

# Social Monitoring Report

---

Semi-Annual Report: July-December 2020  
July 2021

## Vanuatu: Energy Access Project

### Brenwe Hydro Power Subproject - Appendix 5.1

Prepared by Vanuatu Project Management Unit for the Vanuatu Government and the Asian Development Bank.

This social monitoring report is a document of the borrower. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of ADB's Board of Directors, Management, or staff, and may be preliminary in nature.

In preparing any country program or strategy, financing any project, or by making any designation of or reference to a particular territory or geographic area in this document, the Asian Development Bank does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.

## Appendix 5: CONSULTATION RECORDS

### 2014 CONSULTATIONS

---

#### **Consultations Conducted in Brenwe HPP Malampa Province, March – May 2014 - Provincial and Community Consultation**

1. Provincial and community consultations in respect of both environmental and social issues were undertaken in Lakatoro township and Unmet village on 19th March 2014. The project consultation personnel included the Consultant's international environment specialist (IES) and international and national social/resettlement specialists. Local logistical support was provided by the Malampa Provincial Assistant Planner. Key activities during the consultation visit included:
  - (i) Introductory meeting with Malampa Provincial Government.
  - (ii) Consultation meeting with project affected landowners, interested stakeholders and community members at Unmet.
2. The purpose of the provincial and community consultation was to disseminate basic project information and obtain the views and concerns of communities with respect to environmental issues related to the project with a view to addressing these issues in the project design and implementation. The consultations also provided the opportunity to gather relevant site-specific information from the stakeholder's perspective on the physical biological and social environments of the project area.
3. **Malampa Provincial Government (MPG).** An initial meeting was held with the MPG to introduce the Safeguards team, explain the purpose of the visit and consultation process within the context of the overall TA objectives including ADB's safeguards requirements, obtain information relating to the community's readiness for the project including any issues of concern they might have, and to request the MPG's assistance in supporting the consultation process. Twelve members of the Provincial council attended the meeting.
4. A power point presentation delivered by the IES provided the opportunity to inform the MPG of what the proposed Brenwe hydropower project entailed. The presentation included sketches of the key project components, a summary of potential environmental and social impacts and benefits arising from the project, and a preliminary assessment of the significance of such impacts and likely mitigation measures required to ensure impacts are minimised and acceptable.
5. The MPG were familiar with the key components of the hydropower project through their previous experience with the partially constructed and abandoned Brenwe hydropower project during the 1990s. The provincial council expressed overall support for the project. In respect of environmental issues one member queried the potential impact on downstream water quality in the Brenwe River and loss of water between the intake and powerhouse due to the project. These issues were clarified during discussions with the council. In particular they were advised that significant impacts on water quality downstream of the project were unlikely and any impacts associated with reduction of water between the intake and powerhouse will be investigated as part of the IEE with necessary mitigation measures proposed to ensure impacts are minimised and acceptable.
6. Other issues raised included questions on the proposed alignment of the transmission line; whether or not the transmission line will be routed to Lakatoro; whether or not the project would

result in lower cost of electricity; and whether or not the partially completed and abandoned hydropower sites and facilities would be used.

7. Details regarding land acquisition issues discussed with the MPG are addressed in the LARP.

8. **Community consultation/information dissemination.** An outdoor meeting was held in Unmet Village and involved the participation of approximately one hundred community members including community leaders, affected landowners, school teachers and interested people. A significant proportion of the participants were women and youth.

9. The meeting commenced with introduction of the consultant team by the international social/resettlement specialist and outline of the teams proposed activities during the visit and the overall purpose of the stakeholder consultation process. This was followed by a brief description of the project by the IES and identification of the potential environmental and social impacts and benefits associated with the project.

10. Following the presentation, participants were asked to express any and every concern they might have regarding the project. Most of the queries and discussion centred around the proposed alignment of the transmission line including the need to consult closely with the community regarding the alignment as well as ensuring appropriate compensation to landowners. The community was also keen to ensure that their community would benefit from the electricity generated. The community's experience of the previous Brenwe hydropower project abandoned during construction in the 1990s due to conflicts over land and the transmission line alignment was foremost in their minds.

11. When specifically asked if anyone had any concerns over environmental issues associated with the project the response was "no". The community reported that there were no tambu sites in the vicinity of the project area, no-one actually lived within or close to the project footprint and no one relied on the water resources in the stretch of river that would have reduced flows between the weir and the powerhouse.

12. The communities clearly understood the concept of hydropower and were very familiar with what the project involved due to the previous project and they expressed a strong desire for the project to go ahead. Various comments were made regarding the community's need for electricity to enhance the existing and flourishing economic activity and population growth in the area including school facilities for the growing population. It was mentioned by a retired school teacher that this area of Malekula produces the most kava and cocoa of any region in Vanuatu and that cargo ships periodically anchor offshore of Unmet to load up these commodities.

13. **Conduct of FGD/HHSES/KII.** In addition to the above consultations, the Team undertook focus group discussions, socio-economic survey of sample households and key informant interviews (KII). Four FGD attended by 10-15 participants per FGD, 80 households within the influence zone of BHPP as well as a number of KII were conducted. Results of these information gathering, and consultations have been integrated in the social and environmental reports as well. Consulted groups and persons are included in the list of persons consulted with at the Malampa Provincial Council on 19 March 2014 is provided below.

14. **Consultation with AHs and concerned local officials on Land Acquisition Issues and Concerns.** In the conduct of various consultation activities land acquisition issues were discussed with local and provincial officials as well as the APs/affected households.

15. Concerns raised were as follows:
- (i) **Customary landowners/claimant.** Primary concerns raised was the compensation and other benefits that APs are entitled to; willing to give up land as the area required is uncultivable and not useful for agriculture but compensation issues/concerns must be addressed and agreed first.
  - (ii) **Other landowners/communities.** Concerns on whether the transmission line will pass through the same areas originally traversed by the aborted Chinese project which will result in substantial loss of fertile agricultural land and massive losses of fruit bearing trees like coconut, cocoa and kava; worries that the previous experience with the Chinese project will have detrimental impact on getting the current project approved. Strong support for the project expressed and willingness to give up (for cutting and trimming) some fruit trees along the road where the transmission line is proposed to pass.
  - (iii) **Local officials.** The local officials brought up the issues of benefit that the project will get from the project considering that the transmission line is planned to connect to the existing Norsup-Lakatoro grid; whether the communities near the Brenwe project will be covered and provided electricity as well.
  - (iv) **Provincial officials.** The provincial officials expressed full support to the project and will work with the national agency in facilitating land acquisition.

**List of Attendees at Consultation Meeting with Malampa Provincial Government  
(Lakatoro, 19 March 2014)**

Name	Responsibility	Summary of Comments made
Lapi Kalmet	Assistant Planner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>MPG familiar with the key components of the hydropower project through their previous experience of Brenwe hydropower project during the 1990s.</li><li>expressed support for the project.</li><li>one member queried the potential impact on downstream water quality in the Brenwe River and loss of water between the intake and powerhouse due to the project. These issues were clarified. MPG were advised that significant impacts on water quality downstream of the project were unlikely and any impacts associated with reduction of water between the intake and powerhouse will be investigated as part of the IEE with necessary mitigation measures proposed to ensure impacts are minimised and acceptable.</li><li>Other issues raised included questions on the proposed alignment of the transmission line; whether or not the transmission line will be routed to Lakatoro; whether or not the project would result in lower cost of electricity; and whether or not the partially completed and abandoned hydropower sites and facilities would be used.</li></ul>
Edna Paolo	Tourism Officer	
Melteras Salavina	Cultural Centre	
John Bongnaim	Customary Lands Tribunal	
Kevin Enrol	Malampa Province	
Toufau Kalsakau	Forestry Officer	
George Tusai	Agriculture Officer	
Samson M	Correctional Services	
Noel Nathan	Health Department	
Renjo Samuel	Education Officer	
Palen Ata	Provincial Planner	
Joanna Lingi	Women's Affairs	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>List of Attendees of signing of MOA between Province and Landowners/Claimants – See MOA</li></ul>		

## 2016 CONSULTATIONS

---

Date: 16 February 2016  
Time: 10am  
Venue: Malampa Provincial Government Office, Lakatoro

Attendees:	
Lapi Kalmet	Assistant Planner, MPG
Antony Garae	Off Grid Officer, DOE
Alfred Joel	On Grid Officer, DOE
Claire Forbes	ADB Consultant
Hannington Alatoa	VPMU
Collyne Tete	Clerk, Island Court
John Bongnaim	Custom Land Officer, CLMO
Edward Korty	Chairman, Malmatemvanu

### Notes:

1. The team thanked the officials for attending the meeting and explained the project status – i.e., that it is still in the pipeline and ADB is a potential funder for the Project, and that it is high on the Government's priority list and very important for the people of Malekula. The team explained the value of their role in land and managing custom lands which is important for project's success.
2. The Island Court representative explained custom lands of Timbun, Tervaut and Wiaru are relevant and Tervaut has a pending Supreme Court case
3. The CLMO representative advised that his office was unaware of the Project and requested formal notification from DOE. DOE agreed to send a letter.
4. The Chairman of Malmatemvanu explained the Council's jurisdiction preceded over the Village Council of Chiefs, Area Council of Chiefs and Island Council of Chiefs. He is the Chair of Island Council of Chiefs and explained that the Area Council of Chiefs are nominated by their own people according to traditional customs and decisions are made by consensus.

Date: 16 February 2016  
Time: 11am  
Venue: Public Works Dept, Lakatoro

Attendees:	
Lapi Kalmet	Assistant Planner, MPG
Antony Garae	Off Grid Officer, DOE
Alfred Joel	On Grid Officer, DOE
Claire Forbes	ADB Consultant
Hannington Alatoa	VPMU
Mr Fred Siba	Division Manager, PWD

### Notes:

The team met with the PWD Division Manager in Lakatoro to discuss current road projects and definition of road reserves. It was unclear whether the roads where the transmission line will run

have been gazetted since the Road Act is still new (2014) and there has been recent change of government. Mr Siba comments that the road carriageway is typically 4-6 m wide in Malekula and for the transmission line to Vao the community agreed to 3 m from the edge of the road to be cleared. He also agreed that establishing a Brenwe Steering Committee would be a good idea.

Date: 17 February 2016

Time: 9am - noon

Venue: Malampa Provincial Government Office, Lakatoro

Attendees:	
Lapi Kalmet	Assistant Planner, MPG
Antony Garae	Off Grid Officer, DOE
Alfred Joel	On Grid Officer, DOE
Claire Forbes	ADB Consultant
Hannington Alatoa	VPMU
Multiple persons	Chiefs and land claimants

Notes:

1. The meeting was opened with a prayer by Remo Reuben.
2. The team explained their roles and the purpose of the visit to update the MOA on Land Access that was signed in 2014. The DOE representative thanks everyone for their attendance and explained the project is still in the pipeline and there are numerous steps to complete before construction could start on such a project. He explained the stages in project development, stating that feasibility had been completed in 2014/15. The team also highlighted the importance of understanding custom lands surrounding the old hydro site and the need for transparency between all parties.
3. Meeting attendees asked how long the process will take to complete, and the team responded explaining there is commitment to start the project, but it will depend on cooperation with landowners and communities. [REDACTED] stated he was in full support of the project. One person asked whether the project could change its name since the site is not actually located at Brenwe (Brenwe village is downstream).
4. Comments were raised about communication and consultation and how people would be informed. The team raised the idea of a steering committee, explained how this first needs approval from Director General (DG), and that DOE will look at how to improve communications and information sharing in Malekula.
5. The custom lands of the project area were discussed amongst landowners, land claimants and their Chiefs. It was identified that the project site was located on Tervaut and Wiaru custom lands and the access road passed through Timbun land.
6. The team presented the updated MOA and read it aloud in English, then in Bislama and confirmed all meeting attendees understood the purpose of the MOA for Land Access. The MPG representative explained the need for signatures and proper representation to allow studies to continue to inform final design. The meeting attendees signed the MOA and the team explained a final copy would be distributed to them via MPG.

7. The team visited the Brenwe site that afternoon and collected additional signatures from those that did not attend the meeting ( [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] ).

## 2018 CONSULTATIONS

---

Date: 3–10 September 2018

Venue: Brenwe and Tautu village, Malekula

Proposed extension grid route from Turtle Bay to Port Olry, Santo

Gerard Fitzgerald	Stantec social specialist
Phillipe de Greslan	Stantec national consultant
Levi Tarosa	Stantec national land specialist
Lapi Kalmet	provincial government officer, local logistics
Joseph Temakon	DoE, Grid officer
Christy Haruel	VPMU – newly appointed
Walter Jonah	Local guide for site visit and land claimant

### Notes:

1. All but one of the land claimants were successfully located and consulted with. These included:

- a. [REDACTED] – being the three claimant groups for the 18 ha of land on the left (east) side of the Brenwe River ;
- b. [REDACTED] – being 2 of the 3 land claimants to the 12ha on the right side of the river.
- c. [REDACTED] the third claimant on the right side of the river was absent in Port Vila and was to be located and interviewed following the team's return to Port Vila.

2. No additional land claimants have been identified by the Department of Lands for the compulsory acquisition area of 30 ha versus 4.4 ha and this was confirmed by the existing claimants.

3. All the claimant groups, or at least their representatives, were given copies of the government's land and assets valuation in December 2017. One official objection to the valuation was reportedly received from [REDACTED]. He felt that the valuation for the 18ha on left side was too low compared with the valuation for the 12ha on the right side of the river.

4. Most of the remaining claimants reported they were satisfied with the valuation, though they did not fully understand the process. No formal hearing over the valuation has been conducted. There is potential for a dispute to develop over the valuation.

5. None of the claimants were willing to withdraw their land claim cases to the Supreme Court in favour of using processes provided for in the Custom Land Management Act. However, at the same time all the claimants indicated that they would accept the Supreme Court's ruling on the ownership and would not subsequently enter into any form of dispute. This relates to the memorandum of agreement signed by the land claimants.

6. There appears to be a current conflict between [REDACTED] group of claimants and [REDACTED] group. [REDACTED] had referred to the use of *namele* leaves (the 'Chief's palm') which is used to invoke a prohibition/tabu – implying a threat to close down the land acquisition and the project.

**Update:** Following the decision by the Supreme Court in November 2018 in favour of [REDACTED], [REDACTED] attempted to settle this conflict using customary dispute resolution by chiefs from Brenwe and Tautu. This was reportedly unsuccessful as [REDACTED] repeatedly refused to attend several meetings called to resolve the conflict. Subsequently, [REDACTED] group as part of the declared custom landowners of Tervuat land have made it known that any interference in the Project by [REDACTED] group or anyone in the future will be regarded as Trespassing.

**Update:** When [REDACTED] was quizzed on his non-appearance he responded that [REDACTED] was a spokesman and he, as the rightful representative had no obligation to respond to a spokesperson according to Melanesian tradition. This was succinctly summarised by DG Lands Mr. Arthur Faerua to Ninebeth Carandang of ADB in an email update of March 2019 that the Courts had awarded the [REDACTED] as Customary Landowners and the dispute is a family affair to be resolved by the families.

7. On the issue of Stantec's proposed site access roads: the land required for these is owned by the current land claimants. All the claimant representatives said they would be willing to enter into a lease to provide easements for the access roads on land outside the acquisition area. Update is that all the Land Claimants by six have signed the MoA inclusive of the TPV granting consent for the development of Access Roads from the public road to the project site.

8. The easement on the left side of the river passes through a coconut and cocoa plantation (and possibly yam gardens) which belongs to land users. Once defined, the easements will need to be surveyed and a valuation prepared for the compensation for agricultural assets on that land to the land users as well as decision made on a rental. The leasing process could begin immediately as soon as the alignment of the access road is determined. The process has been clearly spelt out in the MoA.

9. Over the past few years, a considerable number of people from various agencies and companies have visited and consulted the communities and landowners within the area in regards to a hydro project. So, it is expected that with the project tender process going out soon, the number of potential visitors will continue to increase. Therefore, it is essential that a Community Liaison Officer be appointed to coordinate and manage all visits and communications to the area. The process of appointing the CLO is currently being undertaken.

10. There is need for an improved awareness of the project and the land acquisition process to the local communities and the land claimants. Printed materials describing the project and its



rationale, including the planning process was prepared and distributed to the communities and land claimants.

11. Stantec's local consultant (Levi Tarosa) followed up on some research on the acquisition process by the Department of lands and will compare this with the requirements of existing laws for the update of the RP.

12. The Secretary-General of Malampa province and the head of the VPMU both advised that the land acquisition and planning process needs to be explained to the Council of Chiefs for Malekula and later to chiefs of communities concerned. It is recommended that this process be initiated immediately, facilitated by the VPMU and Stantec's local specialist, and involving senior people in the Department of Lands. This was done in December 2018 and also in February 2019 with DG Lands leading the consultations.

13. The Stantec team, with Christy Haruel made a preliminary inspection of the route for the proposed HV power grid extension from Turtle Bay to Port Olry on Santo. Establishment of the line appears achievable with a very low impact on peoples' livelihoods assets. Eight roadside stalls were counted, all of which could be easily avoided by the poles and lines, or relocated a few meters. Individual trees will need to be removed or cut back.

14. VUI the company responsible for providing electricity in Santo has in place a community engagement program. This has seen the involvement of communities in cutting down trees or tree branches during powerline works, and payment made to the communities concerned. Members of communities are also recruited as casual labourers to assist line crews' erect poles etc. This method was also observed to be used by Unelco on Malekula.

Date: 15<sup>th</sup> December 2018  
Time: AM  
Venue: Brenwei

Participants:

Joseph Temakon	Department of Energy
Arthur Faerua	Department of Lands
Christy Haruel	VPMU
Walter Jonah	Unmet Village (guide)
Lapi Kalmet (support)	Malampa Provincial Headquarters

#### Notes:

1. Lapi Kalmet met the team briefly at the airport and provided an update on the court determination, made on 28<sup>h</sup> November 2018, on the Tervaut land appeal case, in favour of the [REDACTED].

2. The purpose of the trip was to identify APs along the proposed access roads to be used by the project and to discuss and sign MOA for the access roads with these APs. Opportunity was also taken to disseminate awareness information to the communities.

3. Six (6) land users were identified for the access road connecting the provincial road to the boundary of the 30 ha land acquired for the project. This is also the old Chinese road (OCR) located on the west bank. Two (2) land users were identified for the access road on the east bank, to the forebay. The landowner for the east bank or Tervaut, who was recently determined by court, resides in Tautu Village. He was not consulted at that time.

Affected Land Users:

West Bank Access Road	East bank Access Road
██████████	██████████
██████████	████████████████████
██████████████████	
██████████	
██████████████████	
██████████	

4. The main types of vegetation observed along these proposed access roads are secondary forest, coconut and cocoa plantations, gardens with crops such as yams, island cabbage, peanuts, beans, bananas, corn, kumala and taro. A cattle farm is also located along the route. A full assessment and valuation of crops will be conducted by Ministry of Agriculture, for compensation.

5. Since the MOA for the access roads was still in draft and had not yet received approval from ADB at the time of the visit, the draft MOA was used for discussion with the land users. Although a few of them signed the draft MOA, it was decided later that these signed draft MOAs will be compared with the approved MOA and where needed, the signing would be re-organised and completed in the presence of a Third Party Validator (TPV).

6. ██████████ signed the draft MOA. He has 2 sons and 5 grandchildren. His wife is a teacher. ██████ enquired whether it was possible to provide access road in exchange for free electricity. The team responded that this may not be possible and cannot be guaranteed.

7. Discussion held with ██████████ on his plantation: 14.36pm 15/12/2018.

The OCR previously used in the 1990s traversed through ██████████ farm. ██████████ started his cattle farm in 2012. ██████████ supports the project and agrees to shifting his fence line to make room for the access road. Mathew has a security concern however in that if an access road were to be built through his farm, it would expose this investment to the public and the likelihood of thieves entering and stealing cattle. It was suggested that during project construction, access could be controlled at the gate turning into the access road. ██████████ signed the draft MOA.

8. ██████████ resides at Unmet village. His garden along the access roads consists of various root crops and several Natangura trees. Possible compensation of removed trees and crops with same or better quality and more seedlings of the same kind. ██████████ asked if compensation could be in monetary terms rather than seedlings. ██████████ signed the draft MOA after the content was explained to him.

9. About 100 flyers containing information about the Project, were distributed to the communities at Brenwei,

10. A third-party validator (TPV) is required. It was suggested that a pastor or priest from the community church be used as the TPV to be present during all signing of MOA.

## 2019 CONSULTATIONS

---

Date: 6 February 2019  
Time: 2pm  
Venue: Rambay & Associates Office, Port Vila

Attendees	Position
██████████	Representative of Tervaut Customary Landowners
Tony Ata	Social Safeguards consultant
Christy Haruel	VPMU Social Safeguards Specialist

### Notes:

1. ██████████ said he appreciated the opportunity to finally sit and talk to someone in regards to the VEAP concerning the Tervaut Land after ownership was declared in favour of his elder brother ██████████ - as spokesperson for the Customary Land owners. He said immediately after the declaration by the Supreme Court, chiefs of Brenwe called a meeting of reconciliation between ██████████ Group and ██████████ group (other claimants at the time) who are close relatives. ██████████ group did not show up and after a couple more unsuccessful attempts, the chiefs decided not to pursue this further. This action by ██████████ family was seen by the chiefs and ██████████ family as pure non-submission, refusal to cooperate and ignoring of the ordained process for resolving conflicts set by the chiefs. On whether this response from ██████████ group can be seen as a threat to the progress of the project, ██████████ confirmed that ██████████ family who are the declared Customary Land owners have reached a decision that as from that time onwards, any attempt by anyone to disrupt proceedings of the Hydropower Project on the Tervaut Land will be regarded as trespassing. ██████████ also said that ██████████ group had previously refused to sign any document while waiting for a land ownership decision from the Supreme Court. However, the family now feels confident to speak out. He said it is right timing for the Project to proceed and any minor issues within the family will be solved between them at family or village level while any agreement between them and the Government will be honoured.

2, ██████████ emphasized that he had previously mentioned to Levi Tarosa, former DSC consultant, that government cannot acquire land unfairly due to landowners not given opportunity to conduct their own valuation of the acquired land. ██████████ raised concern that the process has to be respected because it is transparent and will result in all parties concerned having the same understanding and will avoid unnecessary delays to the project. He said he has written to Lands Department about this but has not received a response so far.

Date: 16 February 2019  
Time: 10am  
Venue: Rambay Consulting Services, Port Vila

Attendees	Position
██████████	Representative of Tervaut Customary Landowners
Tony Ata	Social Safeguards Consultant
Christy Haruel	VPMU Social Safeguards Specialist

Notes:

1. Tony enquired about a statement made in an earlier consultation regarding compensation for previous failed Hydro-power project in the Tervaut land and whether there were any issues regarding demand for compensation to be made prior to giving access for the VEAP. ██████ said the family had been discussing about this especially for the Tervaut Land however he confirmed he is speaking on behalf of the family and that ██████ family has agreed not to raise this issue in this project as it may prolong proceedings and pose risks to the project stopping.

2. Tony informed ██████ about the compensation for damages to crops that will assessed by Department of Agriculture and then processed through Department of Finance and paid to owners of the crops but not to the landowners. ██████ said he is aware of this and will inform those concerned. He said the ██████ family is concerned if the local land guide ██████ is still being used as he was also part of the claimants of Tervaut land and this could cause confusion and misunderstanding so they request someone from the Project area that can be trusted as a guide as well as having good background knowledge of the area.

3. He also reiterated that he is still pursuing independent valuation of the Tervaut Land as per processes in place however this should not stop the project progressing forward as this will be an issue between landowners and the government. [Note that funds were deposited into the COTA in February 2019. Distribution of the payout of the COTA is made following agreement with government of the final amount to be paid and is part of the acquisition compensation process – see schedule 6 as above].

4. On the question whether he had any comments on the MOA signed, he said ██████ family will honour any relevant agreements signed with Government as long as it is for the interest of all parties concerned. ██████ also said ██████ family will work closely with the Customary Landowner of Wiaru Land.

## COMMUNITY CONSULTATIONS AND AWARENESS INCLUDING CONSENT FOR ACCESS ROAD REPORT on 25 to 28 February 2019

### Consultation Team

Name	Position	Organisation	Dates
Arthur Faerua	Safeguards Specialist (DG MLNR)	Ministry of Land	28 February 2019
Johnson Waibaiat	Director - VPMU	VPMU	28 February 2019
Fatiaki Gibson	VEAP – Project Advisor	VPMU	26 to 28 February 2019
Joseph Temakon	Principal Scientific Officer – On Grid	Department of Energy (DOE)	26 to 28 February 2019
Steven Tahi	DSC National Social Safeguards Specialist	Noreve Consultancy as part of the DSC Stantec NZ	26 to 28 February 2019
Benjamin Nehemiah	Planning Officer	Malampa Provincial Office	26 to 28 February 2019
Lapt Kalmet	Project Officer	Malampa Provincial Office	26 to 28 February 2019

### Areas Covered in the Consultations

Date	Location	Approximate Numbers	People/Areas that attended the Meeting
26-02-2019	Unmet Secondary School	Registered – 80 with 20 people outside	Unmet, Brenwe, Uri, Wiaru, Lasunwei, Tuluwe, Caroline Boieu, Tuagi, Mahe
27-02-2019	Leviamp 1 – Woman's Hall	Registered - 32	Leviamp 1 & 2, Vint, Nabour
27-02-2019	Lambubu Cocoa Plantation	Spoke informally to 3 from Management as workers were dispersed due to the bad weather	Management office team with local foreman
27-02-2019	Kona Point SDA Church	Registered - 32	Kona Point Settlement and nearby areas
28-02-2019	Tautu Primary School	Registered - 7	██████████
28-02-2019	██████████ ██████████	Registered - 11	██████████
28-02-2019	Tautu Village Community Hall	Registered 16	Tautu community

### Summary of Activities

1. Prior to the visit for the Community Consultations and Awareness program, Notices were prepared by the Malampa Provincial Office and was posted in various strategic locations around all the communities for the members to be alerted to the program ahead and not go to their farms or gardens or fishing for example.

2. The Communities were made aware of the Brenwe Hydro Project and the Transmission and Distribution Network that will connect the hydro power station to the diesel power station at Lakatoro by Joseph Temakon of the Department of Energy (DOE). They were explained the extent of the distribution power network and the coverage areas including the need to clear the vegetation alongside the roads
3. They were all given information booklets which were translated to Bislama and French from English. Additional copies were given out so that the men could take it home for their spouses and children as women cannot attend meetings in a closed area with men as per cultural norms. There was generally a good turn out to the consultations as the communities were looking forward to being updated on the progress of this development.
4. In the Unmet meeting the students of Year 10 were involved in the meeting and had access to the information booklets.
5. Meeting attendees were encouraged and given the opportunities to raise concerns or questions for clarity and these were responded to and were recorded fully at all the venues. Most of the dialogue was in Bislama but the community members understood English so there were two sets of recordings by Lapi Kalmet and Fatiaki Gibson.
6. The general awareness meeting was conducted first and then the specific meeting for the Access Road Consent and signing of MoA and TPV form (ADB Approved Format) followed, as done in Unmet School meeting on 26 February 2019.
7. In the Tautu example, of 28 February, consultation was done first and then the discussions entered the access roads and its need within the two families, concluding with the landowners signing off on the MoA and the third party verifier signed off.
8. In all, the various communities were looking forward to this project and the direct and indirect benefits that will ensue from its development. They collectively voiced their support for the development and could not wait any longer to see its construction commence.
9. They were all updated on the steps going forward and probable timeline when tender bids will close and the tender evaluation, concluding in an award for the Works.
10. They were assured that once a contractor was engaged, they will be informed and the probable time for mobilisation to start so that all the plantations in the access road corridors can be cleared after assessment by the Department of Agriculture.

SECRETARIAT OF MALAMPA  
PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT  
COUNCIL  
P.O. Box 022  
LAKATORO, MALEKULA

REPUBLIC OF VANUATU



SECRÉTARIAT DU CONSEIL  
DU  
GOUVERNEMENT PROVINCIAL  
MALAMPA  
Sac Postal 022  
LAKATORO, MALICOLO

RÉPUBLIQUE DE VANUATU

## **BRENWEI HYDRO PROJECT NOTICE**

20 February 2019

Notice ia stap go long Public long Unmet Village mo Surrounding Village klosap, Leviamp Village mo Surrounding Village Klosap, Lambubu moo I surrounding Village Klosap, Corner Pont Mo tautu Village.

Bae Vanuatu Energy Access Program (VEAP) we hemi include Vanuatu Project management Unit (VPMU), Department of Energy (DOE) Wetem Malampa Provincial Government Council (MPGC) bae holem wan Public Awareness/ Public Consultant long ol areas we I stap long Program below. Purpose blong awareness/Consultation ia hemi blong tokbaot ples we Power line (transmission Line) I follem wetem ol rod we bae ol wok man blong project I usem blong makem project ia.Ol Team we bae kam downblong makem awarness bae luk ol safe guard spealist blong project, Director General blong Ministry blong Lands, brenwei Hydro Project Advisor mo Manager Electrician. Hemi Important tumas blong yumi stap blong harem wanem nao project ia bae makem, wanem benefit blong hem mo up to date kasem today wanem wok I happen finis mo wanem wok yet we bae oli makem. Hemi wan gud taem to blong askem question about Brenwei hydro project.

### **Schedules**

	Date	Activity	Location	Estimated Time	Persons in charge
Day 2	Tuesday 26 <sup>th</sup> Feb	Public awareness on VEAP and Consultation with land owners and users for the access roads on West Bank and East Bank	Brenwei/Unmet	9:30 Am	DOE/VPMU
Day 3	Wednesday 27 <sup>th</sup> Feb	T&D Public Awareness to Leviamp, Lambubu, Kona Point and other areas outside of Brenwei and Unmet	Leviamp/ Lambubu/ Corner Point	Leviamp :9:30 Lambubu: 2Pm Corner point: 3:30	DOE/VPMU
Day 4	Thursday 28 <sup>th</sup> Feb	Public awareness on VEAP and Consultation with land owners and users for the access roads on	Tautu Village	2.00pm	DOE/VPMU/DG Lands

<b>Day 4</b>	<b>Thursday 28<sup>th</sup> Feb</b>	Public awareness on VEAP and Consultation with land owners and users for the access roads on West Bank and East Bank	<b>Tautu Village</b>	<b>2.00pm</b>	DOE/VPMU/DG Lands
------------------	-----------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------	---------------	----------------------

Thank yu tumas long Cooperation blong yumi everiwan mo Malampa Provincial Government Council I  
stap luk forward blong lukim yumi eveiwan long taem blong Awareness/Consultation.

Sign

MPGC



## 2020 CONSULTATIONS

### Contractor Visit to Malampa Provincial Government

**DATE** : 26 February 2020  
**TIME** : 13:30 – 14:00  
**VENUE** : Conference Room, Malampa Provincial Government (MPG office, Lakatoro).  
**PRESENT** :
 

- 1. Xavio Sarsoum (XS) - Officer in Charge, MPG
- 2. Erick Tulman (ET) - Officer, MPG
- 3. Lapi Kalmet (LK) - Project Officer, MPG
- 4. Mark Prater (MP) - Contractor's Rep., MAPS/Vortex
- 5. Karl Wood (KW) - Project Manager, DSC
- 6. Philippe de Greslan (PdG) - Resident Engineer, DSC
- 7. Fatiaki Gibson (FG) - Project Manager / Advisor, VPMU
- 8. Matthew Tasale (MT) - Manager Electrification, DoE
- 9. Alan Sewell (AS) - International Env. Specialist, VPMU
- 10. Uravo Nafuki (UN) - National Env. & Soc. Safeguards, VPMU

ITEM	DETAILS
<b>1. Opening</b>	<b>1.1 Welcome</b> The Officer in Charge of Malampa Provincial Government at the time of this visit, Mr. Xavio Sarsoum, welcomed the project team and conveyed his many thanks for the team visit.
<b>2. Introductions</b>	<b>2.1 Introduction of the Contractor</b> MT acknowledged and also conveyed the team's gratitude to the MPG for the meeting and introduced the members of the team, with particular emphasis on the Contractor's Rep. He stated that since the last project visit, the Contract has now been awarded and that this visit was to introduce the successful Contractor to the Malampa Provincial Government, and also to scope the project site for finalization of plans.
<b>3. Contractor's presentation</b>	<b>3.1 Contractor's presentation</b> The Contractor's Rep. (MP) stated their pleasure at having won the contract and that they were eager to start the project to help the people of Malekula, particularly of MALAMPA Province and Vanuatu as a whole. MP displayed a miniature model of the powerhouse and briefly described their dates for mobilization and construction works as per their plans. He then presented the powerhouse model to the MPG team. He also presented four hats that were inscribed with their company name to the members of the MPG as courtesy gifts.
<b>4. Closing</b>	<b>4.1 Closing words</b> XS on behalf of the MPG thanked the Contractor's Rep and assured the project team that their support for the project was continual and they were looking forward to working with the team to ensure a successful project outcome.

## 5. Photos

**Figure 1: L-R Xavier, Lapi and Erick of the Malampa Provincial Govt.**



**Figure 2: L-R Karl and Philippe (DSC) and Fatiaki (VPMU)**



**Figure 3: Matthew of DoE**



**Figure 4: L-R MPG Team, Alan (VPMU), Mark (MAPS/Vortex) and Uravo (VPMU)**



**Figure 5: Chance meeting with President of Malampa Provincial Govt Mr. Norbet Nabang at Norsup Airport**



## Collection of Additional; Socioeconomic Data

**Date** : **2 & 3 June 2020**

**Venue** : **Unmet & Wiaru**

**Team** :

**Members**

- |                 |                                    |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| 1. Stephen Tahi | - National Social Specialist (DSC) |
| 2. Lapi Kalmet  | - Project Liaison officer (MPG)    |

1. On 2nd 2020, Stephen flew to Malekula. The first courtesy call was made to the office of the Secretary General of the Province. The SG acknowledged the visit and is very supportive of the trip. It is agreed that all further tours to Malampa province should be made known to the Province. In the discussions he stressed that it is important that other members of the family of those who are affected by the hydro project and who are not living in Malekula should be informed about what is happenings to avoid misleading information which can easily cause disturbance to the project.

2. The second task undertaken was to compile the names of people and their position in the Hydro - area and the surrounding community as requested.

3. The third task is to go through the list of names and organize the trip to Unmet and the surrounding area to confirm the list compiled.

**Figure 7: Stephen Meeting with Lapi and Kalwajin (Secretary General) of MPG  
Wednesday 3rd June 2020**



4. Arrived in Unmet village and meeting assembly.

5. Explain the purpose of the trip which is to collect information as requested by the project and to confirm the information which has been compiled from sources in Vila and to information provided by Lapi.

6. All the list provided are either confirmed, rejected, amended or added. The list brought was finalized by the people who attended the meeting. About 50 people attended the meeting. It is agreed some names to be confirmed by people living Wiaru village.

7. A brief of the project is presented by the resident engineer. Information about project still going and construction starting in August is provided. The community shows great enthusiasm by the news. The safeguard specialist and Lapi emphasize the importance of community project ownership and future benefits to the community.

- (i) A discussion with the community about the accommodation of the Resident Engineer at Unmet is facilitated. It is decided that the issue of the location will be further discussed between the chiefs, the owner and various people within a week or alternative sites will be used. The chiefs assured the team that this will be resolved in a week's time.
- (ii) Arrived at Wiaru village. Same activities as Unmet are performed. The list of people is reconfirmed, added, amended.
- (iii) The list of the names of the people as requested are finalized.

**Figure 8: Stephen and Lapi meeting with landowners and land-users of Unmet**



## **AUGUST COMMUNITY AWARENESS TRIP REPORT**

---

**Team arrival in Malekula:** Monday 17 August 2020

**Team departure from Malekula:** Sunday 23 August 2020

**Team Members:** Simon Tor Bebe – M&E officer, VPMU  
Uravo Nafuki – Safeguards officer, VPMU  
Philippe de Greslan – Resident Engineer, Stantec (DSC)  
Willy Missak – National Environmental Specialist, Stantec (DSC)

**Program:**

- Monday 17<sup>th</sup> – the team reorganise the awareness with the communities.
- Tuesday 18<sup>th</sup> to Thursday 20<sup>th</sup> – community awareness.
- Friday 21<sup>st</sup> – Training CAC members' workshop
- Saturday 22<sup>nd</sup>: debrief and reports.

### **Lambubu Community**

Date: 18<sup>th</sup> August 2020

Start time: 2.00 pm

The meeting starts with an opening prayer and welcome

1. The team is introduced by Lapi and also by sharing the overview on what to be discuss during the awareness. During the introduction Uravo and Simon share the pamphlet and make sure that the participants fill out the participant list.

2. A brief history of the project is shared – explanation is given on why the previous project did not progress and the lesson learned for the development of the current project. The discussion on the challenges of the current project in regard to COVID-19 and the impact it will have to the project deadlines.

3. The team had also outlined the importance of having a community member into the Community Advisory Committee (CAC).

4. A brief overlook on the project progress by Noreve Consulting which includes the project design and construction phases, the movement of the materials (containers) and the recruitment process.

#### **A. Questions and comments**

5. The community raised questions and made some comments on the community contribution to the project advancement.

##### **1- Questions**

- (i) How much the electricity will cost per house?
- (ii) Will the transmission line pass through Tenmel (known as Kona Point)?
- (iii) Will the power line come with the road construction?
- (iv) Will the government compensate the trees if the cut down?
- (v) With COVID-19, how will the constructors come?
- (vi) Are the workers will sleep on the project site during the construction?
- (vii) Where will the power end?

##### **2- Comments**

6. During the previous project, when the community made the reconciliation with the government, at the same time, at the same time community let the government know that for this new project, there will be no compensation. Also, there are some compensation that had done during the previous project – [REDACTED].

#### **B. Nomination of the CAC member**

7. For the nomination of the community representative to the CAC, the team had given a space to the community members to consult among them to nominate someone in the community. After some time of discussion, the chief of Lambubu announce to the team that their representative is [REDACTED], and he is a landowner of the Lambubu community.

##### **3- Closing**

8. The community chief expresses the work of appreciation to the team and also mentioned that the community is looking forward to the next steps of the project in response to the question raise by the team to the community about what they think about the project.

9. The attendance sheets of all consultations can be found at Annexes of this report.



**Figure 9 - Lambubu Community Awareness**



**Unmet Community**

Date: 19<sup>th</sup> August 2020

Start time – 9:32 am

1. The awareness in the Unmet community went very well. From the previous awareness, the team had structured the awareness program as follow:

- (i) A brief history on the previous project and the current one
- (ii) Why and how the government will support the project, including the role of VPMU
- (iii) Brief on the project progress
  - a. The design and construction
  - b. Project phases
  - c. Arrival of the materials
  - d. Employment opportunity
- (iv) The impact of the COVID-19 on the timeframe of the project and its progress
- (v) The importance of this awareness
- (vi) The establishment of the CAC

2. The awareness stopped at 10:18 am and the department of environment came in to make the awareness on Plastic ban.

**Figure 10 - Unmet Community Awareness**



### **Wiaru Community**

Date: 19<sup>th</sup> August 2020

Time: 2:20 pm – 4:00 pm

1. The Wiaru community were not ready for the awareness due to miscommunication. The message sent was for the community to be ready for awareness on the afternoon of Wednesday 19<sup>th</sup>, however, due to confusion with the Department of Environment's plastic ban awareness, the community went to Unmet at that time. To note, that week there were four different government agencies conducting different awareness and trainings throughout North Malekula – VPMU (VEAP), Department of Environment (Plastic ban), OGCIO & Police (Cybercrime) and Department of Forestry (TVET Training). Therefore, the confusion was highly likely to occur.
2. The project team waited for the chief to come, and finally the awareness went ahead with other members of the community.
3. The team went through the awareness program as per Unmet's program, and received questions from community members:
  - (i) Why is Wiaru not in proposed grid network?
  - (ii) The team responded that after phase 1 of the project (construction of hydro power plant), the transmission and distribution is phase 2. Currently phase 2 contract is not signed yet and the tender process not out yet but Wiaru should be in the grid network.
  - (iii) The team collected M&E data from the community.
4. The team explained about the establishment of the CAC. The community is happy that the chief will be their representative.  
Meeting closed at 4pm.

**Figure 11: Wiaru Community Awareness**





### **Tautu Community**

Date: 20<sup>th</sup> August 2020

Time: 9:27 am – 10:59 am

1. The community of Tautu is very much open and ready to see the advancement of the project. During the awareness, the following questions were raised by the community members:
  - (i) Will the hydropower connect to the current powerline in Lakatoro?
  - (ii) For the people that have plants and crops close to the road, how is it going to happen?
  - (iii) How long will the project last?

2. After the awareness, the team explain about the establishment of the CAC. The community is happy that the chief will be their representative. And the chief says that if he can't attend the meetings, his son will attend on his behalf.

**Figure 12: Tautu Community Awareness**



### **Tenmel Community**

Date: 20<sup>th</sup> August 2020

Time: 3:20 pm – 4:15 pm

1. The Tenmel Community is also known as Kona Point – the name is the challenge for the community participation to the awareness. The name issue was raised by the chiefs before the team start the awareness.
2. The community appreciated the awareness and asked more questions around the employment opportunities: how many people will be employed? What areas of employment will the contractor be looking for? Will the workers need some level of education (e.g. degree, diploma, etc.)?
3. For the CAC representative, the community (especially men) agreed that the chief of Tenmel will represent them. However, the women had no trust to the chiefs or generally men to be in the CAC as they see how inactive they are in the community work and participation in the community project such as WASH project and other project delivered by the INGOs in the community.

**Figure 13: Temnel (Kona Point) Community Awareness**



### **Courtesy visit to Malampa Provincial Government office**

Date: 20<sup>th</sup> August 2020

Time: 1:30 pm – 3:00 pm

1. Due to the MPG's Secretary General's busy schedule, the project team finally briefed the SG on Thursday 20 August. The team updated the SG and the President of MPG, on the VEAP project status, as well as the VISSP project status. Both were enthusiastic for works to go on despite the Covid19 restrictions and offered to write a letter of support for the project to NDMO for the Contractor to enter Vanuatu and mobilise to Malekula.



**Figure 14 - Project Brief with President and SG of Malampa Provincial Government**



### **Crop Valuation**

Date: 19 August 2020

Time: 11.00 am – 3.30 pm

1. After the Unmet community awareness, the team continues to the next activity, the crop valuation, led by Noreve Consulting in collaboration with the department of Agriculture. The valuation on the two-access road to the construction site and to the camp site. There was an issue with a Tabu site previously identified by locals (Walter) but not reported in the design of on the Forebay access road. Alternative solutions are discussed on site and the community decides to move the road access by approximately 100m. Pictures and GPS measures are recorded in order to confirm the access road final location which has no longer impact on the Tabu site.

**Figure 15: Access Road Tree Valuation**



Note: The identified trees were marked by the valuation team. Timber processing was identified on the permanent access road (Chinese road).

## **Workshop**

Date: 21<sup>st</sup> August 2020

Time: 9:20 am

Venue: Welkins Stadium, Lakatoro

1. On the 21<sup>st</sup> of August, all the appointed CAC representatives attend the workshop lead by the VPMU, Noreve Consulting and the Malampa Province. The workshop helps the CAC members understanding their role and the mechanism to address different grievance when it arises during the project development. Two documents have been studied by the CAC members, ***The Community Advisory Committees Operational Guidelines*** and ***the Grievance Redress Mechanism***.

2. During the workshop, a few points have been raised especially with regards to the flow of the information to the community level. Different experiences have been shared to help the committee to learn and apply to their context to make sure that all the information flow to the community level and from the community to the committee level and officials. Below are the suggestions for the information flow:

- ✓ ***Using social media***, especially Facebook as many people in the community are connected to the social media
- ✓ ***Using community notice boards*** – there will be a newsletter on the progress of the project that will be shared during the meetings and the newsletter will be paste to the community notice boards.
  - The newsletter must be in bislama
  - The bislama language must be simple and understandable for the community or grass roots
- ✓ ***Making phone calls*** – some community members have mobile phones but are not connected to the social media. A phone call to the main focal points can help the spread of the information.
- ✓ ***Community meetings and church spaces*** – the CAC members can organise in each of their community a day each month after the CAC meeting for them to share the information to the community. Or during Sundays and Saturdays, the CAC members can use a time during the church service to inform the community members of the progress of the project.

**Figure 16: CAC Training Workshop**



## Recommendations

1. During the meeting, one of the CAC members is identified to support the bislama language for the newsletter,  
Mr David Virambath  
Unmet community  
Email: [dvirambathnib@gmail.com](mailto:dvirambathnib@gmail.com)  
Phone: 52 86 48 4
2. For the first meeting of the CAC, it will be good for VPMU and Noreve Consulting to be present to see the progress of the committee.
3. The team need to have a clear answer to the following question, in order to help the CAC members to response to their community members:
  - (i) Why Wiaru does not figure in the transmission line plan?
  - (ii) Why are the containers going to Liztlizt instead of going directly to Unmet?
  - (iii) What happens if the construction destroys the Tabu Sites?
  - (iv) What happens if your properties are destroyed during the project? Will the government compensate the damage? E.g. when they put the powerline transmission
  - (v) What happens if the survey did not include people whose properties are removed or cut down, how can we solve this?
  - (vi) Why are the recruitment process priorities the community of Unmet, Wiaru and not the other communities near Brenwei?
4. Encourage gender balance – the CAC members must make efforts to have more women to represent them during the meeting when they are not available.
5. Trust, unity, and friendship – we need to ensure that the CAC member build trust, unity, and true friendship among them. This will allow the members to:
  - (i) Build collaboration among them and to make sure that the CAC work closely with the communities
  - (ii) Share their experiences and accompanying each other when they face challenges in their communities and also to learn from how each community are working together to support the advancement of the project

**Figure 17: CAC Training Members**






## ANNEX 1 – ATTENDANCE SHEETS

Community: **LAMBUMBU**

**18/08/20**

**Annex B. List of participants**

S/N	Name	Occupation
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

S/N	Name	Occupation
21		
22		
23		
24		
25.		
26.		
27.		
28.		
29.		
30		
31		
32.		
33,		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40.		
41.		
42.		

43

44

45

46

47

48

49



19/08/20 Unmet Village


Full Name	Island	Village/Suburb	Date	Male	Female	Age
	Mallecula	Unmet	19/08/20	✓		44
	Mallecula	Unmet	19/08/20	✓		41
	Mallecula	Unmet	19/08/20	✓		41
	Mallecula	Unmet	19/08/20		✓	53
	Mallecula	Unmet	19/08/20	✓		69
	Mallecula	Unmet	19/08/20	✓		40
	Mallecula	Unmet	19/08/20	✓		32
	MALLEKULA	UNMET	19/08/20	✓		76
	Mallekula	Unmet	19/08/20	✓		55
	Mallekula	Unmet	19/08/20	✓		38
	Mallekula	Unmet	19/08/20	✓		42
	Mallekula	ANUATUK	19/08/20	✓		41
	Mallekula	Anuatak	19/08/20	✓		45
	Mallekula	Anuatak	19/08/20	✓		67
	Mallekula	Unmet	19/08/20	✓		79

16	Malekula	Unmet	18/8/20	52846	M	55	
17	Mallikula	Unmet	19/08/20	55368	M	65	
18	Malekula	Pikayee	19/08/20	54558	M	40	
19	Malekula	Unmet	19/08/20	55500	M	60	
20	Malekula	Unmet	19/08/20	50838	M	29	
21	Malekula	Unmet	19/08/20	52430	F	34	
22	Malekula	Unmet	18/08/20	56000	F	47	
23	Malekula	Unmet	19/08/20	50838	M	50	
24	Malekula	Unmet			M	37	
25	Malekula	Lavamp	19/08/20	543	2656	M	20
26	Malekula	Lavamp	19/08/20	5609340	M		
27	Malekula	Malekula	19/08/20	52846	M		
28	Tulwesi	Malekula	19/08/20	52846	M		
29	Malekula	Malekula	19/08/20	5303093	F	57	
30	Malekula	Malekula	19/08/20	5442252	M	31	

Community: WIARU

19/08/20


Annex B. List of participants

S/N	Name	Occupation
1		Chief.
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

Community: **TAUTU**


Date: 20/08/20

Annex B. List of participants

S/N	Name	Occupation
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		


\*  
/

TEMNEL

Community: KONA POINT 

20/8/20

Annex B. List of participants

S/N	Name	Occupation
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

Community: CAC training:

Annex B. List of participants

Re-imbursement of  
Transportation cost:  
1000VT each/CAC.  
Signature means received  
\$ 1000VT  
contact

Transit

S/N	Name	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		