better integration of rural infrastructure. Increased agricultural productivity, wider employment opportunities, improved health and education levels, and reduced exposure to natural disasters will collectively improve standards of living for the rural population of the project provinces.
- The executing agency (EA) for the Project is the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MARD), which has appointed the Agricultural Projects Management Board (APMB) to oversee the implementation of the Project. The implementing agencies (IAs) for infrastructure subprojects are the respective provincial peoples' committees (PPCs) of the 13 participating provinces.<sup>1</sup> A Central Project Management Unit (CPMU) established within APMB, is responsible for project implementation at the national level. At provincial level, day-to-day management is the responsibility of provincial project management units (PPMUs) established by PPCs of participating provinces within the provincial Departments of Agriculture and Rural Development (DARDs).

Up to the end of December 2013, the whole 250 packages completed under the IRDSPCP. The status of subproject construction packages by province is shown in Table 1 (Subproject Status by Province).

Table 1: Subproject Status by Province

No	Province	No. of Packages Started	No. of Packages Completed	No. of Packages Ongoing
1	Thanh Hoa	39	39	0
2	Nghe An	19	19	0
3	Ha Tinh	25	25	0
4	Quang Binh	25	25	0
5	Quang Tri	12	12	0
6	TT Hue	12	12	0

7	Quang Nam	26	26	0
8	Quang Ngai	13	13	0
9	Binh Dinh	20	19	0
10	Phu Yen	8	8	0
11	Kom Tum	23	23	0
12	Ninh Thuan	4	4	0
13	Binh Thuan	24	24	0
Total	Whole the sub projects	<b>250</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>0</b>

Remark:

- The number completed at the end of the reporting period is derived from the most recent provincial quarterly reports and all provincial quarterly reports are reviewed & approved by CPMU with supported by LIC
- 250 subproject construction packages included : Repairing and upgrading inter commune & commune road, Upgrading & lining Irrigation canal scheme/ flood protection dike system, building rural domestic water supply system, building commune market

## **2. Monitoring parameters/indicators and methods based on the monitoring plan/program previously agreed upon with ADB;**

Inspection of environmental performance is undertaken by construction supervision consultants alongside other quality control activities, and is a continuous activity conducted by field inspection teams. Monitoring and reporting related to environmental performance is done using a checklist and reporting format, which is completed quarterly, with results forwarded to PPMUs, who then submit the results to the CPMU. Subproject environmental performance is measured against 50 variables and summarized into 7 performance indicators. Of these seven indicators, two are based on quarterly performance, whereas the remaining five are derived on a one-time-only basis, at the beginning and end of construction activity( see Annex 1) Since the results contained in quarterly reports are cumulative, data for the semi-annual report are obtained from the most recent quarter.

The averages of the indicators for all active subproject packages within the province for the semi-annual reporting period are shown in Table 2 (Performance Indicator Results by Province).. Instructions for filling out the table can be found in the Annex 2: Reporting Procedures and Templates

Table 2: Performance Indicator Results by Province Unit %

No	<i>Province</i>		<i>Performance Indicator</i>							Overall Performance To-Date (Average)
	Name	No. of Active Contracts	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	
			Design and Preparations	Worker Provisions	Biodiversity	Public Consultation/ Grievance	Community Values / Safety	Hydrology/ Water Pollution	Project Completion	
1	Thanh Hoa	39	80	83	100	100	97.8	100	100	94.4
2	Nghe An	19	90	100	100	100	100	100	100	98.6
3	Ha Tinh	25	100	90	100	100	100	100	100	98.6
4	Quang Binh	25	79.7	72.1	70.8	83.7	89	89.2	100	83.5
5	Quang Tri	12	97	52	100	65	94	89	100	85.3
6	TT Hue	12	100	83	100	100	100	100	100	97.6
7	Quang Nam	26	83.85	100	98.46	100	97.54	100	100	97.1
8	Quang Ngai	13	90	84.5	100	56.25	83	87.25	100	85.9
9	Binh Dinh	20	100	100	100	100	100	87.5	100	98.2
10	Phu Yen	8	84.75	83.3	100	100	100	87.5	100	93.7
11	Kon Tum	23	100	98.4	100	100	99.2	87.5	100	97.9
12	Ninh Thuan	4	100	83.0	100	100	100	100	100	97.6
13	Binh Thuan	24	90	100	100	100	100	100	100	98.6
	Average	<b>250</b>	91.95	86.87	97.64	92.69	96.96	94.46	100	<b>94.4</b>

**Remarks:**

*Performance Indicator 1: Design and Preparations:* to be completed in two parts (see checklist) at the time the design is being finalized and project tendered (by the PPMU), and at the time construction begins (by the Construction Supervision Consultant (CSC) in conjunction with the PPMU).

*Performance Indicator 2: Worker Provisions:* to be completed at the time construction gets underway by the CSC.

*Performance Indicator 3: Biodiversity:* to be completed at the time construction gets underway by the PPMU in conjunction with the CSC.

*Performance Indicator 4: Community Based Monitoring:* to be completed at the time construction gets underway by the PPMU in conjunction with the CSC.

*Performance Indicator 5: Community Values and Safety:* results entered into this section of the checklist are based on visual monitoring of affected areas and discussions with community members and affected people.

*Performance Indicator 6: Hydrology/Water Pollution:* results entered into this section of the checklist are based on visual monitoring of the construction area and surrounding water bodies (rivers, streams, canals and lakes).

*Performance Indicator 7: Project Completion* section of the checklist are filled out when construction is over. The results are based on field monitoring of the site and surrounding community areas.

Performance Indicator Results is expressed by percentage(%) & based on the average value, with “good” > 75%; “average” 25—75%; poor < 25%.)

**Comments:**

Up to December 2014, there are 250 subproject construction packages already completed by 13 Provinces.

Most of Performance indicators of 250 packages of 13 Project Provinces reach 70 - 100 % with average value is 80.75 – 98.33%. It means the contractors mostly complied with proposed mitigation measures were described Environmental Performance Specifications that Standardized mitigation measures were distilled from the IEE documentation. Environmental performance indicator results by province is evaluated as below:

*Design & Preparation 's indicator:* only all packages in Ha Tinh, Quang Nam, Hue, Binh Dinh & Kon Tum Province reached 100 %. It shows that subproject design meet applicable engineering safety and public health standards, Site EMP is prepared by contractors, use of land for worker camps and construction yards are agreed with owner, spoil/ waste material disposal sites have been selected in consultation with local authorities, and contractors has official permits on record for quarry sites and borrow pits. Value of this indicators of remain 8 provinces reached 79.7 -97.0% due to the contracts did not comply completely mitigation measures described in Design & Preparation indicator

*Worker provision's indicator* All packages in Nghe An, Quang Nam, Binh Dinh, Binh Thuan Province reached 100%, it shows that Contractors implemented a safety and accident prevention program involving provision, training and use of safety equipment; minimum skills qualifications for operators and drivers; have onsite first aid kits along with instructions for use, and personnel trained in basic first aid

emergency response measures, provided safety equipment to workers, camps equipped with adequate water supply, sanitary toilets, washing facilities and facilities for waste collection and storage and carried out an awareness program for communicable diseases/HIV-AIDS. However, this indicator reached only 52.0 % for packages in Quang Tri, 72.1- 98.4% for packages in remain Provinces due to the contractors did not implemented completely worker provisions as described above

*Biodiversity indicator.* All packages of 11 Provinces : Thanh Hoa, Nghe An, Ha Tinh, Quang Tri, Hue, Quang Ngai, Binh Dinh, Phu Yen, Kon Tum, Ninh Thuan, Binh Thuan Provinces reached 100%. It show that project avoid encroaching on natural forests or wetlands, avoid adverse effects on flow of natural streams and water quality, worker camps located outside of forested areas and has the contractor restricted access of workers to forests, fishing and hunting. 70.8 – 98.46 % for packages in remain Provinces due to the contractors did not implemented completely Biodiversity indicator as described above

*Public Consultation/Grievance's indicator:* All packages of 10 project provinces: Thanh Hoa, Nghe An, Ha Tinh, Hue, Quang Nam, Binh Dinh, Phu Yen, Kon Tum, Ninh Thuan, Binh Thuan reached 100%. It indicates that public consultation regarding construction, environmental impact, and the community complaints system are implemented by the contractors. However, value of this indicator reached only 56.25 -83.7% for packages in Quang Binh, Quang Ngai & Quang Tri due to the contractors did not implement some mitigation measures described in Public Consultation/Grievance indicators

*Community Value/Safety's indicator:* All packages of 7 Provinces : Nghe An, Ha Tinh, Hue, Binh Dinh, Phu Yen, Ninh Thuan, Binh Thuan reach 100%. It indicates that Vehicles transporting materials for construction are covered when traveling through community areas or along roadways in use by the public and operated within the legal speed limits in populated areas; The contractors were responsible for regular spraying of roadway surfaces and sites under construction in areas accessed by the public and removed excess debris during construction and after completion of the item of work ; installed signs and in the vicinity of works on public roads, and restrict access to the construction site to the public. Value of this indicators of remain 6 provinces reached rather high 83.0 - 99.2 %

*Hydrology/Water Pollution's indicator:* All packages of 8 Provinces: Thanh Hoa, Nghe An, Ha Tinh, Quang Tri, Hue, Quang Nam, Ninh Thuan, Binh Thuan reached 100%. It shows that construction camps maintained regularly in a clean and hygienic condition, avoided discharge of wastewater into water bodies used for water supply; maintained existing drainage patterns during construction. Value of this indicator of 5 remain provinces reach high 87.25 – 89.2 %

*Project Completion's indicator:* All packages of 13 Provinces: Thanh Hoa, Nghe An, Ha Tinh, Quang Binh, Quang Tri, Hue, Binh Dinh, Phu Yen , Kon Tum, Quang Nam, Quang Ngai, Ninh Thuan, Binh Thuan reach 100%. It shows that all construction debris, tree cuttings, excess dirt, rubble and scrap been removed from the construction zone; all points of access (drives, walks) and utilities (water supply, power, communications) to public and private property been restored to original condition; and all complaints by the local community and individuals been resolved by the Contractor



### 3. Monitoring results compared against previously established benchmarks and compliance status

#### Performance Indicator Results for the IRDSPCP

The measure of environmental performance across all provinces in Table 2 reflects the ability of the CPMU to mitigate environmental effects on the IRDSPCP. The CPMU has achieved for the current reporting period a score of **94.4 %** across all indicators and provinces, as shown in Table 3 (Performance Indicator Results for the IRDSPCP).

Table 3: Performance Indicator Results for the IRDSPCP

<i><u>Performance Indicator</u></i>	<i><u>Score (all provinces)</u></i>
1. Design and Preparations	91.95
2. Worker Provisions	86.87
3. Biodiversity	97.64
4. Public Consultation/Grievance	92.69
5. Community Values / Safety	96.96
6. Hydrology/Water Pollution	94.46
7. Project Completion	100
Average:	<b>94.4</b>

The overall environmental performance on IRDSPCP subprojects underway is **good**

### 4. Monitoring results compared against the objectives of safeguards or desired outcomes documented

#### PERFORMANCE FOLLOW-UP

Of the 50 environmental variables that are monitored, the top 10 in rank order found to be most unattended are shown in Table 4 (Rank Order of 10 Most Common Performance Shortfalls). In addition to continuous inspection of and feedback on environmental performance, shortfalls noted in the checklist have been brought to the attention of construction contractors for review and follow-up.

Table 4: Rank Order of 10 Most Common Performance Shortfalls

<i><u>Monitoring Variable</u></i>	<i><u>Number of Occurrences</u></i>	<i><u>Number of Occurrences</u></i>	<i><u>Remarks</u></i>
	<i>Jan-Jun 2013</i>	<i>Jun – Dec 2013</i>	
1. Are construction equipment maintained in good condition?	5 (Quang Binh, Phu Yen, Binh Dinh)	0 All subproject completed	
2. Contractor or Inspector from the Department of	21 (Quang Binh,		

Health undertaken an awareness program for communicable diseases/HIV-AIDS	Quang Tri)		
3.Is dust suppressed by watering exposed surfaces?	0	0 All subproject completed	
4..Material loads traveling on public routes are not covered	2 (Thanh Hoa, Quang Binh)	0 All subproject completed	
5 Are areas of standing water in the construction area drained and backfilled?	8 (Binh Dinh, Quang Tri)	0 All subproject completed	
6 The contractor provided safety equipment (hard hats, ear plugs, dust masks, safety glasses) to workers and training in use?	5 ((Quang Tri, Quang Binh, Phu Yen)	0 All subproject completed	
7 Construction camps equipped with adequate water supply , sanitary toilets, washing facilities and facilities for waste collection and storage	3 (Quang Binh, Quang Tri )	0 All subproject completed	Only water for worker camps is not supplied sufficiently
8. First aid kits readily available to workers at the job site along with instructions for use	10 (Quang Binh, Quang Tri)	0 All subproject completed	
9. The contractor posted a public notice regarding the grievance mechanism in the construction zone?	2 (Quang Tri)	0 All subproject completed	
10. Have all pits been filled in and graded to drain, underground tanks (including septic tanks) removed and holes backfilled?	2 (Quang Binh)	0 All subproject completed	

#### Remarks:

This last 6 month of 2013 period, only some subprojects of 4 provinces: Ha Tinh, Quang Binh, Binh Dinh, KonTum remained small construction activities to finalize and complete their subprojects packages, and Project Completion Indicator of this 4 Provinces' all packages reached 100%

#### 5. Identification of key issues, or complaints from affected people, or recommendations for improvement;

#### COMMUNITY COMPLAINTS

Concerns of the community are monitored and attended to through the community based monitoring system. Proximity of construction to settlements requires more attention to environmental performance on community matters by a contractor. The

incidence of complaints during the reporting period per province is shown in Table 6 (Incidence of Complaints by Province). The construction contractor is required to address all reasonable complaints voiced by the community.

Table 6: Incidence of Complaints by Province

<i><u>Province</u></i>	<i><u>Number of Complaints</u></i>
Ha Tinh	None
Quang Binh	None
Binh Dinh	None
Kon Tum	None

**Remark:**

The table is based on data from tables of the same title found in the provincial quarterly reports. During this six month, there are no complaints for all packages of all 4 PPMUs (Ha Tinh, Quang Binh, Binh Dinh, Kon Tum ) and 8 PPMUs ( Thanh Hoa, Nghe An, Quang Tri, Hue, Quang Nam, Quang Ngai, Ninh Thuan & Binh Thuan) area not included in this table as all packages of these PPMUs are already completed during the first 6 months of 2013

## **6. Conclusion**

In general, all contractors of 250 construction packages of 13 Project Provinces complied with proposed mitigation measures were described Environmental Performance Specifications, which detailed in Monitoring Check list with 50 variables are grouped in 5 performance indicators (Annex 1). The overall environmental performance on IRDSPCP subprojects currently underway is **good**. In addition, package's daily environmental recording is filled by Environmental/ Construction Supervision Consultant and submitted to PPMU in every month.

A side from substantial compliance of mitigation measures, some main most common performance shortfalls of province' contractors during year 2013 as below:

- Awareness raising of communicable diseases/HIV-AIDS for workers is not carried out as the contractors not invite Department or Centre of Health's staff disseminate for workers
- Are areas of standing water in the construction area not drained and backfilled
- The contractor did not post a public notice regarding the grievance mechanism in the construction zone
- Construction camps were not provided with adequate water supply by contractors
- Safety equipment (hard hats, dust masks, safety clothes) are not equipped to workers
- Contractors not provided First aid kits to workers at the job site along with instructions for use
- Contractors did not obtain all pits been filled in and graded to drain, underground tanks (including septic tanks) removed and holes backfilled.

## **Annex 1- Checklist and Environmental Compliance Monitoring Form**

### Environmental Compliance Monitoring Form

#### **Instructions for use:**

- I. The monitoring form consists of three parts:
  - a. Part A: General Project Information
  - b. Part B: Monitoring checklist
  - c. Part C: Performance Tracking
- II. Part A is for recording general information on the subproject construction package as well as names, responsible persons and contact phone numbers for the design and construction supervision consultant, the construction contractor and the Environmental Management Officer (EMO) for the PPMU.
- III. Part B: The Monitoring Checklist, consists of three sections:
  - a. A section to be completed one-time-only during the period when the design is being finalized, the project tendered, and construction activities started. This section provides monitoring of four performance indicators: Design and Preparations, Worker Provisions, Biodiversity and Community Based Monitoring. The section also contains fields for entering information regarding the public consultation, comments and follow-up.
  - b. A section to be completed quarterly during the construction period. This section provides monitoring of two performance indicators: Community Values and Safety, and Hydrology/Water Pollution. A separate copy of this section (page 3 of the monitoring checklist) will be completed for each period quarter of the construction duration.
  - c. A section to be completed one-time-only during the period when construction work is nearing completion. This section provides monitoring of Project Completion indicators of performance.

Though the monitoring checklist is filled in one-time-only or quarterly, the variables are inspected continuously by the site supervisor along with other aspects of construction performance. The contractor is responsible for fulfilling the environmental mitigation requirements and is subject to notification concerning any deficiency on a continuous, ongoing basis.

- IV. Part C: Performance Tracking consists of three sections:
  - a. Performance Follow-up, where performance shortfalls noted in prior monitoring are listed and checked against current monitoring results.
  - b. Community Complaints, where issues raised by the affected community are registered, tracked and outcomes recorded.

- c. Performance Indicator Results, where environmental performance against indicators are recorded.
- V. Sections of the checklist to be completed initially, at or around the beginning of construction, include:
  - a. Performance Indicator 1: Design and Preparations: to be completed in two parts (see checklist) at the time the design is being finalized and project tendered (by the PPMU), and at the time construction begins (by the Construction Supervision Consultant (CSC) in conjunction with the PPMU).
  - b. Performance Indicator 2: Worker Provisions: to be completed at the time construction gets underway by the CSC.
  - c. Performance Indicator 3: Biodiversity: to be completed at the time construction gets underway by the PPMU in conjunction with the CSC.
  - d. Performance Indicator 4: Community Based Monitoring: to be completed at the time construction gets underway by the PPMU in conjunction with the CSC.

While the parts of the checklist reflecting these performance indicators are in general completed one-time-only, the variables may require more frequent inspection to assure continued compliance over the life of the construction project.

- VI. Sections of the checklist to be completed quarterly by field monitoring at the construction site and surrounding affected area include:
  - a. Performance Indicator 5: Community Values and Safety: results entered into this section of the checklist should be based on visual monitoring of affected areas and discussions with community members and affected people.
  - b. Performance Indicator 6: Hydrology/Water Pollution: results entered into this section of the checklist should be based on visual monitoring of the construction area and surrounding water bodies (rivers, streams, canals and lakes).
- VII. In addition to field monitoring, other quarterly field activities include:
  - a. Record and review complaints from the community, inform the PPMU and resolve issues with the construction contractor.
  - b. Review performance deficiencies noted during previous monitoring, update performance tracking forms and resolve issues with the construction contractor.
- VIII. The Performance Indicator 7: Project Completion section of the checklist should be filled out when construction is over. The results are based on field monitoring of the site and surrounding community areas. Issues should be resolved before final payment is made to the contractor.

- IX. A “yes” answer to a question in the checklist indicates substantial compliance with the mitigation measure. “Substantial compliance” means that the mitigation measure is being implemented in most instances, with only a few isolated exceptions.
- X. In all cases (for all performance indicators), once monitoring is nearing completion and the appropriate section of the checklist has been completed, the inspector needs to tally the score and calculate the percent compliance, as provided for in the last row under each of the performance indicators, done by counting the total number of “yes” answers and dividing by the number of questions contributing to the score for the performance indicator (shown on the form), expressed as percent.
- XI. Performance Follow-up involves the following actions:
- a. As a final action of monitoring at the site or in an office (design consultant or PPMU) the inspector or monitoring officer must notify the agency responsible for implementing mitigation actions (usually the construction contractor) of any shortcoming in performance and discuss with its responsible individual means for correcting the deficiency.
  - b. Using the Performance Follow-up form (Part C, Section 1), the inspector or monitoring officer will enter the relevant information in Columns 1 – 3 concerning the performance shortfalls observed during monitoring: performance variable number (1-50) and date observed (column 1), reason behind negative rating (column 2), and whether or not the responsible agency was notified and date of notification (column 3).
  - c. During the next/follow-up monitoring tour, the inspector will indicate in column 4 of Part C, Section 1 whether the problem has been corrected by entering “Yes” or “No” as appropriate if “Substantial Compliance” (see Item IX above) is/is not achieved.
  - d. For Performance Indicators 1 – 4, Indicator scores (see Item XII below) should be adjusted accordingly if the performance shortfall has been corrected within the next three months (quarter). It should be noted in Column 5 of Part C Section 1 if the indicator score has been adjusted.
  - e. For Performance Indicators 5 and 6, there is no need to adjust indicator scores, since improved performance will be reflected in the results from the next quarterly monitoring.
  - f. For Performance Indicator 7, a post-completion monitoring tour will need to be conducted to determine whether performance shortfalls have been corrected. The indicator score should be adjusted accordingly. It should be noted in Column 5 of Part C Section 1 if the indicator score has been adjusted.
  - g. Performance shortfalls should be registered consecutively up through the end of the construction activity using the Performance Follow-up form. Additional copies of the blank form can be made and attached to the Environmental Compliance Monitoring Form file.

- XII. Community Complaints are recorded and resolved using the form in Part C, Section 2. This involves the following actions:
- a. The inspector or monitoring officer will receive any complaints from members of the affected community and work with the construction contractor to resolve the deficiency.
  - b. Using the Community Complaints form (Part C, Section 2), the inspector or monitoring officer will enter the relevant information in Columns 1 – 3 concerning the complaint: name of person bringing the complaint and date (column 1), summary of complaint (column 2), and whether or not the responsible agency was notified and date of notification (column 3).
  - c. The inspector will indicate in column 4 of Part C, Section 1 whether the problem has been corrected by entering “Yes” or “No” as appropriate.
  - d. The inspector will contact the person registering the complaint, explain the corrective actions taken, provide any other justifications, and determine whether the person is satisfied with the action taken. It should be noted in Column 5 of Part C Section 2 if the person is satisfied with the corrective action.
  - e. Community complaints should be registered consecutively up through the end of the construction activity using the Community Complaints form. Additional copies of the blank form can be made and attached to the Environmental Compliance Monitoring Form file.
- XIII. Performance Indicator Results are recorded using the form shown in Part C Section 3 using the following procedure:
- a. Complete the general information at the top of the form. This assures that the data in the form are identified and associated with the correct subproject and reporting period.
  - b. In respect to the form, cells that are darkened are not to be filled in; only those cells that are un-shaded receive data entries.
  - c. Enter the score as a percent taken from the checklist (see item X in the instructions) opposite the appropriate performance indicator. This will be done consecutively as the project progresses, from left to right in the form. Enter the date when the score is recorded.
  - d. Performance indicators 1 – 4 are evaluated only once, around the time of project startup, though performance follow-up may result in a revision of the score (see item XI in the instructions). The form accommodates both initial and revised scores for these indicators. This is the case with Indicator 7 as well, recorded near the end of the project.
  - e. Indicators 5 and 6 are recorded for each quarter of the project construction period. Four quarters are shown on the form; however the project may run for shorter or longer than four quarters. The form can be adjusted accordingly to accommodate the actual duration for a

given project. Regardless of how many quarters of data are taken, after the final round of monitoring the data are averaged across all quarters, and the value entered in the form.

- f. Following completion of the subproject, it is “closed out” from the standpoint of environmental monitoring by entering the final scores in the right hand column, consisting of the revised values for indicators 1 – 4 and 7, and the average scores for indicators 5 and 6.

XIV. Note on alignment of project quarters and calendar quarters: In order to support the need for reports timed to coincide with calendar quarters, the monitoring should be conducted and reports submitted to the PPMU near the end of a given calendar quarter.



Environmental Compliance Monitoring Form for Construction Package

Part A: General Project Information

Subproject Name: \_\_\_\_\_

SIR Code: \_\_\_\_\_ Subproject Package #: \_\_\_\_\_ Activity Sector: \_\_\_\_\_

Province: \_\_\_\_\_ Districts: \_\_\_\_\_

Design and Supervision Consultant Firm: \_\_\_\_\_

Construction Company Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Contract Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Contract Amount: \_\_\_\_\_ Contract Duration (days) \_\_\_\_\_

Person Responsible: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone \_\_\_\_\_

PPMU EMO: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone \_\_\_\_\_

PartB: Monitoring checklist

**Performance Indicator1. Design and Preparations**

The PPMU to complete 1-4 in conjunction with the subproject design consultant at the time the project is tendered. Date of Monitoring: \_\_\_\_\_

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
1. Have all UXO been cleared prior to commencement of construction?			
2. Does the subproject design meet applicable engineering safety and public health standards?			
3. Have the resettlement provisions been disclosed to the affected communities and compensation made to affected persons or households?			
4. For the applicable subproject type:			
a. Roads, embankments, irrigation works and coastal protection: does the design provide cross drainage to prevent flooding?			
b. Markets: does the design provide washing facilities and toilets in the market area?			

The construction Supervision consultant (CSC) to complete 5-10 with the PPMU and construction contractor at the time of start-up. Date of Monitoring: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Has the contractor prepared a Site EMP?			
6. Has the contractor posted a public notice regarding the nature, extent and cost of the project?			
7. Are locations for mixing plants sufficiently distant from houses, schools and hospitals?			
8. Are agreements in place with owners for temporary use of land for worker camps and construction yards?			
9. Have spoil disposal sites been selected in consultation with local authorities?			
10. Are official permits on record for quarry sites and borrow pits?			
<b>Score (1-10; 10 total)</b>			(%)

**Performance Indicator2. Worker Provisions**

The CSC to complete 11-16 in conjunction with the PPMU and construction contractor following commencement of construction. Date of Monitoring: \_\_\_\_\_

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
11. Were local authorities consulted in the planning for the location of construction worker housing?			
12. Are supervisors or other site personnel trained in basic first aid emergency response measures?			
13. Are first aid kits readily available to workers at the job site along with instructions for use?			
14. Has the contractor or Inspector from the Department of Health undertaken an awareness program for communicable diseases/HIV-AIDS?			
15. Has the contractor provided safety equipment (hard hats, ear plugs, dust masks, safety boots and glasses) to workers and training in use?			

16. Are construction camps equipped with adequate water supply, sanitary toilets, washing facilities and facilities for waste collection and storage?			
<b>Score (11-16; 6 total)</b>			(%)

**Performance Indicator3. Biodiversity**

The CSC should complete 17-21 in conjunction with the PPMU and construction contractor following commencement of construction. Date of Monitoring: \_\_\_\_\_

	Yes	No	Remarks
17. Does the project avoid encroaching on natural forests or wetlands?			
18. Does the project avoid adverse effects on flow of natural streams and water quality?			
19. Are worker camps located outside of forested areas and has the contractor restricted access of workers to forests, fishing and hunting?			
20. Does the contractor obtain fill materials only from pre-existing quarries, or from borrow pits within the strict limits of the construction zone?			
21. For irrigation sector projects, are effects on agricultural biodiversity limited through use of integrated pest management?			
<b>Score (17-21; 5 total)</b>			(%)

**Performance Indicator4. Community Based Monitoring**

The CSC to complete 22 and 23 in conjunction with the PPMU and construction contractor following commencement of construction. Date of Monitoring: \_\_\_\_\_

	Yes	No	Remarks
22. Has the contractor posted a public notice regarding complaints from the community?			
23. Has there been a public consultation regarding construction, environmental impact, and the community complaints system?			
<b>Score (22-23; 2 total)</b>			(%)

Outcome of Public Consultation:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Location: \_\_\_\_\_

Topics covered in presentation: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments from Attendees:

**Performance Indicator5. Community Values and Safety**

Items 24 – 35 should be inspected quarterly. Date of Monitoring:

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
24. Is temporary access provided to adjacent properties as needed?			
25. Is permanent access to adjacent properties reinstated on completion of a segment of work?			
26. Are construction hours adjusted around houses, hospitals and schools to minimize disturbance?			
27. Does the contractor limit the scope of construction in progress to minimize community impacts?			
28. Are physical impacts on public infrastructure and service disruption minimized?			
29. Are materials transported on approved haul routes?			
30. Are construction equipments maintained in good condition?			
31. Do vehicles operate within legal speed limits?			
32. Are material loads traveling on public routes covered?			
33. Is dust suppressed by watering exposed surfaces?			
34. Has the contractor installed signs and lighting in vicinity of works on public roads?			
35. Is access to the construction site restricted to the public?			
<b>Score (24-35; 12 total)</b>			(%)

**Performance Indicator6. Hydrology/Water Pollution**

Items 36 – 43 should be inspected quarterly. Date of Monitoring:

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
36. Are construction camps maintained in a clean and hygienic condition?			
37. Are oil, fuel and chemicals stored in enclosed areas (dyked or covered)?			
38. Is discharge of wastewater into water bodies used for water supply avoided?			
39. Is clearing activity suspended during rains?			
40. Does the contractor prevent discharge of concrete trucks to waterways?			
41. Have existing drainage patterns been maintained during construction?			
42. Are areas of standing water in the construction area drained and backfilled?			
43. Are sediment controls installed upslope of waterways?			
<b>Score (36-43; 8 total)</b>			(%)

**Performance Indicator7. Project Completion**

Items 44 – 50 should be inspected prior to finalizing the construction works.

Date of Monitoring: \_\_\_\_\_

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
44. Have drainage fixtures, curbs, road shoulders and ditch slopes been finished out to prevent hazard to the public during use?			
45. Are ground surfaces in the project area graded to prevent water from collecting?			
46. Have all construction debris, tree cuttings, excess dirt, rubble and scrap been removed from the construction zone?			
47. Have all pits been filled in and graded to drain, underground tanks (including septic tanks) removed and holes backfilled?			
48. Are all waste products removed from the construction site, equipment yards and worker camps, including oil waste, scrap materials and equipment, building materials and domestic waste?			
49. Have all points of access (drives, walks) and utilities (water supply, power, communications) to public and private property been restored to original condition?			
50. Have all complaints by the local community and individuals been resolved by the Contractor?			
<b>Score (44-50; 7 total)</b>			(%)

## Part C: Performance Tracking

Part C: Performance Tracking consists of three sections:

- a. Performance Follow-up, where performance shortfalls noted in prior monitoring are listed and checked against current monitoring results.
- b. Community Complaints, where issues raised by the affected community are registered, tracked and outcomes recorded.
- c. Performance Indicator Results, where environmental performance against indicators are recorded.

## Section 1: Performance Follow-up

[illegible]

## **Section 2: Community Complaints**

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5
Person Registering Complaint / Date	Summary of Complaint	Was agency responsible notified? / Date	Was problem corrected before next monitoring?	Was Person satisfied with Action?

## **Section 3: Performance Indicator Results**

Project Name:\_\_\_\_\_ SIR No.:\_\_\_\_\_ Package  
#:\_\_\_\_\_Province:\_\_\_\_\_  
Project Start Date:\_\_\_\_\_

	Startup	Rev.	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average	Completion	Rev.	Final
Recording Date:										
11. Design and Preparations										
12. Worker Provisions										
13. Biodiversity										
14. Community Based Monitoring										
15. Community Values / Safety										
16. Hydrology/Water Pollution										
17. Project Completion										

Submittal Date:\_\_\_\_\_ For Calendar Quarter: \_\_\_\_\_

Inspector:\_\_\_\_\_

(Signature)

## Annex 2- Reporting Procedures and Templates.

### Reporting Procedures and Templates

#### Procedures

1. A series of reports are needed for environmental reporting on the IRDPCP. These are summarized in the following table:

Type Of Report	Frequency	Responsibility	Submitted To Whom
<b>Quarterly Subproject Compliance Report</b>	Quarterly for each ongoing subproject construction package <sup>1</sup>	CSC	PPMU
<b>Quarterly Provincial Compliance Report</b>	Quarterly consolidating results for all subprojects underway in the province.	PPMU	CPMU/LIC
<b>Semi-annual Environmental Performance Review</b>	Every 6 months presenting results for all provinces	CPMU/LIC	ADB, AFD, DONRE, MONRE
<b>Subproject Completion Report</b>	At completion of subproject construction package	CSC	PPMU, forwarded to CPMU
<b>Project Completion Report</b>	At completion of Project	CPMU/LIC	ADB, AFD, DONRE, MONRE

2. Reporting is cumulative for subproject construction packages, provinces and in respect to elapsed time for subprojects and the overall project. For this reason, and because of the way the forms are set up, some data will be repeated in subsequent reports.
3. The Quarterly Subproject Compliance Report is prepared by the Construction Supervision Consultant (CSC). It consists of photocopies of Part C of the Environmental Compliance Monitoring Form once it is completed for the quarter: the Performance Follow-up Form, the Community Complaints Form, and the Performance Indicator Results. These are submitted by CSCs to the PPMU for every active subproject package within the province. A transmittal letter that itemizes the contents of the transmittal should accompany the photocopied forms. Electronic copies of the forms should also be sent by email attachment; the electronic versions should faithfully reproduce the contents of the forms completed in hard copy (ink). The hard copy is the official version and should be filed accordingly among the project records in the PPMU.
4. The Quarterly Provincial Compliance Report is prepared by the PPMU to summarize the Subproject Quarterly Compliance Reports. The report template is shown in the

<sup>1</sup> A subproject construction package refers to an individual, stand-alone construction contract. The subprojects are commonly divided into multiple construction contract packages; each package, or contract, has to be inspected, monitored and reported on separately. The distinction between “subproject” and “subproject construction package” is sometimes not made in the following description, but the latter is referred to whenever the construction phase of the subproject is underway.



file <Quarterly Provincial Compliance Report.doc>. Use of the forms/tables found in the report template is described below.

- a. Table 1: Subproject Status is mostly self-explanatory. Enter the subproject name, its SIR number, the construction package number, the total contract duration, elapsed time to the end of the quarter, and percent complete, calculated as

$(\text{elapsed time}/\text{total time}) \times 100 = \text{percent complete}$

- b. Table 2: Quarterly Subproject Performance Indicator Results: For each subproject construction packages, the date and SIR # / package # for the contract is entered on the form. Subproject packages are entered chronologically from top to bottom on the form (columns 1 and 2). Quarterly data for a particular subproject package from the Quarterly Subproject Compliance Report, Section 3 Part C of the Environmental Compliance Monitoring Form, are entered into the form in accordance with the following:

1. If any of the five indicators that may undergo revision (1—4 and 7) has been revised during the quarter (see instructions for this form), the revised value is entered in the form. Otherwise use the original value.

2. The values for indicators 5 and 6 for the current quarter are entered into the form.

3. The average of all indicators for which there are recorded values is entered in the right hand column(in other words, do not include blank fields in calculating the average).

- c. Table 3: Quarterly Provincial Performance Indicator Results: For each of the seven indicators, e.g. the columns containing indicators 1—7 in Table 2, the average of all subprojects for which there are recorded values is calculated and entered into the appropriate field. An overall average is calculated, as indicated by the table. (This number is used in the template text, preceding paragraph. Also, the text requires selection of good/ average/bad to describe provincial performance in environmental mitigation: this is based on the average value, bottom right, Table 3, with “good” > 75%; “average” 25—75%; poor < 25%.)

- d. Table 4: Rank Order of 10 Most Common Performance Shortfalls: The table is based on data found in the Environmental Compliance Monitoring Form Part 3 Section 1. The instances of negative ratings for performance variables during the quarter need to be counted. The top 10 variables in terms of frequency are entered into the form and the number of instances recorded. As a check, this number cannot exceed the number of active subprojects underway during the quarter.

- e. Table 5: Rank Order of 10 Most Common Exclusions: An “exclusion” is a performance shortfall that is carried over from one quarter to the next. The system allows for correcting a shortfall within one quarter; otherwise the failure to mitigate comes under scrutiny as explained in the report template text. Following evaluation, the CPMU, in conjunction with PPMU, may decide the measure

should be “excluded” from the list of mitigation requirements, hence the name. This table is intended to flag what might be considered a “persistent problem” in implementing a mitigation measure. The information is determined by reviewing the Environmental Compliance Inspection Form Part 3 Section 1 for repeated instances of a mitigation measure not being complied with. These are tallied (counted) across all active subprojects and the top 10 variables in terms of frequency are entered into the form and the number of instances recorded.

Note there can be no instance of an exclusion until a subproject has been underway for at least six months, in time for two reporting periods to elapse.

If there are no exclusions on any subproject for the period, the sentence in the previous paragraph *“Exclusions for the current quarter (e.g. performance shortfalls from the previous quarter that have gone uncorrected) are listed in Table 5 (Rank Order of 10 Most Common Exclusions)”* should be deleted from the text along with the table itself and the following statement entered in its place:

“There are no exclusions for the current quarter.”

- f. Table 6: Incidence of Complaints on Subprojects: This table tallies for each active subproject package the number of complaints recorded during the quarter on the Environmental Compliance Inspection Form Part 3 Section 2. No further analysis of data is expected.
5. The Semi annual Environmental Performance Review may be either a section of the overall semi-annual report or a separate (stand-alone) document. The template is set up as a separate document; if treated as a section in a larger report, cover and contents list should be omitted. The report is prepared by the CPMU/LIC to summarize the Quarterly Provincial Compliance Reports. The report template is shown in the file <Semi annual Environmental Performance Review.doc>. Use of the forms/tables found in the report template is described below.
- a. Table 1: Subproject Status by Province is mostly self-explanatory. Enter for each provincethe number construction packages started, the number completed and the number currently active at the end of the reporting period. The information is derived from the most recent provincial quarterly reports, Table 1, Subproject Status.
  - b. Table 2: Performance Indicator Results by Province: For each province, enter the number of active subproject construction packages and the averages for each performance indicator across all active subprojects. These data are taken from the most recent provincial quarterly reports, Table 3, Quarterly Provincial Performance Indicator Results. Calculate and enter overall performance to date (average of all indicators for a particular province).
  - c. Table 3: Performance Indicator Results for the IRDSPCP: For each of the seven indicators, e.g. the columns containing indicators 1—7 in Table 2, the average of all provinces is calculated and entered into the appropriate field. An overall average is calculated, as indicated by the table. (This number is used in the template text, preceding paragraph. Also, the text requires selection of good/ average/bad to describe performance in mitigation: this is based on the average

value, bottom right, Table 3, with “good” > 75%; “average” 25—75%; poor < 25%.)

- d. Table 4: Rank Order of 10 Most Common Performance Shortfalls: The table is based on data from tables of the same title found in the provincial quarterly reports. The number of negative ratings for specific performance variables for both quarters making up the semi-annual period need to be determined from these tables. The top 10 variables in terms of frequency are entered into the form and the number of instances recorded.
- e. Table 5: Rank Order of 10 Most Common Exclusions: The table is based on data from tables of the same title found in the provincial quarterly reports. The number of exclusions for specific performance variables for both quarters making up the semi-annual period need to be determined from these tables. The top 10 exclusions in terms of frequency are entered into the form and the instances recorded.

If there are no exclusions shown on any of the quarterly reports for the period, the sentence in the previous paragraph “*Exclusions for the current reporting period are listed in Table 5 (Rank Order of 10 Most Common Exclusions)*” should be deleted from the text along with the table itself and the following statement entered in its place:

“There are no exclusions for the current reporting period.”

- f. Table 6: Incidence of Complaints by Province: The table is based on data from tables found in the provincial quarterly reports. The number of complaints per province for both quarters making up the semi-annual period need to be determined from these tables.
- 6. Subproject Completion Report is prepared by the Construction Supervision Consultant (CSC). It consists of Part C of the Environmental Compliance Monitoring Form once the subproject package has been completed: the Performance Follow-up Form, the Community Complaints Form, and the Performance Indicator Results. These are submitted by CSCs to the PPMU for every active subproject package within the province. The PPMU will approve, certify and forward these reports to the CPMU.
  - 7. Project Completion Report: It will likely be based on a synopsis of the semi-annual reports, perhaps with some trend analysis of data from tables therein.

*Some photos taken at the subproject sites after completion*

Subproject: Road Đắk Trâm - Đắk Rơ Ông - Kon Tum



*New bridge at Km 1+382*



*At Km 0+600*





Subproject: : Road Tu Mơ Rông - Măng Ri - Kon Tum



*At Km46+200*



*At Km52+400*

Subproject: Road Đức Trạch – Nhân Trạch - Quảng Bình





Subproject: Bridge Hải Commune, Gia Phố - Hà Tĩnh



Subproject: Upgrading and Rehabilitation of Song Rác Irrigation System – Hà Tĩnh





Subproject: Road Vọc Sim + Dyke and Road Thạch Long – Hà Tĩnh







Subproject: Sluice Sú and Road Cầu Sú, Thạch Linh, Hà Tĩnh







Subproject: Road: Sơn Phúc – Sơn Trường – Sơn Thủy, Hà Tĩnh.

