Cambodia’s Property Tax Reform
Policy Considerations Toward Sustained Revenue Mobilization

by Sissie Fung and Brian McAuley

1. Introduction

Cambodia has achieved remarkable economic development over the last 20 years and is among the fastest-growing economies in Southeast Asia. With an expected gross domestic product (GDP) growth of 6.8% in 2020 (Table, p. 2), Cambodia performs better than other countries in the region, such as Singapore (1.4%), Thailand (3.2%), and Vietnam (6.7%). With GDP per capita having increased dramatically—reaching a high of $1,384.42 in 2017—it is low by global standards, equivalent only to 12.9% of the world’s average. Since the adoption of the 2001 Land Law, the Government of Cambodia has made significant progress in developing policy and regulatory frameworks for land management and land use. Most of land tenure and cadastral records were destroyed and private property was abolished, the government began the long process of transitioning toward a free-market system. The change of market system provided an important impetus for Cambodia’s comprehensive land-related reforms, which included the creation of a framework for the recognition of private property rights, the establishment of a system for granting and issuing economic land concessions to domestic and foreign investors, the delegation of land administration from central to provincial level, and the creation of a single land registry authority responsible for registering all land in the country. Since the adoption of the 2001 Land Law, the Government of Cambodia has made significant progress in developing policy and regulatory frameworks for land management and land use.
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The government is committed to continue the land reform program under the new rectangular strategy for 2018–2023.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth Rate of GDP (% per year)</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADO 2019</td>
<td>Update</td>
<td>ADO 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asia</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunei Darussalam</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADO = Asian Development Outlook, GDP = gross domestic product, Lao PDR = Lao People’s Democratic Republic.


It has established mechanisms for out-of-court land dispute settlement and land dispute prevention, improved land tenure security through land registration and title issuance, and spurred the equitable distribution of land through social land concession and land grant schemes. As set out in the Rectangular Strategy for Growth, Employment, Equity and Efficiency, Phase III of the Fifth Legislature of the National Assembly, Cambodia’s land reform program aims to:

- strengthen the system of land management,
- facilitate land distribution and utilization,
- ensure the security of titles of land ownership,
- eliminate illegal and anarchic land grabbing, and
- prevent misuse of land acquisition and landholding of concessions for speculative purposes or without any productive purpose. To meet these objectives, the government recognized that it has to address challenges such as inconsistency in updating land information, inadequate institutional coordination, protracted delays in land dispute settlement, and allocation of financial and human resources for land surveying. The government is committed to continue the land reform program under the new rectangular strategy for 2018–2023, aimed at more efficient land use and management. It has articulated its vision to integrate the Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development in its plans and ensure a secure, inclusive, and prosperous society that eliminates poverty and leaves no one behind.

In terms of revenue performance, the General Department of Taxation (GDT) has an impressive track record in revenue collection, often exceeding the government’s budget targets (Figure 1). Its success is attributed to the effective management
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of a strategic organizational reform over the last 5 years; the introduction of an information technology-based taxpayer registration system, which has expanded the taxpayer base; and a significant increase in the number of qualified staff.

Alongside its goals of sustaining economic growth amid fair competition and social justice, and achieving the national development objectives and the Sustainable Development Goals, Cambodia has developed a new Revenue Mobilization Strategy for 2019–2023 to further improve its revenue administration and tax collection sustainability. Under this strategy, the GDT is considering various policy and administrative measures, including a reform to improve the productivity of its recurrent property tax system.9

2. Property Taxation and Domestic Resource Mobilization

Property taxation is regarded as one of the best forms of taxation because it contributes to social equity and economic efficiency while providing a stable and predictable revenue source for governments. However, for many Asian Development Bank (ADB) developing member countries (DMCs), existing property tax regimes produce very low revenues due to poor system design, many exemptions, incomplete property databases, and weak administration. Developing and maintaining an effective and efficient property tax system are hindered by rapid urbanization, informal and nontransparent land markets, institutional constraints, public resistance, and lack of human and financial resources. As such, the revenue performance of property taxes remains substandard. In some high-performing economies in the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), for example, revenue from recurrent property taxes as a percentage of the GDP could reach up to 2.8% in France and 3.1% in the United Kingdom (in 2017).10 In Asia’s developing countries such as Indonesia, the Philippines, and Thailand, revenue from recurrent property taxes yields only 0.12%–0.38% of GDP (Figure 2).11 Other

Property taxation is regarded as one of the best forms of taxation because it contributes to social equity and economic efficiency while providing a stable and predictable revenue source for governments.
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Financial Management was a positive step toward sustained revenue mobilization. Under this law, a 0.1% recurrent property tax is applied to immovable properties with a market value in excess of KR100 million (approximately $25,000). The market value is assessed and updated regularly by the Immovable Properties Appraisal and Valuation Commission, under the political responsibility of MEF. In Cambodia, all taxes, including TIP, are administered by the State through the GDT (Box, p. 5).

There is substantial scope for increasing revenues, but this must be applied gradually and carefully to avoid sudden impacts, particularly on vulnerable taxpayers. Increasing the property tax revenue to 1%–1.5% of the GDP would be beneficial to the country. Setting such a goal for, say, 10 years hence could establish a context for the continuous improvement of the system and administration of property tax in the long term.

### 3. Cambodia’s Immovable Property Tax

In Cambodia, the introduction of the Tax on Immovable Property (TIP) under the 2010 Law on DMCs in the region, including Cambodia, have even lower yield. Based on the conservative revenue forecast by the Ministry of Economy and Finance (MEF), the recurrent immovable property tax is expected to produce $27.8 million in 2019 or 0.10% of GDP. The market value is assessed and updated regularly by the Immovable Properties Appraisal and Valuation Commission, under the political responsibility of MEF. In Cambodia, all taxes, including TIP, are administered by the State through the GDT (Box, p. 5).

Like most recurrent property taxes, the revenue from TIP is allocated to subnational administrations for their development. The tax, however, has not yet become a significant revenue producer for Cambodia due to policy design and administrative issues. The statutory tax rate is low, and the scope of tax is limited to properties located in the capital city of Phnom Penh and in provincial cities. In addition, a large number of properties, particularly those in the provinces, is exempted from taxation. Furthermore, the tax base for the immovable property tax is not based on the full market value but calculated at 80%

---

13 “KR” refers to riels.
of the size of property or appraisal valuation, nonreporting of changes in merging or splitting of land and other modification of properties). On top of these, fragmented and incomplete data-keeping, as well as lack of coordination between government institutions responsible for registering and maintaining property records, complicate the government’s efforts to improve the coverage ratio of the property tax.

To address these challenges, the GDT is gaining better access to property information from the General Department of Cadastre and Geography of the Ministry of Land Management, Urban Planning and Construction. It is also conducting periodic surveys by trained property assessors to increase the number of properties registered in the tax system and to update the existing property information in the database.

Another determinant of revenue performance of the property tax is the valuation. A property tax system based on assessed market values of a discounted property base value (which is the appraised market value minus KR100 million).

Besides the low rate and narrow tax base, difficulties in the inclusion of all properties in the tax roll have also contributed to the poor revenue performance of TIP. As the fiscal cadastre is person-based, the system depends largely on taxpayers to register their properties. To do this, they have to submit accurate declarations of their property holdings and supporting documents (PT01 form); file the property tax return (PT02 form); calculate their tax liability; and pay their taxes at any tax branch or any branch of the financial institutions authorized to collect tax payments—e.g., Canadia Bank, Acleda Bank, Wing (Cambodia) Limited Specialized Bank—before the deadline of 30 September each year. When registering their properties, taxpayers are given a Property Identification Number (PIN). However, there are cases of tax officials issuing two or more PINs on one property, taxpayers not filing their tax returns, and wrongful filing (e.g., underreporting of the size of property or appraisal valuation, nonreporting of changes in merging or splitting of land and other modification of properties). On top of these, fragmented and incomplete data-keeping, as well as lack of coordination between government institutions responsible for registering and maintaining property records, complicate the government’s efforts to improve the coverage ratio of the property tax.

To address these challenges, the GDT is gaining better access to property information from the General Department of Cadastre and Geography of the Ministry of Land Management, Urban Planning and Construction. It is also conducting periodic surveys by trained property assessors to increase the number of properties registered in the tax system and to update the existing property information in the database.

Another determinant of revenue performance of the property tax is the valuation. A property tax system based on assessed market values
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5. Compliance and Administration

Completing the property tax register is a priority. This should be linked to strengthening the collection processes and proofing them against leakages, since higher taxation often creates incentives for abuse.

While it is easy to implement and is appealing in countries with little administrative capacity, a tax system based on self-assessment and self-declaration is likely to produce underestimates. To overcome this problem, the tax administration has the right to verify the tax base and tax payments, and to impose penalties in cases of nonregistration, underpayment of tax, and nonfiling of a tax return.

In practice, however, the GDT has applied a soft approach to collecting the immovable property tax. It has relied on a system in which a transfer of ownership cannot occur until a property owner’s tax debts, including penalties, have been paid in full, which effectively defers the tax.

4. Reform Strategy

In its Revenue Mobilization Strategy for 2014–2018, Cambodia made it a policy to increase domestic resource mobilization by improving revenue administration and tax collection through better skills and systems, and not through new or increased taxes. So, although the statutory property tax rate is very low, the current approach to increase revenue from property tax is mainly an administrative move meant to improve the registration and valuation of properties in the tax roll, strengthen enforcement and compliance, and gain better access to information.

This strategic approach is prudent. It will bring all properties, particularly high-value ones, into the registry and improve the administration and collection systems before increasing tax rates. It is fairer to registered properties to defer rate hikes until the property tax base has been updated with all properties within scope. This approach, based on fairness and accompanied by good communication to taxpayers, should promote public acceptance of these revenue-raising reforms.

To ensure the success of the administrative reforms, Cambodia is developing a set of key performance indicators and targets under the Revenue Mobilization Strategy for 2019–2023 to monitor the implementation and results of ongoing reform efforts. Under the new strategy, the government is considering to expand the scope of the property tax to downtown areas (mainly districts) and to review the exemption policies. The government is also preparing a law that will give the tax administration powers to obtain information and data from relevant government institutions for tax purposes. This legislation is expected to come into force in 2020.

6. Cushioning the Impact of a Tax Increase

Cambodia recently completed a revaluation of properties. Effective 1 July 2019, property base values for the purpose of transfer tax have been revised and increased across the country. In some potential urban areas, the increase was 70%-80% of the market value. For the levy of the immovable property tax, the reappraisal is expected to create a significant tax hike (in some cases, an increase of 100%), as the last update took place in 2014. To make the property tax more palatable politically and to address the concerns of “asset-rich and cash-poor” households, the Government of Cambodia is studying policy options to dampen the impact of the tax increase, which include an adjustment of the tax base value or the base exemption. The government has also proposed that properties shall be reappraised at least every 5 years.

Infrequent revaluations, especially in high-growth areas, can result in sharp overnight increase in property valuations and corresponding tax liabilities. Adjusting the tax base value, e.g., by phasing in the value or tax increase in increments over several years, can provide taxpayers transitional relief. As an alternative, Cambodia is considering adjusting the base exemption. Depending on the design—and provided that the threshold is set low enough—a basic exemption of very low-value properties can be equitable as
it favors income progressivity and could improve the fiscal performance of property taxes due to lower collection costs. Conversely, if the basic exemption is set too high, it will erode the tax base and encourage rent-seeking behavior by taxpayers. The current base exemption is set at KR100 million (approximately $25,000) and is applicable to every taxable property in Cambodia, regardless of the value of the property, the income-level of the property owner, or the amount of property owned. The policy consideration to significantly increase the exemption, though administratively simple, should be carefully weighed against the costs of revenue loss; the efficacy of the policy instrument in delivering its objective; the distributional impact on different groups and on progressivity; the associated costs of rent-seeking behavior; and the availability of other, more cost-effective policy alternatives.

If the objective is to cushion poorer taxpayers from excessive property tax burden, other policy instruments can be evaluated, such as subsidies for qualified owner-occupiers or voluntary deferral arrangements, where taxpayers may defer all or part of their taxes, sometimes with interest accruing over the deferred amount, until their financial circumstances improve or the property is transferred. The deferral becomes a lien on the property. Improving the ease of payment, e.g., by allowing taxpayers to pay their tax in monthly installments via utility bills or having the tax deducted at source from salary or occupational pension, could address some of the liquidity constraint issues. Such policies might provide a more targeted and cost-effective relief scheme.

Instead of adjusting the tax base value or the base exemption to mitigate the impact of a sudden tax increase due to the reappraisal of properties, having more frequent revaluations and applying annual indexation (e.g., by the rate of inflation) between valuation cycles can achieve that objective. Frequent updating of property values has the added advantage of maintaining the buoyancy in tax revenue.

Cambodia should, however, consider in due course a policy of gradual increases to the tax rate once the system is working effectively.

**7. Conclusion and Policy Recommendations**

Cambodia has taken a cautious but sensible approach to enhancing the revenue performance of the immovable property tax by focusing on administrative improvements that expand the tax base coverage, increase the valuation level, and strengthen the enforcement and compliance measures while providing tax relief to address social equity concerns.

Developing an effective and efficient market value-based property tax system requires a long-term strategy and an upfront investment in human resources, a comprehensive fiscal cadastre, and valuation infrastructure. Against this backdrop, the government’s decision to not increase the tax rates at this stage is prudent. Raising tax rates without first ensuring that all taxable properties, particularly high-value ones, are included in the tax roll would raise social justice and equity concerns, and could undermine reform efforts. Cambodia should, however, consider in due course a policy of gradual increases to the tax rate once the system is working effectively. In the longer term, the property tax could be expanded across the whole country.

A well-designed property tax relief should improve equity and provide the public confidence that the tax is fair. This, however, needs to be balanced against the erosion of the tax base, cost-effectiveness of the measure, its distributional impact, the associated social costs of rent-seeking behavior, and administrative complexity and politics. A blanket approach to adjust the tax base value or base exemption to mitigate the impact of tax increase, although administratively simple, might be too broad as it applies uniformly to all taxpayers, regardless of their household income and wealth. Instead, Cambodia could consider other policy measures that provide a more cost-effective and targeted relief. Having shorter valuation cycles with annual indexing of the property values in between can smoothen the sharp tax increases due to a quinquennial valuation while maintaining the buoyancy in property tax revenue.
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