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ABSTRACT

This paper studies how status competition for marriage partners can generate surprising effects on the real exchange rate (RER). In theory, a rise in the sex ratio (increasing relative surplus of men) can generate a decline in the RER. The effect can be quantitatively large if the biological desire for a marriage partner is strong. We also provide within-the People’s Republic of China and cross-country empirical evidence to support the theory. As an application, our cross-country estimation suggests that sex ratio as well as other factors in the existing literature can account for the recent evolution in Chinese RER almost completely.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The real exchange rate (RER) is one of the most important relative prices for most economies. It is also often a source of international tension—witness the intense controversy over the Chinese or German exchange rate policy. Yet, our understanding of its determinants is still incomplete. This paper proposes a Darwinian determinant of the RER, which we argue should play an important (but still neglected) role in understanding some of the major exchange rate patterns.

For concreteness, let us reflect more on the international controversy about the Chinese exchange rate, although the mechanism proposed in the paper should be relevant for many other economies that share some common features with the People’s Republic of China (PRC). The Chinese RER was widely believed to be substantially undervalued in the first decade of the 21st century. Relative to the purchasing power parity (PPP), the exchange rate appeared to be undervalued by 40% or more. The standard narrative attributes this pattern to government interventions in the currency market.

However, this narrative is only correct if one has already taken into account all the important structural determinants of the RER. In this paper, we investigate one such determinant that is missing from the standard approach to assess the equilibrium exchange rate. In the alternative narrative, the appearance of the RER undervaluation is an outcome of an imbalance in the sex ratio in the premarital age cohort that began around 2003 and has become progressively worse since then. The Chinese exchange rate policy only became a source of international tension since 2003, and we will argue that the timing is not coincidental.

The sex ratio imbalance itself starts from some technology and policy shocks, unrelated to the nominal exchange rate policy. The initial technology shock in the new narrative was the spread of ultrasound B machines in the PRC since the 1980s that allowed expectant parents to easily detect the gender of the fetus and abort the child they did not want. 1985 was the first year in which half of the local (county level) hospitals acquired at least one such machine (Li and Zheng 2009). The initial policy shock was the implementation of a strict version of the family planning policy (popularly known as the “one-child policy”) that severely restricts the number of children a couple can have. By interacting with a long-existing parental preference for sons, the combination of the two shocks started to produce an unnaturally high ratio of boys to girls at birth from the early 1980s, and the sex ratio at birth became progressively worse as the use of ultrasound machines became more widespread, and the enforcement of the family planning policy tightened over time. Around 2003, the first cohort born with an excess number of males was entering the marriage market. The competition for a marriage partner by young men became progressively more fierce since then. In 2007, the sex ratio for the premarital age cohort (5–20) was about 115 young men per 100 young women. This implies that about one out of every nine young men cannot get married, mathematically speaking.

Our theory predicts that a rise in the sex ratio in the premarital age cohort can lead to an appearance of an undervalued exchange rate relative to the PPP. This happens through both a savings channel and a labor supply channel.

How would a rise in the sex ratio imbalance trigger a significant increase in the savings rate starting from about 2003? The key is to recognize that family wealth is an important status variable in the marriage market (other things equal). As the competition for brides intensifies, young men and their parents raise their savings rate in order to improve their relative standing in the marriage market. (Of course, any complete story has to investigate why the behavior by women or their parents does
not undo the competitive savings story. This we will do in the model. In addition, we will argue that the corporate savings will also go up in response to a higher sex ratio.)

When the economywide savings rate rises, the RER often falls. To see this, we recognize that a rise in the savings rate implies a reduction in the demand for both tradable and nontradable goods. Since the price of the tradable goods is tied down by the world market, this translates into a reduction in the relative price of the nontradable goods, and hence a decline in the value of the RER (a departure from the PPP). The effect would be persistent if there are frictions that impede the reallocation of factors between the tradable and nontradable sectors. The savings channel can be economically and quantitatively significant if the biological desire for a marriage partner is sufficiently strong.

The second channel for the sex ratio imbalance to affect the RER works through the effective labor supply. A rise in the sex ratio can also motivate men to cut down leisure and increase labor supply. This leads to an increase in the economywide effective labor supply. If the nontradable sector is more labor-intensive than the tradable sector, this generates a Rybczynski-like effect, leading to an expansion of the nontradable sector at the expense of the tradable sector. The increase in the supply of nontradable goods leads to an additional decline in the relative price of nontradables and a further decline in the value of the RER. Again, the labor supply channel can be economically powerful if the biological desire to avoid involuntary bachelorhood is strong.

Putting the two channels together, a rise in the sex ratio generates a RER that appears too low relative to the PPP (or relative to the standard approach used by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) to assess equilibrium exchange rates that includes additional terms beyond PPP but does not include the sex ratio, savings rate, and effective labor supply). Because the effect of a skewed sex ratio on the RER comes from competition for sex partners, this is fundamentally a Darwinian perspective on the exchange rate.

Of course, other structural factors may also have contributed to an increase in the aggregate savings rate (e.g., an increase in government savings or an increase in private sector precautionary savings) or an increase in the effective labor supply (e.g., gradual relaxation of restrictions on rural–urban migration). These other factors would reinforce the Darwinian mechanism discussed in this paper, causing the RER to fall further.

A desire to enhance one’s prospects in the marriage market through a higher level of wealth could be a motive for savings or labor supply even in countries with a balanced sex ratio. But such a motive is not as easy to detect when the competition is modest. When the sex ratio gets out of balance, obtaining a marriage partner becomes much less assured. A host of behaviors that are motivated by a desire to succeed in the marriage market may become magnified. But sex ratio imbalances so far have not been investigated by macroeconomists. This may be a serious omission.

A sex ratio imbalance is a common demographic feature in many economies, especially in East, South, and Southeast Asia, such as the Republic of Korea; India; Viet Nam; Singapore; Taipei,China; and Hong Kong, China in addition to the PRC. It is quite possible that the sex ratio effect plays an important role in the RER of these economies. To be clear, most economies in the world do not have a severe sex ratio imbalance. Correspondingly, there are not enough variations in the sex ratio among these economies to detect its role in determining the level of the exchange rate. However, if one only considers the standard determinants of the RER and ignores the sex ratio effect, one could mistakenly conclude that economies with a severe sex ratio imbalance have a severely undervalued currency. This set of economies happens to include the PRC—the world’s second largest economy and the largest
exporter. Given the enormous effort by international financial institutions and many national governments to pass judgment on its exchange rate, getting it right has global importance.

The empirical evidence on the savings channel is provided by Wei and Zhang (2011a). First, across rural households with a son, they document that the savings rate tends to be higher in regions with a higher sex ratio imbalance (holding constant family income, age, gender, educational level of the household head, and other household characteristics). In comparison, for rural households with a daughter, their savings rate appears to be uncorrelated with the local sex ratio. Across cities, both households with a son and households with a daughter tend to have a higher savings rate in regions with a more skewed sex ratio, although the elasticity of the savings rate with respect to the sex ratio tends to be bigger for son families. Second, across Chinese provinces, they find a strong positive correlation between the local savings rate and the local sex ratio, after controlling for the age structure of the local population, income level, inequality, recent growth rate, local birth rate, local enrollment rate in the social safety net, and other factors. Third, to go from correlation to causality, they explore regional variations in the enforcement of the family planning policy as instruments for the local sex ratio, and confirm the findings in the ordinary least squares regressions. The sex ratio effect is both economically and statistically significant. While the Chinese household savings rate approximately doubled from 16% (of disposable income) in 1990 to 31% in 2007, Wei and Zhang (2011a) estimate that the rise in the sex ratio could explain about half the increase in the household savings rate.

Besides the paper cited above, there are four bodies of work that are related to the current paper. First, the theoretical and empirical literature on the RER is too voluminous to summarize comprehensively here. Sarno and Taylor (2002) and Chinn (2012) provide recent surveys. Second, the literature on status goods, positional goods, and social norms (e.g., Cole, Mailath, and Postlewaite 1992; Corneo and Jeanne 1999; Hopkins and Kornienko 2004; and Hopkins 2009) has offered many useful insights. One key point is that when wealth can improve one’s social status (including improving one’s standing in the marriage market), in addition to affording a greater amount of consumption goods, there is an extra incentive to save. This element is in our model as well. However, all existing theories on status goods feature a balanced sex ratio. Yet, an unbalanced sex ratio presents some nontrivial challenges. In particular, while a rise in the sex ratio is an unfavorable shock to men, it is a favorable shock to women. Could the women strategically reduce their savings so as to completely offset whatever increments in savings men may have? In other words, the impact on aggregate savings from a rise in the sex ratio appears ambiguous. Our model will address this question. In any case, the literature on status goods has no discernible impact in macroeconomic policy circles. For example, while there are voluminous documents produced by the IMF or speeches by the United States (US) officials on the PRC’s high savings rate, no single paper or speech thus far has pointed to a possible connection with its high sex ratio imbalance.

A third related literature is the economics of family, which is also too vast to be summarized here comprehensively. One interesting insight from this literature is that a married couple’s consumption has a partial public goods feature (Browning et al. 1994, Donni 2006). We make use of this feature in our model as well. An insightful paper by Bhaskar and Hopkins (2011) studies parental investment in their children before they go to the marriage market. When there is a surplus of boys, parents overinvest in boys and underinvest in girls but the total investment in children is excessive. Du and Wei (2013) examine the effect of higher sex ratios for aggregate savings and current account balances. None of the papers in this literature explores the general equilibrium implications for exchange rates from a change in the sex ratio.
The fourth literature examines empirically the causes of a rise in the sex ratio. The key insight is that the proximate cause for the recent rise in the sex ratio imbalance is sex-selective abortions, which have been made increasingly possible by the spread of ultrasound B machines. There are two deeper causes for the parental willingness to disproportionately abort female fetuses. The first is the parental preference for sons, which in part has to do with the relatively inferior economic status of women. When the economic status of women improves, sex-selective abortions appear to decline (Qian 2008). The second is either something that leads parents to voluntarily have a lower fertility rate than earlier generations, or a government policy that limits the number of children a couple can have. In regions of the PRC where the family planning policy is less strictly enforced, there is also less sex ratio imbalance (Wei and Zhang 2011a). Bhaskar (2011) examines parental sex selections and their welfare consequences.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we construct a simple overlapping generations (OLG) model with only one gender, and show that structural shocks can produce an RER depreciation. In Section III, we present an OLG model with two genders, and demonstrate that a rise in the sex ratio could lead to a fall in the value of the RER. In Section IV, we calibrate the model to see if and when the sex ratio imbalance can produce changes in the RER whose magnitude is economically significant. In Section V, we provide some empirical evidence on the connection between the sex ratio and the RER. Section VI offers concluding remarks and discusses possible future research.

II. A BENCHMARK MODEL WITH ONE GENDER

We start with a simple benchmark model with one gender. This allows us to see both the savings channel and the labor supply channel in a transparent way. The setup is standard, and the discussion will pave the way for a model in the next section that features two genders and an unbalanced sex ratio.

A. Consumers

There are two types of agents: consumers and producers. Consumers live for two periods: young and old. In the first period (young), a representative consumer supplies labor in exchange for labor income, consumes a part of the income and saves the rest. In the second period (old), she does not work and consumes her savings with interest.

The final good $C_t$ consumed by the representative consumer consists of two parts: a tradable good $C_{y_t}$ and a nontradable good $C_{n_t}$.

$$C_t = \frac{C_{y_t}^\gamma C_{n_t}^{1-\gamma}}{\gamma^\gamma (1-\gamma)^{1-\gamma}}$$

We normalize the price of the tradable good to be 1, and let $P_{n_t}$ denote the relative price of the nontradable good. The consumer price index (CPI) is $P_t = P_{n_t}$.
The optimization problem for the representative consumer is

$$\max u(C_t) + \beta u(C_{t+1})$$

with the intertemporal budget constraint

$$p_t C_t = (1 - s_t)w_t \text{ and } p_{t+1} C_{t+1} = Rs_t w_t$$

where \( w_t \) is the wage rate. We assume that everyone supplies one unit of labor inelastically. Then \( w_t \) is also the total first period income for a young consumer. \( s_t \) is the savings rate of the young cohort. \( R \) is the gross interest rate in units of the tradable good.

The optimal condition is

$$\frac{u_t'}{p_t} = \beta R \frac{u_{t+1}'}{p_{t+1}} \quad (1)$$

We start with the case of a small open economy, and assume that the law of one price for the tradable good holds. The price of the tradable good is determined by the world market, and is set to be one in each period. The interest rate \( R \) in units of the tradable good is also a constant. For simplicity, we assume \( \beta R = 1 \).

B. Producers

There are two sectors in the economy: a tradable good sector and a nontradable good sector. Both markets are perfectly competitive. For simplicity, we make the same assumption as in Obstfeld and Rogoff (1996) that only the tradable good can be transformed into capital used in production.\(^1\)

1. Tradable Good Producers

For simplicity, we assume a complete depreciation of capital at the end of every period. Tradable producers maximize

$$\max E_t \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} (R)^{-\tau} [Q_{T,t+\tau} - w_{t+\tau}L_{T,t+\tau} - K_{T,t+\tau+1}]$$

where the production function is

$$Q_{T,t} = \frac{A_{T,t} K_{T,t}^{\alpha_t}}{\alpha_t (1 - \alpha_t)^{1-\alpha_t}}$$

\(^1\) Relaxing this assumption will not change any of our results qualitatively.
Without any unanticipated shocks, the factor demand functions are, respectively,

\[
R = \frac{1}{\alpha_T} (1 - \alpha_T) \alpha T A_T \left( \frac{L_{Tt}}{K_{Tt}} \right)^{1-\alpha_T} \] (2)

\[
w_t = \frac{1}{\alpha_T} (1 - \alpha_T) \alpha T A_T \left( \frac{K_{Tt}}{L_{Tt}} \right)^{\alpha_T} \] (3)

It is useful to note that when there is an unanticipated shock in period \( t \), Equation (2) does not hold since \( K_{Tt} \) is a predetermined variable.

2. Nontradable good producers

Nontradable good producers maximize the following objective function:

\[
\max E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (R)^{-t} \left[ P_{N,t} Q_{N,t+1} - w_{t+1} L_{N,t+1} - K_{N,t+1} \right]
\]

with the production function given by

\[
Q_{Nt} = \frac{A_{Nt} K_{Nt}^{\alpha_N} L_{Nt}^{1-\alpha_N}}{\alpha_N (1 - \alpha_N)^{1-\alpha_N}}
\]

Without unanticipated shocks, we have

\[
R = \frac{1}{\alpha_N} (1 - \alpha_N) \alpha N A_N \left( \frac{L_{Nt}}{K_{Nt}} \right)^{1-\alpha_N} \] (4)

\[
w_t = \frac{1}{\alpha_N} (1 - \alpha_N) \alpha N A_N \left( \frac{K_{Nt}}{L_{Nt}} \right)^{\alpha_N} \] (5)

If there is an unanticipated shock in period \( t \), Equation (4) does not hold.

In equilibrium, the market clearing condition for the nontradable good pins down the price of the nontradable good,

\[
Q_{Nt} = \frac{\gamma P_t (C_{2t} + C_{ht})}{P_{Nt}} \] (6)

Let \( x \) denote the total number of young people in the economy, then the labor market clearing condition is given by

\[
L_{Tt} + L_{Nt} = x \] (7)
**Definition 1.** An equilibrium in the small open economy is a set \( \{s_t, K_{T,t+1}, K_{N,t+1}, L_{Tt}, L_{Nt}, P_{Nt}\} \) that satisfies the following conditions:

(i) The households’ savings rates, \( s_t = \{s_{it}, s_{it-1}\} \), maximize the household’s welfare

\[
 s_t = \arg \max \left\{ V_t \left| s_{it}, K_{Tt+1}, K_{Nt+1}, L_{Tt}, L_{Nt}, P_{Nt}\right. \right\}
\]

(ii) The allocations of capital stock and labor, and the output of the nontradable good clear the factor and the output markets, and maximize the firms’ profit. In other words, \( \{K_{T,t+1}, K_{N,t+1}, L_{Tt}, L_{Nt}, P_{Nt}\}\) solves Equations (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), and (7).

**C. From the Savings Rate or Labor Supply to the Exchange Rate**

To discuss the savings channel, we consider an unanticipated increase in the discount factor \( \beta \) that makes the young cohort more patient. To discuss the labor supply channel, we consider an unanticipated increase in the number of young people \( L \) that enlarges the labor supply in the economy. As a result of either of these shocks, in period \( t \), Equations (3) and (5) hold, but Equations (2) and (4) fail.

The market clearing condition for the nontradable good can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{P_{Nt}A_{Nt}K_{Nt}^\alpha L_{Nt}^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha_N^\alpha (1-\alpha_N)^{1-\alpha_N}} = \gamma \left( R_{S_{t-1}} w_{t-1} + (1-s_{t-1}) w_t \right)
\]

We can solve Equations (1), (5), (3), and (6) to obtain the equilibrium in period \( t \). To simplify, we assume that the per period utility function is of the log form, i.e., \( u(C) = \ln(C) \). Following Obstfeld and Rogoff (1996) and assuming that the nontradable good sector is relatively more labor-intensive, i.e., \( \alpha_N < \alpha_T \), we can obtain the following proposition:

**Proposition 1.** (i) With an increase in the discount factor \( \beta \) of the young cohort, the young raises their savings rate, and the price of the nontradable good falls. As a result, the RER depreciates. (ii) With an increase in the total number of young people \( x \), the price of the nontradable good falls. As a result, the RER depreciates.

**Proof.** See Appendix 1.

While a formal proof is relegated to Appendix 1, we provide some intuition here. In the period in which the shock to the discount factor occurs, as a representative consumer becomes more patient, he would save more and consume less. The reduction in aggregate consumption implies a reduction in the demand for both tradable and nontradable goods. As the price of the tradable good is tied down by the world market, this leads to a decrease in the relative price of the nontradable good (and a depreciation of the RER).
Now consider the intuition behind the labor supply channel. Under the assumption that the nontradable sector is more labor-intensive than the tradable sector, an increase in the number of labor generates a Rybczynski-like effect, leading to an expansion of the nontradable sector relative to the tradable sector. The increase in the supply of nontradable goods puts downward pressure on the relative price of nontradables and produces a decline in the value of the RER.

In summary, without currency manipulations, real factors that lead to a rise in either a country’s savings rate or its labor supply can simultaneously produce a fall in the RER.

Note that the effect on the RER lasts for one period. In period $t+1$, since the shock has been observed and taken into account by consumers and firms, Equations (2) and (4) hold in equilibrium. By solving Equations (2), (3), (4), and (5), we have

$$P_{nt} = R^{\frac{\alpha_{t}-\gamma_{t}}{\gamma_{t}}} \text{ and } P_{t+1} = R^{\frac{\alpha_{t+1}-\gamma_{t}}{\gamma_{t}}}$$

In other words, the price of the nontradable good and the CPI go back to their initial levels. Later in the paper, we will demonstrate how frictions in the factor market can produce longer-lasting effects on the RER.

III. A MODEL WITH MATING COMPETITION

We now consider a model with two genders and a desire for marriage (or for sexual partnership). Within each cohort, there are both men and women. A marriage can take place at the beginning of a cohort’s second period, but only between a man and a woman in the same cohort. Once married, the husband and wife pool their first period savings together and consume an identical amount in the second period. The second period consumption within a marriage has a partial public good feature. In other words, the husband and wife can each consume more than half of their combined second period income. Everyone is endowed with an ability to give his/her spouse some additional emotional utility (or “love”). This emotional utility is a random variable in the first period with a common and known distribution across all members of the same sex, and its value is realized and becomes public information when an individual enters the marriage market. There are no divorces.

Each generation is characterized by an exogenous ratio of men to women $\varphi$ ($\geq 1$). All men are identical ex ante, and all women are identical ex ante. Men and women are symmetric in all aspects—in particular, men do not have an intrinsic tendency to save more or to work more—except that the sex ratio may be unbalanced.

Throughout the model, we maintain the assumption of an exogenous sex ratio. While it is surely endogenous in the long run as parental preference should evolve, the assumption of an exogenous sex ratio can be defended on two grounds. First, the technology that enables the rapid rise in the sex ratio has only become inexpensive and widely accessible in developing economies within the last 25 years or so. As a result, it is reasonable to think that the rising sex ratio has a major effect only on the relatively young cohort’s savings decisions, but not those who have passed half of their working careers. Second, in terms of cross-country experience, most economies with a skewed sex ratio have not shown a sign of reversal. This suggests that, if the sex ratio follows a mean reversion process, the speed of reversion is likely to be very slow.
A. A Small Open Economy

For ease of discussion, we start with a small open economy with an exogenous labor supply. As in the benchmark model, the price of the tradable good is always 1 and the interest rate in units of the tradable good is a constant $R$.

1. A Representative Woman’s Optimization Problem

A representative woman makes her consumption/saving decisions in her first period, taking into account the choices by men and all other women, and the likelihood that she will be married. If she fails to get married, her second period consumption is given by $P_{t+1}C_{2,t+1}^w = Rs_w y_t^w$, where $R$, $y_t^w$, and $s^w_t$ are the gross interest rate of an international bond, her first period income, and her savings rate, respectively, all in units of the tradable good. If she is married, her second period consumption is given by $P_{t+1}C_{2,t+1}^w = \kappa \left( Rs_w y_t^w + R s_k^m y_t^m \right)$, where $y^m_t$ and $s^m_t$ are her husband’s first period endowment and savings rate, respectively. $\kappa (\frac{1}{2} \leq \kappa \leq 1)$ represents the notion that consumption within a marriage is a public good with congestion. As an example, if two spouses buy a car, both can use it. In contrast, were they single, they would have to buy two cars. When $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$, the husband and the wife only consume private goods. When $\kappa = 1$, then all the consumption is a public good with no congestion.

We allow each person to endogenously choose the first period labor supply, with the first period utility function given by $u(C) + v(1 - L)$, where $L$ is the labor supply and $v(1 - L)$ the utility from leisure. Again, for simplicity, we assume no taxes on the labor income. The utility function governing the leisure–labor choice is the same for men and women. In other words, by assumption, men and women are intrinsically symmetric except for their ratio in the society.

We can rewrite the optimization problem for a representative woman as follows:

$$\max u(C_{1i}^w) + v(1 - L_t^w) + \beta E_t \left[ u(C_{2,t+1}^w) + \eta^m_t \right]$$

with the budget constraint

$$P_{t+1}C_{2,t+1}^w = (1 - s^w_t)w_i L_t^w$$

$$P_{t+1}C_{2,t+1}^w = \begin{cases} \kappa \left( Rs_w y_t^w + R s_k^m y_t^m \right) w_t & \text{if married} \\ Rs_w y_t^w L_t^w & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $E_t$ is the conditional expectation operator. $\eta^m$ is the emotional utility (or “love”) she obtains from her husband, which is a random variable with a distribution function $F^m$. Bhaskar (2011) also introduces a similar “love” variable.

---

2 By assuming the same $\kappa$ for the wife and the husband, we abstract from a discussion of bargaining within a household. In an extension later in the paper, we allow $\kappa$ to be gender specific, and to be a function of both the sex ratio and the relative wealth levels of the two spouses, along the lines of Chiappori (1988 and 1992) and Browning and Chiappori (1998). This tends to make the response of the aggregate savings stronger to a given rise in the sex ratio.
2. A Representative Man’s Optimization Problem

The optimization problem for a representative man is similar:

$$\max u(C_{1t}^m) + v(1 - L_t^m) + \beta E_t \left[ u(C_{2,t+1}^m) + \eta^m \right]$$

with the budget constraint

$$P_t C_{1t}^m = (1 - s_t^m) w_t L_t^m$$

$$P_{t+1} C_{2,t+1}^m = \begin{cases} \kappa \left( R_s^w L_t^w + R_s^m L_t^m \right) w_t & \text{if married} \\ R_s^m w_t L_t^m & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

3. The Marriage Market

We follow Du and Wei (2013) in modeling the marriage market. Specifically, every woman (or man) ranks all members of the opposite sex by a combination of two criteria: (i) the level of wealth (which is determined solely by the first period savings), and (ii) the size of “love” she/he can obtain from her/his spouse. The weights on the two criteria are implied by the utility functions specified earlier. More precisely, woman $i$ prefers a higher ranked man to a lower ranked one, where the rank on man $j$ is given by $u(c_{2wij,j}^m) + \eta^w_j$. Symmetrically, man $j$ assigns a rank to woman $i$ based on the utility he can obtain from her $u(c_{2mij,i}^w) + \eta^m_i$. To ensure that the preference is strict for both men and women, whenever there is a tie in terms of the above criteria, we break the tie by assuming that a woman prefers $j$ if $j < j'$ and a man does the same. Note that “love” is not in the eyes of a beholder in the sense that every woman (man) has the same ranking over men (women).

The marriage market is assumed to follow the Gale–Shapley algorithm (Gale and Shapley, 1962). We assume that the density functions of $\eta^m$ and $\eta^w$ are continuously differentiable. Since all men (women) in the marriage market have identical problems, they make the same savings decisions. In equilibrium, a positive assortative matching emerges for those men and women who are married. In other words, there exists a mapping $M$ from $\eta^w$ to $\eta^m$ such that

$$1 - F^w(\eta^w) = \varphi \left( 1 - F^m \left( M(\eta^w) \right) \right) \Leftrightarrow M(\eta^w) = \left( F^m \right)^{-1} \left( 1 - \frac{1 - F^w(\eta^w)}{\varphi} \right)$$

For simplicity, we assume that $\eta^w$ and $\eta^m$ are drawn from the same distribution, $F^w = F^m = F$. The lowest possible value of emotional utility $\eta^{\min}$ is sufficiently small (which can be negative) so that some women and some men may not get married. Let $\bar{\eta}^w$ and $\bar{\eta}^m$ denote the threshold values for women’s and men’s emotional utilities in equilibrium, respectively. Only women (men) with emotional utilities higher than the threshold value $\bar{\eta}^w$ ($\bar{\eta}^m$) will get married. In other words,

---

3 We use the word “market” informally here. The pairing of husbands and wives is not done through prices.
\[
\bar{\eta}^w = \max \left\{ u_{2m,n} - u_{2m}, M^{-1}(\eta^w) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\eta}^m = \max \left\{ u_{2w,n} - u_{2w}, M(\bar{\eta}^w) \right\}
\]

(8)

where \( u_{2m,n} \) and \( u_{2w,n} \) denote the second period utilities of a single man and a single woman, respectively.

For woman \( i \), given all her rivals' and men's savings decisions and \( \eta^w \), her second period utility is

\[
\delta^w_i \left( \frac{\kappa \left( R_s^w wL^w_i + R_m^w wL^m \right)}{P_{t+1}} \right) + \left( 1 - \delta^w_i \right) u \left( \frac{R_s^w wL^w_i}{P_{t+1}} \right) + \int_{\tilde{\eta}^w}^{\eta^w} M'(\tilde{\eta}^w) dF(\tilde{\eta}^w)
\]

where \( \tilde{\eta}^w_i = u \left( \frac{\kappa \left( R_s^w wL^w_i + R_m^w wL^m \right)}{P_{t+1}} \right) - u \left( \frac{\kappa \left( R_s^w wL^w_i + R_m^w wL^m \right)}{P_{t+1}} \right) + \eta^w_i \). \( \delta^w_i \) is the probability that woman \( i \) will get married,

\[
\delta^w_i = \Pr \left( u \left( \frac{\kappa \left( R_s^w wL^w_i + R_m^w wL^m \right)}{P_{t+1}} \right) - u \left( \frac{\kappa \left( R_s^w wL^w_i + R_m^w wL^m \right)}{P_{t+1}} \right) + \eta^w_i \geq \bar{\eta}^w \left( R_s^w y^w, R_m^m y^m \right) \right)
\]

(9)

\[
= 1 - F \left( \bar{\eta}^w - u \left( \frac{\kappa \left( R_s^w wL^w_i + R_m^w wL^m \right)}{P_{t+1}} \right) + u \left( \frac{\kappa \left( R_s^w wL^w_i + R_m^w wL^m \right)}{P_{t+1}} \right) \right)
\]

Due to symmetry, we drop the subindex \( i \) for women. Given men's savings decisions, the first order conditions for her optimization problem are

\[
-u_w \cdot wL^w_i + \beta \left[ \delta^w \cdot ku_{2w} \cdot \frac{R_w L^w_i}{P_{t+1}} + \left( 1 - \delta^w \right) u_{2w,n} \cdot \frac{R_w L^w_i}{P_{t+1}} + \int_{\tilde{\eta}^w}^{\eta^w} M'(\tilde{\eta}^w) dF(\tilde{\eta}^w) \right] - 0
\]

(10)

and

\[
u_w \cdot \left( 1 - s^w \right) w_i + \beta \left[ \delta^w \cdot ku_{2w} \cdot \frac{R_w L^w_i}{P_{t+1}} + \left( 1 - \delta^w \right) u_{2w,n} \cdot \frac{R_w L^w_i}{P_{t+1}} + \int_{\tilde{\eta}^w}^{\eta^w} M'(\tilde{\eta}^w) dF(\tilde{\eta}^w) \right] - \nu^w = 0
\]

(11)

where

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial s^w} M(\tilde{\eta}^w) dF(\tilde{\eta}^w) = ku_{2w} \cdot \frac{R_w L^w_i}{P_{t+1}} \left[ \int_{\tilde{\eta}^w}^{\eta^w} M'(s^w) dF(s^w) + M(\tilde{\eta}^w) f(\tilde{\eta}^w) \right]
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \delta^w}{\partial s^w} = f(\tilde{\eta}^w) \cdot ku_{2w} \cdot \frac{R_w L^w_i}{P_{t+1}}
\]
By Equations (10) and (11), we have

\[ \frac{w_t}{P_t} = \frac{v_t'}{u_{1w}} \]  

(12)

The optimization problem for a representative man is similar:

\[
\max u(C_{1t}^m) + \nu(1 - L_t^m) + \beta E_t \left[ u(C_{2,t+1}^m) + \eta^u \right]
\]

with the budget constraint

\[
P_t C_{1t}^m = (1 - s_t^m) w_t L_t^m
\]

\[
P_{t+1} C_{2,t+1}^m = \begin{cases} 
\kappa \left( R_s^m L_t^w + R_s^m L_t^m \right) w_t & \text{if married} \\
R_s^m w_t L_t^m & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

The optimization conditions for his savings rate and labor supply are

\[
-u_m^I \frac{w_t}{P_t} L_t^m + \beta \left[ \delta_m^m \kappa u_{2m} \frac{R_w L_{w,t+1}^m}{P_{t+1}} + \left(1 - \delta_m^m \right) u_{2m,n} \frac{R_w L_{w,t+1}^m}{P_{t+1}} + \frac{\bar{\eta}^u}{\bar{u}^w} \left( u_{2m} - u_{2m,n} \right) \right] = 0
\]

(13)

and

\[
\frac{w_t}{P_t} = \frac{v_t'}{u_{1w}}
\]

(14)

respectively.

On the supply side, all equilibrium conditions other than the labor market clearing condition remain the same. If we normalize the measure of the young cohort to be one, then the labor market clearing condition becomes

\[
L_{t1} + L_{Nt} = \frac{1}{1 + \phi} L_t^w + \frac{\phi}{1 + \phi} L_t^m
\]

(15)

We now define an equilibrium for such an economy.

**Definition 2.** An equilibrium is a set \( \{ (s_t^w, L_t^w), (s_t^m, L_t^m), K_{T,t+1}, K_{N,t+1}, L_{t1}, L_{Nt}, P_{t1} \} \) that satisfies the following conditions:
(i) The savings and labor supply decisions by women and men, $(s^w_t, L^w_t) = \left\{ s^w_{t-j,i}, L^w_{t-j,i} \right\}$ and $(s^m_t, L^m_t) = \left\{ s^m_{t-j,i}, L^m_{t-j,i} \right\}$, maximize their utilities, respectively,

\[
\left( s^w_t, L^w_t \right) = \arg \max \left\{ V^w_t \left( s^w_{t-j,i}, L^w_{t-j,i}, s^m_t, L^m_t, K_{T,t+1}, K_{N,t+1}, L_{Tt}, L_{Nt}, P_{Nt} \right) \right\}
\]

\[
\left( s^m_t, L^m_t \right) = \arg \max \left\{ V^m_t \left( s^w_{t-j,i}, L^w_{t-j,i}, s^m_t, L^m_t, K_{T,t+1}, K_{N,t+1}, L_{Tt}, L_{Nt}, P_{Nt} \right) \right\}
\]

(ii) The markets for both goods and factors clear, and firms' profits are maximized. In other words, $\{K_{T,t+1}, K_{N,t+1}, L_{Tt}, L_{Nt}, P_{Nt}\}$ solves Equations (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), and (15).

We now consider an unanticipated shock to the sex ratio, i.e., a rise in the sex ratio for the young cohort from 1 to $\varphi \ (\varphi > 1)$ from period $t$ onwards. The nature of the shock is motivated by facts about the sex ratio in the PRC. Since a severe sex ratio imbalance for the premarital age cohort is a relatively recent phenomenon, the older generations' savings decisions were largely made when there was no severe sex ratio imbalance. As the shock is unanticipated, Equations (2) and (4) do not hold in period $t$.

As in the benchmark model, the market clearing condition for the nontradable good can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{P_{Nt} A_{Nt} K_{Nt}^{\alpha_N} L_{Nt}^{1-\alpha_N}}{\alpha_N^{\alpha_N} \left(1-\alpha_N\right)^{1-\alpha_N}} = \gamma \left( R s_{t-1} w_{t-1} + (1-s_t) w_t \right)
\]

where $s_t = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi - 1} s^m_t + \frac{1}{\varphi - 1} s^w_t$ is the aggregate savings rate by the young cohort in period $t$.

By Equations (3) and (5), we have

\[
\frac{1}{\alpha_T^{\alpha_T} (1-\alpha_T)^{1-\alpha_T}} A_{Tt} \left( \frac{K_{Tt}}{1-L_{Nt}} \right)^{\alpha_T} = \frac{1}{\alpha_N^{\alpha_N} \left(1-\alpha_N\right)^{1-\alpha_N}} P_{Nt} (1-\alpha_N) A_{Nt} \left( \frac{K_{Nt}}{L_{Nt}} \right)^{\alpha_N}
\]

We assume that $u(C) = \ln C$. We let $L_t$ denote the aggregate labor supply in period $t$, and assume that the utility function for leisure satisfies the feature\(^4\)

\[
\frac{2(v^*)^2}{v'} + \frac{v'}{L^2} - \frac{v''}{L} - v'' > 0
\]

If the country stays at the initial equilibrium (before period $t$) with nonnegative net foreign assets, then we can show the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.** Assume that the per period utility function is of log form, $u(C) = \ln C$, for everyone, and that $\eta$ is drawn from a uniform distribution. As the sex ratio (in the young cohort) rises in period $t$, a representative man increases his savings rate and labor supply, while the changes in a representative woman's savings and labor supply are ambiguous. The RER depreciates.

---

\(^4\) It is easy to show that utility function $v(1-L) = \frac{\alpha(1-L)^{\alpha}}{\theta} \ (\theta \geq 1)$ satisfies the assumption.
Proof. See Appendix 2.

A few remarks are in order. First, it is perhaps not surprising that the representative man raises his savings rate and labor supply in response to a rise in the sex ratio since the need to compete in the marriage market becomes greater. Why is the impact of a higher sex ratio on a representative woman’s savings rate and labor supply ambiguous? The answer is that a higher sex ratio produces two offsetting effects for her. On the one hand, as she anticipates more savings and labor supply from her future husband, she can free ride and does not need to sacrifice her first period consumption and leisure as much as she otherwise would have to. On the other hand, precisely because men have increased their savings rate in the first period in response to a higher sex ratio, they will be more reluctant to share their wealth with a woman with both a low wealth and a low emotional utility. The last point raises the probability that low-savings women may not get married. Since the representative woman also prefers marriage than spinsterhood, she may raise her savings rate as well as labor supply to improve her chance in the marriage market. Because the two effects go in opposite directions, the net effect of a higher sex ratio on a representative woman’s savings is ambiguous.

Second, why do the aggregate savings rate and labor supply rise unambiguously in response to a rise in the sex ratio even when women reduce their savings as well as labor supplies? The answer comes from both an intensive margin and an extensive margin. On the intensive margin, the increment in the representative man’s savings (and labor supplies) can be shown to be greater than the reduction in the representative woman’s savings (and labor supplies). Heuristically, the representative man raises his savings rate (and labor supply) for two separate reasons: in addition to improving his relative standing in the marriage market, he wants to smooth his consumption over the two periods and would raise his savings rate to make up for the lower wealth by his future wife. The more his future wife is expected to cut down her savings, the more he would have to raise his own savings (and labor supply) to compensate. This ensures that his incremental savings (and labor supply) is more than enough to offset any reduction in his future wife’s savings (and labor supply). On the extensive margin, a rise in the sex ratio implies a change in the mix of the population with relatively more higher-saving (and higher-labor-supply) men and relatively fewer lower-saving (and lower-labor-supply) women. While both margins contribute to a rise in the aggregate savings rate, we can verify in calibrations that the intensive margin is quantitatively more important.

Third, once we obtain an increase in the aggregate savings rate, the logic from the previous one-gender benchmark model applies. In particular, the relative price of the nontradable good declines and hence the RER depreciates.

Fourth, the assumption of uniform distribution of the emotional utility greatly simplifies the calculation and allows us to obtain analytical results. However, this assumption may not be realistic. In numerical examples in the following section, we assume a more realistic distribution (normal distribution). We find very similar qualitative results on savings and RERs as in Proposition 2. We also do not assume different elasticities of labor supply for women and men for technical simplicity. However, we can relax this assumption by assuming different utilities on leisure for women and men. To be more specific, we consider utility function $u_w$ that generates a more elastic women’s labor supply than men’s. The main qualitative results may still hold under certain conditions, for instance, by the proof in Appendix 2, we can find one sufficient condition for an increase in the aggregate labor supply that
where \( \frac{dL^m}{L^m \cdot ds^m} \) is related to the elasticity of labor supply by men. When men’s labor supply is sufficiently elastic, a similar argument as in the previous analysis applies, and hence the aggregate labor supply goes up.

Similar to the benchmark model with a single gender, once the shock is observed and taken into account in period \( t+1 \), Equations (2) and (4) hold in equilibrium. By solving Equations (2), (3), (4), and (5), we have

\[
P_{Nt} = R \frac{x_{Nt} - y_{Nt}}{z_{Nt}} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{t+1} = R \frac{x_{Nt} - y_{Nt}}{z_{Nt}}
\]

This means that the RER will return to their previous values after one period.

**B. Capital Adjustment Costs and a Prolonged Effect on the Exchange Rate**

Without additional frictions, a shock to the sex ratio can only affect the RER for one period. If there are capital adjustment costs in each sector, the effect on the RER can be prolonged. As is standard in the literature, we assume a quadratic capital adjustment cost, then the optimization problems for firms in the tradable good sector and the nontradable good sector become, respectively,

\[
\max_{t} E \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} (R)^{-\tau} \left[ Q_{T,t+\tau} - w_{T,t+\tau} L_{T,t+\tau} - I_{T,t+\tau} \right]
\]

and

\[
\max_{t} E \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} (R)^{-\tau} \left[ P_{N,t+\tau} Q_{N,t+\tau} - w_{N,t+\tau} L_{N,t+\tau} - I_{N,t+\tau} \right]
\]

Raising the capital to \( K_{i,t+1} \) in period \( t+1 \) requires time \( t \) investment \( I_{it} \) to satisfy

\[
I_{it} = K_{i,t+1} - (1-\delta) K_{it} + \frac{b}{2} \left( \frac{K_{i,t+1}}{K_{it}} - 1 \right) K_{it}, \quad i = T, N
\]
Then Equations (2) and (4) become, respectively,

\[ R = 1 - \delta + \frac{1}{\alpha_T (1 - \alpha_T)} \alpha_T A_{T,t+1} \left( \frac{L_{T,t+1}}{K_{T,t+1}} \right)^{1 - \alpha_T} \]

\[ -bR \left( \frac{K_{T,t+1}}{K_T} - 1 \right) + \frac{b}{2} \left( \frac{K_{T,t+2}}{K_{T,t+1}} \right)^2 - 1 \]  

(20)

\[ R = 1 - \delta + \frac{1}{\alpha_N (1 - \alpha_N)} P_{N,t+1} \alpha_N A_{N,t+1} \left( \frac{L_{N,t+1}}{K_{N,t+1}} \right)^{1 - \alpha_N} \]

\[ -bR \left( \frac{K_{N,t+1}}{K_N} - 1 \right) + \frac{b}{2} \left( \frac{K_{N,t+2}}{K_{N,t+1}} \right)^2 - 1 \]  

(21)

Without capital adjustment costs, i.e., \( b = 0 \), the price of the nontradable good will go back to its equilibrium level in period \( t + 1 \). With capital adjustment costs, i.e., \( b > 0 \), then

\[ P_{N,t+1} = \frac{1}{\alpha_T (1 - \alpha_T)} \alpha_T A_{T,t+1} \left( \frac{L_{T,t+1}}{K_{T,t+1}} \right)^{1 - \alpha_T} - bR \left( \frac{K_{T,t+1}}{K_T} - \frac{K_{Y,t+1}}{K_N} \right) \]

\[ -bR \left( \frac{K_{T,t+2}}{K_{T,t+1}} \right)^2 - 1 \]

(20)

\[ P_{N,t+1} = \frac{1}{\alpha_N (1 - \alpha_N)} P_{N,t+1} \alpha_N A_{N,t+1} \left( \frac{L_{N,t+1}}{K_{N,t+1}} \right)^{1 - \alpha_N} \]

\[ -bR \left( \frac{K_{N,t+1}}{K_N} - \frac{K_{Y,t+1}}{K_N} \right) \]

(21)

\[ P_{N,t+1} \] is now a function of \( \frac{K_{T,t+1}}{K_T}, \frac{K_{Y,t+1}}{K_N}, \frac{K_{T,t+2}}{K_{T,t+1}}, \) and \( \frac{K_{Y,t+2}}{K_{Y,t+1}} \). If \( \frac{K_{T,t+1}}{K_T} \neq \frac{K_{Y,t+1}}{K_N} \) and \( \frac{K_{T,t+2}}{K_{T,t+1}} \neq \frac{K_{Y,t+2}}{K_{Y,t+1}} \),

\( P_{N,t+1} \) is not a constant. This means that, with capital adjustment costs, the price of the nontradable good does not return immediately to its long-run equilibrium level. As a result, a rise in the sex ratio can have a long-lasting and depressing effect on the RER.

C. Two Large Countries

We now turn to a world with two large countries: Home and Foreign. Assume that they are identical in every respect except for their sex ratios. Specifically, in period \( t \), the sex ratio of the young cohort in Home rises from 1 to \( \phi \) \( (\phi > 1) \), while Foreign always has a balanced sex ratio. Households in each country consume a tradable good and a nontradable good.

\[ C_t = C_N^{\gamma} C_T^{1-\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad C_t^* = \left( \frac{C_N^*}{C_T^*} \right)^{\gamma} \left( \frac{C_T^*}{C_N^*} \right)^{1-\gamma} \]

where \( C_t \) and \( C_t^* \) represent home and foreign consumption indexes, respectively. Since we choose the tradable good as the numeraire, the CPI is \( P_t = P_N^{\gamma} \), where \( P_N^* \) is the price of the home-produced nontradable good. Similarly, the CPI in Foreign is \( P_t^* = \left( P_N^* \right)^{\gamma} \).
The rise in Home's sex ratio in period $t$ is assumed to be unanticipated. As a result, Equations (2) and (4) fail in both Home and Foreign. Let $R^*_t$ denote the equilibrium world interest rate (which is endogenous). Suppose households and firms know $R^*_t$, they will then optimize their decisions (which leads to the equilibrium with world interest rate $R^*_t$). Since the two countries are identical except for the sex ratios, comparing the prices of nontradable goods in Home and Foreign is similar to considering an exercise that raises the sex ratio from one (Foreign) to an unbalanced level (Home) when all agents know $R^*_t$. By Proposition 2, we obtain the result that Home will have a lower relative nontradable good price than Foreign. This means that Home will experience an RER depreciation relative to Foreign in period $t$. Similar to the previous analysis, Home will also experience a real appreciation in period $t+1$.

IV. SOME NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

Before we go to our empirical results, we provide some numerical examples, both to illustrate the possible quantitative effects of a rise in the sex ratio, and to explore robustness of the main results to different values of the key parameters. To build in more realism relative to the benchmark model, we introduce three modifications. First, we assume that each cohort lives 50 periods, working in the first 30 periods, and retiring in the remaining 20 periods. Second, we follow the literature on the RER and introduce a distribution (transporting, marketing, and sales) cost for tradable goods. Third, we also introduce capital adjustment costs.

A. An Overlapping Generations Model in which a Cohort Lives 50 Periods

For a representative man or woman, if he or she gets married, the marriage takes place in the (exogenously predetermined) $\tau$th period, which is common for both men and women. To determine the value of $\tau$, we have to balance two considerations. On the one hand, if it is the representative agent's own marriage, it would be reasonable to set a relatively low value for $\tau$, perhaps around 5. On the other hand, data suggests that a big part of the savings and work effort responses to higher sex ratios come from actions taken by parents for their children (Wei and Zhang 2011a and Wei and Zhang 2011b). While our model does not formally feature parental savings or parental income transfers to children, we do not want the simulations to ignore completely this important data feature. If $\tau$ is to represent the number of working years a parent has when his/her child gets married, we may set a relatively high value, perhaps around 25. As a compromise, we set $\tau = 15$ as the benchmark value in our simulations.

For a robustness check, we will also report results when $\tau = 10$. One may also think that $\tau$ should take a value greater than 15. Generally speaking, the greater the value of $\tau$, the stronger is the RER response to a given rise in the sex ratio.

We now describe the representative woman’s optimization problem. Her objective function is

$$\max \sum_{t=1}^{\tau-1} \beta^{\tau-t-1} U^w_t + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=\tau}^{50} \beta^{\tau-t-1} \left( U^w_t + \eta^w_t \right) \right]$$
where

$$U_t^w = \begin{cases} u(c_t^w) + v(1 - L_t^w) & \text{if } t \leq 30 \\ u(c_t^w) + v(1) & \text{if } t > 30 \end{cases}$$

For $t < \tau$, when she is still single, the intertemporal budget constraint is

$$A_{t+1} = R\left(A_t + y_t^w - P_t c_t^w\right)$$

where $A_t$ is the wealth held by her at the beginning of period $t$. $y_t^w = w_t L_t^w$ is her labor income at age $t$. After marriage ($t \geq \tau$), her family budget constraint becomes

$$A_{t+1}^H = \begin{cases} R\left(A_t^H + w_t (L_t^w + L_t^m) - \frac{P_t^H}{\kappa}\right) & \text{if } t \leq 30 \\ R\left(A_t^H - \frac{P_t^H}{\kappa}\right) & \text{if } t > 30 \end{cases}$$

where $A_t^H$ is the level of family wealth at the beginning of period $t$. $c_t^H$ is the (common) consumption good consumed by the wife and the husband, which takes the same form as in the two-period OLG model. If she remains single, her budget constraint after period $\tau$ is

$$A_{t+1}^{w,n} = \begin{cases} R\left(A_t^{w,n} + w_t L_t^w - P_t c_t^{w,n}\right) & \text{if } t \leq 30 \\ R\left(A_t^{w,n} - P_t c_t^{w,n}\right) & \text{if } t > 30 \end{cases}$$

The representative man’s optimization problem is similar.

### B. Parameters Used in the Examples

We assume the same subutility function on leisure as in the two-period model, $v(1 - L) = B \ln(1 - L)$. Parameter $B$ is set to match the fact that the equilibrium labor supply (under a balanced sex ratio) is 1/3 (corresponding to 8 working hours per day). We assume that there is a lower bound for labor supply $L_i, L_i \geq \bar{L}$ ($i = w, m$). This is to prevent women from reducing their labor supply too much and generating an unrealistic reduction in their labor supply when the sex ratio rises. A possible justification for the lower bound is this: It may be unrealistic for most people to find a job that allows for downward adjustment of working hours in a flexible manner. Part-time jobs such as babysitting are not generally available in all industries. On the other hand, one can often do overtime or moonlighting for a second job. In other words, it is relatively easier to adjust labor supply upward in a fractional manner than to adjust it downward. We set $\bar{L} = 1/3$. This value is chosen so that both men and women would supply labor around 1/3 in the equilibrium with a balanced sex ratio. [In unreported simulations, we verify that relaxing this assumption does not dramatically change the quantitative results on the overall RER response to a higher sex ratio (although the responses by some other variables could change more noticeably).] Also, as in our previous analysis, we may assume different utilities on leisure for women
and men. However, this only adds great difficulties to our computation. Once the elasticities of labor supply for women and men do not differ that much, we obtain the same qualitative results as in Proposition 2.

We consider one period in our model as 1 year. Following Song, Storesletten, and Zilibotti (2011), we take 1.0175 as the annual gross interest rate in the PRC. The subjective discount factor is set at $\beta = 1 / R$. We infer the labor intensities in the tradable and nontradable good sectors from the PRC’s Input–Output Table in 2007. More precisely, we do this in two steps. First, we compute the sum of exports and imports relative to gross sectoral output for each sector, and define those sectors whose ratios are above the median as “trdables,” and the rest as “nontrdables.” By this criterion, almost all manufacturing sectors are classified as trdables and almost all service sectors are nontrdables. We combine the two groups of sectors into two aggregated sectors. Second, we compute the shares of labor costs in total production costs for the two aggregated sectors. By this procedure, $\alpha_T = 0.69$ and $\alpha_N = 0.49$.

Burstein, Neves, and Rebelo (2003) find that the costs of distributing trdable goods (transportation, wholesaling, and retailing) are important for understanding the movements in the RER. For this reason, we assume that consuming the trdable good requires the use of distribution services (represented by the use of the nontrdable good). Since the total available nontrdable good can be either consumed or used to supply distribution services, we have, in equilibrium,

$$Q_{Mt} = C_{Mt} + \zeta C_{Tt}$$

This will only change the market clearing condition for the nontrdable good and the final price of the trdable good

$$Q_{Nt} = \gamma P_{Mt} \left( C_{2t} + C_{1t} \right) + \zeta \left( 1 - \gamma \right) P_{Tt} \left( C_{2t} + C_{1t} \right)$$

where

$$P_{Nt} = 1 + \zeta P_{Mt}$$

The distribution margin (the fraction of distribution cost in the final trdable good price) is

$$\mu = \frac{\zeta P_{Mt}}{1 + \zeta P_{Mt}}$$

---

5 No qualitative results will change if we add the distribution cost to our benchmark model. Mathematically, we can show that for any $0 \leq \zeta < 1$, under the assumption in Proposition 2, the aggregate demand for the nontrdable good, $C_{Nt} + \zeta C_{Tt}$, is a decreasing function of the sex ratio, which ensures the same results in Proposition 2. Intuitively, the aggregate demand for the nontrdable good consists of (i) the nontrdable consumption good directly demanded by consumers and (ii) the nontrdable services used in distributing the trdable consumption good (which is positively correlated with the demand for the trdable good). As the sex ratio rises, the aggregate savings rate rises. Then the demand for both the trdable and the nontrdable consumption goods falls which in turn leads to a fall in the aggregate demand for the nontrdable goods. Based on the same logic, the relative price of the nontrdable good falls, which in turn leads to an RER depreciation.
We set $\gamma = 0.44$ to match the Chinese data—the share of all nontradable sectors collectively accounts for 44% of the final consumption good basket. Following Burstein, Neves, and Rebelo (2003), we choose parameter $\zeta$ so that the distribution margin $\mu$ is 50%. We also consider $\mu = 0.25$ as a robustness check.

For the congestion index in the within-marriage consumption allocation, we set $\kappa = 0.8$. We also choose $\kappa = 0.7$ and 0.9 for robustness checks. As in Song, Storesletten, and Zilibotti (2011), we set the annual capital depreciation rate $\delta$ to be 0.1.

For the quadratic capital adjustment costs in production, $\frac{b}{2} \left( \frac{I_t}{K_t} - \delta \right)^2 K_t$, there is no consensus on the adjustment parameter $b$. Its value ranges from 0.5 to 20 in the literature. Following Gali, López-Salido, and Vallés (2004), we choose the value for parameter $b$ such that the elasticity of the investment–capital ratio with respect to Tobin’s Q is 1 in the benchmark. This implies that $b = 10$. Based on data on a panel of Chinese manufacturing plants, Wu (2011) estimates a capital adjustment function (embedded in a structural model) that is more complex than ours. Her estimates suggest that the coefficient for the quadratic term in the capital adjustment cost in the PRC can be almost 10 times larger than the corresponding coefficient estimated for the US by Cooper and Haltiwanger (2006). While we use $b = 10$ as our baseline case, we will also consider $b = 5$ and 15 for robustness checks.

The Chinese data suggest an interesting (and maybe peculiar) feature about a typical worker’s lifetime earnings profile. Using data from urban household surveys, Song and Yang (2010) document that a typical worker in the PRC faces a fairly flat lifetime (real) earnings profile (although the starting salary of each successive cohort tends to rise fast). Within a given cohort, we also assume a flat earnings profile over time. Since we do not consider an exogenous growth in productivity, we do not feature a steady rise in income from one cohort to the next.

The emotional utility $\eta$ needs to follow a continuously differentiable distribution. We assume a normal distribution which might be more realistic than the uniform distribution used in the analytical model. We follow Du and Wei (2013) to set the mean and the standard deviation of $\eta$. To choose the mean value for emotional utility, we perform the following thought experiment. Holding all other factors constant, we can compute the annual income compensation needed for a representative person to be indifferent between being a lifetime bachelor and getting married. Let

$$CY_r = \{ y_r + \text{compensation}_r, y_{r+1} + \text{compensation}_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{50} + \text{compensation}_{50} \}$$

---

6 Tobin’s Q for firm $i$ is defined as $q_i = \frac{P_{K_i}}{P_s}$, where $P_{K_i}$ denotes the marginal value of capital at firm $i$ installed at the beginning of time $t+1$ and $P_s$ is the price of investment goods, which is unity. Profit maximization implies that the value of a marginal unit of installed capital is equal to its cost. That is $P_{K_i} = \frac{P_s}{MPI_s} = \frac{1}{MPI_s}$, where $MPI_s = \frac{dK_{s+1}}{dl}$. Since $K_s$ is predetermined, under the quadratic capital adjustment cost function, $q_s = 1 + b \left( \frac{K_{s+1}}{K_s} - 1 \right)$. Then, the elasticity of investment–capital ratio with respect to Tobin’s Q in the steady state is $\frac{d(I_s / K_s)}{dq_s} |_{I_s / K_s = 1} = \frac{1}{b\delta}$. 


be a vector of all his future incomes after period \( \tau \). Then

\[
u \left( \kappa \left( c_i^w + c_i^m \right) \right) + v \left( 1 - L_i^m \right) + E \left( \eta \right) = u \left( c_{n,t} \left( CY_t \right) \right) + v \left( 1 - L_{n,t}^m \right)
\]

where \( c_{n,t} \left( CY_t \right) \) is his consumption function in period \( t \). \( L_i^m \) and \( L_{n,t}^m \) are period \( t \)'s labor supplies by a married man and a lifetime bachelor, respectively.

Under a balanced sex ratio, we can rewrite a representative man's optimization problem as the following

\[
\max_{c_1^m, c_2^m} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{\tau-1} \left( \beta^{t-1} \right) \left( u(c_i^m) + v(1 - L_i^m) \right) + \left( \sum_{t=\tau}^{50} \beta^{t-1} \right) E_t \left( \left( u(c_i^m) + v(1 - L_i^m) + \eta^m \right) \right) \right]
\]

where \( c_1^m \) and \( c_2^m \) are consumptions before and after period \( \tau \), respectively; and \( L_i^m \) and \( L_{2,\tau} (L_{2,\tau} = 0 \) for \( t > 30 \)) are labor supplies before and after period \( \tau \), respectively. In equilibrium, since wage is a constant, \( c_1^m, c_2^m, L_i^m, \) and \( L_{2,\tau} \) are also constants. Since we choose parameter \( B \) — the parameter for the disutility of work — to let the equilibrium labor supply be \( 1/3 \), we further rewrite the optimization for the representative man as

\[
\max_{c_1^m, c_2^m} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{\tau-1} \left( \beta^{t-1} \right) \left( u(c_i^m) + v(1 - L_i^m) \right) + \beta E_t \left( \left( u(c_i^m) + \eta^m \right) \right) + t.i.p \right]
\]

where we use \( t.i.p \) to denote those terms that are independent of the optimization problem and \( \bar{\beta} = \left( \sum_{t=\tau}^{50} \beta^{t-1} \right) / \left( \sum_{t=1}^{\tau-1} \beta^{t-1} \right) \). The budget constraint in this case is

\[
A_\tau = \frac{R - R^e}{1 - R} \left( w - c_1^m \right)
\]

\[
\left( \sum_{t=\tau}^{50} R^{(t-\tau)} \right) c_2^m = A_\tau + \frac{1}{3} \left( \sum_{t=\tau}^{50} R^{(t-\tau)} \right) w
\]

Similar to our two-period OLG model, under a balanced sex ratio, the first order condition with respect to \( \frac{A_\tau}{4 + \beta} \) is

\[
-u_{1m} + \bar{\beta} \frac{R - R^e}{1 - R} \left[ k u_{2m} \left( \delta^m + \left( 1 - F \left( \bar{\eta}^m \right) \right) \right) \right] + \left( 1 - \delta^m \right) u_{2m,a} = 0
\]

where \( \delta^m = 1 - F \left( \bar{\eta}^m \right) \). Under the log utility assumption, and due to the symmetry between men and women, the first order condition becomes

\[
-\frac{1}{c_1^m} + \bar{\beta} \frac{R - R^e}{1 - R} \frac{1}{c_2^m} = 0
\]
Combining Equations (22) and (23) with (24), we can solve the model under a balanced sex ratio in which the consumption does not depend on any emotional utility assumptions.

Given $CY_\tau$ and $A_\tau$ obtained from the previous solution, we can also compute a lifetime bachelor’s consumption in each period after age $\tau$. We assume in this paper that emotional utility distributions are the same in all economies. The assumption allows us to use empirical evidence from countries with balanced sex ratios to calibrate the mean and the standard deviation of the normal distribution. Blanchflower and Oswald (2004), by regressing self-reported well-being scores on income, marriage status, and other determinants, estimate that a lasting marriage is, on average, worth $100,000 (in 1990 dollars) per year (every year) in the US during 1972–1998. Since gross domestic product (GDP) per person employed is about $48,000 during the same period, this implies that a lasting marriage per year is worth more than twice the average working income for employed people. We take the ratio of income compensation to the average wage income $m = 100,000 / 48,000 \approx 2.08$ as the benchmark. This implies that

$$CY_\tau = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
(1+m)wL_{n,2}^m, & \tau \leq 30 \\
(1+m)wL_{n,2}^m, & \tau > 30 \\
\end{array} \right.$$ 

Since the US has a balanced sex ratio over that period, we calibrate the mean value of the emotional utility/love by using the previous model results:

$$E(\eta) = u(c_{n,t}^m(CY_\tau)) + v(1-L_{n,t}^m) - u(k(c_t^w + c_t^m)) + v(1-L_t^m)$$

As a robustness check, we will also consider $m = 1$.

As for the standard deviation of emotional utility, we set $\sigma = 0.1$ in the benchmark and $\sigma = 0.2$ as a robustness check. This is consistent with the empirical results in Blanchflower and Oswald (2004) that the absolute values of the $t$ statistics, $|t.stat| = \frac{E(\eta)}{\sigma}$, for the coefficient estimates on the dummy variable "never married" (the US) or the dummy variable "married" (the United Kingdom) for men and women are between 3 and 16.

For technical reasons, we also assume that there exists an exogenous marriage market friction that everybody in the marriage market faces a small possibility of not getting matched that is independent of the sex ratio. We choose that probability to be 0.001.8

7 Under the log utility assumption, $w$ will disappear when we calculate the mean of the emotional utility $E(\eta)$.
8 This assumption plays no role in the theoretical analysis but ensures that we obtain real roots in the numerical computations. Small departures from this value have little effect on the quantitative results.
We summarize our choices of the parameter values in Table 1.

**Table 1: Choice of Parameter Values**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Source and Robustness Checks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual gross interest rate</td>
<td>$R = 1.0175$</td>
<td>Song, Storesletten, and Zilibotti (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount factor</td>
<td>$\beta = 1 / R$</td>
<td>Authors’ calculation based on 2007 Chinese input–output table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nontradable sector capital intensity</td>
<td>$\alpha_n = 0.49$</td>
<td>Authors’ calculation based on 2007 Chinese input–output table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tradable sector capital intensity</td>
<td>$\alpha_T = 0.69$</td>
<td>Authors’ calculation based on 2007 Chinese input–output table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of nontradable good in the consumption basket</td>
<td>$\gamma = 0.44$</td>
<td>Authors’ calculation based on 2007 Chinese input–output table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion index</td>
<td>$\kappa = 0.8$</td>
<td>Du and Wei (2013), $\kappa = 0.7, 0.9$ as robustness checks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital adjustment cost</td>
<td>$b = 10$</td>
<td>$b = 5,15$ as robustness checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love, mean</td>
<td>$m = 2.08$</td>
<td>Du and Wei (2013), $m = 1$ as a robustness check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love, standard deviation</td>
<td>$\sigma = 0.1$</td>
<td>Du and Wei (2013), $\sigma = 0.2$ as a robustness check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriage age</td>
<td>$\tau = 15$</td>
<td>$\tau = 10$ as a robustness check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution margin</td>
<td>$\mu = 0.5$</td>
<td>$\mu = 0.25$ as a robustness check</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**C. Numerical Results**

We solve the multiperiod model by iterations. Given a guess for a sequence of the wage rates and future capital accumulation path, we can solve the equilibrium in Definition 2 from Equations (10), (12), (13), (14), (17), (20), (21), (6), and (15). By Equations (3) or (5), we can generate new sequences of the wage rates and capital stocks. If the implied sequences are consistent with our guess, then an equilibrium is considered found. Otherwise, we update the guess for the sequences and iterate until we achieve convergence.

To calibrate the size of the sex ratio shock, we use Chinese demographic data as a guide (see Wei and Zhang 2011a). We let the sex ratio in the model rise continuously and smoothly from 1 to 1.15 in period 6, and continue to rise until it reaches 1.2 in period 25 and stay at that level in all subsequent periods.9

The simulation results for the baseline case are shown in Figure 1. As the sex ratio rises (by following a prespecified path), the RER depreciates by more than 4% by year 6, and continues to depreciate to a cumulative 6.8% by year 16. Starting from year 17, the RER begins to appreciate, and the cumulative changes converge to zero eventually. Figure 1 shows that the effect of a rise in the sex ratio has a long-lasting and economically significant effect on the RER for a number of periods. The RER remains more than 5% below the long-run equilibrium value for 13 years. In the figure, we also consider two robustness checks by varying the value of $\kappa$. As it turns out, the RER response is not sensitive to these changes in $\kappa$.

---

9 The sex ratio for the premarital age cohort in the PRC was close to normal before 2000 but rose to 1.15 by 2007 and is projected to be 1.2 around 2025 (Wei and Zhang 2011a).
In Figure 2, we present how the response pattern changes when we vary the capital adjustment cost. With a higher cost of capital adjustment, $b = 15$, the RER depreciation becomes somewhat stronger. The converse is true when the adjustment cost is lowered to $b = 5$. Overall, these responses are all economically significant even though the magnitude depends on the exact adjustment cost.

In Figure 3, we report a robustness check when we vary the mean value of emotional utility/love. At a lower value of $m = 1$, which is substantially lower than the baseline value inferred from Blanchflower and Oswald (2004), the response of the RER is weaker (but still significant economically). Naturally, when we experiment with a higher value of $m$ (not reported), we obtain a stronger RER response than the baseline simulation.
In Figure 4, we vary the standard deviation of emotional utility. With a larger standard deviation of $\sigma = 0.2$, men are more likely to be matched with low-type women and hence they are less desperate to avoid bachelorhood. This causes them to exert less effort to succeed in the marriage market. As a result, the RER response becomes weaker, but is still economically significant.

In Figure 5, we conduct a sensitivity check on the timing of marriage by assuming $\tau = 10$ (instead of the baseline value of $\tau = 15$). The RER depreciation in the first ten periods is even stronger than the baseline case, but the reversal to the long-run steady state occurs earlier (at the 10th period instead of the 15th period).
Finally, in Figure 6, we perform a robustness check on the value of the distribution margin by assuming a very low margin, $\mu = 0.25$, which is only half of what is estimated by Burstein, Neves, and Rebelo (2003). In that case, a rise in the sex ratio generates a smaller but still sizable response in the RER.

To summarize, these numerical examples suggest that a rise in the sex ratio can produce an economically meaningful reduction in the RER for realistic parameter values. Relative to the standard approach to assessing the RER, for example, of the kind used by the IMF, the exchange rate of a country with a sex ratio imbalance may appear "undervalued" even with no explicit exchange rate policy or RER targeting. Because the existing literature does not provide a tight guidance on the values of all the parameters, the numerical examples are only suggestive.
D. Other Robustness Checks

The rise in the sex ratio occurs around the same time as other developments that could also affect savings and labor supply. We could consider a few such developments (productivity gains, population aging, and pension reforms) and examine if they tend to weaken or strengthen the effects of marriage market competition on the RER.

We start with an exogenous and uniform improvement in productivity in both the tradable and nontradable sectors. (This could be thought of as a way to represent an increase in the country’s potential growth rate.) To abstract from the Balassa–Samuelson effect, we use the following production functions in the two sectors:

\[
Q_T = \frac{A_T K_T^{a_T} \left( \xi_T L_T \right)^{1-a_T}}{\alpha_T \left( 1 - \alpha_T \right)^{1-a_T}} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_N = \frac{A_N K_N^{a_N} \left( \xi_N L_N \right)^{1-a_N}}{\alpha_N \left( 1 - \alpha_N \right)^{1-a_N}}
\]

where \( \xi_T \) is the labor productivity coefficient common to both sectors. In our first numerical experiment, we consider a permanent 10% increase in \( \xi_T \) (from 1 to 1.1) at the same time when the sex ratio rises.\(^{10}\) The simulation result is presented in Figure 7.

\[\text{Figure 7: Impulse Responses of RER: Productivity Shock}\]

We note first that in the new long-run equilibrium, we still obtain the fact that \( P_N = R^{\frac{a_N - \alpha_N}{1-a_N}} \) and the RER goes back to the same value as in the initial equilibrium. Interestingly, the numerical result shows that the two shocks together lead to a stronger decline in the RER than either a rise in the sex ratio alone (the thin line marked as the benchmark) or a productivity increase alone (the thick line marked as the case of a “balanced sex ratio”). More precisely, in the first period following the two shocks (when the sex ratio is only moderately unbalanced), the RER falls by around 7%; however, the RER continues to depreciate, by more than 10% in period 16. Interestingly, before the RER goes back to

\[^{10}\text{The rapid economic growth in the PRC starts before 2000 (when the sex ratio starts rising). For technical convenience, we simply let the shocks on labor productivity and sex ratio occur at the same time. In unreported numerical experiments, we show that, assuming the labor productivity shock to occur several years before the rise in the sex ratio does not change the quantitative results very much.}\]
the long-run equilibrium, the figure shows the possibility of overshooting, i.e., it appreciates before depreciating again.

We also plot the responses of savings and labor supply in Figures 8 and 9. Interestingly, the aggregate savings rate goes up more with the joint occurrence of the two shocks than with either of the two shocks alone. The savings rate reaches a peak value around period 16; after that, the increase in the dissavings by the old offsets the increase in the savings by the unmarried young people (Figure 8). We conjecture that two channels are at play here. First, despite higher future income from higher productivity, the pressure from the marriage market competition remains strong on men. Second, a rise in productivity results in a greater supply of both tradable and nontradable goods. Since the price of the tradable is set in the world market, this expansion of supply leads to a reduction in the price of the nontradable. The decline in the price of the nontradable good may lead labor to reallocate from the nontradable to the tradable sector. Since capital adjusts sluggishly due to adjustment costs, wage rises at a slower pace than output in the tradable good sector. This implies (and is confirmed in the simulation) that consumption rises at a slower pace than output, leading to a rise in aggregate savings. As time passes by, the capital stock adjusts to the new long-run equilibrium, and the nontradable good sector expands as well.
The aggregate labor supply rises quickly in the first 20 periods and stays high as it approaches the new long-run equilibrium (Figure 9). Numerically, there is very little difference in the labor supply response to a higher sex ratio with or without a productivity increase. This suggests that the increased labor supply due to marriage market competition is essentially unaffected by the productivity change. To summarize, putting the two channels together, we see that having a productivity increase tends to strengthen rather than weaken the RER effect from a higher sex ratio.

We now consider a second experiment: population aging, represented by a reduction by 10% in the size of all cohorts in period \( t \) and later. This takes place at the same time as the sex ratio rises. Intuitively, the competitive savings and competitive labor supply channels should be weaker in this experiment since the relative weight of the cohorts with unbalanced sex ratio declines. The numerical results reported in Figure 10 confirm our conjecture. Nonetheless, the impact of a rise in the sex ratio on the RER is still economically significant. The RER declines by close to 6% in period 16. This experiment suggests that population aging may only marginally weaken the impact of a higher sex ratio on the RER.

![Figure 10: Impulse Responses of RER: Aging Population](image)

We consider a third experiment: a pension reform similar to what took place in the PRC in the 1990s. We assume that retired workers do not work but receive pensions. Following He, Lei, and Zhu (2014), the pension for an age \( j \) worker who retires at \( t - (j - 30) \) is given by

\[
SS_{j,t} = \theta \left[ v E_{j,t} + (1 - v) AIME \right]
\]

where \( \theta \) represents the target replacement ratio, \( E_{j,t} \) is the average wage in the society, and \( AIME \) represents the life cycle average monthly wage, similar to Average Indexed Monthly Earnings in the US pension system (hence the acronym). We do not model wage differences for workers of different ages in the same period. Instead, \( E_{j,t} \) will be the wage at time \( t \). We assume that a fraction \( \tau' \) (social security tax) of the wage income will be taxed, and set \( \tau' = 0.2 \) in the simulations to be in line with
Song et al. (2015). We represent the pension reform in the PRC by a decline in \( \theta \) from 80% to 60% and a simultaneous decline in \( \nu \) from 100% to 60% (He, Lei, and Zhu 2014).

The numerical results are presented in Figure 11. With the pension reform taking place at the same time, a rise in the sex ratio yields a very strong initial decline in the RER. In the first period following the joint occurrence of the two shocks, the RER depreciates by more than 18%. The effect is also long-lasting: the RER depreciation remains above 5% for 30 years. The intuition behind this result is straightforward. Since the long-run equilibrium wage rate is purely a function of the world interest rate (which is a constant in our model), the pension reform implies a decline in a worker’s lifetime income, which leads the representative worker to raise both savings and labor supply. This augments the RER depreciation already generated by a rise in the sex ratio. For comparison, the RER trajectory with the pension reform but without a corresponding rise in the sex ratio is plotted by the thin blue line marked by the case of a “balanced sex ratio.” Clearly, in the first 15 periods, the RER depreciation is much stronger with the joint occurrence of the two shocks than with the pension reform alone.

In the last experiment, we recompute the labor intensities in the two sectors. In our benchmark, the labor intensity in production is defined as the ratio of wage payment to workers to total value-added. However, in the data, the total value-added usually includes operating surplus. In a robustness check, we recompute the labor intensity based on the formula: wage payment/(total value-added net of operating surplus). In this case, we obtain a labor intensity of around 0.68 in the tradable good sector and 0.74 in the nontradable good sector. We redo the exercise on the impact of a rise in the sex ratio and report the result in Figure 12. While the new labor intensities generate some difference for the result, the difference is small. In other words, a rise in the sex ratio still has a significant impact on the RER.

\[\text{Figure 11: Impulse Responses of RER: Pension Reform}\]

In the last experiment, we recompute the labor intensities in the two sectors. In our benchmark, the labor intensity in production is defined as the ratio of wage payment to workers to total value-added. However, in the data, the total value-added usually includes operating surplus. In a robustness check, we recompute the labor intensity based on the formula: wage payment/(total value-added net of operating surplus). In this case, we obtain a labor intensity of around 0.68 in the tradable good sector and 0.74 in the nontradable good sector. We redo the exercise on the impact of a rise in the sex ratio and report the result in Figure 12. While the new labor intensities generate some difference for the result, the difference is small. In other words, a rise in the sex ratio still has a significant impact on the RER.

For simplicity, we do not assume a balanced government budget.
V. SOME EMPIRICS

Since the effect of an unbalanced sex ratio on the exchange rate is relatively novel, it is useful to present and discuss some empirical evidence. We proceed in three steps. First, on the effects of the sex ratio on savings rate and effective labor supply, we do not have to reinvent the wheel as we can point to relevant evidence from the recent empirical literature. Second, we provide some new evidence on the connection between the sex ratio and the RER (or the relative price of nontradable goods) across regions within a country. Third, we present some new cross-country evidence on the relationship between the sex ratio and the RER.

A. Microlevel Evidence on Savings

We first review the evidence in Wei and Zhang (2011a) that a higher sex ratio has led to a rise in the household savings rate in the PRC. At the household level, families with a son tend to save more than families with a daughter; the more interesting pattern is that families with a son in regions with a higher sex ratio tend to save more than their counterparts in regions with a lower sex ratio. This is true after taking into account the effects of other family and regional characteristics on the savings rate. In other words, it takes a combination of having a son at home and living in a region with an unbalanced sex ratio for parents to raise their savings rate.

At the province level, where one can compute the local aggregate savings rate in a way that is parallel to the national savings rate, the finding is that regions with a higher sex ratio tend to have a higher aggregate savings rate. This means that the higher savings rates by families with a son are not offset by dissavings of other households. In other words, in general equilibrium, a higher sex ratio is associated with a higher savings rate.

To go from correlation to causality, Wei and Zhang (2011a) use regional variations in the enforcement of the family planning policy as instruments for the local sex ratio. The idea is that a harsher penalty for violating birth quotas is likely to induce families to engage in more aggressive sex selective abortions, leading to a more unbalanced sex ratio. Their first stage regression confirms that this is indeed the case. The instrumental variable (IV) regressions confirm a positive effect of a higher
sex ratio on the savings rate. Based on the IV regressions, they estimate that the observed rise in the sex ratio may explain about 50%–60% of the observed rise in the household savings rate in the PRC.

Interestingly, there is some evidence that a substantial part of the increase in Chinese corporate savings may also come from the sex ratio imbalance. First, Bayoumi, Tong, and Wei (2012) present evidence that private-owned firms tend to have a higher savings rate than state-owned firms (since the private firms cannot rely on banks or the capital market to raise funds). Second, Wei and Zhang (2011b) show that a higher sex ratio has substantially stimulated private entrepreneurship—entrepreneurship is viewed as another way to raise the family wealth and hence to enhance one’s status in the marriage market. The increase in the sex ratio during 1995–2004 is estimated to explain about 20% of the increase in the number of private firms in urban areas, and about 40% of the increase in the number of private firms in rural areas. Since private firms outnumber state-owned firms by a large margin, savings by private firms are an important part of the overall corporate savings. Therefore, a rise in the sex ratio may have raised both the corporate savings rate and the household savings rate.

B. Microlevel Evidence on Effective Labor Supply

Some evidence that a higher sex ratio has increased effective labor supply is provided in Wei and Zhang (2011b). In particular, based on a survey of rural households, they examine whether/how a household’s supply of labor as a migrant worker is affected by the local sex ratio. (Because of the government restrictions on formal migration from a rural area to a city, most migrant workers’ time in a city are temporary. Their children typically cannot go to local schools or enjoy local health benefits. As a result, being a migrant worker involves a lot of sacrifice associated with being away from the family on an extended period of time.) Our theory would predict that a combination of having a son at home and living in a region with a higher male/female ratio would raise the willingness for a household to supply more labor. We cite their Tobit estimation results in our Table 2. The evidence is consistent with this prediction. In the first column, we look at households with a son. The coefficient on the local sex ratio is positive and statistically significant. An increase in the sex ratio by 0.10 is associated with an increase in the supply of off-farm labor by 2 days per year ($=20.8 \times 0.10$). In the second column, we look at households with a daughter. The coefficient on the sex ratio is not statistically significant, which implies that the supply of off-farm labor by daughter families is uncorrelated with the sex ratio. In the third column, Wei and Zhang (2011b) combine the two sets of households, and add a dummy for son families and an interaction term between the dummy and the sex ratio. We can see that only the interaction term is positive and statistically significant. In other words, a combination of having a son and living in a region with a high sex ratio motivates these households to be more willing to work away from home. They also check if the supply of labor in response to a higher sex ratio varies by the income level of households. The last three columns show that there is no statistical difference across income groups.

Wei and Zhang (2011b) also examine another dimension of effective labor supply: willingness to accept intrinsically unpleasant or dangerous jobs. Such jobs are defined as those in mining or construction, or with exposure to extreme heat, cold, or hazardous material. People accept such a job presumably for a better wage. Our theory would again suggest that a combination of having an unmarried son at home and living in a region with a higher male/female ratio would motivate people to be more willing to accept such jobs. The empirical patterns (from a Probit regression) are indeed consistent with this prediction.
Table 2: Tobit Estimation on the Number of Off-Farm Working Days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>One Son</th>
<th>One Daughter</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>One Son</th>
<th>One Daughter</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local sex ratio for age cohort 12–21</td>
<td>20.75**</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>(9.49)</td>
<td>(6.93)</td>
<td>(6.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having a son</td>
<td>-1,934**</td>
<td>-1,826**</td>
<td></td>
<td>(915)</td>
<td>(916)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio*son</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>17.32**</td>
<td></td>
<td>(8.40)</td>
<td>(8.42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio* a dummy for the poorest income quartile</td>
<td>19.80**</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>(5.15)</td>
<td>(7.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio* a dummy for second income quartile</td>
<td>19.49**</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>(5.08)</td>
<td>(6.98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio* a dummy for third income quartile</td>
<td>20.47**</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>(5.06)</td>
<td>(6.98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio* a dummy for the richest income quartile</td>
<td>20.47**</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>(5.02)</td>
<td>(6.94)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log household income</td>
<td>81.56**</td>
<td>-44.66</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>39.53</td>
<td>-122.77**</td>
<td>-25.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of education</td>
<td>-3.45</td>
<td>13.39</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-3.51</td>
<td>14.74</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household head as minority ethnic group</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>82.16</td>
<td>23.91</td>
<td>53.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health among at least one family member</td>
<td>53.53</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>-71.29</td>
<td>-49.00</td>
<td>-128.31</td>
<td>-71.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head younger than 35</td>
<td>-52.06</td>
<td>-54.17</td>
<td>-52.69</td>
<td>-60.22</td>
<td>-49.85</td>
<td>-58.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of a child 5–9</td>
<td>93.48</td>
<td>52.41</td>
<td>47.47</td>
<td>83.06</td>
<td>-54.68</td>
<td>34.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of child 10 or older</td>
<td>47.87</td>
<td>62.97</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>38.21</td>
<td>-56.05</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo R-squared</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>1,591</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>2,247</td>
<td>1,594</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>2,246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>742</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIC = Akaike information criterion, N = number.
Notes: The coefficients are marginal effects on the latent dependent variables. The sex ratio for age cohort 12–21 in 2002 is inferred from the age cohort 0–14 in the 1990 population census. Other data are derived from the rural sample of Chinese Household Income Project 2002. Robust standard errors are in parentheses; * and ** denote statistically significant at the 10% and 5% levels, respectively. All regressions have a constant which is not reported.
Interestingly, while some parents with a daughter also work as migrant workers and/or accept jobs that are intrinsically unpleasant or dangerous, their supply of work effort is uncorrelated with the local sex ratio. In other words, if a higher sex ratio produces incentives for parents with a daughter to reduce their work effort, it is likely to have been offset by opposing incentives (such as a desire to protect their daughter’s bargaining power within a marriage). Whatever the exact mechanism, Wei and Zhang (2011b) do not find evidence that the labor supply by parents with a daughter declines with a higher sex ratio (while the parents with a son do supply more labor). Overall, a higher sex ratio appears to lead to a net increase in the labor supply.

C. Within-Country Evidence on the Sex Ratio and the Real Exchange Rate

One place to check the validity of our hypothesis is to examine regional variations in the RER (or the relative price of nontradables) within a geographically large country. In this subsection, we explore regional variations in the PRC. An important advantage of a within-country study is that cultural norms, legal institutions, social security systems, and other factors can more plausibly be held constant across regions within a country than across countries. A potential disadvantage is that the regional sex ratio might not adequately capture the relative tightness of the local marriage market. Fortunately, for the PRC, Wei and Zhang (2011a) provide evidence that the marriage market is still very local—over 90% of marriages take place between a man and a woman from the same rural county or the same city. Mobility for marriage purposes is relatively low. (Migrant workers tend to go back to their home county to get married, or go out to work after getting married.)

We run regressions of the following type:

\[
\Delta \left( \frac{P_{ni}}{P_{nt}} \right) = \alpha + \beta \cdot \Delta \text{sex ratio}_i + \gamma Z_i + \text{error}_i
\]

where the dependent variable is the cumulative change in the log price of the nontradable sector relative to that of the tradable for province \(i\) from 2001 to 2005, the first regressor is the cumulative change in the sex ratio for the same province over the same period, and \(Z_i\) is a set of control variables. \(\alpha\), \(\beta\), and \(\gamma\) are parameters to be estimated.
We obtain data on price indices (and their subindices) for 31 provinces over 2001–2005 from the China Statistics Yearbook database. The broad sectors are Food, Tobacco and Liquor, Clothing, Household Facilities and Services, Health, Transportation and Communication, Recreation and Education and Culture, and Residence. We construct two measures of the changes in the relative price of nontradable goods. For the first measure, we define five broad sectors (Household Facilities and Services, Health, Transportation and Communication, Recreation and Education and Culture, and Residence) as nontradables, and the rest as tradables. This breakdown follows the standard practice in the empirical international finance literature.

However, some of the broad nontradable sectors may have sizable tradable components. As a refinement, we also construct a second measure of the relative price of the nontradable by taking a more conservative approach that excludes plausibly tradable subcomponents from otherwise nontradable broad sectors. More precisely, for the nontradable basket, we only include the subsectors of Health, Transportation and Communication, Household Services under the broad sector of Household Facilities and Services, the subsector of Recreation and Culture Services under the broad sector of Recreation and Education and Culture, and the subsector of House Renting and Utility under the broad sector of Residence. While some of the choices are judgment calls, the goal is to check if the basic relationship between the local relative price and the local sex ratio is robust to some perturbations of the definition of the relative price.

Somewhat inconveniently, instead of reporting the raw values of the price indices, the data source (China Statistics Yearbook) reports only annual changes of the indices with a potentially changing base from year to year. We accumulate the changes in the price indexes from 2001 to 2005. Because the data source does not report the weights assigned to various subindices in the CPI basket, we back out the weights by running an ordinary least squares regression of the changes in the overall CPI index on the changes in the subindices without an intercept.

The summary statistics on province-level variables—changes in the relative price of nontradables from 2001 to 2005, measured in two ways as described above, and changes in the local sex ratio (which come from Wei and Zhang 2011a), and some other variables—are reported in Table 3. During the period, the relative price of the nontradable good by the first measure dropped by 6% on

---

12 In the literature, there is disagreement on whether the transportation and communication sector should be classified as tradable or nontradable. De Gregorio, Giovannini, and Wolf (1994) classify this sector as tradable, while Burstein, Neves, and Rebelo (2003); and Burstein, Eichenbaum, and Rebelo (2005) consider transportation as nontradable services. In this paper, we use the CPI data in the PRC to compute the relative importance of the nontradable part in the Transportation and Communication sector. Data shows that the Transportation and Communication sector includes the following subsectors: transportation facility, fuels and parts, using and upkeep service, within city traffic fare, intercity traffic fare, communication facility and communication services. As in Burstein, Neves, and Rebelo (2003); and Burstein, Eichenbaum, and Rebelo (2005), we consider transportation services as nontradable while transportation facility and fuels are considered as tradables. Similarly, we consider communication services as nontradable while communication facility is tradable. Using CPI data, we estimate the weight of those subsectors in the transportation and communication sector, and find that the tradable part represents only 28% while the nontradable part represents around 72%. Hence, we classify the transportation and communication sector as nontradable.

13 As in the literature, we classify all service goods as nontradables and all commodity goods as tradables. There is no agreement as to whether the transportation and communication sector is tradable or nontradable. In the PRC, the transportation and communication sector includes the following subsectors: transportation facility, fuels and parts, using and upkeep service, within city traffic fare, intercity traffic fare, communication facility and communication services. We consider transportation facility, fuels and parts and communication facility as tradables and the rest as nontradables. Using CPI data, we estimate the weight of those subsectors in the transportation and communication sector, and find that the tradable part represents only 28% while the nontradable part represents around 72%. Hence, we classify the transportation and communication sector as nontradable.
average. With a standard deviation of 4.3%, there are substantial variations across different provinces. By the second measure, the relative price of nontradable goods declined by 1.8% on average. With a standard deviation of 6.2%, the coefficient of variations (or the ratio of standard deviation to mean) is even bigger for the second measure.

The sex ratio for the premarital age cohort during the same period rose by 2.1 basis points (or an increase in the sex ratio from 1,079 young men per 1,000 young women to 1,100 young men per 1,000 young women). With a standard deviation of 3.3%, there are substantial variations across provinces in terms of the changes in the local sex ratio. These variations are useful for econometric identifications.

Table 3: Summary Statistics on Regional Variations in the People’s Republic of China, Cumulative over 2001–2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Full Sample</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Min Value</th>
<th>Max Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative change in log(Pn/Pt), measure 1</td>
<td>-0.060</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>-0.141</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative change in log(Pn/Pt), measure 2</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>-0.140</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆(sex ratio)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
<td>0.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆(log per capita income)</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆(share of working-age population)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The price of nontradable relative to tradable is measured by two ways as described in the text. Source: Authors’ calculations.

Table 4 reports the regression results. In Column 1, we regress the cumulative change in the relative price of nontradables (first measure) on the cumulative change in the sex ratio (and an intercept). The coefficient of the sex ratio is negative and statistically significant. This implies that within the PRC, regions with a faster relative increase in the sex ratio tend to exhibit a faster relative decline in the RER, which is consistent with our theory.

Table 4: Local Real Exchange Rate and Sex Ratio across Chinese Provinces during 2001–2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>∆log(Pn/Pt), measure 1</th>
<th>∆log(Pn/Pt), measure 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>∆(sex ratio)</td>
<td>-0.454*</td>
<td>-0.479*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.223)</td>
<td>(0.241)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆(log per capita income)</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>-0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.109)</td>
<td>(0.145)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆(share of working-age population)</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.723)</td>
<td>(0.964)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: All regressions have an intercept which is not reported. Standard errors are in parentheses; ** and * indicate statistically significant at the 5% and 10% levels, respectively. Source: Authors’ calculations.
A well-known result in empirical international finance is that the RER tends to be systematically lower in poorer countries (or regions). A common explanation for this pattern is the Balassa–Samuelson theory. Separately, another demographic feature—the age structure of the population—is often used to capture the life cycle hypothesis on a country's savings behavior. In Column 2, we add both the change in local per capita income and the change in the share of working-age people in the local population as controls. These two variables turn out to be insignificant. In any case, the coefficient of the sex ratio remains negative and significant.

In Columns 3 and 4 of Table 4, we perform a simple robustness check by using the second measure of the relative price of nontradables where the definition of a “nontradable” is somewhat stricter. The results are qualitatively the same. In particular, the coefficients of the sex ratio are negative and statistically significant. In other words, regions with higher sex ratios tend to have lower values of the RER.

Because we are not aware of any other theory that predicts a negative association between the sex ratio and the RER, we find the patterns in Table 4 interesting. Nonetheless, there are other control variables one could think of such as regional financial development. Unfortunately, we do not have reliable data on these variables. We will next turn to some international evidence for which we could consider additional control variables.

**D. Cross-Country Evidence on the Exchange Rate**

We now provide some suggestive cross-country evidence on how the sex ratio imbalance may affect the RER. We first run regressions based on the following specification:

\[
\ln \text{sex ratio}_i = \alpha + \beta \cdot \text{sex ratio}_i + \gamma \cdot Z_i + \varepsilon_i
\]

where \( \text{RER}_i \) is the real exchange rate for country \( i \). \( Z_i \) is the set of control variables. We consider a sequentially expanding list of control variables including log GDP per capita, financial development index, government fiscal deficit, dependency ratio, and de facto exchange rate regime classifications.

The data for the RER and real GDP per capita are obtained from Penn World Table 8. The price level of GDP in the Penn World Table is equivalent to the RER in the model: A lower value of the price level of GDP means a lower value of the RER. The sex ratio data is obtained from the World Factbook. As we are not able to find the sex ratio for the age cohort 10–25 for a large number of countries, we use age group 0–15 instead to maximize the country coverage.

We use two proxies for financial development. The first is the ratio of private credit to GDP, from the World Bank’s WDI dataset. This is perhaps the most commonly used proxy in the standard literature. There is a clear outlier with this proxy: the PRC has a very high level of bank credit, exceeding 100% of GDP. However, 80% of the bank loans go to state-owned firms, which are potentially less efficient than private firms (see Allen, Qian, and Qian 2005). To deal with this problem, we modify the index by multiplying the credit to GDP ratio for the PRC by 0.2. Because this measure is far from being perfect, we also use a second measure, which is the level of financial system sophistication as perceived by a survey of business executives reported in the Global Competitiveness Report (GCR).

For exchange rate regimes, we use two de facto classifications. The first comes from Reinhart and Rogoff (2004), who classify all regimes into four groups: peg, crawling peg, managed floating, and
free floating. The second classification comes from Levy-Yeyati and Sturzenegger (2003), who use three groups: fix, intermediate, and free float.

For the dependent variable, log RER, and most regressors where appropriate, we use their average values over the period 2004–2008. The averaging process is meant to smooth out business cycle fluctuations and other noises. The period 2004–2008 is chosen because it is relatively recent, and the data are available for a large number of countries. (We have also examined a single year, 2006, and obtained similar results).

Table 5 provides summary statistics for the key variables. The log RER ranges from −1.51 to 0.49 in the sample, with a mean of −0.52 and a standard deviation of 0.4. The value of log RER for the PRC indicates a substantial undervaluation on the order of 83% when compared to the simple criterion of PPP.

Table 5: Summary Statistics on International Variables, Averaged over 2004–2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Min Value</th>
<th>Max Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ln(RER)</td>
<td>−0.52</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>−1.51</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real GDP per capita ($)</td>
<td>12,702</td>
<td>7,894</td>
<td>13,034</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>72,937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private credit (% of GDP)</td>
<td>54.25</td>
<td>33.16</td>
<td>52.72</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>228.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial system sophistication</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal deficit (% of GDP)</td>
<td>−0.53</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>−32.15</td>
<td>9.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of trade</td>
<td>110.2</td>
<td>100.9</td>
<td>31.21</td>
<td>25.45</td>
<td>211.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital account openness</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>−1.83</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependency ratio</td>
<td>60.95</td>
<td>55.13</td>
<td>17.76</td>
<td>28.80</td>
<td>107.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GDP = gross domestic product, RER = real exchange rate.

Notes:
1. The real exchange rate data is obtained from Penn World Table 8. The variable “p” (called “price level of GDP”) in the Penn World Tables is equivalent to the real exchange rate relative to the US dollar. A lower value of p means a depreciation in the real exchange rate.
2. For the ratio of private credit (% of GDP), we follow Allen, Qian, and Qian (2005) and modify the measure for the PRC by multiplying 0.2 to the credit to GDP ratio. This is to correct for the fact that only 20% of the bank loans go to private firms. Financial system sophistication from the Global Competitiveness Report (2008) is another measure for financial development.
3. Fiscal deficit data is obtained from IFS database. Terms of trade index is defined as the ratio of export price index to the import price index, which is from the World Bank database. We use the capital account openness index in Chinn and Ito (2008) to measure the degree of capital controls. A higher value means less capital control. Dependency ratio data can be obtained from the World Bank database.

Sources: Authors’ calculations.

For the sex ratio of the age cohort 0–15, both the mean and the median across countries are 1.04, and the standard deviation is 0.02. For this age cohort, all countries in the sample have a sex ratio that is at least 1. The sex ratio for most countries is between 1 and 1.07. Some countries have an abnormally high sex ratio due to sex-selective abortions at birth. Others develop an abnormally high sex ratio in the young adult cohort due to gender-biased immigration or emigration pattern. The following economies have a sex ratio that is 1.07 or higher (Table 6).
They represent the most skewed sex ratios in the sample. The PRC, by far, has the most unbalanced sex ratio in the world. If the same sex ratio persists into the marriage market, then at least one out of every nine young men cannot get married. As wives are typically a few years younger than their husbands, the actual probability of not being able to marry is likely to be modestly better in an economy with a growing population (for which later cohorts are slightly larger). Nonetheless, the relative tightness of the marriage market for men across economies should still be highly correlated with this sex ratio measure. In addition, unlike most other economies, the PRC exhibits a progressively smaller age cohort over time (for the population younger than 40) as a result of its strict family planning policy. As a result, the relative tightness of the marriage market for Chinese men when compared to their counterparts in other economies is likely to be worse than what is represented by this sex ratio. Furthermore, the Chinese sex ratios at birth in 1990 and 2005 are estimated to be 1.15 and 1.2, respectively (see Wei and Zhang 2011a). This implies that the sex ratio for the premarital age cohort will likely worsen in the foreseeable future.

We present a series of regressions in Table 7a. The first column shows that the RER tends to be lower in poorer countries. This is commonly interpreted as confirmation of the Balassa–Samuelson effect. In Column 2, we add a proxy for financial development using the ratio of private sector credit to GDP. The positive coefficient of the new regressor indicates that countries with a less developed financial system tend to have a lower RER. In Column 3, we add the sex ratio. The coefficient of the sex ratio is negative and statistically significant, indicating that countries with a higher sex ratio tend to have a lower RER.

Since oil exporting countries have a current income that is likely to be substantially higher than their permanent income (if their oil reserves have a finite life), their RER patterns may be different from those of other economies. In Column 4, we exclude major oil exporters and redo the regression. This turns out to have little effect on the result. In particular, countries with a higher sex ratio continue to exhibit a lower RER.

In Column 5 of Table 7a, we include several additional control variables: government fiscal deficit, terms of trade, capital account openness, and dependency ratio. Due to missing values for some of these variables, the sample size is dramatically smaller (a decline from 122 in Column 4 to 91 in Column 5). Of these variables, only the dependency ratio is statistically significant. The positive coefficient on the dependency ratio (0.009) means that countries with a low dependency ratio (fewer children and retirees as a share of the population) tend to have a low RER. By the logic of the life cycle hypothesis, a lower dependency ratio produces a higher savings rate. By the model in Section II, this could lead to a reduction in the value of the RER. It is noteworthy, however, that even with these
additional controls and in a smaller sample, the sex ratio effect is still statistically significant, and its point estimate is only slightly smaller.

In Column 6 of Table 7a, we take into account exchange rate regimes using the Reinhart and Rogoff (2004) de facto regime classification. Relative to the countries on a fixed exchange rate regime (the omitted group), those on a crawling peg appear to have a lower RER. Countries on other currency regimes do not appear to have a systematically different RER. With these controls, the negative effect of the sex ratio on the RER is still robust. In Column 7, we measure exchange rate regimes by the de facto classification proposed by Levy−Yeyati and Sturzenegger (2003). It turns out that this does not affect the relationship between the sex ratio and the RER either.

In Table 7b, we redo the regressions in Table 7a except that we now measure a country’s financial development by the financial system sophistication index from the Global Competitiveness Report. The results are broadly similar to Table 7a. In particular, the coefficients of the sex ratio are negative and significant in all five cases. In sum, we find that the sex ratio has an impact on the RER in a way consistent with our theory: as the sex ratio rises, a country tends to have an RER depreciation.

To be clear, as the sex ratio imbalance is a severe problem only in a subset of countries, it is not a key fundamental determinant for the RER in most countries. Nonetheless, for those countries with a severe sex ratio imbalance, including the PRC, one may have an inaccurate view of the equilibrium exchange rate unless one takes it into account. To illustrate the quantitative significance of the empirical relations, we compute the extent of the Chinese RER undervaluation (or the value of the actual RER relative to what can be predicted based on the fundamentals) by taking the point estimates in Columns 1–2 and 5 of Tables 7a and 7b, respectively, at their face value. The results are tabulated in Table 8. As noted earlier, relative to the simple-minded PPP, the Chinese exchange rate is undervalued by about 83%. Once we adjust for the Balassa−Samuelson effect, the extent of the undervaluation becomes 31% (column 1 of Table 8). If we additionally consider financial underdevelopment (proxied by the ratio of private sector loans to GDP), the Chinese RER undervaluation is reduced to 19% (column 2, row 1 of Table 8), which is still economically significant. If we also take into account government deficit, terms of trade, and capital account openness, the extent of the RER undervaluation becomes 23% (column 3, row 1). If we further take into account the dependency ratio, the extent of undervaluation drops to 7% (column 4, row 1). Finally, if we add the sex ratio effect, not only can we eliminate the appearance of undervaluation, the Chinese RER appears to be overvalued by 15% (column 5, row 1 of Table 8).

If we proxy financial development by the World Economic Forum’s rating of financial system sophistication, and also take into account the sex ratio effect and other structural variables, the Chinese RER appears to be overvalued by 21% (column 5, row 2 of Table 8). These calculations illustrate that the sex ratio is an economically important determinant of the RER, though not the only one. If one uses an exchange rate determination model that does not take into account the sex ratio effect, one tends to systematically mislabel countries with a higher than average sex ratio as having an undervalued RER.
Table 7a: Ln(Real Exchange Rate) and the Sex Ratio, Using Private Credit to GDP Ratio as the Measure of Financial Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) All Countries</th>
<th>(2) All Countries</th>
<th>(3) All Countries</th>
<th>(4) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
<th>(5) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
<th>(6) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
<th>(7) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio</td>
<td>–3.34** (1.19)</td>
<td>–3.50** (1.20)</td>
<td>–3.21** (1.55)</td>
<td>–2.43* (1.27)</td>
<td>–3.66** (1.55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(GDP per capita)</td>
<td>0.204** (0.022)</td>
<td>0.105** (0.028)</td>
<td>0.142** (0.031)</td>
<td>0.138** (0.033)</td>
<td>0.309** (0.057)</td>
<td>0.332** (0.046)</td>
<td>0.310** (0.056)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private credit (% of GDP)</td>
<td>0.003** (0.001)</td>
<td>0.003** (0.001)</td>
<td>0.004** (0.001)</td>
<td>0.003** (0.001)</td>
<td>0.002** (0.001)</td>
<td>0.003** (0.001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal deficit</td>
<td>–0.007 (0.007)</td>
<td>–0.002 (0.006)</td>
<td>–0.003 (0.007)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of trade</td>
<td>–0.000 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital account openness</td>
<td>–0.038* (0.021)</td>
<td>–0.044** (0.018)</td>
<td>–0.041* (0.020)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependency ratio</td>
<td>0.009** (0.003)</td>
<td>0.010** (0.003)</td>
<td>0.007** (0.003)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawling peg (RR)</td>
<td>–0.225** (0.055)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.118* (0.070)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managed floating (RR)</td>
<td>–0.056 (0.059)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free floating (RR)</td>
<td>–0.030 (0.087)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate (LYS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.118* (0.070)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Float (LYS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.167** (0.066)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GDP = gross domestic product, LYS = Levy-Yeyati and Sturzenegger, RR = Reinhart and Rogoff.
Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses; ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.
Source: Authors' calculations.
Table 7b: Ln(Real Exchange Rate) and the Sex Ratio, Using Financial System Sophistication as the Measure of Financial Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) All Countries</th>
<th>(2) All Countries</th>
<th>(3) All Countries</th>
<th>(4) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
<th>(5) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
<th>(6) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
<th>(7) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio</td>
<td>–3.50**</td>
<td>–3.87**</td>
<td>–4.19**</td>
<td>–2.65*</td>
<td>–4.58**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.28)</td>
<td>(1.28)</td>
<td>(1.81)</td>
<td>(1.44)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(GDP per capita)</td>
<td>0.204**</td>
<td>0.201**</td>
<td>0.241**</td>
<td>0.236**</td>
<td>0.434**</td>
<td>0.376**</td>
<td>0.426**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.022)</td>
<td>(0.036)</td>
<td>(0.038)</td>
<td>(0.044)</td>
<td>(0.067)</td>
<td>(0.053)</td>
<td>(0.066)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial system sophistication</td>
<td>0.127**</td>
<td>0.115**</td>
<td>0.149**</td>
<td>0.111*</td>
<td>0.096**</td>
<td>0.107*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.047)</td>
<td>(0.046)</td>
<td>(0.057)</td>
<td>(0.059)</td>
<td>(0.046)</td>
<td>(0.058)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal deficit</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of trade</td>
<td>–0.000</td>
<td>–0.001</td>
<td>–0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital account openness</td>
<td>–0.068**</td>
<td>–0.045**</td>
<td>–0.069**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.026)</td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
<td>(0.026)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependency ratio</td>
<td>0.012**</td>
<td>0.010**</td>
<td>0.010**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.004)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawling peg (RR)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.236**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managed floating (RR)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.071</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free floating (RR)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.038</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate (LYS)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Float (LYS)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.135*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observations 141 112 112 100 76 74 76
R-squared 0.38 0.53 0.56 0.60 0.67 0.80 0.69

GDP = gross domestic product, LYS = Levy-Yeyati and Sturzenegger, RR = Reinhart and Rogoff.
Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses; ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.
Source: Authors' calculations.
Table 8: Real Exchange Rate Undervaluation: The Case of the People’s Republic of China

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial development index</th>
<th>% of RER Undervaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1) Only BS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private credit (% of GDP)</td>
<td>31.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial system sophistication</td>
<td>31.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GDP = gross domestic product; RER = real exchange rate.

Notes:
1. Excess RER undervaluation = model prediction – actual log RER. (A positive number describes % undervaluation).
2. The five columns include progressively more regressors:
   - The only regressor (other than the intercept) is log income, a proxy for the Balassa-Samuelson (BS) effect;
   - Add financial development (FD) to the list of regressors;
   - Add government fiscal deficit (GD), terms of trade (TT), and capital account openness (KA);
   - Add the dependency ratio (DR); and
   - Add the sex ratio (SR).
3. The last two rows correspond to estimates when two different proxies for financial development are used. The first row uses the ratio of credit to the private sector to GDP, and the second row uses an index of local financial system sophistication from the Global Competitiveness Report.
4. We exclude major oil exporters in the regressions.
Source: Authors’ calculations.

E. Cross-Country Evidence on Savings

In this section, we provide suggestive cross-country evidence that supports the competitive savings motive. We run regressions based on the following specification:

\[ savings\_rate_i = \alpha + \beta \cdot \text{sex ratio}_i + \gamma \cdot Z_i + \epsilon_i \]

where \( Z_i \) is the set of control variables. We consider a sequentially expanding list of control variables including log GDP per capita, financial development index, dependency ratio (a proxy for life cycle theory), and social security expenditure to GDP ratio (a proxy for precautionary savings theory).

Tables 9a and 9b show the results by using different financial development indices. In each regression, we have a positive and statistically significant coefficient of the sex ratio: as the sex ratio becomes more unbalanced, the savings rate tends to go up. In all regressions, the coefficients of the sex ratio are greater than 110. This illustrates the magnitude of the estimates: a rise in the sex ratio from 1 to 1.10 is associated with an increase in the savings rate by more than 11% of GDP (\( 110 \cdot 0.1 = 11 \)).

We comment briefly on other control variables. In Table 9a, we find that: (i) the coefficients of income are all positive and four out of six are statistically significant, which implies that a higher income may be associated with a higher savings rate; (ii) the financial development index (private credit as a percent of GDP) has a negative and sometimes significant sign, and the negative sign is consistent with Caballero, Farhi, and Gourinchas (2008) and Ju and Wei (2010 and 2011); (iii) a higher government deficit is associated with a lower savings rate and in four out of five regressions, those coefficients are statistically significant; (iv) the dependency ratio is negative (consistent with the life cycle theory) but statistically insignificant, which suggests that life cycle considerations may not play a strong role in explaining cross-country variations in the savings rate; and (v) the social security expenditure to GDP ratio is negative (consistent with the precautionary savings theory) but statistically insignificant. It is noteworthy that with additional controls and in a smaller sample, the sex ratio effect is still statistically significant (although all other variables have insignificant coefficients). In Table 9b, the coefficients of
a country’s income and financial market development take positive and negative values in different regressions, and most of them are statistically insignificant. The coefficients of fiscal deficit are similar to what we obtain in Table 7a: they are all negative and most of them are statistically significant. The dependency ratio is negative (and statistically significant in column 5’s regression). The social security expenditure to GDP ratio is negative but statistically insignificant.

Table 9a: Savings Rate and the Sex Ratio, Using Private Credit to GDP Ratio as the Measure of Financial Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) All Countries</th>
<th>(2) All Countries</th>
<th>(3) All Countries</th>
<th>(4) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
<th>(5) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
<th>(6) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio</td>
<td>162.9***</td>
<td>154.4***</td>
<td>111.5**</td>
<td>180.5***</td>
<td>180.5***</td>
<td>180.5***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(GDP per capita)</td>
<td>2.57***</td>
<td>4.62***</td>
<td>2.06*</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private credit (% of GDP)</td>
<td>-0.071***</td>
<td>-0.061***</td>
<td>-0.048**</td>
<td>-0.049**</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal deficit</td>
<td>-0.734***</td>
<td>-0.916***</td>
<td>-0.774***</td>
<td>-0.801***</td>
<td>-0.425</td>
<td>-0.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependency ratio</td>
<td>-0.144</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social security expenditure/GDP</td>
<td>-0.099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observations 119 115 115 106 105 56
R-squared 0.08 0.24 0.34 0.27 0.29 0.29

GDP = gross domestic product.
Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses; ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.
Source: Authors’ calculations.

Table 9b: Savings Rate and the Sex Ratio, Using Financial System Sophistication as the Measure of Financial Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) All Countries</th>
<th>(2) All Countries</th>
<th>(3) All Countries</th>
<th>(4) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
<th>(5) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
<th>(6) Excluding Major Oil Exporters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio</td>
<td>187.4***</td>
<td>187.0***</td>
<td>151.1***</td>
<td>168.3***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(GDP per capita)</td>
<td>2.57***</td>
<td>2.72**</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>-2.75*</td>
<td>-0.663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial system sophistication</td>
<td>-2.78*</td>
<td>-1.20</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal deficit</td>
<td>-0.727***</td>
<td>-0.990***</td>
<td>-0.743***</td>
<td>-0.773***</td>
<td>-0.291</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependency ratio</td>
<td>-0.157*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social security expenditure/GDP</td>
<td>-0.167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observations 119 95 95 87 87 54
R-squared 0.08 0.17 0.34 0.27 0.30 0.32

GDP = gross domestic product.
Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses; ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.
Source: Authors’ calculations.
F. Cross-Country Evidence on Labor Supply

We also provide some suggestive evidence of how cross-country differences in sex ratios affect the actual hours worked per employee. We run a regression based on the following specification

$$\ln(\text{hours actually worked per employee}) = \alpha + \beta \cdot \text{sex ratio}_i + \gamma \cdot Z_i + \text{error}_i$$

Data for hours actually worked per employee can be obtained from the International Labour Organization database. $Z_i$ denotes a set of other control variables. Our theory predicts that, for men alone or for combined women and men, $\beta$ is positive. For women, it is ambiguous whether $\beta$ is positive or negative.

Table 10 reports the regression results. Note that the dependent variable is more about individual decisions on labor supply. Though macro variables such as the dependency ratio influence the aggregate labor supply in a country, they may have little impact on individual decisions and hence, we do not include them in the regressions. In the first three columns, we run regressions using the full sample. We can see that, as the sex ratio rises, labor supply by total women and men as well as labor supply by men goes up. The effect is also statistically significant. However, for women, even if we obtain a positive coefficient for the sex ratio, the effect is not statistically significant. In Columns (4) to (6), we drop one potential outlier (the PRC) and rerun the regressions. We obtain similar results. Note that the coefficients of log GDP per capita are always negative and significant based on our regression results, which may suggest a standard wealth effect on labor supply.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) Both Sexes, Full Sample</th>
<th>(2) Men, Full Sample</th>
<th>(3) Women, Full Sample</th>
<th>(4) Both Sexes, Dropping Outlier</th>
<th>(5) Men, Dropping Outlier</th>
<th>(6) Women, Dropping Outlier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio</td>
<td>1.64**</td>
<td>1.16*</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.98*</td>
<td>1.40*</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.800)</td>
<td>(0.643)</td>
<td>(1.10)</td>
<td>(1.02)</td>
<td>(0.817)</td>
<td>(1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(GDP per capita)</td>
<td>-0.079***</td>
<td>-0.057***</td>
<td>-0.068***</td>
<td>-0.083***</td>
<td>-0.060***</td>
<td>-0.066**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.018)</td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
<td>(0.025)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.016)</td>
<td>(0.028)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GDP = gross domestic product.
Notes: Hours actually worked per employee data is obtained from the ILO database. In Columns (4)–(6), we drop one potential outlier (the PRC) from the sample. Standard errors in parentheses; *** $p<0.01$, ** $p<0.05$, * $p<0.1$.
Source: Authors’ calculations.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper shows that competition for marriage partners can alter the equilibrium RER by affecting savings and labor supply. Since several economies have exhibited a surge in the sex ratio for the premarital cohort in recent years, this factor may have risen in importance.

Standard models used to assess equilibrium exchange rates do not take into account the sex ratio imbalance. We show that a dramatic rise in the sex ratio for the premarital age cohort in the PRC since 2003 could logically generate a decline in the equilibrium value of the RER. If other factors have
also contributed to a rise in the Chinese household savings rate, such as a reduction in the dependency ratio, or a rise in the corporate and government savings rates, they can complement the sex ratio effect and reinforce an appearance of an undervalued currency even when there is no manipulation. To be clear, this paper does not make a judgement on whether a policy-induced undervaluation occurs in any particular country. Instead, it illustrates potential pitfalls in assessing the equilibrium exchange rate when important structural factors are not accounted for.

Empirically, economies with a higher sex ratio do appear to have a lower value of the RER. If we take the econometric point estimates at face value, one can account for virtually all of the departure of the Chinese RER from the PPP by the sex ratio effect and other structural factors.

In future research, the model could be extended to allow for endogenous adjustment of the sex ratio. (Data suggests that such an adjustment is slow, as most economies that have a sex ratio imbalance continue to exhibit a deterioration over time.) This is not easy to do technically, but will help us to assess the speed of the reversal of the sex ratio and the unwinding of the currency "undervaluation." We encourage more research on this topic.
APPENDIX 1: PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

Proof. (i) By totally differentiating Equations (1), (6), (3), and (5), we have

$$\Omega \cdot \left[ ds_i \; dw_i \; dP_{Ni} \; dL_{Ni} \right]^T = \left[ z_1 \; z_2 \; z_3 \; z_4 \right]^T \; d\beta$$

where

$$\Omega_{11} = -\frac{1}{(1-s_i)^2} - \frac{\beta}{s_i^2}, \; \Omega_{12} = \Omega_{13} = \Omega_{14} = 0$$
$$\Omega_{21} = \gamma w_i x, \; \Omega_{22} = -\gamma(1-s_i)x, \; \Omega_{23} = Q_{Ni}, \; \Omega_{24} = w_i$$
$$\Omega_{31} = 0, \; \Omega_{32} = 1, \; \Omega_{33} = 0, \; \Omega_{34} = -\frac{\alpha_i w_i}{x-L_{Ni}}$$
$$\Omega_{41} = 0, \; \Omega_{42} = 1, \; \Omega_{43} = -\frac{w_i}{P_{Ni}}, \; \Omega_{44} = \frac{\alpha_N w_i}{L_{Ni}}$$

and

$$z_1 = -\frac{1}{s_i}, \; z_2 = z_3 = z_4 = 0$$

The determinant of matrix $\Omega$ is

$$\det(\Omega) = \Omega_{11} \cdot \det\begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{22} & \Omega_{23} & \Omega_{24} \\ \Omega_{32} & \Omega_{33} & \Omega_{34} \\ \Omega_{42} & \Omega_{43} & \Omega_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{22} & \Omega_{23} & \Omega_{24} \\ \Omega_{32} & \Omega_{33} & \Omega_{34} \\ \Omega_{42} & \Omega_{43} & \Omega_{44} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{w_i^2}{P_{Ni}} - \frac{\alpha_i w_i}{L_{Ni}} Q_{Ni} + \frac{\alpha_i w_i}{x-L_{Ni}} \frac{1}{P_{Ni}} (\gamma(1-s_i)xw_i - P_{Ni}Q_{Ni})$$

Since the consumption of nontradable goods by the young cohort must be less than the aggregate nontradable good consumption, it follows that $\gamma(1-s_i)xw_i < P_{Ni}C_{Ni}$. Therefore,

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{22} & \Omega_{23} & \Omega_{24} \\ \Omega_{32} & \Omega_{33} & \Omega_{34} \\ \Omega_{42} & \Omega_{43} & \Omega_{44} \end{pmatrix} < 0$$

and $\det(\Omega) > 0$
By Cramer’s rule, we can show that

\[
\frac{ds_t}{d\beta} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix}
    z_1 & \Omega_{12} & \Omega_{13} & \Omega_{14} \\
    z_2 & \Omega_{22} & \Omega_{23} & \Omega_{24} \\
    z_3 & \Omega_{32} & \Omega_{33} & \Omega_{34} \\
    z_4 & \Omega_{42} & \Omega_{43} & \Omega_{44}
\end{vmatrix}}{\det(\Omega)} = \frac{z_1}{\Omega_{11}} > 0
\] (A.1)

Since \(z_2 = z_3 = z_4 = 0\), the change in \(\beta\) does not directly affect \(w_t, \ P_{Ni}, \) and \(L_{Ni}\). We can rewrite the differential system regarding \(dw_t, dP_{Ni}, \) and \(dL_{Ni}\) as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    \Omega_{22} & \Omega_{23} & \Omega_{24} \\
    \Omega_{32} & \Omega_{33} & \Omega_{34} \\
    \Omega_{42} & \Omega_{43} & \Omega_{44}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
    dw_t \\
    dP_{Ni} \\
    dL_{Ni}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
    -\gamma w_t \frac{dl_t}{d\beta} \\
    0 \\
    0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

We define \(\Delta\) as in the following

\[
\Delta = \det\begin{vmatrix}
    \Omega_{22} & \Omega_{23} & \Omega_{24} \\
    \Omega_{32} & \Omega_{33} & \Omega_{34} \\
    \Omega_{42} & \Omega_{43} & \Omega_{44}
\end{vmatrix} < 0
\]

The price of the nontradable good is

\[
\frac{dP_{Ni}}{d\beta} = \frac{\partial P_{Ni}}{\partial s_t} \frac{ds_t}{d\beta} = \frac{\gamma w_t}{\Delta} \frac{ds_t}{d\beta} \left( \frac{\alpha_N w_t}{L_{Ni}} + \frac{\alpha_T w_t}{x - L_{Ni}} \right) < 0
\] (A.2)

The price of the nontradable good falls as \(\beta\) increases, which in turn leads to an RER depreciation.

(ii) Consider the case of an unanticipated increase in the number of young people. Let \(l_{Nt}\) and \(l_n\) denote the share of labor input in the nontradable good sector and tradable good sector, respectively.

\[
l_{Nt} = \frac{L_{Ni}}{x} \quad \text{and} \quad l_n = 1 - l_{Nt}
\]

Similar to (i), by totally differentiating Equations (1), (6), (3), and (5), we have

\[
\Omega \cdot [ds_t, dw_t, dP_{Ni}, dL_{Ni}]^T = \begin{bmatrix} z_1' & z_2' & z_3' & z_4' \end{bmatrix}^T dx_t
\]
where $\Omega$ is the same matrix as in (i) and

$$
\begin{align*}
    z_1' &= 0, \\
    z_2' &= \gamma (1 - s_i) w_i - w_i l_{Nt}, \\
    z_3' &= -\frac{\alpha_i w_i l_{Nt}}{L_{Tt}}, \\
    z_4' &= -\frac{\alpha_N w_i l_{Nt}}{L_{Nt}}.
\end{align*}
$$

Under the assumption of log utility, the optimal savings rate choice is independent of the wage rate. The differential system can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
    \Omega_{22} & \Omega_{23} & x\Omega_{24} \\
    \Omega_{32} & \Omega_{33} & x\Omega_{34} \\
    \Omega_{42} & \Omega_{43} & x\Omega_{44}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
    dw_i \\
    dP_{Nt} \\
    dL_{Nt}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
    z_2' \\
    z_3' \\
    z_4'
\end{pmatrix}
$$

By Equations (5) and (6), we have

$$
w_i l_{Nt} = (1 - \alpha_N) \gamma \left( (1 - s_i) w_i x_i + R_{S_{t-1}} w_{t-1} x_{t-1} \right)
$$

then

$$
z_2' = \gamma (1 - s_i) w_i - w_i l_{Nt} = \alpha_N \gamma (1 - s_i) w_i - (1 - \alpha_N) \gamma R_{S_{t-1}} w_{t-1} x_{t-1} x_i
$$

The price of the nontradable good

$$
\frac{dP_{Nt}}{dx_i} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[ \frac{\alpha_i w_i}{x_i} \left( \gamma \left( (1 - s_i) x_i \frac{\alpha_i w_i}{L_{Nt}} + w_i \right) + \frac{\alpha_N w_i}{x_i} \left( \gamma (1 - s_i) x_i \frac{\alpha_N w_i}{L_{Nt}} - w_i \right) \right) \\
+ \left( 1 - \alpha_N \right) \gamma R_{S_{t-1}} w_{t-1} x_i \frac{x_i}{x_i} - \alpha_N \gamma (1 - s_i) w_i \left( \frac{\alpha_i w_i}{L_{Nt}} + \frac{\alpha_N w_i}{L_{Nt}} \right) \right]
$$

$$
= \frac{w_i^2 \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_N}{x_i} + (1 - \alpha_N) \gamma R_{S_{t-1}} w_{t-1} x_i \frac{x_i}{x_i} \left( \frac{\alpha_i w_i}{L_{Nt}} + \frac{\alpha_N w_i}{L_{Nt}} \right) + \gamma (1 - s_i) \frac{\alpha_N (\alpha_i - \alpha_N) w_i^2}{L_{Nt}}}{\Delta} < 0
$$

As the number of young people increases, the price of the nontradable good falls, which in turn leads to a depreciation in the RER.
APPENDIX 2: PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2

Proof. We can rewrite the first order conditions with respect to women’s and men’s savings rate as

\[-u_\dot{1}_w + \beta R \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \left[ \kappa u_{2w} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\phi} \right) \left( 1 - F \left( \overline{\eta}^w \right) \right) + \left( 1 - \delta^w \right) u_{2w, n} \right] = 0 \quad (B.1)\]

\[-u_\dot{1}_m + \beta R \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \left[ \kappa u_{2m} \left( 1 + \phi \right) \left( 1 - F \left( \overline{\eta}^m \right) \right) + \left( 1 - \delta^m \right) u_{2m, n} \right] = 0 \quad (B.2)\]

If \( u(C) = \ln C \), by the optimal labor supply condition, we have

\[0 < \frac{dL^i}{ds^i} = \frac{L^i}{1 - s^i} \frac{1}{1 - v_i s^i L^i} \quad (B.3)\]

Where \( i = w, m \).

Now we show by contradiction that \( \overline{\eta}^m = M(\overline{\eta}^w) \) and \( s^m L^m \geq s^w L^w \) for \( \phi \geq 1 \). Suppose not, then

\[\overline{\eta}^m > M(\overline{\eta}^w) \geq \overline{\eta}^w\]

where the second inequality holds because \( \phi \geq 1 \). Then we have

\[u \left( \frac{R s^w L^w w_i}{P_{t+1}} \right) - u \left( \frac{\kappa (R s^w L^w w_i + R s^m L^m w_i)}{P_{t+1}} \right) > u \left( \frac{R s^m L^m w_i}{P_{t+1}} \right) - u \left( \frac{\kappa (R s^m L^m w_i + R s^m L^m w_i)}{P_{t+1}} \right)\]

and hence, \( s^w L^w > s^m L^m \). By Equation (B.3), \( s^w L^w > s^m L^m \) means \( s^w \geq s^m \), and \( L^w \geq L^m \).

Then, by the first order conditions for women and men,

\[\frac{1}{(1 - s^w_i)L^w_i} = \frac{\delta^w \left( 1 + \left[ \frac{1}{\phi} (1 - F (\overline{\eta}^w)) + M (\overline{\eta}^w) f (\overline{\eta}^w) \right] \right)}{s_i^w L^w_i + s_i^m L^m_i} + \frac{1 - \delta^w}{s_i^w L^w_i + s_i^m L^m_i} \left( u_{2w} - u_{2w, n} \right) + \frac{f (\overline{\eta}^w)}{s_i^w L^w_i + s_i^m L^m_i} \left( u_{2w} - u_{2w, n} \right)\]

\[< \frac{\delta^m \left( 1 + \left[ \phi (1 - F (\overline{\eta}^m)) + M^{-1} (\overline{\eta}^m) f (\overline{\eta}^m) \right] \right)}{s_i^w L^w_i + s_i^m L^m_i} + \frac{1 - \delta^m}{s_i^w L^w_i + s_i^m L^m_i} \left( u_{2m} - u_{2m, n} \right) + \frac{f (\overline{\eta}^m)}{s_i^w L^w_i + s_i^m L^m_i} \left( u_{2m} - u_{2m, n} \right) - \frac{1}{(1 - s^m_i)L^m_i}\]
which means that
\[
(1 - s_i^w) L_i^w > (1 - s_i^m) L_i^m \tag{B.4}
\]

Note that
\[
\frac{d((1 - s_i^j)L_i)}{ds_i^j} = -L_i + (1 - s_i^j) \frac{dL_i}{ds_i^j} = L_i \frac{v_i^L}{v_i^s} < 0
\]

where \(i = w, m\). The inequality above implies that, when \(s_i^w > s_i^m\), we have
\[
(1 - s_i^w) L_i^w < (1 - s_i^m) L_i^m
\]

Which contradicts with Equation (B.4). Therefore, we have \(\bar{\eta}^m = M(\bar{\eta}^w)\), \(s_i^m \geq s_i^w\), and \(L_i^m \geq L_i^w\) for \(\varphi \geq 1\).

Totally differentiating Equations (B.1), (B.2), (16), and (17), and plugging the expression of \(\frac{dL_i}{ds_i^j}\) into women’s and men’s first order conditions with respect to the savings rate, we can obtain
\[
\Omega \left[ ds_i^w \quad ds_i^m \quad dw_i \quad dP_{Nt} \quad dL_{Nt} \right]^T = [z_1 \quad z_2 \quad z_3 \quad z_4 \quad z_5]^T d\varphi
\]

where
\[
\Omega_{11} = \frac{w_i^L}{P_i} \left[ u_i^w \beta \left( \frac{RP_i}{P_{t+1}} \right)^2 \left[ \kappa^2 u_i^w \left( (1 + \frac{1}{\varphi}) \left( 1 - F(\bar{\eta}^w) \right) \right) + (1 - \delta) u_i^w \right] + f(\bar{\eta}^w) \kappa^2 u_i^w \left( u_i^w + M(\bar{\eta}^w) - u_i^w \right) \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{s_i^w \frac{dL_i}{ds_i^w}}{L_i^w ds_i^w} \right]
\]

\[14\] The inequality holds because (i) \(\frac{1}{\varphi} (1 - F(\bar{\eta}^w)) + M(\bar{\eta}^w) f(\bar{\eta}^w) = \varphi (1 - F(\bar{\eta}^w)) + M^*(\bar{\eta}^w) f(\bar{\eta}^w)\) by using the uniform distribution assumption; and (ii) \(u_i^w - u_i^w > u_i^w - u_i^w\)
\[ \Omega_{12} = \beta \left( \frac{RP}{P_{t+1}} \right)^2 \left[ \kappa^2 u_{2w}'' \left( \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\phi} \right) \left( 1 - F \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) \right) \right) + f \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) \kappa^2 u_{2w}'' \left( u_{2w} + M \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) - u_{2w, n} \right) \right] \left( 1 + \frac{s_m^w \, dL_n^w}{L_n^w \, ds_n^w} \right) \frac{w_n \, L_n^w}{P_t} \]

\[ \Omega_{13} = \Omega_{14} = \Omega_{15} = 0 \]

\[ \Omega_{21} = \beta \left( \frac{RP}{P_{t+1}} \right)^2 \left[ \kappa^2 u_{2m}'' \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\phi} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{M \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right)}{\bar{\eta}^w} \right) + f \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) \kappa u_{2m}'' \left( u_{2m, n} - u_{2m, n} \right) \right] \left( 1 + \frac{s_m^w \, dL_n^w}{L_n^w \, ds_n^w} \right) \frac{w_n \, L_n^w}{P_t} \]

\[ \Omega_{22} = \frac{w_n \, L_n^m}{P_t} \left[ \kappa^2 u_{2m}'' \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\phi} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{M \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right)}{\bar{\eta}^w} \right) + f \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) \kappa u_{2m}'' \left( u_{2m, n} - u_{2m, n} \right) \right] \left( 1 + \frac{s_m^w \, dL_n^m}{L_n^m \, ds_n^m} \right) \frac{w_n \, L_n^m}{P_t} \]

\[ \Omega_{23} = \Omega_{24} = \Omega_{25} = 0 \]

\[ \Omega_{31} = \frac{\gamma \, w_t}{1 + \phi} \left( L_t^w + s_t^w \, dL_n^w \right), \quad \Omega_{32} = \frac{\gamma \, \varphi \, w_t}{1 + \phi} \left( L_t^m + s_t^m \, dL_n^m \right) \]

\[ \Omega_{33} = -\gamma \left[ \left( 1 - s_n^w \right) L_t^w + \phi \left( 1 - s_n^w \right) L_t^m \right] + \frac{\alpha_t \, w_t}{1 + \phi} \frac{1}{} \frac{dL_t^w}{L_t^w + s_t^w \, dL_n^w}, \quad \text{and} \]

\[ \Omega_{34} = \frac{A_{N_t} K_{N_t} \left( L_{N_t}^w \right)^{1-\alpha_{N_t}}}{\alpha_{N_t} \left( 1 - \alpha_{N_t} \right)^{1-\alpha_{N_t}}}, \quad \Omega_{35} = \frac{P_{N_t} \left( 1 - \alpha_{N_t} \right) A_{N_t} K_{N_t} L_{N_t}^{-\alpha_{N_t}}}{\alpha_{N_t} \left( 1 - \alpha_{N_t} \right)^{1-\alpha_{N_t}}} \]

\[ \Omega_{41} = -\frac{\alpha_t \, w_t}{1 + \phi} \frac{1}{L_t^w + s_t^w \, dL_n^w} \frac{dL_t^w}{L_t^w + s_t^w \, dL_n^w}, \quad \Omega_{42} = \frac{\alpha_t \, w_t}{1 + \phi} \frac{1}{L_t^w + s_t^w \, dL_n^w} \frac{dL_t^m}{L_t^m + s_t^m \, dL_n^m} \]

\[ \Omega_{43} = -1, \quad \Omega_{44} = 0, \quad \Omega_{45} = \left( \frac{\alpha_t}{1 - \alpha_t} \right)^{-\alpha_{t^{-1}}} \left( 1 - \alpha_t \right) A_{N_t} K_{N_t} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \phi} \frac{L_t^w + \phi \, L_t^m}{L_t^w + s_t^w \, dL_n^w} \right)^{-\alpha_{N_t}^{-1}} \]

\[ \Omega_{51} = \Omega_{52} = 0, \quad \Omega_{53} = -1, \quad \Omega_{54} = \frac{w_t}{P_{N_t}}, \quad \Omega_{55} = -\left( \frac{\alpha_t}{1 - \alpha_t} \right)^{-\alpha_{N_t}^{-1}} \left( 1 - \alpha_t \right) A_{N_t} K_{N_t} L_{N_t}^{-\alpha_{N_t}^{-1}} \]

and

\[ z_1 = 0, \quad z_2 = \left[ 1 - F \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) \right] \left( \kappa u_{2m, n} - u_{2m, n} \right), \quad z_3 = -\frac{\gamma \, w_t \left( s_n^w \, L_t^w - s_t^w \, L_t^w \right)}{1 + \phi}, \quad z_4 = \frac{\alpha_t \, w_t \frac{L_t^w - L_t^m}{1 + \phi}}{1 + \phi}, \quad z_5 = 0 \]

The determinant of matrix $\Omega$ is

\[ \det(\Omega) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{21} & \Omega_{22} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{33} & \Omega_{34} & \Omega_{35} \\ \Omega_{43} & \Omega_{44} & \Omega_{45} \\ \Omega_{53} & \Omega_{54} & \Omega_{55} \end{pmatrix} \]
Under the assumption that $E\eta$ is sufficiently large, it is easy to show that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{21} & \Omega_{22} \end{pmatrix} > 0$$

and

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{33} & \Omega_{34} & \Omega_{35} \\ \Omega_{43} & \Omega_{44} & \Omega_{45} \\ \Omega_{53} & \Omega_{54} & \Omega_{55} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\alpha w_i}{L_t - L_{N_t}} \frac{1}{P_{N_t}} \left( \frac{1 - s_i^w L_i^w}{1 + \varphi} + \frac{\varphi (1 - s_i^m L_i^m)}{1 + \varphi} \right) w_i - P_{N_t} Q_{N_t}$$

$$- \frac{w_i^2}{P_{N_t}} - \frac{\alpha w_i}{L_{N_t}} Q_{N_t}$$

Notice that the consumption of the nontradable good by the young cohort must be less than the aggregate nontradable good consumption, then $\gamma \left[ \frac{(1 - s_i^w L_i^w)}{1 + \varphi} + \frac{\varphi (1 - s_i^m L_i^m)}{1 + \varphi} \right] w_i < P_{N_t} C_{N_t}$. Therefore,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{33} & \Omega_{34} & \Omega_{35} \\ \Omega_{43} & \Omega_{44} & \Omega_{45} \\ \Omega_{53} & \Omega_{54} & \Omega_{55} \end{pmatrix} < 0$$

and $\det(\Omega) < 0$

Then

$$\frac{ds_i^m}{d\varphi} = \frac{z_i \Omega_{11}}{\det \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{21} & \Omega_{22} \end{pmatrix}} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{ds_i^w}{d\varphi} = - \frac{z_i \Omega_{12}}{\det \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{21} & \Omega_{22} \end{pmatrix}}$$

By the definition of $\bar{\eta}^w$ and $M(\bar{\eta}^w)$, it is easy to show that

$$u_{2w} + M(\bar{\eta}^w) - u_{2w, a} = u_{2w} + M(\bar{\eta}^w) - u_{2m, a} + u_{2m, a} - u_{2w, a} = M(\bar{\eta}^w) - \bar{\eta}^w + u_{2m, a} - u_{2w, a}$$

By the definition of $\bar{\eta}^w$,

$$\bar{\eta}^w = \log \left( \frac{R s_i^m L_i^m}{P_{t+1}} \right) - \log \left( \frac{\kappa R \left( s_i^m L_i^m + s_i^w L_i^w \right)}{P_{t+1}} \right)$$

The aggregate savings rate in the young cohort is

$$s_i^{\text{young}} = \frac{\frac{a}{1 + \varphi} L_i^m + \frac{1}{1 + \varphi} s_i^m}{\frac{a}{1 + \varphi} L_i^m + \frac{1}{1 + \varphi} L_i^w} = \frac{\frac{a}{1 + \varphi} L_i^m + \frac{1}{1 + \varphi} L_i^w}{\frac{a}{1 + \varphi} L_i^m + \frac{1}{1 + \varphi} L_i^w}$$
then

$$\frac{ds_{\text{young}}}{d\varphi} = \frac{\sigma}{1+\varphi} L_t^m d\varphi + \frac{1}{1+\varphi} L_t^w d\varphi + \frac{1}{1+\varphi} L_t^m d\varphi + \frac{1}{1+\varphi} L_t^w d\varphi \left( s_t^m - s_t^w \right)$$

The sum of the first two terms on the right-hand side

$$= \frac{\sigma}{1+\varphi} L_t^m + \frac{1}{1+\varphi} L_t^w \det \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{21} & \Omega_{22} \end{pmatrix} \left( L_t^m \Omega_{11} - L_t^w \Omega_{12} \right)$$

$$> \frac{\sigma}{1+\varphi} L_t^m + \frac{1}{1+\varphi} L_t^w \det \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{21} & \Omega_{22} \end{pmatrix} \left( u_{1w,t} + \beta \left( \frac{\left( s_t^m \right) \left( 1+\varphi \right) \left( 1-F(\bar{w}) \right) }{1-\bar{w}} \right) \right)$$

$$> \frac{\sigma}{1+\varphi} L_t^m + \frac{1}{1+\varphi} L_t^w \det \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{21} & \Omega_{22} \end{pmatrix} \left( -\frac{u_{1w,t}}{1-s_t^w} L_t^w + \frac{\beta \left( \frac{\left( s_t^m \right) \left( 1+\varphi \right) \left( 1-F(\bar{w}) \right) }{1-\bar{w}} \right) }{s_t^m L_t^m + s_t^w L_t^w} L_t^m d\varphi \right)$$

$$> \frac{\sigma}{1+\varphi} L_t^m + \frac{1}{1+\varphi} L_t^w \det \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{21} & \Omega_{22} \end{pmatrix} \left( -\frac{u_{1w,t}}{1-s_t^w} L_t^w + \frac{\beta \left( \frac{\left( s_t^m \right) \left( 1+\varphi \right) \left( 1-F(\bar{w}) \right) }{1-\bar{w}} \right) }{s_t^m L_t^m + s_t^w L_t^w} d\varphi \right)$$

where the last inequality holds because

$$\frac{s_t^m d\varphi}{L_t^m d\varphi} = \frac{s_t^m}{1-s_t^m} \frac{1}{1-\frac{v_t}{v_w}} < \frac{s_t^m}{1-s_t^m}$$
We can show that by Equations (B.1) and (B.2)

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 - s^m_w)L^m_t s^m_t L^w_t + s^w_t L^w_t

&= \frac{1}{(1 - s^w_t) L^w_t - s^m_t L^m_t} \left( \kappa u'_{2w} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varphi} \right) \left(1 - F \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) \right) + \left(1 - \delta^w \right) u_{2w,n} \right) \\
&\quad + f \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) \kappa u'_{2w} \left(u_{2w} + M \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) - u_{2w,n} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{s^m_t L^m_t + s^w_t L^w_t} \left[ s^m_t L^m_t + s^w_t L^w_t \right]
\]

\[
> \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varphi} \right) \left(1 - F \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) \right) + F \left( \bar{\eta}^m \right) \left( \frac{s^m_t L^m_t + s^w_t L^w_t}{s^m_t L^m_t + s^w_t L^w_t} \right) + f \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) M \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) - \bar{\eta}^w
\]

\[
> \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varphi} \right) \left(1 - F \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) \right) + F \left( \bar{\eta}^m \right) - F \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right)
\]

\[
= 1
\]

and

\[-u'_{1w,t} + \beta \left( \frac{R \psi}{L_t} \right) \kappa u'_{2w} \left( \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varphi} \right) \left(1 - F \left( \bar{\eta}^w \right) \right) \right) < 0
\]

then

\[
\frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi} L^m_t \frac{ds^m_t}{d\varphi} + \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi} L^w_t \frac{ds^w_t}{d\varphi} > 0
\]

Note that

\[
\frac{d}{d\varphi} \left( \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi} \frac{L^m_t}{L^w_t} \right) = 1 + \left( \frac{\varphi}{L^m_t} \frac{dL^m_t}{d\varphi} - \frac{\varphi}{L^w_t} \frac{dL^w_t}{d\varphi} \right) \frac{L^m_t}{L^w_t}
\]

If \( \frac{ds^w_t}{d\varphi} < 0 \), then

\[
\frac{d}{d\varphi} \left( \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi} \frac{L^m_t}{L^w_t} \right) > 0 \text{ and } \frac{ds^w_{\text{young}}}{d\varphi} > 0
\]

If \( \frac{ds^w_t}{d\varphi} \geq 0 \), we will also have \( \frac{ds^w_t}{d\varphi} \geq 0 \). As in the previous analysis, \( \left(1 - s^w_t \right) L^w_t \) declines, which implies that

\[-L^t_t \frac{ds^w_t}{d\varphi} + \left(1 - s^w_t \right) \frac{dL^w_t}{d\varphi} < 0 \quad \text{(B.5)}
\]
Using Equation (B.5), we can show that

\[
\frac{L_t^w}{1 + \phi} + \frac{L_t^m}{1 + \phi} \frac{ds^m_t}{d\phi} + \frac{d}{d\phi} \left( \frac{s^m_t - s^w_t}{d\phi} \right) > 0
\]

and hence,

\[
\frac{ds^{young}_t}{d\phi} > 0
\]

The aggregate labor supply in period \( t \)

\[
\frac{dL_t}{d\phi} = \phi \frac{dL_t^m}{d\phi} \frac{ds^m_t}{d\phi} + \frac{1}{1 + \phi} \frac{dL_t^w}{d\phi} \frac{ds^w_t}{d\phi} + L_t^m - L_t^w
\]

\[
> \frac{1}{1 + \phi} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{1 + \phi} \right) \frac{dL_t^m}{d\phi} \frac{ds^m_t}{d\phi} \Omega_{11} - \Omega_{12} \frac{dL_t^w}{d\phi} \frac{ds^w_t}{d\phi} \right]
\]

\[
> \frac{1}{1 + \phi} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{1 + \phi} \right) \frac{dL_t^m}{d\phi} \frac{ds^m_t}{d\phi} \Omega_{11} - \Omega_{12} \frac{dL_t^w}{d\phi} \frac{ds^w_t}{d\phi} \right]
\]

\[
> \frac{1}{1 + \phi} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{1 + \phi} \right) \frac{dL_t^m}{d\phi} \frac{ds^m_t}{d\phi} \Omega_{11} - \Omega_{12} \frac{dL_t^w}{d\phi} \frac{ds^w_t}{d\phi} \right]
\]

where the third inequality holds because under the assumption

\[
\frac{2(v^r)^2}{v'} + \frac{v'}{L^2} - \frac{v^r}{L} > 0
\]

we can show that

\[
\frac{d \left( \frac{1}{L} \frac{dL}{ds} \right)}{ds} = \left( \frac{v'}{v' / L - v^r} \right) \left[ \frac{2(v^r)^2}{v'} + \frac{v'}{L^2} - \frac{v^r}{L} \right] \frac{dL}{ds} > 0
\]
and hence \( \frac{1}{L_{w}^{m}} \frac{dL_{w}^{m}}{ds_{w}^{m}} > \frac{1}{L_{w}^{i}} \frac{dL_{w}^{i}}{ds_{w}^{i}} \). The fourth inequality holds because
\[
S_{t}^{m} \frac{dL_{w}^{m}}{ds_{w}^{m}} = \frac{s_{t}^{m} L_{w}^{m}}{1 - s_{t}^{w}} \frac{L_{w}^{m}}{1 - \frac{\gamma^{L} l_{w}^{m}}{v_{w}}} < \frac{s_{t}^{m} L_{w}^{m}}{1 - s_{t}^{w}}
\]
and the last inequality holds because of Equation (B.1).

Similar to the proof of Proposition 1, we can rewrite the system as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\Omega_{33} & \Omega_{34} & \Omega_{35} \\
\Omega_{43} & \Omega_{44} & \Omega_{45} \\
\Omega_{53} & \Omega_{54} & \Omega_{55}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
dw_{t} \\
\frac{dP_{Nt}}{d\phi} \\
\frac{dl_{Nt}}{d\phi}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
z_{3}^{w} \\
-\frac{\alpha_{x,w_{t}}}{l_{t}} \frac{dl_{t}}{d\phi} \\
-\frac{\alpha_{y,w_{t}}}{l_{t}} \frac{dl_{t}}{d\phi}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where
\[
z_{3}^{w} = \left( \gamma \left( 1 - s_{t}^{young} \right) w_{t} - w_{t} L_{Nt} \right) \frac{dL_{t}}{d\phi} - \gamma w_{t} \frac{ds_{t}^{young}}{d\phi}
\]

Similar to the proof of Proposition 1, the sex ratio will affect the price of the nontradable good via two channels: the savings channel and the labor supply channel. We can write \( \frac{dP_{Nt}}{d\phi} \) in two parts

\[
\frac{dP_{Nt}}{d\phi} = \frac{\gamma w_{t}}{\Delta} \frac{ds_{t}^{young}}{d\phi} \left( \frac{\alpha_{x,w_{t}}}{L_{Nt}} + \frac{\alpha_{y,w_{t}}}{x - L_{Nt}} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[ \frac{\alpha_{y,w_{t}}}{l_{t}} \left( \gamma \left( 1 - s_{t}^{young} \right) L_{t} \frac{\alpha_{x,w_{t}}}{L_{Nt}} + w_{t} \right) + \frac{\alpha_{x,w_{t}}}{l_{t}} \left( \gamma \left( 1 - s_{t}^{young} \right) L_{t} \frac{\alpha_{y,w_{t}}}{L_{Nt}} - w_{t} \right) \right] \frac{dL_{t}}{d\phi}
\]

where \( \Delta \) is defined as in the proof of Proposition 1. Since \( \frac{ds_{t}^{young}}{d\phi} > 0 \) and \( \frac{dl_{t}}{d\phi} > 0 \), similar to the proof of Proposition 1

\[
\frac{dP_{Nt}}{d\phi} < 0
\]

That is, the price of the nontradable good falls, leading to a depreciation of the RER.
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