ECONOMIC INDICATORS FOR SOUTHEAST ASIA AND THE PACIFIC INPUT-OUTPUT TABLES

DECEMBER 2020

ECONOMIC INDICATORS FOR SOUTHEAST ASIA AND THE PACIFIC

INPUT–OUTPUT TABLES

DECEMBER 2020

Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 IGO license (CC BY 3.0 IGO)

© 2020 Asian Development Bank
6 ADB Avenue, Mandaluyong City, 1550 Metro Manila, Philippines
Tel +63 2 8632 4444; Fax +63 2 8636 2444
www.adb.org

Some rights reserved. Published in 2020.

ISBN 978-92-9262-533-7 (print); 978-92-9262-534-4 (electronic); 978-92-9262-535-1 (ebook)
Publication Stock No. TCS200242-2
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22617/TCS200242-2>

The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Asian Development Bank (ADB) or its Board of Governors or the governments they represent.

ADB does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this publication and accepts no responsibility for any consequence of their use. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by ADB in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

By making any designation of or reference to a particular territory or geographic area, or by using the term “country” in this document, ADB does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.

This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 IGO license (CC BY 3.0 IGO) <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo/>. By using the content of this publication, you agree to be bound by the terms of this license. For attribution, translations, adaptations, and permissions, please read the provisions and terms of use at <https://www.adb.org/terms-use#openaccess>.

This CC license does not apply to non-ADB copyright materials in this publication. If the material is attributed to another source, please contact the copyright owner or publisher of that source for permission to reproduce it. ADB cannot be held liable for any claims that arise as a result of your use of the material.

Please contact pubsmarketing@adb.org if you have questions or comments with respect to content, or if you wish to obtain copyright permission for your intended use that does not fall within these terms, or for permission to use the ADB logo.

Corrigenda to ADB publications may be found at <http://www.adb.org/publications/corrigenda>.

Note:
In this publication, “\$” refers to United States dollars.

Cover design by Nikko Antonio.

CONTENTS

Tables and Figures	v
Foreword	viii
Acknowledgments	x
Abbreviations	xii
Executive Summary	xiii
Introduction	1
The Basic Framework	2
Input–Output Tables and National Accounts	3
PART 1 The Domestic Economy	5
1.1 Economic Composition	5
1.2 Economic Diversification	10
1.3 Structural Decomposition	10
1.4 Economic Complexity	14
1.5 Multipliers and Intersectoral Linkage Analysis	21
1.6 Forward and Backward Linkages	25
1.7 Conclusion	32
PART 2 International Linkages	34
2.1 Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables	35
2.2 Measuring Global Value Chains	46
2.3 Conclusion	56
PART 3 Economy Profiles	57
3.1 Brunei Darussalam	59
3.2 Cambodia	76
3.3 Fiji	93
3.4 Indonesia	110
3.5 Lao People’s Democratic Republic	127
3.6 Malaysia	144
3.7 Philippines	161
3.8 Singapore	178
3.9 Thailand	195
3.10 Viet Nam	212
PART 4 Economy-Specific Input–Output Tables for 2000 and 2018	229

Appendixes

1	Aggregation of Sectors Used	270
2	Subregional Grouping of Economies	274
3	Technical Note.....	275

References.....	299
------------------------	------------

TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLES

1	Basic Input–Output Framework	2
1.2	Important Coefficients and Their Respective Upper Thresholds, 2018.....	19
1.3	Simple Output Multipliers in Asia and the Pacific, 2018.....	23
1.4	Classification of Key Sectors Using Forward and Backward Linkages.....	26
2.1	Simplified Multi-Regional Input–Output Table	35
4.1A	Input–Output Table for Brunei Darussalam, 2000.....	230
4.1B	Input–Output Table for Brunei Darussalam, 2018	232
4.2A	Input–Output Table for Cambodia, 2000	234
4.2B	Input–Output Table for Cambodia, 2018.....	236
4.3A	Input–Output Table for Fiji, 2000	238
4.3B	Input–Output Table for Fiji, 2018	240
4.4A	Input–Output Table for Indonesia, 2000	242
4.4B	Input–Output Table for Indonesia, 2018	244
4.5A	Input–Output Table for the Lao People’s Democratic Republic, 2000.....	246
4.5B	Input–Output Table for the Lao People’s Democratic Republic, 2018.....	248
4.6A	Input–Output Table for Malaysia, 2000.....	250
4.6B	Input–Output Table for Malaysia, 2018	252
4.7A	Input–Output Table for the Philippines, 2000.....	254
4.7B	Input–Output Table for the Philippines, 2018	256
4.8A	Input–Output Table for Singapore, 2000	258
4.8B	Input–Output Table for Singapore, 2018	260
4.9A	Input–Output Table for Thailand, 2000	262
4.9B	Input–Output Table for Thailand, 2018	264
4.10A	Input–Output Table for Viet Nam, 2000.....	266
4.10B	Input–Output Table for Viet Nam, 2018	268
A1.1	Multi-Regional Input–Output 35-Sector Classification	270
A1.2	Multi-Regional Input–Output 15-Sector Classification	271
A1.3	Multi-Regional Input–Output 5-Sector Aggregation.....	273
A2	Subregional Grouping of Economies	274

FIGURES

1.1	Composition of Final Demand by Economy, 2000 and 2018	6
1.2	Sector Value-Added by Subregion, 2000 and 2018 (in \$ millions and % of GVA)	7
1.3	Sector Value-Added by Subregion, 2000 and 2018 (in \$ millions and % CAGR)	8
1.4	Shares of Total Economy Value-Added by Economy–Sector, 2000 and 2018	9

1.5	Aggregate Measures of Value-Added Economic Diversification by Economy, 2000 and 2018 . . .	11
1.6	Drivers of Output Changes by Sector, 2000–2007 and 2010–2018	12
1.7	Impacts of Technological Changes on Output by Sector, 2000–2007 and 2010–2018	15
1.8	Correlation between Aggregate Measures of Economic Complexity, 2000–2018	16
1.9	Rank Correlation between Gross Domestic Product and Select Measures of Interrelatedness, 2007–2018	17
1.10	Aggregate Measures of Complexity, 2009 and 2018 Indexed Values.	20
1.11	Direct and Indirect Production by Sectors in Asia and the Pacific, 2018.	24
1.12	Forward and Backward Linkages in Asia and the Pacific, 2000 and 2018.	27
1.13	Dispersion of Impacts from Direct Linkages in Asia and the Pacific, 2000–2018	28
1.14	Impacts of the Hypothetical Extraction of Key Sectors in Asia and the Pacific, 2018.	30
1.15	Impacts of the Hypothetical Insertion of New Sectors in Asia and the Pacific, 2018	31
2.1	Total Imports and Exports in Asia and the Pacific, 2000–2018	36
2.2	Trade Openness in Asia and the Pacific, 2000–2018	37
2.3	Export–Output Ratios and Import–input Ratios in Asia and the Pacific, 2000 and 2018.	39
2.4	Self-Sufficiency Ratios in Asia and the Pacific, 2018	40
2.5	Fabrication Effects in Asia and the Pacific, 2000 versus 2018	41
2.6	Share of Foreign and Domestic Inputs by Economy, 2018	43
2.7	Import Leakage Effects as a Share of Final Demand in Asia and the Pacific, 2000 and 2018 . .	45
2.8	Domestic Transfer Effects and International Spillover Effects in Asia and the Pacific, 2000 and 2018	46
2.9	Global Value Chain Participation by Sector, 2000 and 2018.	48
2.10	Global Value Chain Participation of Economies by Subregion, 2000 and 2018	49
2.11	Vertical Specialization in Economies of Asia and the Pacific, 2000 and 2018.	51
2.12	Traditional and New Revealed Comparative Advantage of Economies by Subregion, 2018. . .	52
2.13	Upstreamness Index of Economies of Asia and the Pacific, 2000 and 2018	53
2.14	Trends in Average Production Length Based on Forward Linkage Across Economies, 2007–2018	55
2.15	Trends in Average Production Length Based on Backward Linkage Across Economies, 2007–2018	55
3.1A	Brunei Darussalam, Internal Linkages	59
3.1B	Brunei Darussalam, External Linkages.	69
3.2A	Cambodia, Internal Linkages	76
3.2B	Cambodia, External Linkages	86
3.3A	Fiji, Internal Linkages	93
3.3B	Fiji, External Linkages	103
3.4A	Indonesia, Internal Linkages	110
3.4B	Indonesia, External Linkages.	120
3.5A	Lao People’s Democratic Republic, Internal Linkages	127
3.5B	Lao People’s Democratic Republic, External Linkages.	137
3.6A	Malaysia, Internal Linkages	144
3.6B	Malaysia, External Linkages.	154
3.7A	Philippines, Internal Linkages	161
3.7B	Philippines, External Linkages.	171
3.8A	Singapore, Internal Linkages	178
3.8B	Singapore, External Linkages	188

3.9A	Thailand, Internal Linkages	195
3.9B	Thailand, External Linkages.....	205
3.10A	Viet Nam, Internal Linkages	212
3.10B	Viet Nam, External Linkages.....	222

FOREWORD

In the 1990s and early 2000s, trade was defined by global value chains. Automation and developments in transport, information, and communications technologies enabled firms to fragment their production processes across the globe to gain efficiencies from a broadened economic network. Participation in value chains became a more viable path toward economic and social transformation, especially for developing economies. Trade in tasks and inputs became as important as traditional trade in finished goods, and its measurement was seen as crucial in assessing economic performance and shaping policies.

Following more than a decade of generally unfettered growth, a series of economic crises and downturns created new challenges for the increasingly interconnected and interdependent economies. More recently, international trade tensions have disrupted production in major regional hubs, while the coronavirus disease pandemic continues to adversely impact consumption patterns, production, and supply and distribution chains in every economy. In a short period of time, the question has quickly shifted from “How can we participate in global value chains?” to “How can we ensure a stable and resilient global economy, given increasing global value chain participation?”

Answering the latter question heightens the demand for better and more timely statistics on the interrelationships between economies. Monitoring economic activities is not only essential for keeping track of development objectives, but also for safeguarding the beneficial outcomes from decades of solid economic growth. Managing risks entails compiling relevant statistics that reveal production and trade patterns at the economy, bilateral, regional, and global levels.

Since 2014, the Asian Development Bank (ADB) has been compiling and updating the Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (MRIOTs) database. The comprehensive summaries of transactions across industries and final users in several economies have enabled the derivation of relevant production, trade, and value chain statistics. In 2018, ADB produced a three-volume compendium of input–output tables and derived indicators for East Asia, South and Central Asia, and Southeast Asia and the Pacific to inform policy and stimulate discourse in development research.

This current three-volume publication is an update and expansion on the 2018 edition. Here, we present economy-specific input–output tables, aggregated into 15 industrial sectors and five final demand categories for 2000 and 2018. Traditional input–output-based indicators, as well as new ones, are calculated from the latest available data to provide more timely and comprehensive insights on the changing trade and production structures of different economies in the Asia and Pacific region.

I would like to thank all those who contributed to these publications—the consultants, the industry experts, ADB staff, and the official statistical agencies and other government organizations of economies participating in ADB’s statistical and analytical capacity-building initiatives. I commend them for their dedication, cooperation, and hard work. We hope that these updated reports will be valuable resources for economic research and policy implementation.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'Y' and 'S' with a horizontal line through them.

Yasuyuki Sawada

Chief Economist and Director General
Economic Research and Regional Cooperation Department
Asian Development Bank

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The successful integration of the supply and use framework into the national accounts systems of 19 economies across Asia and the Pacific was made possible by the strong cooperation and significant contributions of the participating governments and national statistics offices. This collaborative undertaking was facilitated under the Regional Capacity Development Technical Assistance 8838: Updating and Constructing Supply and Use Tables for Selected Developing Member Economies. Building on the progress made from this technical assistance, the Asian Development Bank (ADB) further expanded its data development initiative by compiling input–output tables and creating a Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables database based on the supply and use tables from 25 economies. This expansion was financially supported through four programs: (i) Knowledge and Support Technical Assistance (KSTA) 9659: Key Indicators for Asia and the Pacific 2020; (ii) KSTA-9356: Data for Development (Phase I); (iii) KSTA 9646: Data for Development (Phase II); and (iv) KSTA 9624: Supporting Knowledge Solutions in Central and West Asian Countries.

ADB gratefully acknowledges the valuable contributions of the heads, coordinators, and members of the specialist supply and use teams within the participating official statistical agencies; without their expert input, which was provided in a timely manner even during these challenging times, this undertaking would not have been possible. These implementing agencies include the Bureau of Statistics, Bangladesh; the National Statistics Bureau, Bhutan; the Department of Economic Planning and Development, Brunei Darussalam; the National Institute of Statistics, Cambodia; the National Bureau of Statistics, the People's Republic of China; the Bureau of Statistics, Fiji; the Census and Statistics Department, Hong Kong, China; the Central Statistics Office, India; Badan Pusat Statistik, Indonesia; the Committee on Statistics of the Ministry of National Economy, Kazakhstan; the National Statistical Committee, the Kyrgyz Republic; the Statistics Bureau, the Lao People's Democratic Republic; the Department of Statistics, Malaysia; the Department of National Planning, Maldives; the National Statistical Office, Mongolia; the Central Bureau of Statistics, Nepal; the Bureau of Statistics, Pakistan; the Department of Census and Statistics, Sri Lanka; the Directorate-General of Budget, Accounting and Statistics, Taipei, China; the National Economic and Social Development Board, Thailand; and the General Statistics Office, Viet Nam.

This set of three publications covers all 25 economies in Asia and the Pacific. These volumes were developed under the overall supervision of Mahinthan Joseph Mariasingham, and were prepared by John Arvin Bernabe, Marc Alvin Elmino, Patricia Georgina Gonzales, and Kenneth Reyes of the Statistics and Data Innovation Unit within the Economic Research and Regional Cooperation Department of ADB. Michael John Barsabal, Janine De Vera, Clara Delos Santos, Krizia Anne Garay, Angelo Jose Lumba, Julieta Magallanes, Sarah Mae Manuel, Ana Francesca Rosales, and Jonarie Vergara provided technical assistance. Eric Suan coordinated the review and publication process and Rose Ann Dumayas provided additional administrative support. Paul Dent edited the text, while Joe Mark Ganaban led the typesetting process, and Nikko Antonio designed the cover. These volumes were published with the support of ADB's Department of Communications.

Elaine Tan

Advisor, Office of the Chief Economist and Director General,
and Head, Statistics and Data Innovation Unit,
Economic Research and Regional Cooperation Department

ABBREVIATIONS

ADB	Asian Development Bank
APL	average production length
DVA	domestic value-added
FVA	foreign value-added
GDP	gross domestic product
GFC	global financial crisis
GFCF	gross fixed capital formation
GVA	gross value-added
GVC	global value chain
ICT	information and communication technology
IOT	input–output table
MRIOT	Multi-Regional Input–Output Table
NPISH	nonprofit organizations and institutions serving households
NRCA	new revealed comparative advantage
PDC	pure double-counted term
PRC	People’s Republic of China
RCA	revealed comparative advantage
RoW	rest of the world
SUT	supply and use tables
TRCA	traditional revealed comparative advantage
WIOD	World Input–Output Database

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

An input-output table (IOT) is a matrix representation of the exchanges within an economy for any given accounting period. The use of IOTs for economic accounting and analysis dates back to the 1930s and this has since made substantial contributions to the understanding of economic phenomena. Today, IOTs are employed in a wide range of analyses for various purposes including, but not limited to: supply chain, production, and distribution management; investment; and macroeconomic planning and policymaking.

Key to the analytical strength of an IOT is its explicit detailing of economic relationships between any two sectors within an economy. This is particularly useful in determining the potential impacts of internal changes and exogenous shocks and for identifying sectors that have high growth-inducing effects both domestically and internationally. Because of globalization and the fragmentation of production processes, one of the more common applications of input-output analysis is measuring value-added contributions of individual economies in global value chains (GVCs).

IOTs are also relevant as a compilation framework. Supply-use tables (SUTs), on which IOTs are based, are an integral part of the System of National Accounts. Ideally, an SUT serves as the main framework used in the compilation of a single and coherent estimate of gross domestic product, as it fundamentally integrates all components of production, income, and expenditure approaches. SUTs and its transformation, IOTs, describe how products are produced and delivered into the economy and how they are used. These tables also provide the links between industry or sector inputs and outputs, which are important in analyzing the interactions between different productive activities.

The Asian Development Bank's Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (MRIOTs) are a major contribution to the growing data and analytical needs of today's increasingly globalized economic environment. The MRIOTs combine the input-output systems of 62 economies, plus an aggregate of other economies called "rest of the world." They include data on 35 harmonized and interconnected sectors for each economy. The database is regularly updated to ensure the relevance and timeliness of analyses conducted at domestic, regional, and international levels. A suite of statistical and analytical indicators based on the MRIOTs is compiled and presented in this report.

Part 1 of the report introduces indicators relevant for describing the structure of, and interlinkages within domestic economies in the Asia and Pacific region. The supply-use relationships among different producing industries and final demand sectors are analyzed, along with their respective growth trends and change effects. Domestic input-output tables are also used to identify key sectors in the economy.

Moving from the internal economy to the external sector, a range of analytical indicators concerning international trade openness and dependencies, comparative advantages, and degrees of participation in GVCs for 25 economies in the region are presented in Part 2. These demonstrate multiple approaches for extracting insights on an economy's key position in international trade and how domestic activities ultimately contribute to the global economy.

Part 3 provides individual profiles of economies from the Southeast Asia and the Pacific subregion based on measures of their respective internal and external linkages. Economies included in this volume are Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Fiji, Indonesia, the Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, and Viet Nam.

The 15 by 15 sector input–output tables of each economy in the subregion which underlie the 2000 and 2018 ADB MRIOTs and on which the data and analyses throughout the report were based on are compiled in Part 4.

This publication hopes to enrich data-driven policymaking and development discourse by providing quantitatively verified insights on the domestic and international structures and linkages economies in Asia and the Pacific. Research grounded in the interconnectivity of economic elements is especially useful in our current context of a globalizing business environment with increasing trade and fragmentation of production. Recent events—ranging from international trade conflicts, a withdrawal from an economic union, and a sweeping viral pandemic—challenge the strength of our global economic network and its ability to deliver the same level of growth and development it did in earlier times. In this regard, it is hoped the data provided in these volumes inform future efforts to build more resilient and stable economies.

Please note that the data presented in this publication are not official statistics and are intended for research purposes only.

INTRODUCTION

Any discussion of input–output analysis will almost invariably make a reference to the Nobel laureate Wassily Leontief who developed the pioneering framework in the 1930s. Unlike traditional tools in economics, which generalize systems or handpick details in favor of theoretical elegance, the input–output approach starts by capturing masses of observed economic data on outputs, activities, transactions, or other flows, and then organizes them in matrices representative of the economic system. It places equal weight on observations of actual economic flows as much as it does theory. Actual and observed data in input–output tables (IOTs)—e.g., tons of steel, millions of dollars, hours of work, volumes of emissions—enabled users to concretely understand how the economy works. In an address during the 1985 United States Census Bureau Conference, Leontief explained rationale behind the input–output framework:

A major problem in economics is to be able to describe an entire forest in terms of individual trees and their interrelationships—to perceive the totality, while preserving all the minutiae in clear detail. The macroeconomist deals with broad concepts and aggregated data, far removed from real behavior. The microeconomist may work with fine detail but lose sight of the larger world. Now, of course, modern mathematics and modern techniques of data processing have broken down the barriers in research. We now have the means to preserve the tiny details while seeing at the same time the total picture. For me, the study of the interdependence between different sectors and the relation of the parts to the whole picture is really the most interesting part of economics. Input–Output analysis gives you a method to describe that forest in terms of individual trees.

More than 80 years after Leontief’s seminal work was published, the literature utilizing the input–output approach continues to expand extensively, both in number and scope, with applications in technology, industrial ecology, sustainable development, labor productivity, growth, energy, and, more recently, international trade. Innovations in data and advances in computing capacity made Leontief’s framework increasingly practicable and even largely scalable. Aside from its analytical applications, it is gaining ground as an economic accounting framework used by official statistics compilers to calculate and reconcile economic accounts from production, income, and expenditure approaches accurately and consistently.

The Basic Framework

IOTs are systematic numerical representations of the mutual interrelationships among producers and consumers in an economy. At the very least, an IOT describes the sales and purchase transactions between different industries and sectors. They are matrices containing observed economic data on how the produced outputs (both goods and services) of an industry are used as inputs in the production processes of other industries or consumed as final products by nonproducing economic sectors. Data on interindustry flows, i.e., the sales and purchases of industry goods and services for further use in other production processes (z), are contained in the first quadrant of the IOT (shaded yellow in Table 1), usually called the intermediate transactions matrix. The rows represent the “selling” sectors i to n , whose outputs are purchased by “buying” sectors represented in columns j to n (where n = total number of sectors). Thus, each row indicates the distribution of a particular industry’s outputs to all industries, while each column shows a particular industry’s required inputs from all industries.

Table 1: Basic Input–Output Framework

		Buying sectors						Total output (x)
		s_1	s_2	Final demand				
Selling sectors	s_1	z_{11}	z_{12}	c_1	i_1	g_1	e_1	x_1
	s_2	z_{21}	z_{22}	c_2	i_2	g_2	e_2	x_2
Primary inputs	Value-added	l_1	l_2					L
		n_1	n_2					N
	Imports	m_1	m_2	m_c	m_i	m_g	m_e	M
Total inputs (x')		x_1	x_2	C	I	G	E	X

■ = intermediate transactions matrix, ■ = final demand matrix, ■ = primary inputs matrix.

Source: Adopted from R.E. Miller and P.D. Blair. 2009. *Input–Output Analysis: Foundations and Extensions* (2nd Edition). Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

However, not all outputs are used as inputs to production processes. The additional columns to the right of the intermediate transactions matrix (shaded green in Table 1) report the flow of outputs to nonproducing sectors or activities. These flows are considered as final demand or consumption, as the products involved are used as they are, without any further transformation through subsequent processing. Final demand is categorized as: private consumption of households and nonprofit institutions serving households (NPISHs) (c), government consumption (g), gross capital formation (i), and exports (e). Though gross capital formation includes fixed assets and inventories, which are products employed in production, they are regarded as final consumption because their use is gradual and spread over more than one accounting period. Exports are treated as final sales by the source economy, but are accounted as either intermediate or final consumption by the destination economy. Summing all the row elements—across intermediate transactions and final demands, but excluding imports—yields the total output per sector (x) for the use or demand perspective.

The additional rows below the intermediate transactions matrix show the primary inputs used in each industry's production (shaded blue in Table 1). Primary inputs mostly capture the gross value-added of an industry or the income of the factors of production employed in productive activities. Gross value-added includes compensation to employees, consumption of fixed capital, other taxes less subsidies on production, and net operating surplus. In Table 1, gross value-added is equivalent to the sum of an industry's labor costs (l), as paid in wages and salaries, and its other primary input costs (n), such as capital. However, in some cases, primary inputs also include imported intermediate inputs (m_1 and m_2). This is true for non-competitive IOTs, where domestically sourced and imported goods and services are distinguished. In either case, column sums (from intermediate inputs to primary inputs) are equal to the total inputs used to produce the same level of output (x') from the use or demand perspective. These vertical summations reflect the supply perspective.

Input-Output Tables and National Accounts

The flows described in the previous section constitute a full set of income, product, and expenditure accounts for an economy. The input-output framework links and integrates these accounts into a single, comprehensive, and internally consistent system, increasingly used as a basis for compiling known aggregate statistics such as gross domestic product (GDP). Given the granularity of data in IOTs, they are also used to analyze industries or sectors and their interactions within the economy.

The basic accounting identity in the input-output system is that the total amount produced by a sector of the economy (total supply) should be equal to the amount of its usage in the economy (total demand). In the IOTs, this identity is established by equating row totals to their corresponding column totals, which implies that economies are modeled in a state of general equilibrium. Moreover, since this basic identity balances production (row) and expenditure (column) accounts, the input-output system becomes an ideal framework for estimating an economy's GDP, wherein all uses and resources must be fully accounted for. This minimizes statistical errors¹ as various data sources are reconciled within a single coherent framework.

From a supply perspective, the total output of the economy (X), calculated by summing the column totals, is equal to the cost of intermediate inputs ($x_1 + x_2$) plus the cost of primary inputs ($L + N$), and the cost of imports (M), i.e., $X = x_1 + x_2 + L + N + M$. Meanwhile, from a demand perspective, output (X) is equal to the sum of the row totals, i.e., the value of output used by industries for production ($x_1 + x_2$) plus the values of output used in final private consumption (C) and final government consumption (G), investment through capital formation (I) and exports (E) or simply, $X = x_1 + x_2 + C + I + G + E$. Given the basic accounting identity, the calculated outputs from both perspectives are necessarily equal: $x_1 + x_2 + L + N + M = X = x_1 + x_2 + C + I + G + E$. Rearranging these components, $L + N + M = C + I + G + E$ or $L + N = C + I + G + (E - M)$. The left side ($L + N$) corresponds to the gross income from the production approach, while the right side ($C + I + G + (E - M)$) corresponds to the GDP from the expenditure approach.

¹ In practice, national accounts do publish an item for statistical discrepancy as a matter of transparency. Some proponents argue that this reminds users that national accounts are far from being 100% reliable, given the expanse of sources used to compile GDP. Others add that these discrepancies can be a source of information and are the subject of numerous business studies (Lequiller and Blades 2014).

IOTs describe the economy from both supply and demand perspectives and thus allows for a holistic understanding of how an economy is structured and how it evolves through time. For example, the level of inventory recorded in the IOT can indicate where an economy is in the general business cycle. Similarly, the level of fixed asset investment can signal how positive the outlook is for the economy. IOTs can also reveal which sectors or economies are most dependent on foreign inputs for their output and, conversely, which contribute most to foreign demand.

THE DOMESTIC ECONOMY

This part explores some basic applications of input–output tables, which comprehensively detail the structure of a given economy and link both supply and demand components in a coherent and consistent framework. By combining input–output observations with standard economic theories, a picture of the domestic economy in terms of its structural composition, diversification, and linkages can be observed.

The data and analyses cover 25 economies in Asia and the Pacific across various sectors. A regional subgrouping and a sectoral aggregation are applied in most parts to streamline and enrich the discussions. Details on the sectors, including their differing levels of aggregation, as well as the economies and subgroupings thereof are provided in Appendixes 1 and 2 of this publication, respectively.

1.1 Economic Composition

Figure 1.1 shows the composition of the economy from the demand perspective, represented by the shares of private consumption, government consumption, gross capital formation, and exports in the total final demand for each economy for 2000 and 2018.

In 2000, private consumption accounted for an average of 47.6% of the total final demand of the 25 economies; gross capital formation, 22.9%; exports, 17.6%; and government consumption, 12.8%. Private consumption had the largest share in the total final demand of most economies, ranging from 35.6% to 66.5%. Pakistan, Bangladesh, the Lao People’s Democratic Republic (Lao PDR), Cambodia, and Nepal had the highest shares, with at least 60% of total final demand accounted for by private consumption. On the other hand, the final demand of Maldives, Singapore, and Malaysia were dominated by exports rather than domestic consumption.

Compared to 2000, the composition of final demand in 2018 was less concentrated in private consumption. In addition to Maldives, Singapore, and Malaysia, the total final demands of other economies such as Viet Nam, Brunei Darussalam, and Taipei, China were more export-dominated than others. The total final demand of the rest of the economies remained largely driven by private consumption, with shares ranging from 32.9% to 68.3%.

Figure 1.1: Composition of Final Demand by Economy, 2000 and 2018 (% of GDP)

GDP = gross domestic product; BAN = Bangladesh; BHU = Bhutan; BRU = Brunei Darussalam; CAM = Cambodia; FIJ = Fiji; HKG = Hong Kong, China; IND = India; INO = Indonesia; JPN = Japan; KAZ = Kazakhstan; KGZ = Kyrgyz Republic; KOR = Republic of Korea; LAO = Lao People's Democratic Republic; MAL = Malaysia; MLD = Maldives; MON = Mongolia; NEP = Nepal; PAK = Pakistan; PHI = Philippines; PRC = People's Republic of China; SIN = Singapore; SRI = Sri Lanka; TAP = Taipei, China; THA = Thailand; VIE = Viet Nam.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

On the production side, sectoral composition is described using the shares of each sector's value-added in the total gross value-added (GVA) of the economy. This shows which activities the economy generates most of its income from. Across all subregions, the business services sector had the highest share in GVA: 37.6% in 2000 and 45.8% in 2018. In absolute terms, business services in East Asia had the highest value-added among all the subregional groups for both years, even though the sector's share within the subregion decreased from 43.9% in 2000 to 39.7% in 2018 (Figure 1.2).

Meanwhile, the shares of business services sectors in the respective GVAs of South and Central Asia, Southeast Asia and the Pacific, and East Asia increased significantly from 2000 to at least a 40.0% share in 2018. On average, while the shares of the primary, low tech manufacturing, and public and personal services sectors decreased slightly, the share of the high and medium tech manufacturing sector decreased substantially (from 15.5% in 2000 to 9.7% in 2018). This decline was most pronounced in South and Central Asia, where the share of high and medium tech manufacturing dipped to 9.5% in 2018 from 36.1% in 2000. The decline in this subregion was, however, offset by an increase in the share of business services, which surged from 28.0% in 2000 to 48.1% in 2018.

Figure 1.2: Sector Value-Added by Subregion, 2000 and 2018
(in \$ millions and % of GVA)

GVA = gross value added.

Note: South and Central Asia includes Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyz Republic, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka. Southeast Asia and the Pacific includes Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Fiji, Indonesia, the Lao People's Democratic Republic, Maldives, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, and Viet Nam. East Asia includes the People's Republic of China; Hong Kong, China; Japan; Mongolia; the Republic of Korea; and Taipei, China.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

Figure 1.3 shows the nominal values of each subregion's GVA in 2000 and 2018, as well as their corresponding compounded annual growth rates between the two years. In terms of nominal GVC, East Asia had the largest economies. Within the subregion, the primary sector had the highest compounded growth rate (9.4%) between 2000 and 2018, as other sectors only grew at rates between 5.5% and 6.7% between the two years. In Southeast Asia and the Pacific, the services and low tech manufacturing sectors grew at impressive rates of 9.0% and 8.7%, respectively, compounded each year. Meanwhile, the growth of the high and medium tech manufacturing sector in Southeast Asia and the Pacific lagged by a couple of percentage points behind the low tech manufacturing sector, possibly due to maturing regional value chains for electronics and transport equipment. The same service- and manufacturing-led growth was observed in South and Central Asia as business services grew at 11.0% each year, followed by public and personal services at 9.4%, high and medium tech manufacturing at 9.1%, and low tech at 9.0%.

Figure 1.3: Sector Value-Added by Subregion, 2000 and 2018 (in \$ millions and % CAGR)

CAGR = compounded annual growth rate.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

Figure 1.4 presents the shares of each sector within an economy to the total GVA of the said economy for 2000 and 2018. Points above the 45-degree line represent sectors which increased their shares in GVA between the two years (i.e., the sector value-added share in 2018 is greater than the share in 2000), whereas points below the line represent those which had declining shares between the period. Points on the diagonal line represent sectors with shares in GVA in 2000 not significantly different from their corresponding shares in 2018.

The relatively larger number of blue points above the 45-degree line implies a general increase in the share of business services in GVA over time. Meanwhile, significant declines in the share of agriculture value-added were observed for most economies in the Asia and the Pacific region (green points below the line). Brunei Darussalam and Thailand were exceptions, as they retained their respective agriculture value-added shares close to their 2000 values. Some manufacturing activities had declining shares of value-added in the economy as well, most notably light manufacturing activities (yellow points below the line). This decline was more pronounced among economies that shifted toward other manufacturing activities increasingly supported by services. Driving the growth in the share of services value-added is the rapid expansion of the construction, travel, and tourism sectors, consistent with the demand-side observation of consumption-driven, export-oriented, and investment-led (mainly construction) growth in the region.

Figure 1.4: Shares of Total Economy Value-Added by Economy-Sector, 2000 and 2018
(% of GVA)

GVA = gross value added.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

This pattern of structural transformation observed in the region – whereby agriculture’s share of output and employment first declines as the manufacturing industry’s share grows, followed by a decline in the share of manufacturing as services become more dominant due to “deindustrialization” – is similar to the experience of developed economies (ADB 2020).

1.2 Economic Diversification

The shifts in productive activities determine, to some extent, the level of diversification in a given economy. This analysis is particularly important for mineral- and commodity-based economies, which traditionally produce and export a very limited range of products. As the external environment becomes more volatile, the focus on extractive activities exacerbates risks from commodity cycles. Thus, over the years, diversification strategies for broader-based and more sustainable growth have attracted policy attention. Three diversification measures are presented in Figure 1.5; their definitions and calculation methods can be found in Appendix 3. In 2000, the economic activities of Brunei Darussalam, the Lao PDR, and the Kyrgyz Republic were more concentrated (less diversified) than other economies, regardless of the concentration index referred to. By 2018, the Lao PDR and the Kyrgyz Republic have significantly diversified their activities, whereas Brunei Darussalam further increased its concentration (except when using the Theil index). The trend for most developing economies in the region has been toward diversification, while that for the most developed economies such as Singapore; Taipei, China; Hong Kong, China; the Republic of Korea; and Japan has been toward more concentration.

1.3 Structural Decomposition

Within nearly two decades, many economies in Asia and the Pacific made notable changes in their respective economic structures, as undoubtedly influenced by numerous policies and market factors. From an input–output perspective, the structure of demand can be used to explain the drivers of these changes in production. Input–output tables (IOTs) and thus, analyses based on them, rest on the basic identity that demand is equal to supply. Hence, observing how demand has changed can provide insights into how production has been altered as well. The granular and comprehensive information in IOTs enables changes in output to be decomposed¹ into individual components contributing to the change.

Figure 1.6 describes the contributions of consumption, investment, inventories (stock), trade (import substitution and exports), and technology to the growth of output or production for various sectors. For many sectors in the Asia and Pacific region, domestic consumption contributed the most to production. From 2010 to 2018 or the period after the 2008 global financial crisis (post-crisis), private consumption increased output by as much 6.8% annually. Private consumption contributed the least in the construction industry, where demand is normally accounted for as investment. Aside from private consumption, exports also had a generally positive contribution to output growth in the region, especially in the mining and light manufacturing sectors. However, the role of exports from 2010 to 2018 weakened compared to 2000 to 2007, the period before the 2008 global financial crisis (pre-crisis).

¹ This method is formally known as structural decomposition analysis (Appendix 3).

Figure 1.5: Aggregate Measures of Value-Added Economic Diversification by Economy, 2000 and 2018

HH = Hirschman-Herfindahl concentration index; TH = Theil concentration index; GN = Gini concentration index; BAN = Bangladesh; BHU = Bhutan; BRU = Brunei Darussalam; CAM = Cambodia; FIJ = Fiji; HKG = Hong Kong, China; IND = India; INO = Indonesia; JPN = Japan; KAZ = Kazakhstan; KGZ = Kyrgyz Republic; KOR = Republic of Korea; LAO = Lao People's Democratic Republic; MAL = Malaysia; MLD = Maldives; MON = Mongolia; NEP = Nepal; PAK = Pakistan; PHI = Philippines; PRC = People's Republic of China; SIN = Singapore; SRI = Sri Lanka; TAP = Taipei, China; THA = Thailand; VIE = Viet Nam.

Note: Lighter shaded markers = 2000, darker shaded markers = 2019. Higher values indicate greater concentration.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

Post-crisis, the roles that consumption, exports, and investments played in facilitating output growth in the region seemed to have been muted. Pre-crisis, these traditional demand components had higher (if not equal) impacts on growth across all sectors. Technology changes negatively impacted energy sectors (i.e., mining and utilities), light manufacturing, and agriculture post-crisis, but they sustained their positive contribution to heavy manufacturing and business services. This pattern is indicative of the higher use of services and heavy manufacturing inputs in production processes relative to traditional resource- and light-manufacturing-based products. The position that these industries take along the domestic value chain may have also influenced the pattern—often, energy

Figure 1.6: Drivers of Output Changes by Sector, 2000–2007 and 2010–2018 (% Output Growth)

continued on next page

[Click here for figure data](#)

Figure 1.6 continued

Pre-2008 crisis = 2000 to 2007; post-crisis = 2010 to 2018.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

sectors, agriculture, and light manufacturing are upstream² suppliers to other productive activities in the economy. The consumption of inputs from more downstream sectors could also indicate that the region is gradually moving toward higher value-added activities in downstream segments of value chains.

The conventional use of the term “technology” may be understood from the context of a sector’s use of productive inputs. Historically, IOTs contain values in physical terms, such that the production of a car is described by the amount of steel used, the type of engine, the amount of rubber, etc. Aside from describing a sector’s production “recipe,” IOTs also show the interindustrial demand for inputs. Therefore, the present technology or production techniques applied by an industry partly determine the output needed from other sectors. Today, the technology originally described by Leontief is more aptly termed as accounting coefficients since IOTs are often compiled in monetary terms for practical reasons.

A closer look at the impacts of each sector’s production technologies are shown in Figure 1.7. Red markers (●) show how much a sector’s output is affected by changes in the technologies of other sectors, whereas blue markers (●) show how much a change in a sector’s technology affects the output of other sectors. In the decade following the 2008 global financial crisis, outputs in sectors such as construction, wholesale and retail trade, and hotels and restaurants were most negatively impacted by the changes in other sectors’ technologies. This implies that the outputs of these sectors were less demanded as inputs to the production of other sectors in the economy. Meanwhile, the demand for resource-based sectors (i.e., mining and light manufacturing) remained relatively substantial post-crisis. Technological changes in the construction sector appear to have benefitted other sectors the most in the post-crisis period. Before and after the crisis, technological demand from hotels and restaurants stimulated the production of other sectors the most (i.e. post and telecommunications pre-crisis and construction post-crisis)—a likely result of Asia’s tourism boom early in the 21st century. The shift from agriculture to manufacturing can also be gleaned from Figure 1.7. Post-crisis, agriculture’s output declined due to changes in the technologies of other sectors, most notably due to falling demand from hotels and restaurants, light manufacturing, and from within the agriculture sector itself. Mining’s output was also constrained by weaker demand from its traditional buyers in the manufacturing and construction sectors.

1.4 Economic Complexity

The discussion on technology lays the foundation for measuring economic complexity. From an input–output perspective, the complexity of a sector’s production may be understood from the combination of inputs it uses. To produce the same output, two sectors may each use a different combination of inputs. If sector *a* produces the same output using a narrower range of inputs, while sector *b* uses a more diverse set of inputs, the production complexity of sector *b* is higher than that of sector *a*. Production complexity is a way of gauging a sector’s relative importance in the economy, beyond simple measures of value-added shares.

² Upstream segments of the value chain pertain to producers further away from final demand, whereas downstream segments consist of producers closer to the final stage of production and thus, final consumption. Upstream producers typically include suppliers and processors of raw materials and commodities, while downstream producers usually include firms involved in assembly, distribution, and other consumer-facing services. The relative position of a firm in the value chain is referred to as its upstreamness or downstreamness (Antràs and Chor, 2017).

Figure 1.7: Impacts of Technological Changes on Output by Sector, 2000–2007 and 2010–2018
(% Output Growth)

AHF = agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing; BUS = business services including real estate; CON = construction; EHS = education and health services; FIN = finance and insurance; HMF = heavy manufacturing; HRS = hotel and restaurant services; LMF = light manufacturing; MIN = mining and quarrying; OSV = other services; PAD = public administration; TEL = post and telecommunications; TRD = wholesale and retail trade; TSP = transport services; UTL = utilities.

Note: Lighter shaded markers = 2000–2007 (pre-2008 crisis); darker shaded markers = 2010–2018 (post-2008 crisis).

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

Production complexity also describes the number and magnitude of intersectoral connections in the economy—a sector acquires its inputs from within the same sector or from other sectors, creating direct links or connections between itself (as a purchasing sector) and the producing sectors. As such, economic complexity can also be interpreted as the level of interdependence between the different sectors of an economy. The use of a larger amount and a wider variety of inputs from other sectors creates more linkages and thus makes for a higher level of economic complexity.

Several measures of complexity are underscored in the existing literature. Each of these measures attempts to capture and summarize in a single statistic the interdependencies of sectors. Though their values differ, many among these measures use the Leontief inverse model and the matrix of intermediate transactions in their respective calculations. Expectedly, most tests of correlation between different measures of aggregate complexity in Figure 1.8 show strong positive relationships. However, two measures—the index of indirect interrelatedness and the percentage of above-average technical coefficients, both designed to place bias toward relatively high intermediate flows—are weakly correlated with other aggregate measures.³

³ Sources, definitions, and specific formulas for the measures are detailed in Appendix 3. The index of indirect interrelatedness measures the additional amount of intersectoral connections necessary to bring output to an equilibrium (Yan and Ames 1963), while the percentage of above-average coefficients counts the number of sectoral linkages that have higher-than-normal coefficients. The latter measure tends to be biased toward qualitatively high coefficients, rather than on linkages that have quantitatively more sectors participating. The index of indirect interrelatedness, on the other hand, is a subcomponent of the “overall sensitivity of the economy.” In this measure, the direct connections tend to correlate more with other complexity measures than the indirect element.

Figure 1.8: Correlation between Aggregate Measures of Economic Complexity, 2000–2018

Note: The graph shows the visual correlations of several aggregate measures of complexity as suggested in the relevant literature.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

The rank correlations in Figure 1.9 show that economic complexity measures considering all intersectoral flows irrespective of their relative magnitudes are more highly correlated with economic size i.e., gross domestic product. The People's Republic of China (PRC) and the Republic of Korea generally scored high on these measures, while countries like the Lao PDR and Bhutan had lower complexity indexes.

Figure 1.9: Rank Correlation between Gross Domestic Product and Select Measures of Interrelatedness, 2007–2018

GDP = gross domestic product.

Note: The graph shows the Spearman rank correlations of complexity and GDP size of economies in Asia and the Pacific from 2007 to 2018. Spearman rank correlations of GDP against the average of the Leontief inverse, percentage intermediate transactions, mean path lengths, and average propagation lengths are 0.7123, 0.7045, 0.7045, and 0.4776, respectively. Data for each subregion are distinguished by color: economies in East Asia are represented by green dots; Southeast Asia and the Pacific by blue dots; and South and Central Asia by orange dots.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

Patterns of economic complexity are further investigated by studying intermediate flows in the input–output transactions matrix Z , re-expressed as important coefficients in Table 1.2 (a). A color gradient is applied to the table: cells with values above the row-wise average are shaded and their darkness increases with distance from the average. This distinguishes the highest intermediate flows from each row sector to all the column sectors or, succinctly, which purchasing sectors (columns) are most dependent on inputs from the producing sector (row). Meanwhile, Table 1.2 (b) presents the upper threshold or the tolerable limit of each cell in the transactions matrix Z , interpreted as the percentage change in the corresponding intermediate transaction required to induce a one percentage point change in the economy's gross output. Lower thresholds imply that output is more sensitive to the changes in the particular cell.

Considered together, Tables 1.2 (a) and 1.2 (b) suggest that higher magnitudes of intermediate flows tend to be associated with higher thresholds, while lower magnitudes likely correspond to lower thresholds. This implies that, while some intersectoral flows are quantitatively important because of their larger size, output levels will ultimately be determined by the overall dynamics of intersectoral demand and not just the relative magnitude of flows. Changes in more complex sectors with small yet diversified intermediate transactions can potentially impact the production levels of a given sector more than concentrated sectors with large but narrowly diversified input flows. In other words, a producing sector using a relatively narrow range of inputs is more likely to be associated with lower levels of economic activity than a complex producing sector using a wide range of inputs from several sectors.

Observed across time, most measures of complexity move in the opposite direction of economic growth. In Figure 1.10 economic complexity in the region for 2009 and 2018 across measures are compared. Complexity was higher in 2009 (blue bars), which was a period of economic contraction following the 2008 global financial crisis. When consumption and investment are low, producers probably increase intersectoral transactions to compensate for the slump or to manage the risks of the economic downturn and weak external environment. Even when intersectoral flows during contractions are low and reactionary, output can still be sensitive to these linkages, as previous findings show. Thus, domestic production chains can serve as mechanisms through which equilibrium is restored in the economy—diversifying during a slowdown and relaxing during economic recovery. This highlights the role of domestic value chains in stimulating growth during periods of negative to low growth.

Table 1.2: Important Coefficients and Their Respective Upper Thresholds, 2018
(%)

a. Important Coefficients															
	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP	TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV
AHF	3.6	0.1	2.1	1.5	0.3	0.3	2.0	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1
MIN	0.1	1.2	0.6	2.2	1.2	0.6	1.1	0.1	0.9	0.2	0.5	1.6	0.0	0.1	0.2
LMF	6.6	0.6	6.4	1.5	1.1	0.4	3.6	0.2	1.1	0.2	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.2
HMF	0.2	3.3	1.1	7.4	1.2	0.2	2.8	0.1	1.1	0.2	0.6	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.2
UTL	0.2	1.9	0.6	2.4	5.2	1.0	1.8	0.1	0.9	0.3	0.9	1.1	0.1	0.0	0.3
CON	0.3	1.1	4.2	4.0	0.3	4.5	2.6	0.2	1.2	0.3	0.9	1.2	0.1	0.0	0.1
TRD	0.1	0.1	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.9	1.3	0.4	1.8	0.8	1.6	2.3	0.2	0.1	0.3
HRS	3.9	0.1	6.5	0.6	1.4	0.6	2.8	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.8	1.6	0.1	0.0	0.4
TSP	0.2	0.2	0.7	3.6	0.3	0.5	1.9	0.4	3.0	0.7	1.2	1.5	0.1	0.0	0.3
TEL	0.4	0.1	0.9	1.4	0.9	0.5	1.2	0.4	0.9	3.6	1.0	2.8	0.4	0.1	0.6
FIN	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.9	5.0	2.3	0.1	0.1	0.4
BUS	0.0	0.1	0.8	0.8	0.6	1.5	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.7	1.5	2.8	0.1	0.1	0.5
PAD	0.5	0.1	0.9	0.9	0.8	1.5	1.0	0.6	1.1	0.7	1.1	1.9	0.4	0.9	0.4
EHS	0.5	0.1	1.1	1.9	0.8	0.7	1.2	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.8	1.2	0.2	0.5	0.3
OSV	0.2	0.1	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.9	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	2.5	0.2	0.1	2.4
b. Upper Threshold															
	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP	TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV
AHF	11	0	35	1	0	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
MIN	0	3	8	30	8	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
LMF	3	0	19	4	1	9	3	6	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
HMF	3	2	5	26	2	10	2	1	4	1	0	1	1	2	1
UTL	2	4	11	12	16	3	5	5	2	2	2	4	4	4	2
CON	0	1	1	1	1	13	1	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	0
TRD	3	1	11	8	1	6	3	2	3	0	0	1	1	1	1
HRS	1	1	2	2	0	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	2	2	1
TSP	1	2	6	6	1	5	7	2	8	1	1	2	2	1	1
TEL	1	1	3	4	1	3	8	2	4	9	5	5	4	2	2
FIN	2	3	6	5	1	5	10	3	5	1	25	7	3	2	1
BUS	1	2	3	4	1	3	8	2	3	2	3	7	3	2	2
PAD	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
EHS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
OSV	1	1	2	3	1	1	3	3	1	1	1	4	2	2	7

AHF = agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing; BUS = business services including real estate; CON = construction; EHS = education and health services; FIN = finance and insurance; HMF = heavy manufacturing; HRS = hotel and restaurant services; LMF = light manufacturing; MIN = mining and quarrying; OSV = other services; PAD = public administration; TEL = post and telecommunications; TRD = wholesale and retail trade; TSP = transport services; UTL = utilities.

Note: *Important coefficients* are defined as the percentage share of an intersectoral transaction to the total sum of intermediate use. These coefficients are averaged across all 25 economies in Asia and the Pacific. Higher values indicate higher shares in relative to other cells. On the other hand, *upper thresholds* indicate the amount of change required in a specific intersectoral transaction (or “cell”) to induce a one percentage change in the economy’s gross output. Smaller values indicate more sensitive and/or important (i.e., impactful) cells.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

Figure 1.10: Aggregate Measures of Complexity, 2009 and 2018 Indexed Values
(%)

Note: Dots represent the arithmetic averages across economies of the complexity measures from 2000 to 2018. Red-orange bars represent the average complexity in 2018 relative to 2000 values, while blue bars represent the average complexity in 2009 relative to the same benchmark year 2000.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

1.5 Multipliers and Intersectoral Linkage Analysis

Among its many analytical contributions, input–output analysis can also be used to evaluate the impact of critical changes in the macroeconomy, such as demand shocks or rising labor costs, on the overall production levels of the economy. In particular, within an input–output system, an economy is modeled such that the demand in one of its sectors could impact demands (and therefore outputs) in other sectors, through existing connections between them at one or more levels. For example, if a car manufacturer anticipates a decline in sales, it might adjust its production level downwards, in accordance with the reduced demand. This lower production will not only impact the automotive factory or the industry it belongs to, but also other sectors in its supply chain as well. The first degree of impact is felt by the manufacturer’s immediate suppliers who, because of lower demand, might have to reduce their own output. These suppliers in turn will also likely cut back on purchases of inputs from their respective suppliers, and so on through more and more upstream suppliers in the supply chain. This chain reaction will have knock-on effects on sectors that indirectly transact with the originally impacted sector. The summary of these reactions is uniquely captured in the Leontief model.

The model starts with the assumption that interindustry flows from sector i to sector j depend entirely on the production level of sector i . For example, a manufacturer producing an aircraft worth \$1,000,000 in a specific year uses steel inputs worth \$200,000 in the process. This intermediate flow z_{ij} from sector i to sector j serves two purposes: (i) directly linking two sectors in the economy, and (ii) explicitly establishing the relationship between input and output. This linkage is operationalized in the input–output model by taking the ratio of the value of steel (input) used to yield the value of the aircraft (output)—i.e., $a_{ij} = \frac{\$200,000}{\$1,000,000} = 0.2$. In the example, \$0.20 worth of steel is required to produce \$1 worth of aircraft. This input to output ratio is called a technical coefficient (a_{ij}) and, given its underlying calculation, it can be used to determine how much a reduction or an expansion in the output of the purchasing sector can affect the demand for input or, accordingly, the output of the supplying sector. If it takes \$0.20 of steel to produce \$1 of aircraft, then doubling the aircraft production would entail increasing the steel requirement to \$400,000 (\$2,000,000 of aircraft $\times$ \$0.2 steel per \$ of aircraft). The ratio between input and output remains fixed in the Leontief model, regardless of the level of production, consistent with the assumption of constant returns to scale. Thus, the technical coefficients that describe the production structure (or “recipe”) of a given economic activity are assumed to be stable in an input–output system.

Apart from their economic meaning, the intermediate flows serve an important accounting purpose as well. By properly accounting for these inputs, total production (or gross domestic product) can be compiled without double-counting the output that was used as inputs to other production processes. To illustrate using the same steel–aircraft example, double-counting occurs when the aircraft industry’s \$1,000,000 worth of output is taken at face value and aggregated with the steel industry’s \$200,000 worth of output, even though the latter is already reflected in the aircraft industry’s output value. Since steel is not exactly produced by the aircraft manufacturer, the national accountant only wishes to consider the *value* that was *added* by the manufacturer to produce its output. By deducting the intermediate inputs from the gross output, the sector’s gross value-added can be derived without double-counting.

Returning to the model, output x_i of any sector i is simply the sum of all its sales to industries $\sum_{j=1}^n z_{ij}$, and final consumers $\sum_{k=1}^k f_{ik}$ with k categories (broadly, consumption, government, investment, inventories or stocks, and exports). By aggregating final demand across k categories, a column vector f can be derived with $n \times 1$ dimensions. In matrix format, the output of any sector becomes $x = Z + f$, where x is a $n \times 1$ column vector of output, Z is the $n \times n$ matrix of intermediate transactions, and f is an $n \times 1$ column vector of final demand. Re-expressing elements in Z as technical coefficients (or input to output ratios) yields $x = Ax + f$, where A is the $n \times n$ technical coefficients matrix with elements a_{ij} . Rearranging the terms yields the Leontief inverse matrix L :

$$\begin{aligned} x &= Ax + f \\ x - Ax &= f \\ (I-A)x &= f \\ (I-A)^{-1} (I-A)x &= (I-A)^{-1} f \\ Ix &= (I-A)^{-1} f \\ x &= (I-A)^{-1} f \\ \text{where } (I-A)^{-1} &= L = [l_{ij}] \text{ and thus, } x = Lf \end{aligned}$$

The well-known equation Lf establishes a clear relationship between output and final demand values for each sector. If the household sector has a predictable demand for \$100 million worth of food products, then the food manufacturing sector automatically accounts this as a “direct impact” from the household sector and adjusts its production levels accordingly to accommodate the demand. The food manufacturing sector, to meet the final demand for its products, will in turn require raw supplies from the agriculture sector. This creates additional production of agricultural products on top of the initial production of food products directly motivated by household demand. The second-order effect (on agriculture) is considered an indirect impact of household demand. Aggregating direct and indirect impacts generates the “total” impact of final demand.

The total impacts (direct and indirect) are quantified in the Leontief inverse just described, i.e., $[l_{ij}]$. Expressing the Leontief model $x = Lf$ as a linear equation: $x_i = l_{i1}f_1 + l_{ij}f_j + \dots + l_{in}f_n$, distinguishes the term $l_{ij}f_j$, which represents the impact of final demand f_j on the output of sector i . The total output of sector i is thus the sum of the impacts across all sectors’ final demand. The process of multiplying l_{ij} to final demand f_j to derive total impacts characterizes the elements of Leontief inverse as “multipliers.” A summation of the i th row of the Leontief inverse matrix yields the total impacts of all sectors’ final demand on the output of sector i , which also represents the sensitivity of sector i ’s production to the demands of every sector in the economy. Meanwhile, the sum of the j th column of the Leontief inverse matrix equates to the total impacts of sector j ’s final demand to the overall output of the economy, also indicating the strength of sector j ’s demand-pull effect on the economy. A column sum of the Leontief inverse is known as the *simple output multiplier*.⁴

In Asia and the Pacific, output multipliers are particularly strong in industries that contemporary economies consider as “critical infrastructure” industries (Table 1.3). These sectors include the light and heavy manufacturing, utilities sectors and, to a lesser but still significant extent, sectors involved in travel and connectivity, such as

⁴ Extensions and other variants of multipliers are explained in Appendix 3.

hotels and restaurants, transport services, and telecommunications. The multipliers in Table 1.3 indicate that, on average, a \$1 demand in any of these sectors could generate up to \$1.55 worth of production. The extra \$0.55 is attributable to the indirect production required throughout the economy, since supplying sectors themselves demand inputs as well. In this sense, the intersectoral demand generated within production chains “multiplies” the direct production required to satisfy a dollar worth of demand.

Table 1.3: Simple Output Multipliers in Asia and the Pacific, 2018

	Sectors															
	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP	TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	
BAN	1.4	1.1	1.9	1.6	1.5	1.9	1.2	1.9	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.2	
BHU	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.7	1.3	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.4	
BRU	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.8	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.1	
CAM	1.2	1.3	1.7	1.4	1.8	1.4	1.5	1.8	1.4	1.6	1.2	1.7	1.6	1.3	1.4	
FIJ	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.6	1.3	1.5	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.1	
HKG	1.3	1.0	1.4	1.4	1.3	2.1	1.5	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.5	
IND	1.4	1.6	2.2	2.1	1.7	2.0	1.2	1.8	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.0	1.3	1.3	
INO	1.2	1.4	1.8	1.7	2.2	2.0	1.4	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.8	
JPN	1.8	1.6	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	
KAZ	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.7	1.6	1.4	
KGZ	2.3	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.7	1.3	1.7	1.4	1.2	4.8	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.5	
KOR	1.8	1.7	2.2	2.1	1.7	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.9	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.9	
LAO	1.1	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.6	1.3	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.3	
MAL	1.6	1.2	2.3	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.6	2.0	1.9	2.1	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.8	
MLD	1.4	1.0	1.8	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.4	
MON	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.3	1.7	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.3	
NEP	1.3	1.2	1.8	1.5	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.9	1.1	1.8	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.6	
PAK	1.4	1.2	1.9	1.7	2.4	1.8	1.2	2.0	1.6	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.6	1.3	1.3	
PHI	1.5	1.3	2.0	1.7	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.6	
PRC	1.9	2.2	2.9	3.1	3.0	3.0	1.8	2.5	2.3	1.8	1.6	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.1	
SIN	1.5	1.0	1.7	1.5	1.4	2.1	1.4	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.8	1.4	1.4	
SRI	1.3	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.6	
TAP	1.6	1.4	1.8	1.6	1.2	1.7	1.3	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	
THA	1.5	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.7	2.0	1.6	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.4	1.7	1.5	1.7	1.5	
VIE	1.8	1.5	2.1	1.8	1.3	1.8	1.4	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.5	

AHF = agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing; BAN = Bangladesh; BHU = Bhutan; BRU = Brunei Darussalam; BUS = business services including real estate; CAM = Cambodia; CON = construction; EHS = education and health services; FIJ = Fiji; FIN = finance and insurance; HKG = Hong Kong, China; HMF = heavy manufacturing; HRS = hotel and restaurant services; IND = India; INO = Indonesia; JPN = Japan; KAZ = Kazakhstan; KGZ = Kyrgyz Republic; KOR = Republic of Korea; LAO = Lao People's Democratic Republic; LMF = light manufacturing; MAL = Malaysia; MIN = mining and quarrying; MLD = Maldives; MON = Mongolia; NEP = Nepal; OSV = other services; PAD = public administration; PAK = Pakistan; PHI = Philippines; PRC = People's Republic of China; SIN = Singapore; SRI = Sri Lanka; TAP = Taipei, China; TEL = post and telecommunications; THA = Thailand; TRD = wholesale and retail trade; TSP = transport services; UTL = utilities; VIE = Viet Nam.

Note: Cells with figures in bold indicate that the values are above their respective row averages. Meanwhile, a color gradient is applied to distinguish the highest row values from the lowest, with the lowest having the lightest shades and the highest, the darkest shades.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

Using input–output multipliers, the structure of production can be characterized based on the direct and indirect linkages existing within the economic system. Figure 1.11 visualizes the direct and indirect impacts of demand on the production of each sector in the regional economy. In 2018, mining and quarrying and utilities had the highest proportion of indirect production impacts compared to other sectors. Education and health and other services were straightforwardly demand-oriented, with a relatively small portion of production attributed to indirect production linkages. The output of both the heavy and light manufacturing sectors are notable while their respective shares of indirect production to output were nearly equal in 2018, the indirect impact from exports was larger in heavy manufacturing than in light manufacturing as export activities in the region generally involved more machinery and electronic products. While the share of agriculture in total output diminished over the years, its potential for inducing indirect production (\$2.2 trillion in 2018) was still higher than services sectors such as finance and insurance (\$1.8 trillion), construction (\$675 billion), and post and telecommunications (\$568 billion).

Figure 1.11: Direct and Indirect Production by Sectors in Asia and the Pacific, 2018

AHF = agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing; BUS = business services including real estate; CON = construction; EHS = education and health services; FIN = finance and insurance; HMF = heavy manufacturing; HRS = hotel and restaurant services; LMF = light manufacturing; MIN = mining and quarrying; OSV = other services; PAD = public administration; TEL = post and telecommunications; TRD = wholesale and retail trade; TSP = transport services; UTL = utilities.

Note: Bars in dark blue shades (■) indicate the direct impacts of final demand. Bars in lighter shades of blue indicate the indirect impacts: (■) indicate the indirect impacts attributable to domestic final demand (e.g., sum of household consumption, government consumption, gross fixed capital formation, and changes in inventories); and (■) indicate the indirect impacts attributable to exports.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

1.6 Forward and Backward Linkages

In the input–output framework, the effect of a particular sector’s production on other industries in the economy can be measured from two perspectives: supply and use. The backward linkage of economy-sector j is a measure of its interconnectedness to the other economy-sectors from which its inputs to production are purchased, characterizing the use perspective. On the other hand, the forward linkage of economy-sector j quantifies its interconnectedness to other economy-sectors that purchase its outputs to serve as inputs to their respective production, representing the supply perspective.

Combined measures of backward and forward linkages help determine key sectors in an economy. *Key sectors* in this context refer to sectors with the highest influence in the system—i.e., sectors that are most capable of stimulating production in both upstream and downstream segments of their respective supply chains.⁵ For instance, if the backward linkage of economy-sector i is greater than that of economy-sector j , then increasing production in economy-sector i could induce higher economic activity from more sectors in its upstream chain than could increasing production in economy-sector j . Thus, a policy favoring sector i could potentially be more beneficial to the whole economy. Meanwhile, if the forward linkage of economy-sector j is greater than that of economy-sector k , then an increase in output of economy-sector j is more beneficial or stimulating because it induces an increase in production among sectors in its downstream supply chain.

In its simplest form, the direct backward linkage of economy-sector j can be measured by summing all the elements of the j th column of the input coefficient matrix A , $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}$ —i.e., the direct backward linkage is equivalent to the total value of all inputs required to produce \$1 worth of sector j ’s output. For comparability, the direct backward linkage of economy-sector j can be normalized. The normalized value is equal to the ratio of economy-sector j ’s direct backward linkage (as defined earlier) to the arithmetic mean of all the direct backward linkages in the economy—i.e.,

$$\overline{\text{BL}}(\text{d})_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}}{(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}}.$$

To measure both direct and indirect backward linkages, the Leontief inverse matrix L is used. Specifically, the total (direct and indirect) backward linkage of economy-sector j is equal to the sum of the elements in the j th column of the total requirements or Leontief inverse matrix—i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^n l_{ij}$.

⁵ Several linkage measures for identifying key sectors have been proposed such as in Rasmussen (1957), Hirschmann (1958), McGilvray (1977), Hewings (1982), and Miller and Blair (2009).

Meanwhile, the direct forward linkage of economy-sector i is defined as the sum of all the elements in the i^{th} row of the direct output coefficient matrix B —i.e., $\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}$. The output coefficient matrix B is derived by dividing each element of the intermediate transactions matrix to its corresponding row total or output. The normalized form of the direct forward linkage of economy-sector i , defined as the ratio of its forward linkage to the arithmetic mean of all the forward linkages in the economy, is given by:

$$\overline{\text{FL}}(d)_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}}{(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}}.$$

For measuring both direct and indirect forward linkages, the Ghosh inverse matrix G is used. The total (direct and indirect) forward linkage of economy-sector i is equal to the sum of the elements in the i^{th} row of the Ghosh inverse matrix G —i.e., $\sum_{j=1}^n g_{ij}$. The Ghosh inverse is the supply-side model analog of the Leontief inverse, defined as $G = (I - B)^{-1}$ in matrix form.

The combined measures of normalized backward and forward linkages of sectors in the economy can be used as a metric to identify key sectors. Numerically, a sector is considered key if both its normalized backward and forward linkage measures have values greater than 1. Economy-sectors are classified according to their dependence on other sectors as given by their respective normalized linkage measures: as (I) generally independent of other sectors when both measures are less than 1; (II) generally dependent on other sectors when both measures are greater than 1; (III) dependent on interindustry supply when the backward measure is greater than 1 but the forward measure is less than 1; and (IV) dependent on interindustry demand when the forward measure is greater than 1 but the backward measure is less than 1. This four-way classification is summarized in Table 1.4.

Table 1.4: Classification of Key Sectors Using Forward and Backward Linkages

		Forward Linkage	
		Low (<1)	High (>1)
Backward Linkage	Low (<1)	(I) Generally independent	(II) Dependent on interindustry demand
	High (>1)	(IV) Dependent on interindustry supply	(III) Generally dependent

Source: R. Miller and P. Blair. 2009. *Input–Output Analysis: Foundations and Extensions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Figures 1.12 (a) and 1.12 (b) show the normalized forward and backward linkages of sectors of the Asia and Pacific regional economy for 2000 and 2018, respectively. For simplicity, the linkage measures are averaged over all 25 economies covered in this report. Two intersecting lines corresponding to the mean value of 1 for both the normalized forward and backward linkages are placed for ease of classification. In 2000, public administration, education, health, and other services sectors were generally independent of other sectors. Meanwhile, use-oriented light and heavy manufacturing sectors, transport services, utilities, and financial intermediation sectors were generally dependent on other sectors for their inputs. From 2000 to 2018, transport and finance sectors became less dependent on interindustry supply but remained highly dependent on interindustry demand. This is expected as transport services and financial intermediation

Figure 1.12: Forward and Backward Linkages in Asia and the Pacific, 2000 and 2018

AHF = agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing; BUS = business services including real estate; CON = construction; EHS = education and health services; FIN = finance and insurance; HMF = heavy manufacturing; HRS = hotel and restaurant services; LMF = light manufacturing; MIN = mining and quarrying; OSV = other services; PAD = public administration; TEL = post and telecommunications; TRD = wholesale and retail trade; TSP = transport services; UTL = utilities.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

became more crucial in businesses (higher interindustrial supply), while requiring relatively fewer inputs than industrial counterparts (lower interindustrial demand). In both 2000 and 2018, the construction and hotel and restaurants sectors remained dependent on interindustry supply, while agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing; real estate, renting, and business activities; trade services, telecommunications; and mining and quarrying consistently depended on interindustry demand.

However, the strength of these linkages does not provide a full picture of an economy-sector's impact on an economy—whether the impact spreads to several sectors or to a select few also needs to be considered. The spread of these impacts is represented by the distribution of an economy-sector's interindustry flows across all sectors. If an economy-sector purchases (or sells) its inputs (or outputs) from (or to) several sectors, then its direct backward (or forward) linkages are considered diversified. However, if the economy-sector engages only with a narrow set of suppliers and buyers, then its linkages are concentrated. The degree of a linkage's concentration can describe the potential spread, dispersion, or spillover of a given impact.

The dispersion of these impacts is calculated using backward and forward concentration ratios (Figure 1.13). These values range from 0 (totally concentrated) to 1 (totally diversified). Results for Asia and the Pacific indicate a high dispersion of backward linkages. From 2000 to 2018, direct linkages were increasingly spread across other sectors, heightening the likelihood of spillovers across the economy. On the other hand, the results show a low dispersion of forward linkages: sales patterns to other sectors were generally less diversified, especially for business services where average ratios declined from their

Figure 1.13: Dispersion of Impacts from Direct Linkages in Asia and the Pacific, 2000–2018

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

2000 levels. While the business services sector requires inputs from various suppliers, demand for its output is consolidated among only a few buyers (e.g., manufacturing). Direct forward linkages in sectors other than business services spread across more sectors from 2000 to 2018. The dispersion of forward ratios for each observation (represented as dots) was more erratic than for backward ratios, implying that production patterns were more stable than sales patterns in the economies examined.

To analyze the impact of an economy-sector, both in terms of the strength and the spread of its linkages, a hypothetical extraction or insertion of the said sector can be modeled. These models estimate the total amount of production attributable to a specific sector—i.e., by measuring the change in the economy, all other things constant, when the sector is removed (hypothetical extraction) or when it is added (hypothetical insertion). This way, what is captured is not only the amount of value-added or output of the “extracted” or “inserted” sector but also the value-added or output it induces from other sectors that supply its inputs or use its outputs. For example, if an exporting sector is extracted from the economy, the extraction will not only affect the economy’s total exports but also the sector’s upstream suppliers in the production chain. Moreover, if the extracted sector happens to produce inputs for other sectors, then these downstream buyers would also be confronted with disrupted supplies. Thus, the use of hypothetical scenarios considers both direct and indirect linkages from forward and backward perspectives.

The counterfactuals created for measuring the impacts of a sector should, however, be treated as an accounting exercise rather than an actual scenario. If a critical sector, such as energy, is eliminated from the economy, the real-life impact would extend beyond what is simulated in the model, as it would most likely handicap the operability of many sectors. This could also happen to sectors whose production structures are rigid (i.e., without crucial inputs, production would halt). Nonetheless, the impacts imputed from the model provide notions of the importance of a sector by accounting for its production linkages.

Applying the hypothetical extraction model to each sector in the Asia and Pacific regional economy yields the results presented in Figure 1.14. Rows represent extracted sectors, while columns represent affected sectors from the scenario. Given the economic structure in 2018, the manufacturing and construction sectors were the most influential in the economy—i.e., their hypothetical extractions had the largest impacts on other sectors. The utilities and mining and quarrying sectors, as well as wholesale and retail trade sector, were particularly affected by the changes. Interestingly, agriculture was among the sectors least likely to induce negative impacts in the economy but was among the most-affected sectors if other industries were extracted from the economy. Specifically, agriculture was most sensitive to output changes in manufacturing, construction, and hotel and restaurant services. Services, meanwhile, had the least impact on other sectors’ production in the event of its extraction. Generally, the extraction impacts widened from 2000 to 2018, pointing to an increase in interdependence among sectors.

Reversing the analysis, the impact of inserting a new sector into the economy can be examined. Should new sectors appear in the economy, intersectoral demand for inputs would rise and new value chains would likely be created. Any new sector is assumed to have the same technology and production structure as existing industries.

Figure 1.14: Impacts of the Hypothetical Extraction of Key Sectors in Asia and the Pacific, 2018
(%)

AHF = agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing; BUS = business services including real estate; CON = construction; EHS = education and health services; FIN = finance and insurance; HMF = heavy manufacturing; HRS = hotel and restaurant services; LMF = light manufacturing; MIN = mining and quarrying; OSV = other services; PAD = public administration; TEL = post and telecommunications; TRD = wholesale and retail trade; TSP = transport services; UTL = utilities.

Note: Rows represent “extracted” sectors, while columns represent affected sectors from this scenario. Orange dots represent percentage change in output in the first quartile; yellow in the second quartile; green in the third quartile; and blue in the fourth quartile. Rows indicate the sector that was extracted, while columns indicate the affected sectors from extraction exercise. Area of circles describe the magnitude of the impact in 2000 and 2018.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

This impacts of hypothetically inserting each sector in the regional economy is summarized in Figure 1.15. As in Figure 1.14, the column sectors represent affected (existing) sectors, while the row sectors in the rows represent the newly inserted sectors. Similar to the extraction process, the light and heavy manufacturing sectors induced the most intersectoral demand when added to the subregional economy. Particularly, the

introduction of a heavy manufacturing sector would greatly affect mining, utilities, finance, and transport services. Light manufacturing industries, meanwhile, would mostly impact agriculture, mining, and utilities. New entrants in the telecommunications sector, albeit critical to the functioning of economies, were less likely to induce significant impacts than other sectors. If any, financial and business services sectors were most likely to benefit.

Figure 1.15: Impacts of the Hypothetical Insertion of New Sectors in Asia and the Pacific, 2018
(%)

AHF = agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing; BUS = business services including real estate; CON = construction; EHS = education and health services; FIN = finance and insurance; HMF = heavy manufacturing; HRS = hotel and restaurant services; LMF = light manufacturing; MIN = mining and quarrying; OSV = other services; PAD = public administration; TEL = post and telecommunications; TRD = wholesale and retail trade; TSP = transport services; UTL = utilities.

Note: Rows represent “inserted” sectors, while columns represent affected sectors from this scenario. Orange dots represent percentage change in output in the first quartile; yellow in the second quartile; green in the third quartile; and blue in the fourth quartile. Rows indicate the sector that was inserted, while columns indicate the affected sectors from insertion exercise. Area of circles describe the magnitude of the impact in 2000 and 2018.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

1.7 Conclusion

The indicators and analyses in this part demonstrate the various applications of input–output data. They are intended to describe the characteristics of domestic economies across Asia and the Pacific and determine the underlying relationships among their various sectors. Since 2000, dynamic changes in the structure of economies have been observed—there has been a shift from commodity-based production to more industrial and service-oriented activities and a rise in demand led by private consumption and exports. There have also been changes in the complexities of production technologies within economies. In a closed input–output system, shifts in demand induce changes in the supply-side components of the economy and vice versa. The range of indicators present the different channels through which changes in one sector may reverberate throughout the economy. The key linkage analysis illustrated that, as much as sectors can have growth-inducing effects on other sectors, negative changes can have potentially damaging impacts on other sectors as well. Other results indicate that a manufacturing decision to connect with the services supply chain could be as stimulating to the system as a direct investment.⁶

The input–output analyses applied to the domestic economy in this part may be extended to the external economy. With globalization and the fragmentation of production processes across the world, domestic input–output linkages can no longer fully explain economic trends. Domestic sectors are now connected with foreign producers and purchasers, forming value chains that cross international borders. Evidently, the Asia and Pacific region is increasingly becoming export-oriented. Within this increasingly globalized context, examining the international linkages of an economy is as important as a localized consideration of the input–output relations within its domestic borders. This international perspective is the subject of the next part of this publication.

⁶ This type of analysis is accomplished through a structural decomposition of the economy as presented in Figure 1.6. For some economies in the region, intersectoral connections showed higher impacts than investment. Details can be found in Part 2.

Key Messages

- Input–output tables (IOTs) provide a comprehensive picture of an economy by detailing how different components interact with each other to generate economic output. Contemporary applications of IOTs cover both statistical and analytical uses.
- IOTs are used to describe the historical structures of economies, the interrelatedness of sectors, and the impacts of changes in production technologies. Data on economies of Asia and the Pacific underscore the importance of key manufacturing sectors, construction, and trade.
- Measures of economic complexity point to economies diversifying or increasing their range of inputs for production from 2000 to 2018. However, economies relying heavily on primary extractive sectors struggled to transform their economic structure.
- Aside from the composition of final demand, an economy's structure can also be described in terms of the complexity of production. The mix of inputs, and therefore the dependency on other sectors, shows that larger economies tend to have more complex production structures.
- A producer's dependence on other sectors' inputs characterizes the strength of interindustrial linkages in an economic system. These linkages are the channels through which changes ripple across sectors belonging to the same or a related production chain. Within the Asia and Pacific region, the light and heavy manufacturing sectors, as well as the utilities sector, have significant linkages to other sectors.

INTERNATIONAL LINKAGES

This part discusses the growing need for input–output analyses across economies and geographic regions of the world. It presents a series of indicators relevant for measuring cross-border production linkages using international input–output tables. The discussion on domestic linkages is extended to a multi-economy context, to examine the interconnections that give rise to spillovers. This includes analyzing the trade structure of an economy, exploring its dependency on imports and exports in contrast to domestic production. The degree to which economies participate in global value chains (GVCs) will be explored, along with novel approaches to decompose exports based on value-added contributions.

Input–Output analyses have traditionally been applied on independent economy-specific input–output tables. While such analyses are important, globalization and the fragmentation of production processes across international borders necessitates the use of more comprehensive and internationally linked input–output tables. The heightened exchange of inputs from one economy for use in the production of another creates broader and increasingly complex trade and production patterns affected by both local and international changes in business operations and public policy. The expansion in intermediate flows is influenced by a myriad of factors, from technology, cost, and market access to trade policy.

While the exchange of inputs is as old as trade itself, statistical systems have long fallen short in properly accounting for intermediate trade. What is often captured is traditional trade that is entirely attributed to the final seller. These common measures do not say much about the extent to which the traded goods and services were actually created in the exporting economy, nor about what industries in which parts of the world contributed to their final value. Furthermore, they do not indicate whether imports were fully used in domestic consumption or employed as inputs by local industries for exports. As the exchange of goods and services becomes more incremental, the risk of double-counting increases, justifying the need for modern trade accounting practices.

Several statistical initiatives capture global interrelationships and value-added trade—most using combined information from economy-specific input–output tables and standard trade databases to construct international input–output tables. Examples of these include: the World Input–Output Database (WIOD) created by the University of Groningen, the Trade in Value-Added Database compiled by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, and the Eora global supply chain database maintained by the University of Sydney. One of the most common applications of these databases is to trace the value-added contributions of each economy, sector, or economy-sector to the production of a particular final product, or to the overall global production.

In a globally integrated economy, each trade flow can be composed of both domestic value-added and foreign value-added. For example, the value of a car with imported steel from the PRC and electronic components from Germany, which is finally assembled in Viet Nam for export to Australia, cannot be solely attributed to the exporting economy (Viet Nam) since multiple economies contributed to the final value of the product. As economies' value-added accumulates in subsequent trade flows, bilateral trade becomes a multilateral activity, creating implications for measuring the balance of trade.

International input-output tables detail the domestic and foreign inputs necessary for production, and trace the respective sources and destinations of their value-added.

2.1 Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables

A multi-economy or multi-regional input-output framework and the resulting multi-regional input-output tables (MRIOTs) are extensions of the economy-specific input-output framework and tables described in Part 1. In an MRIOT, each product is produced either by a domestic or foreign sector (Table 2.1). In a simplified schematic, economy 1's intermediate and final uses of products are split into domestically produced or imported commodities or services from economies 2 to G . The product's origin is further traced back to its producing industry, so that both the domestic and international flows of goods and services are combined in a comprehensive and integrated view of the global economy. The result is a rich database explicitly documenting links between economy-sectors.

Table 2.1: Simplified Multi-Regional Input-Output Table

		Intermediate Uses				Final Uses				Gross Outputs
		Economy 1	Economy 2	...	Economy G	1	2	...	G	
Intermediate Inputs	1	$\mathbf{Z}_{11}$	$\mathbf{Z}_{12}$	...	$\mathbf{Z}_{1G}$	$\mathbf{f}_{11}$	$\mathbf{f}_{12}$	...	$\mathbf{f}_{1G}$	$\mathbf{x}_1$
	2	$\mathbf{Z}_{21}$	$\mathbf{Z}_{22}$	...	$\mathbf{Z}_{2G}$	$\mathbf{f}_{21}$	$\mathbf{f}_{22}$	...	$\mathbf{f}_{2G}$	$\mathbf{x}_2$
	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	G	$\mathbf{Z}_{G1}$	$\mathbf{Z}_{G2}$	...	$\mathbf{Z}_{GG}$	$\mathbf{f}_{G1}$	$\mathbf{f}_{G2}$	...	$\mathbf{f}_{GG}$	$\mathbf{x}_G$
Value-Added		$\mathbf{v}_1'$	$\mathbf{v}_2'$	...	$\mathbf{v}_G'$					
Total Inputs		$\mathbf{x}_1$	$\mathbf{x}_2$	...	$\mathbf{x}_G$					

Note: $\mathbf{Z}_{ij}$ is the intermediate inputs used by economy j from economy i ; $\mathbf{f}_{ij}$ is the final goods consumed by economy j from economy i ; $\mathbf{v}_j$ is the value-added of economy j ; and $\mathbf{x}_j$ is the output of economy j .

Source: R. Miller and P. Blair. 2009. *Input Output Analysis: Foundations and Extensions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

The granularity and range of detail in these tables is a result of merging, harmonizing, and balancing data from several sources, such as national accounts, economy-specific supply-use and input-output tables, and international trade statistics. Often, national accounts are limited and additional work is required in compiling the national statistics needed for MRIOTs.

Since 2008, ADB has been maintaining MRIOTs and providing technical assistance to its member economies, promoting various statistical initiatives related to input–output accounting. An initial project resulted in the completion of 19 benchmark supply–use tables. These, together with the extant WIOD, served as the building blocks for the first set of ADB MRIOTs. Specifically, the WIOD, which then contained data on input–output transactions for 42 economies, was augmented using the 19 supply–use tables to cover the Asia and the Pacific region more broadly. ADB continues to build on the multi-regional input–output database, adding more economies and updating for recent years. Currently, the database has tables covering 63 economies for 13 years (i.e., 2000 and 2007–2018). The values in the MRIOTs may differ from official statistics, as some harmonization and transformation procedures were implemented on source data.⁷

The uses of MRIOTs, while diverse, are frequently applied in the analysis of international trade flows. General trends in international trade activity among economies in the region are summarized in Figure 2.1. Total trade in the Asia and Pacific region has grown significantly from 2000 levels, despite some temporary dips during periods of economic slowdown, such as the global financial crisis (GFC) in 2008 and other localized contractions in 2015 and 2016. From 2000 to 2018, the region’s exports grew at an annual average rate of 6.3%, while imports increased at an annual average rate of 6.9%. Accordingly, growth rates of trade fluctuated with production. In the last 2 years observed, 2017 and 2018, the level of trade rose, but its growth remained below the rates recorded prior to the 2008 crisis.

Using input–output analysis, imports and exports are disaggregated into domestic and foreign components or factor content (Figure 2.1). For Asia and the Pacific, foreign intermediates represented more than two-thirds (or 72%) of the total imports into the

Figure 2.1: Total Imports and Exports in Asia and the Pacific, 2000–2018 (\$ billion)

Note: “Total domestic factor content of exports” is the amount of domestic gross value-added in the economy that is attributable to foreign demand, while “total foreign factor content of demand” is the amount of foreign intermediates attributable to local demand.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

⁷ These procedures generally follow the construction of the World Input–output Database (Timmer et al. 2012). Details on the compilation of the 19 supply–use tables for Asia and the Pacific can be found in ADB (2017).

region from 2000 to 2018.⁸ This proportion has been growing since the 1990s, peaking in the early 2000s. The region's exports, on the other hand, were primarily composed of domestic factor content or economic contributions from domestic sectors, accounting for an average of 73% of total exports from 2000 to 2018. These ratios highlight the consistently strong involvement of domestic sectors in export production, as well as the increasing role of imports in production.

The region's dependence on imports for production, as well as the contributions of its exports to value chains, places its economies in a unique and influential position within the global production and trading network. On average, international trade activity in 2018 was 85% of gross domestic product in the region. This openness to trade, however, differed widely across individual economies, depending on their respective degrees of specialization. Figure 2.2 summarizes these varying degrees of trade openness from 2000 to 2018, with

Figure 2.2: Trade Openness in Asia and the Pacific, 2000–2018

	2000	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
SIN	2.19	2.32	2.46	2.02	2.15	2.42	2.29	2.23	2.23	2.08	1.98	2.18	2.24
MLD	1.50	1.61	1.48	1.76	1.38	1.74	1.49	1.50	1.59	1.49	1.50	1.44	1.44
MAL	2.17	1.90	1.74	1.61	1.57	1.53	1.46	1.41	1.42	1.32	1.27	1.34	1.29
VIE	1.00	1.02	1.05	1.07	1.19	1.25	1.21	1.27	1.31	1.37	1.41	1.53	1.51
TAP	0.98	1.28	1.31	1.09	1.30	1.34	1.30	1.26	1.27	1.13	1.11	1.17	1.23
KGZ	0.81	1.07	1.35	1.03	1.30	1.15	1.32	1.35	1.23	1.07	1.11	1.03	1.05
HKG	0.93	1.11	1.17	1.11	1.23	1.31	1.29	1.25	1.22	1.12	1.12	1.15	1.15
CAM	0.80	0.96	0.87	0.77	0.81	0.77	0.83	0.89	0.95	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94
MON	0.94	0.99	1.00	0.99	0.93	1.15	0.96	0.87	0.95	0.80	0.83	1.02	1.07
THA	0.90	0.97	1.05	0.93	0.94	1.03	1.00	0.97	0.95	0.90	0.84	0.91	0.91
FIJ	1.02	0.88	0.91	1.27	0.91	0.94	0.95	0.94	0.94	0.85	0.81	0.95	1.05
BRU	0.74	0.87	0.85	0.94	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.94	0.92	0.85	0.87	0.85	0.94
KOR	0.63	0.69	0.91	0.88	0.88	1.02	1.02	0.96	0.90	0.88	0.82	0.82	0.83
BHU	0.53	0.87	0.94	0.92	0.95	0.94	0.86	1.00	0.80	0.94	0.89	0.79	0.79
LAO	0.70	0.45	0.45	0.44	0.48	0.68	0.67	0.75	0.73	0.78	0.72	0.76	0.72
KAZ	0.95	0.92	0.91	0.76	0.74	0.78	0.78	0.67	0.62	0.53	0.59	0.59	0.59
INO	0.68	0.53	0.57	0.45	0.46	0.50	0.49	0.48	0.48	0.42	0.38	0.40	0.43
PHI	0.57	0.87	0.76	0.53	0.54	0.50	0.49	0.47	0.48	0.49	0.51	0.56	0.59
BAN	0.29	0.40	0.43	0.40	0.38	0.47	0.48	0.46	0.45	0.42	0.38	0.35	0.37
SRI	0.69	0.49	0.46	0.54	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.42	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.41	0.42
NEP	0.45	0.37	0.39	0.45	0.40	0.34	0.36	0.40	0.42	0.43	0.39	0.46	0.51
PRC	0.40	0.64	0.58	0.46	0.52	0.51	0.47	0.44	0.42	0.36	0.34	0.35	0.35
JPN	0.20	0.33	0.34	0.25	0.29	0.30	0.31	0.34	0.39	0.36	0.31	0.35	0.37
IND	0.26	0.42	0.41	0.36	0.39	0.40	0.40	0.38	0.35	0.30	0.29	0.29	0.29
PAK	0.26	0.30	0.33	0.37	0.30	0.32	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.28	0.26	0.29

BAN = Bangladesh; BHU = Bhutan; BRU = Brunei Darussalam; CAM = Cambodia; FIJ = Fiji; HKG = Hong Kong, China; IND = India; INO = Indonesia; JPN = Japan; KAZ = Kazakhstan; KGZ = Kyrgyz Republic; KOR = Republic of Korea; LAO = Lao People's Democratic Republic; MAL = Malaysia; MLD = Maldives; MON = Mongolia; NEP = Nepal; PAK = Pakistan; PHI = Philippines; PRC = People's Republic of China; SIN = Singapore; SRI = Sri Lanka; TAP = Taipei, China; THA = Thailand; VIE = Viet Nam.

Note: Darker shades of blue indicate higher degrees of trade openness, while darker shades of red-orange indicate lower degrees of trade openness.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

⁸ A refinement of this measure is presented in relation to vertical specialization.

cells in darker shades of blue implying higher degrees of trade openness, while cells in darker shades of red-orange imply lower degrees. Small island states, such as Singapore and Maldives, were more open to trade than their peers in the region. Conversely, large economies with sizable domestic markets and productive capacities, such as Japan and the PRC, were less open to trade. Among the subregions, economies in Southeast Asia and the Pacific had higher-than-average degrees of trade openness.

Trade openness also appears to be affected by global shocks, as evidenced by the decline in values during the initial years following the GFC and the trade collapse in 2015–2016. After these periods of contraction, the Asia and Pacific region rebounded, but not to the overall degree of openness that was evident prior to the GFC. While openness to trade increases exposure to external volatilities, integration into the global economy also improves access to markets, which can be leveraged to manage internal or economy-specific risks and capabilities. In the case of Maldives—where there is a limited domestic market for goods and services, scarcity in arable land, and a relatively weak manufacturing base—tourism and fish exports have been the two most significant sources of growth.

Openness to international trade is important from both demand and supply perspectives. While some economy-sectors rely crucially on imported goods as inputs, others depend on exports to international markets to sustain demand. Figure 2.3 presents the average export and import orientation of economies, through the export–gross output and import–total input ratios, respectively, for 2000 and 2018. Singapore had the highest export concentration in 2018, while Nepal ranked lowest in the region. Singapore likewise had the highest dependency on imports for inputs, while the PRC had the lowest dependence. Viet Nam and Malaysia notably had higher import and export ratios, implying a greater dependence on the external economy in general. Accordingly, Brunei Darussalam and Kazakhstan, both being major suppliers of energy commodities to the world market, had relatively high export–output ratios but rather low import–input ratios.

An economy's degree of dependence on external linkages can be conversely viewed as its degree of self-sufficiency. Self-sufficiency can be measured as the proportion of domestic output to domestic demand, which includes both intermediate consumption by industries and final demand by households, government, and investment. The resulting ratios are used to evaluate the capacities of economies to supply their own needs. The ratios for economies of Asia and the Pacific are displayed in Figure 2.4. Several economies from East and Southeast Asia had values greater than 1 (blue bars), implying that their production levels are more than enough to cover their respective domestic demand levels—i.e., they are highly self-sufficient. Upstream energy suppliers, such as Brunei Darussalam and Kazakhstan, had particularly high self-sufficiency ratios likely stemming from their very wide resource bases, especially relative to their small domestic markets. Economies with self-sufficiency ratios less than 1 (red bars) are often those with large consumer markets but highly specialized domestic production and, to address these supply gaps, they turn to imports of final goods and services.

Though informative, the domestic output–domestic demand ratio does not provide a complete picture of an economy's self-sufficiency. Though a high ratio value implies that a particular economy's production is enough to cover the value of its demand, so that its income is sufficient for its needs, the ratio value says nothing of an economy's product-level self-sufficiency. As economies specialize in certain types of production,

Figure 2.3: Export-Output Ratios and Import-input Ratios in Asia and the Pacific, 2000 and 2018 (%)

BAN = Bangladesh; BHU = Bhutan; BRU = Brunei Darussalam; CAM = Cambodia; FIJ = Fiji; HKG = Hong Kong, China; IND = India; INO = Indonesia; JPN = Japan; KAZ = Kazakhstan; KGZ = Kyrgyz Republic; KOR = Republic of Korea; LAO = Lao People's Democratic Republic; MAL = Malaysia; MLD = Maldives; MON = Mongolia; NEP = Nepal; PAK = Pakistan; PHI = Philippines; PRC = People's Republic of China; SIN = Singapore; SRI = Sri Lanka; TAP = Taipei, China; THA = Thailand; VIE = Viet Nam.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

Figure 2.4: Self-Sufficiency Ratios in Asia and the Pacific, 2018

BAN = Bangladesh; BHU = Bhutan; BRU = Brunei Darussalam; CAM = Cambodia; FIJ = Fiji; HKG = Hong Kong, China; IND = India; INO = Indonesia; JPN = Japan; KAZ = Kazakhstan; KGZ = Kyrgyz Republic; KOR = Republic of Korea; LAO = Lao People's Democratic Republic; MAL = Malaysia; MLD = Maldives; MON = Mongolia; NEP = Nepal; PAK = Pakistan; PHI = Philippines; PRC = People's Republic of China; SIN = Singapore; SRI = Sri Lanka; TAP = Taipei, China; THA = Thailand; VIE = Viet Nam.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

the variety of products demanded in the local economy may not be satisfied by what is available locally. However, the increased income from specialization and extensive exports enables economies to import products that are demanded but otherwise unavailable in their domestic markets. Resource-rich but highly specialized economies—Singapore, Brunei Darussalam, and Kazakhstan, for example—use their foreign exchange earnings from oil commodities to purchase imported products and satisfy domestic demand. The indicator is therefore useful in determining whether supply and demand gaps exist in an economy and, by extension, how imports could be crucial in meeting those demands, but it does not capture exactly where the gaps exist and what imports are most necessary to bridge them.

As GVCs developed, international trade increasingly involved intermediate rather than final products. The amount of intermediate trade reflected not only sourcing decisions, but also the production technologies related to specific types of output. With improvements in information and communication technologies, transport and

logistics services, and automation, the cost of importing commodities fell relative to the costs of producing them domestically. Many firms were therefore incentivized to source components from foreign counterparts, leading to increased trade flows among economies. These international flows of intermediate goods and services represent direct links between the production of two economies in a multi-regional input-output model.

Before looking into the role of imports, a first-order assessment of the relative levels of input dependence by industry is given in Figure 2.5. The fabrication effect is an indicator often used in regional science to measure the intensity of the input use of a sector in a specific region relative to the input use of the same sector in the entire economy. In the context of this report, the measure is applied to the intensity of input use in a particular sector of each economy relative to the intensity of input use in the same sectors across all economies in the world. A measure of 1 indicates equality in intensity of input use

Figure 2.5: Fabrication Effects in Asia and the Pacific, 2000 versus 2018

AHF = agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing; BUS = business services including real estate; CON = construction; EHS = education and health services; FIN = finance and insurance; HMF = heavy manufacturing; HRS = hotel and restaurant services; LMF = light manufacturing; MIN = mining and quarrying; OSV = other services; PAD = public administration; TEL = post and telecommunications; TRD = wholesale and retail trade; TSP = transport services; UTL = utilities.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

between the economy and the world, i.e., the degree of input use of the economy-sector is the same as the world-sector. A measure exceeding 1 indicates that, for a given sector, the economy uses more inputs per unit of output than the average for other economies of the world. A measure below 1 implies that, for a particular sector, the economy is, on average, less input-dependent than other economies.

Sectors in Asia and the Pacific exhibited nearly the same level of input dependence as the rest of the world in 2018. Relative input dependence was generally higher for hotels and restaurants and construction, but lower for several other sectors, most notably finance. There was also a general decline in input dependence across multiple sectors from 2000 to 2018, probably brought about by efficiencies in production processes and innovations in technology—simply, economies are able to produce more with less. This is consistent with the increasing share of value-added in the overall revenue structure of these sectors. The telecommunications and agriculture sectors were an exception, as the share of their interindustry inputs rose relative to their outputs over the review period.

Using data from MRIOTs, interindustrial inputs are broken down according to their source in Figure 2.6. Economies of the Asia and Pacific region generally leaned toward domestic producers as primary sources of intermediates—this was especially true for larger and more mature economies with relatively high domestic input shares. Meanwhile, small open economies and those that rely heavily on natural resources had shares of foreign inputs that were higher than the regional average.

The decision to source inputs from foreign counterparts depends on several factors, such as minimizing costs, securing supplies tailored to specific production technologies, and improving quality. Within the input–output framework, the flows of foreign inputs are framed as “leakage effects” caused by evolving domestic production requirements. Leakage effects, sometimes called “import multipliers”, suggest that, since economies use foreign inputs in their production processes, the gains from production do not accrue entirely to the domestic economy and, in reality, partially “leak” into other economies. By involving foreign counterparts in the production process (specifically through imports of their goods and services), demand and income are split between the domestic economy and foreign economies.

The degree to which a foreign supplier benefits from another economy’s domestic demand depends on its involvement in the value chain. Given the disaggregated presentation of intermediate flows in the MRIOTs, a sector’s exposure to foreign inputs can easily be quantified. Then, the Leontief insight can further be applied to capture second-degree linkages and so forth.

Figure 2.6: Share of Foreign and Domestic Inputs by Economy, 2018
(% of gross output)

BAN = Bangladesh; BHU = Bhutan; BRU = Brunei Darussalam; CAM = Cambodia; FIJ = Fiji; HKG = Hong Kong, China; IND = India; INO = Indonesia; JPN = Japan; KAZ = Kazakhstan; KGZ = Kyrgyz Republic; KOR = Republic of Korea; LAO = Lao People's Democratic Republic; MAL = Malaysia; MLD = Maldives; MON = Mongolia; NEP = Nepal; PAK = Pakistan; PHI = Philippines; PRC = People's Republic of China; SIN = Singapore; SRI = Sri Lanka; TAP = Taipei, China; THA = Thailand; VIE = Viet Nam.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

The distribution of import leakage effects in the Asia and Pacific region are shown by sector for 2000 and 2018 in Figure 2.7. Industrial sectors in the region, including services sectors related to travel and mobility, generally exhibited high import leakage effects. This is especially true for economies such as Viet Nam and Malaysia, which have manufacturing activities requiring complex and diverse inputs that can only be satisfied by economies entrenched and specialized in the production of those inputs. Primary or resource-based sectors were in the median range, which is expected of upstream industries. Meanwhile, the public and private services sector remained at the low end of the distribution, alongside supporting or intermediary services. Overall, from 2000 to 2018, average import leakages increased as imports became a more important and reliable source of productive inputs.

While leakage effects describe the impacts that local economy-sectors can have on foreign counterparts, the impact of foreign demand to local production can likewise be measured. A decomposition of multipliers in the multi-regional input–output model distinguishes three major components of an output effect: the impact of domestic demand on domestic sectors (M1), the impact of foreign demand on domestic sectors (M2), and the interaction of domestic and foreign demand on domestic sectors (M3). The sum of final demand and these three components is equal to the output produced by an economy-sector.

M2 and M3 capture the “spillover effects” of the rest of the world to the domestic economy, as they quantify the pull of foreign demand on domestic production. Figure 2.8 plots the share of international spillover effects against domestic transfer effects for all sectors in the regional economy. The clustering of observations in the lower part of the graph implies that the output of most economy-sectors in the region was more heavily influenced by domestic linkages rather than external spillover effects. This pattern remained consistent from 2000 to 2018. Despite the general observation, spillover effects varied at the economy-sector level as external linkages depended on their respective productive structures, capabilities, and comparative advantages. In more open economies, such as Singapore and Maldives, as exports comprised a greater proportion of domestic output, domestic demand was driven more by spillover effects. Notably, economy-sectors that exhibited higher spillover effects belonged to open economies specializing in the export of manufactured goods, including mining and energy-related products.

Overall, the results suggest that many economies are turning inwards over time, with domestic consumption becoming the most consequential for output. This is more pronounced in larger and more mature economies, such as the PRC—a potential explanation for stagnating trade since 2015. The slowdown in Asia’s major trading economies, and their increasingly domestic-oriented or inward-looking approach to sourcing and production, affected their trading partners and, in addition, third-party economies linked to the value chain. Structural changes in the PRC as it moves upstream and the United States’ expansion of its energy sector have both impacted individual economies and the overall growth of GVCs (World Bank 2020). The degree of these impacts depends on the positions of each economy-sector and their respective trading partners within GVCs.

Figure 2.7: Import Leakage Effects as a Share of Final Demand in Asia and the Pacific, 2000 and 2018 (\$ million)

AHF = agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing; BUS = business services including real estate; CON = construction; EHS = education and health services; FIN = finance and insurance; HMF = heavy manufacturing; HRS = hotel and restaurant services; LMF = light manufacturing; MIN = mining and quarrying; OSV = other services; PAD = public administration; TEL = post and telecommunications; TRD = wholesale and retail trade; TSP = transport services; UTL = utilities.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

Figure 2.8: Domestic Transfer Effects and International Spillover Effects in Asia and the Pacific, 2000 and 2018 (% of sectoral output)

Note: Each dot represents an economy-sector value of transfer and spillover effects expressed as a percentage share of its corresponding total gross output.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

2.2 Measuring Global Value Chains

Since the 1990s, the fragmentation of production processes across domestic borders has pervaded the global economy. When the English economist David Ricardo was formulating his theory of comparative advantage in the nineteenth century, he had in mind Portuguese wine being exchanged for English cloth. This, however, has given way to more complex arrangements where Japanese electronics may be assembled in Chinese factories using American blueprints, before ultimately being purchased by consumers across the globe. The value chain connecting primary inputs to final consumption has become globalized, with far-reaching consequences for consumers, producers, and policymakers (Timmer et al. 2014).

Input–output tables are uniquely capable of analyzing this phenomenon. This section presents input–output indicators that summarize information on the extent and nature of GVCs.

To what extent does a sector participate in GVCs? Wang et al. (2017b) devised a measure that expresses GVC activity as a fraction of a given sector's total economic activity. Because value chains can be approached from either a downstream or an upstream perspective (i.e., from primary inputs to final consumption or vice versa), GVC participation can also be measured in two ways.

Under the first approach, one can start from the beginning of the chain and decompose where its value-added is ultimately absorbed. Take, for example, the sector “textiles and textile products” in Bangladesh. Some of its value-added is consumed either as final goods by Bangladeshi consumers or as intermediate goods by Bangladeshi firms, who use them to produce final goods that are then consumed by Bangladeshi consumers. This portion of value-added is, in short, absorbed domestically, and we label it V_D . Some value-added is also exported abroad as final goods that are consumed by foreigners. This portion is what we call “traditional” trade, and we label it V_F . Finally, some value-added is exported as intermediate goods that are used by foreign firms to produce either final goods or more intermediate goods, which then in turn travel further along the value chain. This last portion is what we call GVC activity, and we label it V_{GVC} . In summary, we have the identity

$$VA = V_D + V_F + V_{GVC}$$

The ratio V_{GVC}/VA is the forward-based GVC participation index (GVC_F). It is the fraction of a sector's value-added that passes through GVC activities, before arriving at the terminus of the final consumer.

The second approach to measuring GVC participation starts from the end of the chain and decomposes where its final product originated from. There are again three portions, each analogous to that in the first approach: a portion of final product comes from domestic value-added (Y_D), a portion comes from imports of final goods (Y_F), and a portion comes from processes involved in GVCs (Y_{GVC}). From the identity

$$Y = Y_D + Y_F + Y_{GVC}$$

we get the ratio Y_{GVC}/Y . This is the backward-based GVC participation index (GVC_B), which measures the fraction of a sector's final consumption that passed through GVC activities.

These two measures, GVC_F and GVC_B , are similar but not equivalent. As seen in Figure 2.9, some sectors, such as electricals, scored high on both counts for 2018, while others, such as real estate, scored low on both. Other sectors, however, scored high in one but not the other. For example, mining and quarrying, which includes in its products the supremely important intermediate good of crude oil, had a far higher forward-based GVC participation index, reflecting its upstream position in GVCs. Others, such as construction, had a higher backward-based index, suggesting their more downstream position in value chains.

Figure 2.9: Global Value Chain Participation by Sector, 2000 and 2018
(World Average, %)

n.e.c. = not elsewhere classified.

Note: Asian Development Bank estimates are based on the methodology of Wang et al. (2017b).

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

Figure 2.10 shows the GVC participation in 2000 and 2018 of the 25 economies covered in this report, with a colored dot indicating each economy by subregion and the size of the dot representing the relative size of the economy. It is immediately noticeable that the largest economies generally had the lowest GVC participation rates in both years, though it is important to note that the measure is a ratio—because of their large domestic economies, the share of GVC activities in output may be low, even as the level remains high. Care must then be given to the interpretation of this measure: the United States, the PRC, and Japan scored relatively low in the GVC participation index, yet they are clearly dominant players in numerous GVCs.

The figure shows the growth in importance of GVCs across the world from 2000 to 2018. Whereas many dots are clustered below the 20%–20% mark in 2000, more have made their way closer to the 40%–40% mark by 2018. This trend applied across the subregions, though variations for economies within subregions are high. For example, in Southeast Asia and the Pacific (yellow dots), economies may be found both below 20% and above 40%.

Figure 2.10: Global Value Chain Participation of Economies by Subregion, 2000 and 2018 (%)

Note: Asian Development Bank estimates are based on the methodology of Wang et al. (2017b). Point sizes reflect gross domestic product at current prices.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

Another way to measure GVC participation is through the concept of “vertical specialization”, as first proposed by Hummels et al. (2001). Rather than specializing only in products, as in David Ricardo’s day, economies may now also specialize in a particular stage of the production chain, so that, alongside exports of purely homegrown final goods (e.g., tea and wine), they may also import half-finished goods, endow them with added value (through, for example, assembly), then pass them on to the next link in the production chain.

Widely available exports statistics aggregate the two very distinct activities of exporting final and nonfinal goods. To properly measure the extent of vertical specialization, Wang, Wei, and Zhu (2013) used data in input–output tables to decompose gross exports into three broad categories (otherwise known as WWZ decomposition). First are exports of domestic value-added, be they in the form of final goods or intermediate goods: we label these *DVA*. Second are exports of foreign value-added, labeled *FVA*. If Viet Nam, for example, exports apparel made using sewing machines imported from the Republic of Korea, then the value of exports will contain both Vietnamese and Korean value-added, with Vietnamese value-added counted in *DVA* and Korean value-added counted in *FVA*. The third and final category are pure double-counted terms, labeled *PDC*. With increasingly complex cross-border production-sharing arrangements, it can often happen that *DVA* is exported, imported back, then exported again. These two export events leave separate footprints in trade statistics, though conceptually they are equivalent. Such occurrences count under *PDC*. In summary, the WWZ decomposition of exports results in the identity

$$\text{Exports} = DVA + FVA + PDC.$$

The ratio of *FVA* + *PDC* to exports then measures the extent of vertical specialization in an economy’s (or sector’s) gross exports.

Figure 2.11 charts the change in the vertical specialization ratio for the 25 reviewed economies from 2000 to 2018. Apart from a few notable cases, the general trend is that of an increasing share of vertical specialization in gross exports. Brunei Darussalam posted the largest increase—from having the second-lowest vertical specialization ratio in 2000 to being above the regional average in 2018. Conversely, Malaysia registered the largest decline, though its 2018 ratio is still among the highest in the group, behind only Singapore, Viet Nam, and Maldives.

In his classic treatise on political economy, David Ricardo argued that, by the principle of comparative advantage, free trade can improve the welfare of all participants, be they big or small, advanced or developing. Balassa (1965) operationalized this idea through his index of “revealed” comparative advantage (RCA), which uses existing patterns of trade to identify which sectors a given economy has a comparative advantage in. The idea is fairly simple: take sector *i* and calculate the share of that sector in economy *r*’s exports. Call this E_{ri} . Now, take the share of that sector in total world exports. Call this E_i . The ratio E_{ri}/E_i is the RCA index. If it is greater than 1, then economy *r* has a comparative advantage in *i*, as revealed by that sector’s relative dominance in *r*’s exports. If, however, the index is less than 1, then economy *r* has a comparative disadvantage in *i*.

This index can be refined by incorporating the rise of GVCs. As Wang, Wei, and Zhu (2013) showed, gross exports are not necessarily equivalent to exports of *DVA*: due to vertical specialization, some portions of it may either be exports of *FVA* or else are the result of

Figure 2.11: Vertical Specialization in Economies of Asia and the Pacific, 2000 and 2018

PRC = People's Republic of China, Lao PDR = Lao People's Democratic Republic

Note: Asian Development Bank estimates are based on the methodology of Wang, Wei, and Zhu (2013).

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

double-counting. Using the WWZ decomposition formula, we can adjust gross exports for these terms to arrive at DVA exports. Using this in lieu of gross exports in the RCA formula gives the new revealed comparative advantage (NRCA) index—to be differentiated from the traditional RCA (TRCA) index, which does not take into account cross-border production-sharing arrangements.

Figure 2.12 charts the TRCA and NRCA of four manufacturing sectors with the highest exposures to GVCs. Though the two measures are strongly correlated, there are instances where the conclusion on comparative advantage flips. For example, Malaysia's rubber and plastics sector had a TRCA of 1.84 and an NRCA of 0.87 in 2018, so it is said to have a comparative advantage in one, but a comparative disadvantage in the other. This suggests that Malaysia's exports from that sector had significant FVA—netting these out revealed that the sector was not as dominant in that economy as first appeared.

Figure 2.12: Traditional and New Revealed Comparative Advantage of Economies by Subregion, 2018

RCA = revealed comparative advantage.

Notes: Asian Development Bank estimates are based on the methodology of Balassa (1965) and Wang, Wei, and Zhu (2013). Outliers are excluded to improve figure readability.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

Related to the issue of specialization and comparative advantages is the positioning of firms, sectors, or economies within GVCs. This question of which stages of global production economies specialize in became more relevant with the view that high value-adding activities are not equally distributed along the chain. Determining positions in value chains also provides a wider perspective on the entire structure of the production

sequence. It therefore becomes important not only to understand the magnitude of participation in GVCs, but also the position and length of these linkages.

Fally (2012) and Antràs and Chor (2013) proposed a measure of position in GVCs of an economy-sector through the upstreamness index. The index is the average distance from a sector to its final use. Miller and Temurshoev (2017) described an upstream sector to be that whose outputs go through multiple stages before being absorbed as final use in the economy. A simpler measure of upstreamness relates the share of gross output of an economy-sector that is sold to final consumers. In this case, an economy-sector that sells a large amount of its output for intermediate use, i.e., it has a lower ratio between final use and total output, is said to be relatively upstream. On the other hand, a sector that sells a higher proportion of output to final consumers would be relatively downstream.

Figure 2.13 shows the evolution of economy-level upstreamness indexes of the 25 reviewed economies in 2000 and 2018. On average, indexes for 2000 indicate that outputs of economies in Asia and the Pacific underwent at least two production stages before being converted into final use. Kazakhstan, the Kyrgyz Republic, the PRC, Singapore, and Malaysia had strong intermediate supply links with other economies in terms of relevant GVCs, thereby exhibiting the highest upstreamness in the same period. Meanwhile, low upstreamness in 2000 was observed in Bhutan, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, the Lao PDR, and Cambodia, whose significant portion of gross outputs were used to satisfy final demand levels.

Figure 2.13: Upstreamness Index of Economies of Asia and the Pacific, 2000 and 2018

BAN = Bangladesh; BHU = Bhutan; BRU = Brunei Darussalam; CAM = Cambodia; FIJ = Fiji; HKG = Hong Kong, China; IND = India; INO = Indonesia; JPN = Japan; KAZ = Kazakhstan; KGZ = Kyrgyz Republic; KOR = Republic of Korea; LAO = Lao People's Democratic Republic; MAL = Malaysia; MLD = Maldives; MON = Mongolia; NEP = Nepal; PAK = Pakistan; PHI = Philippines; PRC = People's Republic of China; SIN = Singapore; SRI = Sri Lanka; TAP = Taipei, China; THA = Thailand; VIE = Viet Nam.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

By 2018, a majority of these economies exhibited marginal to modest (positive) changes in their upstreamness indexes. This indicates that economies became more involved in the intermediates supply chain. By rule of cardinality, outputs of most economies in 2018 still went through at least two production stages before being converted to final use. However, Kazakhstan, the Kyrgyz Republic, Fiji, Pakistan, Nepal, Brunei Darussalam, Indonesia, and India saw declines in their upstreamness index from 2000 to 2018. This indicates that their gross outputs moved closer to final use.

Positions within GVCs are closely related to measures of production length, in so far as both share the same philosophy of economic distances in internationally fragmented chains. The production length of an economy-sector is defined as the average distance between its value-added and the final products of another economy-sector. The average production length gives the proportion of gross output that is attributable to the value-added that induced it. Wang et al. (2017a) proposed two measures of average production length based on forward and backward industrial linkages. As a ratio of total output to the total valued-added from an economy-sector that induced it, “production length based on forward industrial linkage” measures the number of downstream processes that the value-added of an economy-sector counted as gross output. Hence, this measure also gives the relative upstreamness of an economy or economy-sector. On the other hand, “production length based on backward industrial linkage” is a ratio of total intermediate inputs to the total units of final product that induce it. In other words, this measure gives the number of upstream processes before the final product is realized in the economy, i.e., the relative downstreamness of an economy or economy-sector.

Figure 2.14 shows the trends in economy-level average production length (APL) based on forward industrial linkage across economies, with the subregions of Asia and the Pacific highlighted in colored lines. Except for a slight leveling off after 2015, economies in East Asia had a steadily increasing trend in APL based on forward industrial linkage. From 2007 to 2018, value-added originating from East Asia underwent about 2.5 downstream processes before reaching final consumption. On the other hand, South and Central Asia recorded a much shorter APL of about 2 stages in 2007 before undergoing a steady decline; by 2018 its APL was about 1.75. Southeast Asia’s APL maintained a stable trend during the review period, with an APL index of about 2.25 stages.

The trends in economy-level APL based on backward industrial linkage across economies from 2007 to 2018 are shown according to the subregions (in colored lines) in Figure 2.15. Similar to the observed trend in APL based on forward linkage, East Asia’s APL based on backward linkage has been steadily increasing from 2007 to 2018, with a slight decline after 2015. The average APL of East Asia shows that a unit of final product of the region induced about 2.5 intermediate inputs from 2007 to 2018. Meanwhile, a stable trend in backward APL in Southeast Asia meant that its final products underwent an average of about 2.25 upstream processes before being consumed in the economy. The APL based on backward linkage of South and Central Asia followed a slightly declining trend, starting in 2007 at around 2 and finishing by 2018 at a little below that.

Figure 2.14: Trends in Average Production Length Based on Forward Linkage Across Economies, 2007–2018

Note: Asian Development Bank estimates are based on the methodology of Wang, Wei, Yu, and Zhu (2017b).

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

Figure 2.15: Trends in Average Production Length Based on Backward Linkage Across Economies, 2007–2018

Note: Asian Development Bank estimates are based on the methodology of Wang, Wei, Yu, and Zhu (2017b).

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables (accessed July 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

2.3 Conclusion

This chapter presents some of the analytical tools and indicators used in characterizing GVCs. Illustrations of these indicators were drawn from the construction of MRIOTs. This allows users to explore the supply–use relationships of two sectors from different economic territories, and to gain a macro perspective of GVC trade—a phenomenon that has driven international economic activity since the 1990s. The impact of involvement in a production network can differ substantially, depending on the degree of specialization, capacity for value addition, and position in the value chain of any given producer. Understanding these aspects at the sectoral level reveals nuances that could be useful for shaping economic policies related to trade. The mix of indicators and methodologies outlined here is presented as a crucial first step in building a knowledge base for understanding production linkages at a global and regional level.

Key Messages

- Individual economy-specific input–output tables can be consolidated and linked to form MRIOTs. These “international” tables facilitate important analyses of economic structures and interrelationships at bilateral and multilateral levels.
- The relative importance of trade for each economy or sector is quantified using a range of indicators derived from MRIOTs. Economies of Asia and the Pacific have varying degrees of trade openness. For many of these economies, however, this measure has weakened since the 2008 global financial crisis.
- Import leakages measure an economy’s dependence on foreign inputs for production. On average, manufacturing and tourism-related sectors have the largest leakage effects in the Asia and Pacific region.
- Participation in GVCs is highest for economies with significant manufacturing of electronics, metals, rubber, and chemicals. Other service-oriented economies, such as Singapore, have similarly high participation in GVCs, particularly through contributions in transport services.
- Measures of revealed comparative advantage could change to a comparative disadvantage when significant foreign value-added is discounted from an economy’s exports. The reverse could also happen when accounting for value-added from domestic sectors indirectly exporting.
- The position and length of economy–sectors in GVCs are both rooted in the concept of economic distance. This is an important complementary indicator to participation, since it provides an indication of the type of value-adding activities and enables a wider perspective on the structure of production sequences involved. Data show that economies in East and Southeast Asia and the Pacific are relatively more downstream, while economies in South and Central Asia are more upstream.

ECONOMY PROFILES

This part compiles and tabulates indicators and model-based results to form comprehensive profiles for each economy, based on the strengths and characteristics of the economy's respective input–output linkages. For example, the internal and external linkages of the 10 economies covered in the Southeast Asia and the Pacific subregion are quantified and compared using various metrics derived from the ADB Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables.

Each economy profile is organized into two sections. Section A characterizes an economy's internal linkages, or the input–output flows that happen within its domestic boundaries. Section B describes the economy's external linkages, or the input–output flows that occur in exchange with other economies. Related indicators under each section are grouped together and considered within the context of shared themes.

The shared themes in Section A are as follows:

- i. *Structure of the Economy*, which covers measures of macroeconomic variables describing the level and diversification of output in the economy, and characterizing the structural changes that took place between 2000 and 2018;
- ii. *Strength of Linkages*, which contains well-established input–output multipliers derived from both supply- and demand-side models;
- iii. *Spread of Economic Linkages*, which consists of analytical indicators quantifying the dispersion of economic impacts based on both forward and backward linkage perspectives; and
- iv. *Sensitivity of Economic Linkages*, which presents aggregate measures of economic complexity based on production technologies.

Section B indicators are organized under the following four themes:

- i. *Participation in Global Value Chains (GVCs)*, which contains indicators representing an economy's degree of dependency on foreign trade and its participation in GVCs;
- ii. *Specialization in GVCs*, which consolidates indicators describing the intensities or degrees of concentration and comparative advantages of each sector of economic activity;
- iii. *Position in GVCs*, which presents the upstreamness index measuring the distance of each economic activity from final use; and
- iv. *Production Length of GVCs*, which shows backward and forward measures of average production lengths attributable to global production arrangements.

Each indicator is presented in terms of a value, score, rank, variance from the subregional average, 10-year average growth rate, and 10-year mean value.

- *Value* refers to the actual observed measure or index derived from the corresponding model or framework.
- *Score* is the normalized value of a measure, based on the minimum and maximum values observed for the measure across the 25 economies of Asia and the Pacific covered in this report. The highest value is 1, while the lowest is 0.
- *Rank* shows an economy's relative position or place for a measure when the values for the 25 economies are ordered from largest to smallest: 1 represents the highest rank for the respective indicator, while 25 represents the lowest.
- *Variance from the subregional average* is depicted using colored icons: a green icon denotes that the value corresponding to an economy is above the subregional average; a red icon signifies that the value is below the average; and a yellow icon represents equality with the average. The subregional groupings are described in detail in Appendix 2.
- *10-year average growth rate* is the arithmetic average of annual growth rates from 2009 to 2018.
- *10-year mean value* is the arithmetic average of the indicator's values from 2009 to 2018.

Details of each indicator's description and derivation method are provided in Appendix 3.

3.1 Brunei Darussalam

3.1A Internal Linkages

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input-Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
STRUCTURE OF THE ECONOMY							
Economic Indicators							
Gross output	(\$)	23,567	0.00	20	▼	1.5	25,323
Gross value-added	(\$)	13,784	0.00	20	▼	1.4	14,905
Value-added content of domestic final demand		7,645	0.00	20		4.6	6,847
Value-added content of exports		6,139	0.00	18		–0.7	8,059
Intermediate inputs	(\$)	9,859	0.00	20	▼	1.9	10,507
Domestic inputs		6,435	0.00	21		0.6	7,724
Foreign inputs		3,425	0.00	20		7.0	2,783
Direct production	(\$)	17,132	0.00	20	▼	2.0	17,599
Domestic final demand		10,087	0.00	20		5.2	8,687
Exports		7,046	0.00	18		–0.4	8,911
Indirect production	(\$)	6,435	0.00	21	▼	0.6	7,724
Indirect production embedded in domestic final demand		3,638	0.00	22		3.1	3,762
Indirect production embedded in exports		2,797	0.00	19		–0.7	3,962
Economic Diversification							
Gross output		0.39	0.85	3	▲	–1.9	0.42
Gini concentration index of gross output		0.58	0.90	2		–1.2	0.61
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross output		0.12	0.72	4		–3.4	0.14
Theil concentration index of gross output		0.46	0.83	3		–2.0	0.49

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Gross value-added	0.46	1.00	1		-1.8	0.49
<i>Gini concentration index of gross value added</i>	0.64	1.00	1		-1.1	0.66
<i>Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross value added</i>	0.19	1.00	1		-3.6	0.22
<i>Theil concentration index of gross value added</i>	0.55	1.00	1		-1.7	0.59
Gross exports	0.66	0.63	7		-0.5	0.68
<i>Gini concentration index of gross exports</i>	0.82	0.79	6		-0.4	0.84
<i>Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross exports</i>	0.38	0.45	9		-1.1	0.40
<i>Theil concentration index of gross exports</i>	0.80	0.81	7		-0.3	0.81
Structural Changes						
Changes in output due to technological changes	1.5%	0.95	2		-	-
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.0%	0.62	3			
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	-0.6%	0.73	12			
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	8.5%	1.00	1			
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.0%	0.70	7			
<i>Utilities</i>	0.1%	0.55	9			
<i>Construction</i>	0.2%	0.14	14			
<i>Trade services</i>	0.0%	0.45	11			
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	15.3%	1.00	1			
<i>Transport services</i>	-1.8%	0.36	21			
<i>Telecommunications</i>	-0.3%	0.20	12			
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	-0.6%	0.43	19			
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	2.6%	1.00	1			
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	-0.5%	0.26	22			
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	-1.4%	0.00	25			
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.1%	0.44	14			
Changes in output due to structural changes in consumption (%)	1.4%	0.21	24		-	-
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	2.7%	0.52	15			
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.2%	0.04	18			
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.7%	0.18	22			
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.3%	0.12	21			
<i>Utilities</i>	2.8%	0.33	15			
<i>Construction</i>	0.2%	0.20	14			
<i>Trade services</i>	0.8%	0.24	24			
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	2.2%	0.11	20			
<i>Transport services</i>	0.3%	0.15	23			
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.6%	0.42	20			
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	3.8%	0.46	14			
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.4%	0.10	22			
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.2%	0.10	24			
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.8%	0.08	22			
<i>Other personal services</i>	2.6%	0.28	20			
Changes in output due to structural changes in investment (%)	1.3%	0.40	7		-	-
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.7%	0.18	6			
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.2%	0.27	10			
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.1%	0.34	6			

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	0.3%	0.14	19			
Utilities	0.6%	0.41	8			
Construction	5.2%	0.34	14			
Trade services	4.7%	1.00	1			
Hotels and restaurants	1.6%	0.88	3			
Transport services	0.4%	0.08	14			
Telecommunications	1.3%	0.32	5			
Financial intermediation	0.9%	0.19	8			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.7%	0.39	4			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.01	19			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.01	19			
Other personal services	0.5%	0.29	9			
Changes in output due to structural changes in stock (%)	1.0%	1.00	1		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.0%	0.82	2			
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.38	10			
Light manufacturing	0.3%	0.29	7			
Heavy manufacturing	0.3%	0.51	7			
Utilities	0.8%	0.51	3			
Construction	0.0%	0.74	19			
Trade services	0.3%	0.73	3			
Hotels and restaurants	0.5%	1.00	1			
Transport services	6.4%	1.00	1			
Telecommunications	0.4%	0.84	2			
Financial intermediation	0.4%	0.98	2			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.0%	0.59	2			
Public administration and defense	1.2%	1.00	1			
Education, health, and social work	1.5%	1.00	1			
Other personal services	0.7%	1.00	1			
Changes in output due to structural changes in export	–0.1%	0.03	24		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.3%	0.54	7			
Mining and quarrying	–2.7%	0.00	25			
Light manufacturing	0.8%	0.15	17			
Heavy manufacturing	–1.8%	0.00	25			
Utilities	1.0%	0.06	15			
Construction	0.3%	0.08	12			
Trade services	–0.1%	0.03	24			
Hotels and restaurants	1.5%	0.15	10			
Transport services	–3.5%	0.00	25			
Telecommunications	0.2%	0.09	21			
Financial intermediation	–0.2%	0.04	24			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.7%	0.00	25			
Public administration and defense	–0.1%	0.11	24			
Education, health, and social work	0.2%	0.09	11			
Other personal services	2.2%	0.46	5			

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Changes in output due to import substitution on intermediate demand	–3.1%	0.00	25		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–2.2%	0.47	23			
Mining and quarrying	0.1%	0.31	16			
Light manufacturing	–12.9%	0.00	25			
Heavy manufacturing	–0.2%	0.24	19			
Utilities	–1.1%	0.45	23			
Construction	–0.3%	0.45	21			
Trade services	–1.5%	0.46	24			
Hotels and restaurants	–17.2%	0.00	25			
Transport services	–0.7%	0.17	24			
Telecommunications	–4.8%	0.00	25			
Financial intermediation	–1.2%	0.19	24			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–3.2%	0.00	25			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.61	19			
Education, health, and social work	–0.1%	0.35	23			
Other personal services	–0.8%	0.09	23			
Changes in output due to import substitution on domestic final demand	–0.6%	0.42	20		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.4%	0.43	19			
Mining and quarrying	0.2%	0.60	7			
Light manufacturing	–1.2%	0.57	21			
Heavy manufacturing	0.3%	0.60	8			
Utilities	–0.1%	0.65	16			
Construction	–1.5%	0.00	25			
Trade services	–2.1%	0.00	25			
Hotels and restaurants	–0.5%	0.10	24			
Transport services	0.0%	0.86	12			
Telecommunications	–0.7%	0.46	21			
Financial intermediation	–0.4%	0.63	20			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–1.6%	0.00	25			
Public administration and defense	0.2%	0.37	5			
Education, health, and social work	0.4%	1.00	1			
Other personal services	–1.0%	0.84	21			

STRENGTH OF LINKAGES

Demand-side Linkages

Direct backward linkage	0.26	0.08	22		–1.9	0.30
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.19	0.21	19		–0.6	0.19
Mining and quarrying	0.14	0.22	20		–3.0	0.17
Light manufacturing	0.10	0.00	25		–8.8	0.28
Heavy manufacturing	0.52	0.58	5		0.0	0.52
Utilities	0.44	0.51	6		–0.1	0.45
Construction	0.41	0.27	19		–3.0	0.48
Trade services	0.27	0.31	11		–1.7	0.30
Hotels and restaurants	0.21	0.02	24		–2.2	0.24
Transport services	0.55	1.00	1		0.2	0.60
Telecommunications	0.23	0.24	20		–3.5	0.30

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.14	0.10	24		–0.1	0.14
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.17	0.24	21		–2.1	0.21
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.24	0.44	17		–1.4	0.27
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.20	0.29	15		–2.2	0.23
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.10	0.02	24		–0.9	0.11
Total backward linkage	1.36	0.04	22		–0.8	1.44
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.26	0.15	19		–0.3	1.29
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.18	0.14	21		–0.8	1.24
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.14	0.00	25		–2.7	1.42
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.63	0.18	14		–0.3	1.66
<i>Utilities</i>	1.61	0.24	12		–0.2	1.64
<i>Construction</i>	1.55	0.09	19		–1.9	1.71
<i>Trade services</i>	1.37	0.31	13		–0.7	1.45
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.28	0.04	24		–0.8	1.35
<i>Transport services</i>	1.84	0.65	5		0.0	1.95
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.31	0.13	20		–1.4	1.44
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.19	0.03	24		–0.2	1.21
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.23	0.12	21		–0.9	1.31
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.35	0.35	18		–0.7	1.41
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.27	0.15	18		–1.1	1.33
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.14	0.02	24		–0.2	1.16
Normalized backward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.93	0.32	12		0.5	0.90
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.87	0.39	15		0.1	0.86
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.84	0.00	25		–2.0	0.99
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.20	0.61	5		0.5	1.16
<i>Utilities</i>	1.19	0.50	6		0.7	1.14
<i>Construction</i>	1.15	0.36	13		–1.1	1.19
<i>Trade services</i>	1.01	0.53	3		0.1	1.01
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.95	0.04	23		0.1	0.94
<i>Transport services</i>	1.36	1.00	1		0.8	1.36
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.96	0.53	14		–0.6	1.00
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.88	0.09	15		0.7	0.84
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.91	0.46	13		–0.1	0.91
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.99	0.61	9		0.2	0.98
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.94	0.63	9		–0.2	0.92
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.84	0.10	23		0.6	0.81
Net backward linkage	0.92	0.52	15		2.0	0.85
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.70	0.55	14		6.2	0.61
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.74	0.52	8		–1.0	0.77
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.58	0.00	25		8.1	0.54
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.25	0.96	2		–1.0	1.32
<i>Utilities</i>	0.88	0.77	4		4.7	0.69
<i>Construction</i>	1.37	0.31	14		1.8	1.43
<i>Trade services</i>	0.85	0.41	11		8.4	0.73

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.65	0.00	25		40.3	0.48
<i>Transport services</i>	1.46	1.00	1		3.8	1.19
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.44	0.00	25		4.3	0.34
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.78	0.68	4		94.4	0.55
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.66	0.55	20		1.4	0.62
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.34	0.40	14		–0.3	1.37
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.26	0.24	15		0.4	1.21
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.88	0.56	21		–1.7	0.86
Growth equalized output multipliers	0.09	0.03	22	▼	–0.6	0.10
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.01	0.04	23		5.4	0.01
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.47	1.00	1		–2.8	0.53
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.01	0.00	25		–1.9	0.01
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.25	0.30	10		–0.1	0.27
<i>Utilities</i>	0.02	0.01	24		5.6	0.01
<i>Construction</i>	0.11	0.14	16		0.6	0.11
<i>Trade services</i>	0.07	0.07	24		7.6	0.07
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.02	0.01	24		8.6	0.01
<i>Transport services</i>	0.05	0.11	23		2.6	0.05
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.02	0.20	17		0.3	0.02
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.05	0.14	16		20.2	0.04
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.07	0.15	21		18.7	0.06
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.15	1.00	1		3.7	0.15
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.06	0.24	15		5.2	0.05
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.01	0.02	23		–3.6	0.02
Supply-Side Linkages						
Direct forward linkage	0.33	0.16	21	▼	–3.9	0.41
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.44	0.39	14		–1.4	0.53
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.37	0.37	17		0.5	0.37
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.49	0.55	8		–4.3	0.61
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.23	0.25	24		4.1	0.21
<i>Utilities</i>	0.45	0.44	22		–2.4	0.58
<i>Construction</i>	0.12	0.24	18		–7.1	0.16
<i>Trade services</i>	0.38	0.43	16		–5.9	0.49
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.50	0.95	3		–5.8	0.65
<i>Transport services</i>	0.21	0.15	24		1.0	0.38
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.66	0.90	4		–1.8	0.76
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.35	0.25	24		–8.2	0.54
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.47	0.40	11		–0.1	0.52
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.00	0.01	20		–12.1	0.03
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.01	0.01	21		–13.4	0.09
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.22	0.23	16		55.7	0.26
Total forward linkage	1.42	0.04	23	▼	–2.0	1.58
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.48	0.14	18		–1.6	1.62
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.49	0.16	17		0.1	1.51
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.64	0.24	10		–3.3	1.90

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.30	0.12	24		0.4	1.30
Utilities	1.57	0.16	23		–1.5	1.79
Construction	1.15	0.16	19		–2.7	1.22
Trade services	1.48	0.21	18		–3.4	1.69
Hotels and restaurants	1.64	0.53	4		–3.5	1.91
Transport services	1.27	0.06	24		–0.7	1.56
Telecommunications	1.92	0.56	8		–1.8	2.17
Financial intermediation	1.48	0.06	24		–4.9	1.83
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.59	0.22	14		–1.4	1.72
Public administration and defense	1.00	0.01	21		–0.5	1.04
Education, health, and social work	1.01	0.01	22		–1.5	1.11
Other personal services	1.27	0.19	17		2.3	1.34
Normalized forward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.04	0.33	14		0.5	1.02
Mining and quarrying	1.10	0.41	17		0.9	1.05
Light manufacturing	1.21	0.82	3		–2.5	1.32
Heavy manufacturing	0.96	0.28	22		1.3	0.90
Utilities	1.16	0.36	22		–0.7	1.24
Construction	0.85	0.40	10		–1.9	0.85
Trade services	1.09	0.40	11		–2.5	1.18
Hotels and restaurants	1.21	0.93	2		–2.7	1.33
Transport services	0.94	0.12	21		0.0	1.08
Telecommunications	1.41	0.91	2		–1.0	1.51
Financial intermediation	1.09	0.13	22		–4.0	1.27
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.17	0.49	8		–0.4	1.20
Public administration and defense	0.74	0.54	11		0.5	0.72
Education, health, and social work	0.74	0.66	10		–0.6	0.77
Other personal services	0.94	0.29	12		3.0	0.93
Net forward linkage	0.78	0.32	19		–1.9	0.86
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.87	0.23	20		–1.6	0.95
Mining and quarrying	1.15	0.50	12		0.1	1.16
Light manufacturing	0.71	0.79	3		–3.0	0.80
Heavy manufacturing	0.56	0.59	10		0.4	0.56
Utilities	0.68	0.30	20		–1.5	0.77
Construction	0.20	0.00	25		–2.7	0.21
Trade services	0.78	0.24	23		–3.4	0.89
Hotels and restaurants	0.81	0.69	4		–3.5	0.95
Transport services	0.35	0.00	25		–0.2	0.41
Telecommunications	0.99	0.40	9		–1.8	1.12
Financial intermediation	1.18	0.31	19		–4.9	1.46
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.11	0.45	13		–1.4	1.19
Public administration and defense	0.60	0.43	19		–0.5	0.62
Education, health, and social work	0.63	0.29	22		–1.5	0.69
Other personal services	1.09	0.78	4		2.4	1.13

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Growth equalized input multipliers	0.09	0.05	22	▼	–0.4	0.10
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.01	0.03	23		4.7	0.01
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.67	1.00	1		–2.1	0.73
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.01	0.00	25		–4.1	0.01
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.17	0.34	9		1.1	0.18
<i>Utilities</i>	0.02	0.03	24		4.6	0.01
<i>Construction</i>	0.03	0.02	23		–1.6	0.03
<i>Trade services</i>	0.07	0.00	25		4.5	0.07
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.02	0.03	23		5.4	0.02
<i>Transport services</i>	0.02	0.00	25		3.7	0.02
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.03	0.13	15		–0.6	0.03
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.07	0.13	19		11.3	0.07
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.09	0.11	22		16.0	0.08
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.12	0.66	2		4.4	0.11
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.05	0.18	20		4.6	0.04
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.01	0.05	22		–3.0	0.02
Impacts from Hypothetical Extraction						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical extraction	–8.6%	0.93	7	▼	–0.2	–0.09
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	–1.2%	0.96	3		5.7	–1.1
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	–36.7%	0.02	24		–2.5	–40.7
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	–0.9%	1.00	1		–3.2	–1.3
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	–28.5%	0.45	17		0.0	–30.3
<i>Utilities</i>	–2.1%	0.96	4		6.0	–1.6
<i>Construction</i>	–12.2%	0.79	13		0.9	–12.6
<i>Trade services</i>	–6.9%	0.97	2		7.4	–6.2
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	–1.6%	1.00	2		8.4	–1.3
<i>Transport services</i>	–6.0%	0.76	7		3.0	–6.3
<i>Telecommunications</i>	–1.9%	0.77	7		–0.3	–2.1
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	–4.2%	0.84	12		20.3	–3.5
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	–5.5%	0.83	6		18.0	–5.0
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	–15.0%	0.00	25		3.8	–14.1
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	–5.6%	0.72	13		4.7	–5.0
<i>Other personal services</i>	–0.7%	0.99	3		–3.2	–1.7
Impacts from Hypothetical Insertion						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical insertion	2.4%	0.02	22	▼	–2.0	0.03
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.3%	0.01	23		4.4	0.2
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	6.1%	0.52	3		–5.4	8.9
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.1%	0.00	25		–9.5	0.4
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	11.3%	0.17	10		–0.1	12.4
<i>Utilities</i>	0.8%	0.06	19		5.6	0.6
<i>Construction</i>	4.6%	0.11	19		–3.5	5.7
<i>Trade services</i>	1.9%	0.06	24		5.8	2.0
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.3%	0.00	25		6.1	0.3
<i>Transport services</i>	2.9%	0.41	12		3.7	3.3
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.5%	0.11	21		–3.8	0.7
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.7%	0.04	21		21.1	0.6

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.1%	0.10	20		18.2	1.3
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	3.9%	0.92	2		1.9	4.2
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.2%	0.18	15		1.4	1.2
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.1%	0.00	24		–5.3	0.2

SPREAD OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES

Demand-side

Relative evenness of direct backward linkage	0.57	0.73	3		2.4	0.50
Relative evenness of total backward linkage	0.15	0.14	10		0.5	0.15

Backward measure of concentration based on input coefficients	0.90	0.55	16		–0.1	0.91
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.94	0.91	5		–0.1	0.95
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.82	0.00	25		0.3	0.83
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.97	1.00	1		0.1	0.96
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.38	0.00	25		–0.8	0.42
<i>Utilities</i>	0.88	0.64	18		–0.2	0.89
<i>Construction</i>	0.97	1.00	1		0.3	0.97
<i>Trade services</i>	0.97	0.98	3		–0.1	0.97
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.97	1.00	1		0.0	0.97
<i>Transport services</i>	0.86	0.07	23		–0.4	0.88
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.90	0.51	20		–0.1	0.91
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.97	0.99	2		–0.1	0.97
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.94	0.92	16		–0.1	0.95
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.97	0.70	8		–0.2	0.98
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.95	0.73	11		–0.1	0.96
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.98	1.00	1		0.0	0.98

Relative evenness of direct forward linkage	0.58	0.30	20		–0.6	0.56
Relative evenness of total forward linkage	0.18	0.00	25		–4.5	0.22

Forward measure of concentration based on output coefficients	0.71	0.83	3		0.0	0.72
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.44	0.60	4		–1.7	0.49
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.37	0.51	16		–0.5	0.37
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.85	1.00	1		–0.1	0.85
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.75	0.79	6		1.0	0.71
<i>Utilities</i>	0.77	0.68	13		–0.2	0.78
<i>Construction</i>	0.72	0.83	4		3.6	0.70
<i>Trade services</i>	0.83	0.93	2		0.6	0.83
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.82	0.77	7		0.2	0.81
<i>Transport services</i>	0.76	0.70	15		–0.5	0.81
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.86	0.80	7		0.7	0.84
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.83	0.92	6		0.6	0.81
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.78	0.54	19		–1.0	0.77
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.51	0.55	16		–0.8	0.56
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.62	0.66	12		–0.9	0.66
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.78	0.71	9		0.0	0.79

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
SENSITIVITY OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES						
Economic Complexity						
Percentage intermediate transaction	0.27	0.07	22	▼	–1.3	0.30
Average output multiplier	1.36	0.04	22	▼	–0.8	1.44
Average of Leontief inverse	0.18	0.05	22	▼	–0.9	0.19
Average technical coefficient	0.02	0.08	22	▼	–1.9	0.02
Mean intermediate coefficient	0.26	0.08	22	▼	–1.9	0.30
Percentage of above-average coefficients (%)	0.25	0.28	15	▼	–0.9	0.27
Determinant of non-competitive Leontief inverse	1.70	0.01	24	▼	–3.3	2.02
Determinant of competitive Leontief inverse	3.27	0.02	20	▼	–0.3	3.19
Mean path length	1.38	0.03	22	▼	–0.6	1.44
Cycling index	0.05	0.09	23	▼	–5.1	0.07
Average propagation length	1.40	0.00	25	▼	–1.2	1.51
Overall sensitivity of the economy	0.71	0.49	15	▼	–1.5	0.80
Index of direct interrelatedness	0.62	0.53	8	▲	–1.1	0.67
Index of indirect interrelatedness	0.09	0.09	24	▼	–2.6	0.13
Global intensity index	20.35	0.04	22	▼	–0.8	21.56

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.1B External Linkages

\$ = United States dollars, GVC = global value chain.

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1, while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value	
PARTICIPATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS							
International Trade Coefficients							
Trade openness	0.94	0.33	11	▼	1.2	0.90	
Self-sufficiency ratio	1.06	0.83	3	▲	–2.9	1.22	
Regional supply percentage	0.74	0.42	15	▼	–1.3	0.79	
Regional purchase coefficient	0.61	0.14	23	▼	–1.9	0.71	
Sectoral purchase coefficient	0.77	0.79	19	▼	–0.7	0.81	
Simple location quotient of gross output	1.31	0.67	6	▲	–1.0	1.32	
Fabrication effect	0.92	0.49	16	▼	0.0	0.92	
Exports	(\$)	7,046	0.00	18	▼	–0.4	8,911
Export-to-output ratio		0.16	0.50	10	▼	0.1	0.15
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.09	0.41	12		219.8	0.09
Mining and quarrying		0.43	0.47	7		–2.1	0.48
Light manufacturing		0.17	0.27	15		9.6	0.13
Heavy manufacturing		0.70	0.83	2		–0.8	0.75
Utilities		0.09	0.10	3		1985.8	0.04
Construction		0.03	0.35	7		32.2	0.02
Trade services		0.08	0.11	18		–1.0	0.09
Hotels and restaurants		0.18	0.18	11		23.3	0.12
Transport services		0.29	0.37	8		–4.1	0.35
Telecommunications		0.07	0.20	15		34.4	0.04

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1B *continued*

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation		0.03	0.06	12		–1.3	0.03
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.01	0.02	22		–17.3	0.03
Public administration and defense		0.00	0.01	13		4.1	0.01
Education, health, and social work		0.02	0.25	8		23.5	0.01
Other personal services		0.17	0.20	5		48.1	0.11
Imports	(\$)	5,693	0.00	21	▼	10.7	4,391
Import-to-input ratio		0.20	0.86	3	▲	4.3	0.16
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.23	0.64	3		0.7	0.22
Mining and quarrying		0.10	0.24	10		11.3	0.06
Light manufacturing		0.47	0.94	2		9.4	0.29
Heavy manufacturing		0.05	0.00	24		22.4	0.05
Utilities		0.13	0.18	16		1.2	0.12
Construction		0.42	1.00	1		5.8	0.34
Trade services		0.21	0.83	2		3.9	0.18
Hotels and restaurants		0.30	1.00	1		2.1	0.26
Transport services		0.19	0.35	14		0.5	0.15
Telecommunications		0.27	0.77	3		7.1	0.20
Financial intermediation		0.07	0.31	4		1.9	0.07
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.14	0.78	2		9.2	0.11
Public administration and defense		0.16	1.00	1		4.4	0.13
Education, health, and social work		0.18	1.00	1		7.3	0.15
Other personal services		0.05	0.12	14		1.2	0.05
Total foreign factor content of consumption	(\$)	1,146	0.00	20	▼	6.4	936
Total foreign factor content of investment	(\$)	1,177	0.00	18	▼	14.6	869
Total foreign factor content of exports	(\$)	926	0.00	20	▼	4.5	879
Interregional Multipliers							
Import Leakage Effects		0.25	0.61	6	▲	3.1	0.21
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.27	0.63	5		0.7	0.26
Mining and quarrying		0.12	0.25	12		7.3	0.08
Light manufacturing		0.49	0.86	2		6.3	0.35
Heavy manufacturing		0.11	0.03	24		9.5	0.10
Utilities		0.20	0.23	17		1.8	0.18
Construction		0.51	1.00	1		3.4	0.44
Trade services		0.27	0.67	2		3.1	0.23
Hotels and restaurants		0.35	0.95	2		1.7	0.32
Transport services		0.28	0.37	13		1.0	0.24
Telecommunications		0.34	0.63	3		5.2	0.28
Financial intermediation		0.10	0.27	7		1.9	0.09
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.18	0.59	3		4.9	0.15
Public administration and defense		0.22	0.64	2		2.6	0.19
Education, health, and social work		0.23	1.00	1		3.6	0.20
Other personal services		0.07	0.14	18		1.2	0.07
Intraregional transfer multiplier		1.36	0.04	22	▼	–0.8	1.44
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		1.26	0.15	19		–0.3	1.29
Mining and quarrying		1.18	0.14	21		–0.8	1.24

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Light manufacturing	1.14	0.00	25		–2.7	1.42
Heavy manufacturing	1.63	0.18	14		–0.3	1.66
Utilities	1.61	0.24	12		–0.2	1.64
Construction	1.55	0.09	19		–1.9	1.71
Trade services	1.37	0.31	13		–0.7	1.45
Hotels and restaurants	1.28	0.04	24		–0.8	1.35
Transport services	1.84	0.65	5		0.0	1.95
Telecommunications	1.31	0.13	20		–1.4	1.44
Financial intermediation	1.19	0.03	24		–0.2	1.21
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.23	0.12	21		–0.9	1.31
Public administration and defense	1.35	0.35	18		–0.7	1.41
Education, health, and social work	1.27	0.15	18		–1.1	1.33
Other personal services	1.14	0.02	24		–0.2	1.16
Interregional spillover multiplier	1.45	0.84	3		1.0	1.37
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.57	0.86	3		0.3	1.55
Mining and quarrying	1.21	0.24	12		1.0	1.14
Light manufacturing	2.14	1.00	1		3.6	1.72
Heavy manufacturing	1.10	0.00	25		0.4	1.10
Utilities	1.31	0.19	17		0.1	1.29
Construction	1.95	1.00	1		2.0	1.80
Trade services	1.49	0.93	2		1.1	1.41
Hotels and restaurants	1.73	1.00	1		0.9	1.65
Transport services	1.41	0.34	15		–0.1	1.34
Telecommunications	1.58	0.74	4		1.9	1.46
Financial intermediation	1.15	0.37	5		0.2	1.14
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.27	0.80	3		1.1	1.22
Public administration and defense	1.36	0.81	2		0.9	1.30
Education, health, and social work	1.38	1.00	1		1.3	1.34
Other personal services	1.11	0.11	15		0.1	1.11
Interregional feedback multiplier	1.000	0.01	15		0.0	1.00
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.000	0.03	14		0.0	1.00
Mining and quarrying	1.000	0.00	13		0.0	1.00
Light manufacturing	1.000	0.03	15		0.0	1.00
Heavy manufacturing	1.000	0.00	22		0.0	1.00
Utilities	1.000	0.00	19		0.0	1.00
Construction	1.000	0.02	15		0.0	1.00
Trade services	1.000	0.02	13		0.0	1.00
Hotels and restaurants	1.000	0.03	14		0.0	1.00
Transport services	1.000	0.00	17		0.0	1.00
Telecommunications	1.000	0.01	15		0.0	1.00
Financial intermediation	1.000	0.01	16		0.0	1.00
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.000	0.00	15		0.0	1.00
Public administration and defense	1.000	0.01	14		0.0	1.00
Education, health, and social work	1.000	0.01	15		0.0	1.00
Other personal services	1.000	0.00	21		0.0	1.00

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Global Value Chain (GVC) Participation Index						
Backward GVC Participation	24.6%	0.46	6	▲	4.3	0.21
Simple GVCs	18.0%	1.00	1	▲	5.1	0.16
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.2	0.08	15		8.9	0.17
Mining and quarrying	1.4	1.00	1		10.5	0.91
Light manufacturing	0.2	0.00	25		20.8	0.17
Heavy manufacturing	0.3	0.04	18		–16.4	0.15
Utilities	0.2	0.17	15		8.2	0.14
Construction	6.1	0.58	3		5.6	5.96
Trade services	1.3	0.54	5		32.1	0.94
Hotels and restaurants	0.2	0.12	19		7.0	0.15
Transport services	0.8	0.51	11		73.6	0.45
Telecommunications	0.3	0.26	7		6.6	0.19
Financial intermediation	0.4	0.37	4		3.8	0.28
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.8	0.48	7		27.4	0.63
Public administration and defense	4.1	1.00	1		2.8	3.88
Education, health, and social work	1.7	1.00	1		6.3	1.45
Other personal services	0.0	0.02	20		–6.5	0.19
Complex GVCs	6.6%	0.15	12	▼	2.8	0.06
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1	0.10	14		22.2	0.09
Mining and quarrying	0.5	0.98	2		14.5	0.30
Light manufacturing	0.1	0.00	25		12.9	0.11
Heavy manufacturing	0.3	0.01	18		11.5	0.40
Utilities	0.1	0.13	17		5.2	0.04
Construction	2.1	0.49	2		3.0	1.99
Trade services	0.5	0.07	9		21.9	0.33
Hotels and restaurants	0.2	0.02	12		25.1	0.15
Transport services	0.4	0.19	17		–0.9	0.41
Telecommunications	0.1	0.17	9		9.5	0.08
Financial intermediation	0.1	0.10	4		4.5	0.08
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2	0.23	9		0.9	0.16
Public administration and defense	1.3	1.00	1		2.4	1.17
Education, health, and social work	0.5	0.67	3		5.7	0.44
Other personal services	0.0	0.06	20		–1.8	0.07
Forward GVC Participation	41.5%	0.89	2	▲	–3.3	0.50
Simple GVCs	24.3%	0.76	3	▲	–3.7	0.30
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0	0.01	23		0.1	0.04
Mining and quarrying	16.7	1.00	1		–4.5	21.29
Light manufacturing	0.1	0.00	25		–5.3	0.08
Heavy manufacturing	6.0	0.55	3		–0.9	6.88
Utilities	0.1	0.02	15		5.3	0.10
Construction	0.0	0.01	20		–10.6	0.05
Trade services	0.4	0.03	23		–2.5	0.46
Hotels and restaurants	0.1	0.01	12		–0.4	0.10
Transport services	0.2	0.03	21		–0.7	0.31
Telecommunications	0.1	0.07	14		–5.0	0.19

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.3	0.06	15		0.1	0.43
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2	0.03	19		7.3	0.34
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.01	18		–11.1	0.06
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.09	13		–6.6	0.05
Other personal services	0.0	0.02	23		–2.0	0.06
Complex GVCs	17.2%	1.00	1	▲	–2.5	0.19
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0	0.01	23		2.6	0.03
Mining and quarrying	12.8	1.00	1		–3.0	14.59
Light manufacturing	0.0	0.00	25		–3.9	0.05
Heavy manufacturing	3.4	0.50	5		–0.1	3.52
Utilities	0.1	0.02	17		3.7	0.05
Construction	0.0	0.01	17		–10.3	0.03
Trade services	0.2	0.04	23		–0.5	0.25
Hotels and restaurants	0.1	0.01	13		1.7	0.06
Transport services	0.1	0.06	21		1.6	0.16
Telecommunications	0.1	0.11	13		–5.1	0.11
Financial intermediation	0.2	0.10	14		1.4	0.26
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2	0.04	19		8.3	0.23
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.02	18		–10.3	0.03
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.05	19		–8.2	0.03
Other personal services	0.0	0.02	23		–2.3	0.03

SPECIALIZATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Vertical Specialization

Vertical Specialization Ratio	13.1%	0.11	22	▼	7.0	0.10
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	27.1%	0.63	5		0.7	0.26
Mining and quarrying	11.8%	0.25	12		7.3	0.08
Light manufacturing	49.4%	0.86	2		6.3	0.35
Heavy manufacturing	11.2%	0.03	24		9.5	0.10
Utilities	19.6%	0.31	17		1.8	0.18
Construction	51.3%	1.00	1		3.4	0.44
Trade services	26.6%	0.67	2		3.1	0.23
Hotels and restaurants	34.8%	0.95	2		1.7	0.32
Transport services	27.8%	0.36	13		1.0	0.24
Telecommunications	33.5%	0.63	3		5.2	0.28
Financial intermediation	9.9%	0.27	7		1.9	0.09
Real estate, renting, and business activities	17.8%	0.59	3		4.9	0.15
Public administration and defense	21.8%	0.64	2		2.6	0.19
Education, health, and social work	22.7%	1.00	1		3.6	0.20
Other personal services	7.3%	0.14	18		1.2	0.07

Revealed Comparative Advantages

Traditional RCA Index						
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.13	0.02	21	▼	9.0	0.10
Mining and quarrying	6.76	0.62	2	▲	5.5	6.23
Light manufacturing	0.03	0.00	25	▼	–1.2	0.02

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	0.93	0.51	7	▲	–0.6	0.90
Utilities	0.71	0.01	4	▼	7.7	0.33
Construction	1.18	0.15	8	▼	2.1	0.86
Trade services	0.18	0.02	22	▼	6.8	0.15
Hotels and restaurants	0.58	0.01	13	▼	4.9	0.35
Transport services	0.59	0.13	18	▼	5.2	0.61
Telecommunications	0.48	0.06	18	▼	4.0	0.31
Financial intermediation	0.10	0.02	17	▼	7.8	0.08
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.02	0.00	23	▼	0.5	0.03
Public administration and defense	0.35	0.01	12	▼	3.8	0.51
Education, health, and social work	0.66	0.09	7	▼	5.0	0.44
Other personal services	0.29	0.02	15	▼	4.6	0.26

New RCA Index (Based on Domestic Value Added)

Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.05	0.01	23	▼	3.3	0.05
Mining and quarrying	7.06	1.00	1	▲	4.2	6.42
Light manufacturing	0.03	0.00	25	▼	–7.7	0.03
Heavy manufacturing	0.92	0.41	9	▲	0.1	0.87
Utilities	0.18	0.00	24	▼	4.8	0.13
Construction	0.22	0.01	21	▼	8.8	0.22
Trade services	0.13	0.00	25	▼	–1.3	0.13
Hotels and restaurants	0.53	0.01	18	▼	–1.8	0.46
Transport services	0.18	0.00	25	▼	–0.9	0.19
Telecommunications	0.40	0.04	23	▼	–5.0	0.46
Financial intermediation	0.23	0.02	21	▼	–6.3	0.26
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.07	0.00	25	▼	–10.6	0.08
Public administration and defense	0.12	0.01	20	▼	–17.7	0.28
Education, health, and social work	0.31	0.06	21	▼	–11.4	0.36
Other personal services	0.20	0.01	22	▼	2.7	0.19

POSITION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Upstreamness Index	1.9	0.21	18	▼	–2.9	2.2
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.6	0.10	23		–2.0	1.8
Mining and quarrying	3.2	0.73	11		–1.2	3.5
Light manufacturing	2.0	0.33	11		–3.9	2.4
Heavy manufacturing	3.0	0.80	8		–0.8	3.2
Utilities	2.0	0.07	24		–1.8	2.3
Construction	1.2	0.17	18		–3.9	1.3
Trade services	1.8	0.20	22		–4.9	2.2
Hotels and restaurants	2.0	0.64	8		–4.7	2.4
Transport services	1.9	0.24	20		–2.6	2.4
Telecommunications	2.3	0.49	11		–2.7	2.7
Financial intermediation	1.7	0.11	24		–6.8	2.4
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.8	0.20	16		–2.7	2.1
Public administration and defense	1.0	0.01	20		–0.8	1.1
Education, health, and social work	1.0	0.03	20		–2.1	1.2
Other personal services	1.4	0.21	18		3.3	1.5

continued on next page

Brunei Darussalam 3.1B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
PRODUCTION LENGTH OF GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS						
Backward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (B)	0.9	0.52	9	▼	2.5	0.8
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.0	0.60	5		0.7	1.0
Mining and quarrying	0.4	0.24	15		5.3	0.3
Light manufacturing	1.8	0.91	4		5.5	1.3
Heavy manufacturing	0.4	0.02	24		7.2	0.4
Utilities	0.7	0.22	17		1.6	0.7
Construction	1.8	0.84	3		2.2	1.6
Trade services	1.0	0.66	3		2.7	0.9
Hotels and restaurants	1.3	0.83	4		1.5	1.2
Transport services	1.0	0.37	16		1.0	0.9
Telecommunications	1.2	0.63	4		4.3	1.0
Financial intermediation	0.4	0.29	9		1.7	0.4
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.6	0.54	8		3.4	0.5
Public administration and defense	0.8	0.54	3		2.1	0.7
Education, health, and social work	0.8	0.70	2		2.5	0.7
Other personal services	0.3	0.13	20		1.1	0.3
Forward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (F)	0.7	0.46	14	▼	-5.7	1.0
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1	0.06	24		-4.5	0.3
Mining and quarrying	2.7	0.69	5		-2.0	3.1
Light manufacturing	0.6	0.32	13		-6.4	0.8
Heavy manufacturing	2.5	0.92	2		-1.6	2.7
Utilities	0.7	0.24	13		-2.7	1.0
Construction	0.1	0.10	11		-9.6	0.2
Trade services	0.5	0.21	18		-9.2	0.8
Hotels and restaurants	0.6	0.30	7		-8.2	1.0
Transport services	0.9	0.33	14		-4.3	1.3
Telecommunications	0.7	0.31	12		-5.7	1.1
Financial intermediation	0.5	0.18	15		-12.5	1.0
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.4	0.16	18		-8.2	0.6
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.01	19		-15.1	0.0
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.08	17		-12.7	0.1
Other personal services	0.3	0.12	12		35.9	0.4

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.2 Cambodia

3.2A Internal Linkages

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
STRUCTURE OF THE ECONOMY							
Economic Indicators							
Gross output	(\$)	45,528	0.00	17	▼	9.4	29,015
Gross value-added	(\$)	22,617	0.00	17	▼	9.0	14,803
Value-added content of domestic final demand		15,012	0.00	18		8.5	10,201
Value-added content of exports		7,605	0.00	17		10.4	4,602
Intermediate inputs	(\$)	22,210	0.00	17	▼	9.9	13,788
Domestic inputs		15,436	0.00	17		10.0	9,583
Foreign inputs		6,774	0.00	17		9.8	4,204
Direct production	(\$)	30,091	0.00	17	▼	9.2	19,432
Domestic final demand		19,241	0.00	18		8.7	12,914
Exports		10,850	0.00	17		10.4	6,518
Indirect production	(\$)	15,436	0.00	17	▼	10.0	9,583
Indirect production embedded in domestic final demand		8,612	0.00	18		9.9	5,531
Indirect production embedded in exports		6,825	0.00	17		10.3	4,052
Economic Diversification							
Gross output		0.31	0.52	9	▲	–0.9	0.32
Gini concentration index of gross output		0.50	0.60	8		–0.5	0.51
Herfindahl–Hirschman concentration index of gross output		0.07	0.36	9		–2.0	0.08
Theil concentration index of gross output		0.34	0.49	9		–1.3	0.37

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Gross value-added	0.30	0.44	8	▲	-2.0	0.34
Gini concentration index of gross value added	0.49	0.53	8		-1.1	0.52
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross value added	0.07	0.25	8		-5.0	0.10
Theil concentration index of gross value added	0.33	0.44	8		-2.4	0.39
Gross exports	0.60	0.50	13	▲	-0.1	0.60
Gini concentration index of gross exports	0.77	0.65	13		-0.1	0.77
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross exports	0.32	0.36	12		-0.1	0.30
Theil concentration index of gross exports	0.72	0.63	12		-0.1	0.71
Structural Changes						
Changes in output due to technological changes	0.2%	0.78	9	▲	-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	-0.8%	0.50	16			
Mining and quarrying	1.7%	0.76	4			
Light manufacturing	-0.1%	0.36	11			
Heavy manufacturing	-4.4%	0.51	22			
Utilities	-0.6%	0.44	14			
Construction	1.1%	0.27	4			
Trade services	0.2%	0.50	9			
Hotels and restaurants	2.2%	0.19	3			
Transport services	0.7%	0.73	4			
Telecommunications	-0.4%	0.19	13			
Financial intermediation	1.6%	0.62	4			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.9%	0.90	2			
Public administration and defense	-0.2%	0.32	21			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.70	16			
Other personal services	0.3%	0.48	11			
Changes in output due to structural changes in consumption (%)	6.7%	0.65	3	▲	-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.7%	0.52	14			
Mining and quarrying	17.3%	1.00	1			
Light manufacturing	2.4%	0.36	11			
Heavy manufacturing	4.2%	0.65	2			
Utilities	10.2%	1.00	1			
Construction	3.6%	0.43	2			
Trade services	3.8%	0.61	9			
Hotels and restaurants	4.2%	0.16	16			
Transport services	4.0%	0.56	6			
Telecommunications	5.9%	0.77	2			
Financial intermediation	9.9%	1.00	1			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	8.2%	0.37	3			
Public administration and defense	7.1%	0.49	9			
Education, health, and social work	8.3%	0.27	8			
Other personal services	7.9%	0.57	6			
Changes in output due to structural changes in investment (%)	1.9%	0.57	4	▲	-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1%	0.07	17			
Mining and quarrying	1.7%	0.37	6			
Light manufacturing	0.5%	0.16	12			

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	4.8%	0.59	2			
Utilities	0.4%	0.36	12			
Construction	16.2%	1.00	1			
Trade services	0.9%	0.28	13			
Hotels and restaurants	0.4%	0.47	6			
Transport services	1.3%	0.34	5			
Telecommunications	0.6%	0.19	13			
Financial intermediation	0.7%	0.15	11			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.5%	0.24	14			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.04	11			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.01	23			
Other personal services	0.4%	0.25	12			
Changes in output due to structural changes in stock (%)	0.0%	0.29	13		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.1%	0.50	17			
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.38	12			
Light manufacturing	0.1%	0.20	9			
Heavy manufacturing	0.1%	0.38	10			
Utilities	0.0%	0.12	12			
Construction	0.0%	0.75	11			
Trade services	0.0%	0.30	13			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.46	9			
Transport services	0.0%	0.06	13			
Telecommunications	0.0%	0.46	11			
Financial intermediation	0.0%	0.90	10			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.0%	0.16	11			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.64	8			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.23	14			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.42	10			
Changes in output due to structural changes in export	3.3%	0.71	4		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	3.1%	0.70	5			
Mining and quarrying	3.2%	0.24	7			
Light manufacturing	9.6%	0.86	2			
Heavy manufacturing	3.6%	0.57	5			
Utilities	1.7%	0.09	8			
Construction	0.3%	0.09	9			
Trade services	6.0%	0.68	2			
Hotels and restaurants	5.1%	0.44	5			
Transport services	5.2%	0.91	3			
Telecommunications	4.3%	0.43	2			
Financial intermediation	2.0%	0.37	10			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	2.6%	0.70	6			
Public administration and defense	0.6%	0.25	6			
Education, health, and social work	0.2%	0.10	10			
Other personal services	1.4%	0.29	8			

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Changes in output due to import substitution on intermediate demand	0.3%	0.43	8	▼	–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.1%	0.67	13			
Mining and quarrying	1.3%	0.32	6			
Light manufacturing	–0.1%	0.80	15			
Heavy manufacturing	4.3%	0.45	4			
Utilities	0.0%	0.68	10			
Construction	0.0%	0.82	19			
Trade services	0.1%	0.79	6			
Hotels and restaurants	–0.9%	0.92	23			
Transport services	0.2%	0.51	8			
Telecommunications	0.0%	0.96	7			
Financial intermediation	0.2%	0.70	4			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.0%	0.73	8			
Public administration and defense	–0.1%	0.47	24			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.59	12			
Other personal services	–0.1%	0.48	15			
Changes in output due to import substitution on domestic final demand	–0.1%	0.72	11	▼	–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.2%	0.49	13			
Mining and quarrying	0.6%	0.78	4			
Light manufacturing	–0.3%	0.74	16			
Heavy manufacturing	–0.2%	0.53	16			
Utilities	–0.1%	0.66	14			
Construction	0.1%	0.69	4			
Trade services	–0.1%	0.84	14			
Hotels and restaurants	–0.5%	0.11	23			
Transport services	–0.1%	0.84	15			
Telecommunications	–0.2%	0.66	15			
Financial intermediation	0.4%	1.00	1			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.1%	0.51	14			
Public administration and defense	–0.4%	0.24	23			
Education, health, and social work	–0.2%	0.80	21			
Other personal services	–0.1%	0.92	14			

STRENGTH OF LINKAGES

Demand-side Linkages

Direct backward linkage	0.32	0.29	14	▼	0.2	0.31
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.18	0.20	21		0.1	0.18
Mining and quarrying	0.17	0.27	15		–1.4	0.17
Light manufacturing	0.46	0.56	19		0.1	0.46
Heavy manufacturing	0.27	0.10	23		0.5	0.26
Utilities	0.55	0.69	4		0.0	0.54
Construction	0.29	0.02	23		1.2	0.27
Trade services	0.30	0.38	8		1.1	0.29
Hotels and restaurants	0.52	0.75	7		0.1	0.52
Transport services	0.26	0.42	17		0.0	0.26
Telecommunications	0.35	0.52	10		0.1	0.35

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.15	0.11	22		0.8	0.15
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.41	1.00	1		0.7	0.41
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.39	0.71	5		0.4	0.39
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.21	0.32	13		–0.8	0.22
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.23	0.35	18		0.0	0.23
Total backward linkage	1.47	0.16	15		0.1	1.46
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.24	0.13	22		0.1	1.24
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.25	0.20	15		–0.3	1.25
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.73	0.34	16		0.1	1.72
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.38	0.04	22		0.1	1.37
<i>Utilities</i>	1.78	0.34	4		0.1	1.77
<i>Construction</i>	1.42	0.01	24		0.4	1.39
<i>Trade services</i>	1.45	0.46	8		0.4	1.43
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.76	0.43	11		0.3	1.75
<i>Transport services</i>	1.40	0.27	17		0.1	1.39
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.55	0.41	11		0.1	1.54
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.23	0.04	21		0.2	1.22
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.65	0.60	4		0.3	1.64
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.62	0.63	6		0.3	1.61
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.32	0.19	12		0.0	1.32
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.35	0.23	18		0.1	1.34
Normalized backward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.84	0.15	20		–0.1	0.85
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.85	0.35	17		–0.4	0.86
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.17	0.53	17		0.0	1.18
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.93	0.02	24		0.0	0.93
<i>Utilities</i>	1.21	0.53	4		–0.1	1.21
<i>Construction</i>	0.96	0.02	24		0.2	0.95
<i>Trade services</i>	0.98	0.48	5		0.3	0.98
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.19	0.65	7		0.1	1.19
<i>Transport services</i>	0.95	0.33	17		–0.1	0.95
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.05	0.70	8		–0.1	1.05
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.83	0.07	20		0.0	0.83
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.12	1.00	1		0.2	1.12
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.10	0.81	4		0.1	1.10
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.90	0.51	15		–0.2	0.90
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.91	0.33	18		0.0	0.92
Net backward linkage	0.93	0.56	12		0.0	0.94
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.85	0.73	7		0.2	0.85
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.62	0.43	11		3.4	0.54
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.21	0.66	7		0.2	1.19
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.60	0.16	22		–0.8	0.63
<i>Utilities</i>	0.98	0.89	2		2.3	0.94
<i>Construction</i>	1.13	0.19	22		–0.2	1.19
<i>Trade services</i>	0.85	0.42	10		0.6	0.88

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.20	0.45	15		–0.9	1.25
<i>Transport services</i>	0.48	0.01	24		–0.9	0.52
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.80	0.46	12		1.0	0.79
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.68	0.57	7		–1.2	0.73
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.82	0.74	14		–2.1	0.88
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.52	0.60	6		0.8	1.49
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.31	0.29	10		0.0	1.31
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.93	0.59	19		0.1	0.94
Growth equalized output multipliers	0.10	0.14	15		0.2	0.10
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.24	0.69	4		–3.8	0.33
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.02	0.04	14		15.2	0.01
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.39	0.67	4		0.5	0.37
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.03	0.03	22		2.0	0.03
<i>Utilities</i>	0.04	0.14	15		1.3	0.03
<i>Construction</i>	0.21	0.35	6		7.8	0.13
<i>Trade services</i>	0.14	0.27	18		0.7	0.14
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.09	0.21	4		0.0	0.10
<i>Transport services</i>	0.08	0.37	13		0.7	0.08
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.04	0.43	9		0.0	0.04
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.02	0.00	25		4.1	0.02
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.10	0.33	14		1.1	0.09
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.02	0.01	24		–2.9	0.03
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.05	0.14	19		–1.7	0.06
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.04	0.18	11		–0.7	0.04
Supply-Side Linkages						
Direct forward linkage	0.37	0.30	14		0.3	0.36
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.31	0.20	20		–0.3	0.32
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.51	0.51	14		–2.1	0.57
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.30	0.17	20		–0.1	0.31
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.57	0.74	6		0.9	0.54
<i>Utilities</i>	0.45	0.44	23		–1.3	0.47
<i>Construction</i>	0.21	0.42	10		4.8	0.15
<i>Trade services</i>	0.41	0.49	13		–0.1	0.39
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.32	0.60	9		6.3	0.28
<i>Transport services</i>	0.65	0.80	2		0.7	0.63
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.48	0.51	14		–0.7	0.49
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.44	0.38	21		2.3	0.40
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.51	0.45	9		3.7	0.46
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.06	0.29	8		–3.6	0.07
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.01	0.02	20		0.1	0.01
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.31	0.33	12		0.1	0.30
Total forward linkage	1.57	0.17	13		0.2	1.55
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.46	0.13	19		0.0	1.46
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.82	0.27	13		–1.0	1.92
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.44	0.10	20		0.0	1.45

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.79	0.38	14		0.5	1.74
Utilities	1.71	0.21	22		–0.5	1.73
Construction	1.30	0.33	8		0.6	1.22
Trade services	1.61	0.29	14		0.0	1.57
Hotels and restaurants	1.50	0.40	8		1.4	1.44
Transport services	2.03	0.46	4		0.5	1.96
Telecommunications	1.79	0.43	11		–0.2	1.78
Financial intermediation	1.74	0.13	19		1.2	1.65
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.82	0.39	6		1.7	1.72
Public administration and defense	1.10	0.29	8		–0.5	1.11
Education, health, and social work	1.01	0.03	19		0.0	1.01
Other personal services	1.50	0.37	11		0.1	1.47
Normalized forward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.93	0.12	23		–0.2	0.94
Mining and quarrying	1.24	0.52	13		–1.1	1.31
Light manufacturing	0.98	0.31	20		–0.1	0.99
Heavy manufacturing	1.22	0.64	7		0.3	1.19
Utilities	1.16	0.37	21		–0.6	1.18
Construction	0.88	0.45	8		0.5	0.83
Trade services	1.09	0.40	12		–0.2	1.07
Hotels and restaurants	1.01	0.59	6		1.2	0.98
Transport services	1.37	0.96	2		0.4	1.34
Telecommunications	1.21	0.53	11		–0.4	1.21
Financial intermediation	1.18	0.17	21		1.1	1.13
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.23	0.58	4		1.5	1.17
Public administration and defense	0.75	0.56	9		–0.7	0.76
Education, health, and social work	0.69	0.51	15		–0.1	0.69
Other personal services	1.01	0.38	7		0.0	1.01
Net forward linkage	0.84	0.42	14		0.2	0.83
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.07	0.40	14		–0.1	1.08
Mining and quarrying	1.32	0.58	10		0.2	1.34
Light manufacturing	0.44	0.28	18		0.0	0.44
Heavy manufacturing	0.88	0.96	2		0.4	0.86
Utilities	0.21	0.00	25		–0.6	0.22
Construction	0.69	1.00	1		1.2	0.63
Trade services	0.87	0.31	22		–0.2	0.86
Hotels and restaurants	0.58	0.34	13		1.3	0.55
Transport services	1.05	0.63	4		0.5	1.02
Telecommunications	0.93	0.35	14		–0.4	0.93
Financial intermediation	1.43	0.47	11		1.2	1.35
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.94	0.19	23		1.4	0.89
Public administration and defense	0.50	0.27	23		–1.2	0.51
Education, health, and social work	0.68	0.39	17		–0.3	0.69
Other personal services	1.00	0.67	6		–0.4	1.00

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Growth equalized input multipliers	0.10	0.15	15		0.3	0.10
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.35	0.71	3		–3.7	0.46
Mining and quarrying	0.04	0.05	14		15.8	0.02
Light manufacturing	0.23	0.65	4		0.6	0.21
Heavy manufacturing	0.03	0.06	19		2.5	0.03
Utilities	0.01	0.00	25		1.0	0.01
Construction	0.19	0.33	3		9.4	0.11
Trade services	0.16	0.27	22		0.7	0.15
Hotels and restaurants	0.07	0.29	4		1.4	0.07
Transport services	0.12	0.65	7		1.3	0.11
Telecommunications	0.04	0.32	9		–0.3	0.05
Financial intermediation	0.04	0.00	25		5.5	0.03
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.13	0.23	17		2.5	0.11
Public administration and defense	0.02	0.00	25		–3.9	0.02
Education, health, and social work	0.05	0.16	21		–1.8	0.05
Other personal services	0.05	0.32	8		–0.9	0.05
Impacts from Hypothetical Extraction						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical extraction	–8.7%	0.90	8		0.2	–0.09
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–17.7%	0.40	22		–3.8	–23.8
Mining and quarrying	–1.7%	0.96	11		14.6	–1.0
Light manufacturing	–33.4%	0.29	23		0.4	–31.8
Heavy manufacturing	–2.4%	0.96	2		1.8	–2.2
Utilities	–4.1%	0.81	17		1.3	–3.8
Construction	–16.9%	0.65	18		7.1	–11.4
Trade services	–13.0%	0.77	10		0.9	–12.8
Hotels and restaurants	–10.3%	0.78	22		–0.2	–10.9
Transport services	–7.3%	0.66	13		0.6	–7.2
Telecommunications	–3.4%	0.47	18		0.3	–3.5
Financial intermediation	–1.5%	1.00	1		3.8	–1.3
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–9.3%	0.58	15		0.5	–8.6
Public administration and defense	–2.5%	0.94	2		–2.9	–2.9
Education, health, and social work	–4.4%	0.86	6		–2.0	–5.0
Other personal services	–3.1%	0.85	15		–0.8	–3.3
Impacts from Hypothetical Insertion						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical insertion	3.4%	0.14	15		0.8	0.03
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	3.9%	0.15	6		–3.5	5.3
Mining and quarrying	0.3%	0.03	15		13.5	0.2
Light manufacturing	18.8%	0.53	7		0.5	18.0
Heavy manufacturing	0.7%	0.01	23		2.3	0.6
Utilities	1.9%	0.21	8		1.2	1.8
Construction	5.8%	0.17	12		9.1	3.6
Trade services	4.2%	0.24	10		2.0	4.0
Hotels and restaurants	4.7%	0.29	3		0.4	4.9
Transport services	2.2%	0.28	18		0.8	2.2
Telecommunications	1.3%	0.36	6		0.0	1.3
Financial intermediation	0.3%	0.00	25		4.9	0.3

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Real estate, renting, and business activities	4.4%	0.65	9		1.6	3.9
Public administration and defense	0.9%	0.22	21		–2.4	1.1
Education, health, and social work	1.1%	0.15	18		–2.1	1.2
Other personal services	0.8%	0.11	14		–0.5	0.9

SPREAD OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES

Demand-side

Relative evenness of direct backward linkage	0.40	0.37	15	▼	0.1	0.40
Relative evenness of total backward linkage	0.13	0.08	18	▼	0.4	0.13

Backward measure of concentration based on input coefficients	0.92	0.78	9	▲	0.0	0.92
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.81	0.47	23		0.7	0.76
Mining and quarrying	0.90	0.36	18		–0.2	0.93
Light manufacturing	0.85	0.33	24		0.3	0.83
Heavy manufacturing	0.96	0.99	2		–0.1	0.97
Utilities	0.89	0.68	16		–0.4	0.93
Construction	0.90	0.83	15		–0.6	0.94
Trade services	0.95	0.87	12		0.0	0.95
Hotels and restaurants	0.93	0.85	7		0.7	0.90
Transport services	0.96	0.91	2		0.0	0.96
Telecommunications	0.94	0.77	9		0.2	0.93
Financial intermediation	0.92	0.94	10		–0.2	0.93
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.92	0.86	19		–0.3	0.94
Public administration and defense	0.93	0.53	17		–0.2	0.94
Education, health, and social work	0.98	0.99	2		0.3	0.97
Other personal services	0.96	0.94	7		0.0	0.96

Relative evenness of direct forward linkage	0.48	0.03	24	▼	–0.6	0.51
Relative evenness of total forward linkage	0.18	0.01	24	▼	–0.3	0.18

Forward measure of concentration based on output coefficients	0.68	0.67	8	▲	0.3	0.68
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.61	1.00	1		–0.4	0.62
Mining and quarrying	0.72	1.00	1		0.4	0.70
Light manufacturing	0.31	0.00	25		0.8	0.31
Heavy manufacturing	0.61	0.53	15		–0.3	0.64
Utilities	0.77	0.67	14		0.7	0.77
Construction	0.39	0.46	18		–1.3	0.43
Trade services	0.65	0.26	21		–0.3	0.69
Hotels and restaurants	0.81	0.75	8		–0.3	0.83
Transport services	0.81	0.83	7		–0.4	0.83
Telecommunications	0.87	0.88	3		0.2	0.87
Financial intermediation	0.78	0.85	11		–0.1	0.79
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.82	0.68	13		–0.1	0.83
Public administration and defense	0.78	0.84	7		7.0	0.69
Education, health, and social work	0.37	0.32	22		0.2	0.37
Other personal services	0.86	0.88	3		0.2	0.85

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
SENSITIVITY OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES						
Economic Complexity						
Percentage intermediate transaction	0.34	0.25	15	▼	0.5	0.33
Average output multiplier	1.47	0.16	15	▼	0.1	1.46
Average of Leontief inverse	0.19	0.15	15	▼	0.3	0.19
Average technical coefficient	0.02	0.29	14	▼	0.2	0.02
Mean intermediate coefficient	0.32	0.29	14	▼	0.2	0.31
Percentage of above-average coefficients (%)	0.28	0.52	7	▲	–1.2	0.30
Determinant of non-competitive Leontief inverse	3.16	0.06	15	▼	0.8	3.05
Determinant of competitive Leontief inverse	5.57	0.05	12	▼	1.0	5.29
Mean path length	1.51	0.14	15	▼	0.2	1.49
Cycling index	0.13	0.41	12	▲	0.5	0.13
Average propagation length	1.78	0.31	12	▲	0.2	1.76
Overall sensitivity of the economy	0.74	0.57	13	▲	–0.2	0.77
Index of direct interrelatedness	0.60	0.47	10	▲	0.4	0.61
Index of indirect interrelatedness	0.14	0.41	13	▲	–2.3	0.16
Global intensity index	22.12	0.16	15	▼	0.1	21.97

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.2B External Linkages

\$ = United States dollars, GVC = global value chain.

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1, while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adb.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value	
PARTICIPATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS							
International Trade Coefficients							
Trade openness	0.94	0.34	10	▼	1.0	0.88	
Self-sufficiency ratio	0.98	0.57	16	▼	0.0	0.97	
Regional supply percentage	0.74	0.42	14	▼	−0.2	0.75	
Regional purchase coefficient	0.84	0.71	12	▲	0.6	0.83	
Sectoral purchase coefficient	0.83	0.85	15	▲	0.1	0.83	
Simple location quotient of gross output	1.24	0.57	10	▲	−0.9	1.31	
Fabrication effect	0.96	0.59	13	▼	0.1	0.95	
Exports	(\$)	10,850	0.00	17	▼	10.4	6,518
Export-to-output ratio		0.15	0.45	11	▼	0.7	0.14
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.15	0.65	6		10.0	0.10
Mining and quarrying		0.02	0.03	19		16.2	0.02
Light manufacturing		0.52	1.00	1		0.3	0.49
Heavy manufacturing		0.13	0.14	19		1.9	0.12
Utilities		0.00	0.00	16		146.9	0.00
Construction		0.01	0.09	13		55.9	0.02
Trade services		0.27	0.37	6		3.9	0.25
Hotels and restaurants		0.50	0.52	4		−0.3	0.52
Transport services		0.17	0.23	13		3.7	0.16
Telecommunications		0.16	0.50	7		11.4	0.13

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2B continued

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Financial intermediation		0.05	0.10	7		–2.1	0.06
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.03	0.11	11		–3.6	0.04
Public administration and defense		0.13	0.32	4		–2.6	0.14
Education, health, and social work		0.01	0.16	9		9.3	0.01
Other personal services		0.01	0.02	21		7.6	0.01
Imports	(\$)	11,938	0.01	17	▼	10.1	7,508
Import-to-input ratio		0.14	0.56	10	▼	–0.3	0.14
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.08	0.21	11		1.2	0.07
Mining and quarrying		0.10	0.25	9		–3.0	0.12
Light manufacturing		0.22	0.40	4		–0.1	0.22
Heavy manufacturing		0.23	0.28	12		–0.2	0.23
Utilities		0.30	0.53	5		0.0	0.31
Construction		0.17	0.37	12		–2.6	0.20
Trade services		0.15	0.57	5		–1.0	0.15
Hotels and restaurants		0.08	0.24	14		–0.1	0.08
Transport services		0.20	0.38	13		0.2	0.20
Telecommunications		0.11	0.29	9		0.5	0.11
Financial intermediation		0.03	0.10	14		–1.1	0.03
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.06	0.31	10		–1.8	0.06
Public administration and defense		0.13	0.80	3		1.0	0.12
Education, health, and social work		0.11	0.56	4		4.2	0.10
Other personal services		0.09	0.23	9		5.3	0.08
Total foreign factor content of consumption	(\$)	2,773	0.01	17	▼	8.5	1,872
Total foreign factor content of investment	(\$)	1,060	0.00	19	▼	13.6	571
Total foreign factor content of exports	(\$)	2,919	0.01	15	▼	10.5	1,731
Interregional Multipliers							
Import Leakage Effects		0.20	0.45	11	▼	–0.2	0.20
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.10	0.20	15		1.0	0.10
Mining and quarrying		0.14	0.29	11		–2.7	0.15
Light manufacturing		0.34	0.53	7		–0.2	0.34
Heavy manufacturing		0.28	0.28	14		–0.3	0.29
Utilities		0.43	0.64	6		–0.2	0.43
Construction		0.24	0.32	16		–1.8	0.26
Trade services		0.21	0.50	6		–0.5	0.21
Hotels and restaurants		0.18	0.39	12		0.5	0.17
Transport services		0.25	0.32	16		0.1	0.26
Telecommunications		0.17	0.29	10		0.1	0.17
Financial intermediation		0.05	0.09	18		–1.3	0.05
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.14	0.46	8		–1.2	0.15
Public administration and defense		0.22	0.64	3		0.5	0.21
Education, health, and social work		0.15	0.59	7		2.8	0.14
Other personal services		0.13	0.30	13		3.1	0.12
Intraregional transfer multiplier		1.47	0.16	15	▼	0.1	1.46
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		1.24	0.13	22		0.1	1.24
Mining and quarrying		1.25	0.20	15		–0.3	1.25

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Light manufacturing	1.73	0.34	16		0.1	1.72
Heavy manufacturing	1.38	0.04	22		0.1	1.37
Utilities	1.78	0.34	4		0.1	1.77
Construction	1.42	0.01	24		0.4	1.39
Trade services	1.45	0.46	8		0.4	1.43
Hotels and restaurants	1.76	0.43	11		0.3	1.75
Transport services	1.40	0.27	17		0.1	1.39
Telecommunications	1.55	0.41	11		0.1	1.54
Financial intermediation	1.23	0.04	21		0.2	1.22
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.65	0.60	4		0.3	1.64
Public administration and defense	1.62	0.63	6		0.3	1.61
Education, health, and social work	1.32	0.19	12		0.0	1.32
Other personal services	1.35	0.23	18		0.1	1.34
Interregional spillover multiplier	1.35	0.63	9		0.0	1.36
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.21	0.30	9		0.2	1.19
Mining and quarrying	1.28	0.32	9		–0.6	1.32
Light manufacturing	1.55	0.46	4		0.1	1.55
Heavy manufacturing	1.61	0.38	12		0.1	1.61
Utilities	1.83	0.71	5		0.4	1.84
Construction	1.47	0.46	11		–0.8	1.53
Trade services	1.37	0.71	4		–0.3	1.39
Hotels and restaurants	1.18	0.23	14		–0.1	1.18
Transport services	1.53	0.45	11		0.2	1.54
Telecommunications	1.27	0.32	7		0.1	1.26
Financial intermediation	1.06	0.12	14		–0.2	1.06
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.13	0.36	9		–0.2	1.14
Public administration and defense	1.32	0.72	3		0.3	1.30
Education, health, and social work	1.25	0.63	6		0.6	1.23
Other personal services	1.23	0.24	8		0.8	1.20
Interregional feedback multiplier	1.000	0.01	18		0.0	1.00
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.000	0.01	19		0.0	1.00
Mining and quarrying	1.000	0.00	15		0.0	1.00
Light manufacturing	1.000	0.02	16		0.0	1.00
Heavy manufacturing	1.000	0.00	17		0.0	1.00
Utilities	1.000	0.01	15		0.0	1.00
Construction	1.000	0.01	19		0.0	1.00
Trade services	1.000	0.01	15		0.0	1.00
Hotels and restaurants	1.000	0.01	19		0.0	1.00
Transport services	1.000	0.00	18		0.0	1.00
Telecommunications	1.000	0.01	17		0.0	1.00
Financial intermediation	1.000	0.00	20		0.0	1.00
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.000	0.00	17		0.0	1.00
Public administration and defense	1.000	0.01	16		0.0	1.00
Education, health, and social work	1.000	0.01	18		0.0	1.00
Other personal services	1.000	0.00	16		0.0	1.00

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Global Value Chain (GVC) Participation Index						
Backward GVC Participation	22.5%	0.40	10	▼	0.6	0.21
Simple GVCs	10.8%	0.43	12	▼	1.5	0.10
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.1	0.45	3		–3.1	1.47
Mining and quarrying	0.1	0.16	4		16.9	0.06
Light manufacturing	2.0	0.44	4		1.4	2.17
Heavy manufacturing	0.2	0.03	21		1.3	0.20
Utilities	0.7	0.63	3		3.7	0.62
Construction	3.2	0.28	8		6.7	2.32
Trade services	0.8	0.31	10		–0.8	0.86
Hotels and restaurants	0.3	0.14	16		2.2	0.28
Transport services	0.3	0.16	21		–1.7	0.36
Telecommunications	0.2	0.20	11		–0.7	0.21
Financial intermediation	0.0	0.03	20		2.9	0.04
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.6	0.34	10		–0.5	0.58
Public administration and defense	0.3	0.08	17		–0.2	0.37
Education, health, and social work	0.6	0.34	15		2.0	0.63
Other personal services	0.3	0.22	10		2.9	0.26
Complex GVCs	11.8%	0.36	5	▲	0.3	0.11
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.6	0.56	4		–3.8	0.76
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.11	8		17.2	0.03
Light manufacturing	7.1	0.70	2		0.8	6.41
Heavy manufacturing	0.1	0.00	22		1.8	0.13
Utilities	0.3	0.83	2		2.1	0.28
Construction	1.4	0.29	7		3.4	0.99
Trade services	0.6	0.09	7		1.2	0.58
Hotels and restaurants	0.6	0.07	5		0.0	0.67
Transport services	0.2	0.09	21		–1.3	0.25
Telecommunications	0.1	0.12	13		–0.5	0.08
Financial intermediation	0.0	0.01	19		0.8	0.01
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2	0.23	8		–2.1	0.21
Public administration and defense	0.1	0.12	15		–1.3	0.16
Education, health, and social work	0.2	0.26	14		0.2	0.23
Other personal services	0.1	0.25	6		2.6	0.10
Forward GVC Participation	13.8%	0.24	16	▼	2.5	0.12
Simple GVCs	8.2%	0.20	17	▼	2.0	0.08
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.9	0.89	3		1.6	1.85
Mining and quarrying	0.1	0.00	17		16.9	0.04
Light manufacturing	1.6	0.42	5		6.5	1.32
Heavy manufacturing	0.1	0.01	20		2.2	0.10
Utilities	0.0	0.00	24		3.2	0.02
Construction	0.1	0.03	8		41.8	0.09
Trade services	1.4	0.16	12		2.2	1.33
Hotels and restaurants	0.8	0.10	3		0.6	0.85
Transport services	1.0	0.22	9		4.1	0.87
Telecommunications	0.4	0.25	7		6.3	0.34

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.1	0.02	22		3.6	0.12
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.5	0.07	15		3.2	0.39
Public administration and defense	0.1	0.08	8		–5.5	0.12
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.00	25		8.9	0.00
Other personal services	0.1	0.14	11		2.1	0.11
Complex GVCs	5.6%	0.29	16		3.3	0.04
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.8	1.00	2		5.7	1.42
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.00	16		15.8	0.02
Light manufacturing	0.9	0.43	4		5.4	0.68
Heavy manufacturing	0.1	0.01	19		3.2	0.05
Utilities	0.0	0.00	23		4.5	0.01
Construction	0.1	0.03	9		65.4	0.05
Trade services	1.1	0.34	8		3.9	0.88
Hotels and restaurants	0.5	0.09	3		2.4	0.44
Transport services	0.5	0.29	10		3.6	0.37
Telecommunications	0.2	0.29	7		6.9	0.14
Financial intermediation	0.1	0.04	21		3.7	0.08
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.3	0.08	15		4.2	0.20
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.08	8		–4.7	0.06
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.00	25		7.2	0.00
Other personal services	0.1	0.26	10		3.0	0.05

SPECIALIZATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Vertical Specialization

Vertical Specialization Ratio	26.9%	0.43	9		–0.2	0.26
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	10.5%	0.20	15		1.1	0.10
Mining and quarrying	13.6%	0.29	11		–2.7	0.15
Light manufacturing	33.9%	0.53	7		–0.2	0.34
Heavy manufacturing	28.2%	0.28	14		–0.3	0.29
Utilities	42.6%	0.67	6		–0.2	0.43
Construction	24.1%	0.32	16		–1.8	0.26
Trade services	20.6%	0.50	6		–0.5	0.21
Hotels and restaurants	17.8%	0.39	12		0.5	0.17
Transport services	25.5%	0.32	16		0.1	0.26
Telecommunications	17.5%	0.29	10		0.1	0.17
Financial intermediation	4.8%	0.09	18		–1.3	0.05
Real estate, renting, and business activities	14.1%	0.46	8		–1.2	0.15
Public administration and defense	21.6%	0.64	3		0.5	0.21
Education, health, and social work	14.7%	0.59	7		2.8	0.14
Other personal services	13.2%	0.30	13		3.1	0.12

Revealed Comparative Advantages

Traditional RCA Index						
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	4.14	0.69	3		–1.5	3.87
Mining and quarrying	0.02	0.00	19		19.2	0.01
Light manufacturing	3.68	0.65	3		1.1	3.54

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	0.02	0.01	23	▼	1.3	0.02
Utilities	0.07	0.00	18	▼	–7.6	0.04
Construction	0.65	0.08	12	▼	9.9	1.20
Trade services	1.32	0.17	9	▲	0.3	1.35
Hotels and restaurants	10.46	0.18	3	▲	0.3	14.17
Transport services	0.72	0.16	14	▼	0.7	0.73
Telecommunications	2.17	0.35	8	▲	–2.8	2.13
Financial intermediation	0.08	0.02	21	▼	5.1	0.10
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.13	0.04	16	▼	10.9	0.16
Public administration and defense	2.03	0.07	7	▲	1.0	3.20
Education, health, and social work	0.41	0.05	11	▼	–26.5	0.27
Other personal services	0.11	0.01	21	▼	2.0	0.09

New RCA Index (Based on Domestic Value Added)

Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	4.09	0.59	2	▲	–3.7	4.67
Mining and quarrying	0.09	0.01	17	▼	15.5	0.05
Light manufacturing	3.49	0.79	2	▲	0.9	3.46
Heavy manufacturing	0.05	0.02	22	▼	1.5	0.05
Utilities	0.12	0.00	25	▼	4.1	0.10
Construction	1.07	0.06	10	▲	24.7	0.81
Trade services	1.14	0.32	14	▲	1.4	1.12
Hotels and restaurants	6.90	0.20	3	▲	–1.9	8.65
Transport services	1.19	0.37	8	▲	0.9	1.14
Telecommunications	1.60	0.40	8	▲	–1.1	1.62
Financial intermediation	0.19	0.01	22	▼	4.6	0.18
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.28	0.13	17	▼	10.4	0.25
Public administration and defense	0.68	0.06	9	▲	1.9	1.02
Education, health, and social work	0.25	0.04	23	▼	–21.1	0.17
Other personal services	0.53	0.05	14	▲	3.2	0.53

POSITION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Upstreamness Index	1.9	0.21	20	▼	0.3	1.8
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.8	0.23	16		0.9	1.7
Mining and quarrying	2.0	0.44	21		–1.1	2.0
Light manufacturing	1.8	0.18	20		0.6	1.7
Heavy manufacturing	2.0	0.21	20		0.2	2.0
Utilities	1.8	0.00	25		–0.4	1.8
Construction	1.3	0.29	10		0.6	1.3
Trade services	2.2	0.44	12		0.5	2.1
Hotels and restaurants	2.1	0.74	5		1.0	2.0
Transport services	2.5	0.58	8		0.9	2.4
Telecommunications	2.3	0.48	12		0.8	2.2
Financial intermediation	2.0	0.17	20		0.5	1.9
Real estate, renting, and business activities	2.1	0.35	11		1.7	1.9
Public administration and defense	1.3	0.50	5		–1.5	1.3
Education, health, and social work	1.0	0.02	23		0.0	1.0
Other personal services	1.6	0.31	15		0.3	1.6

continued on next page

Cambodia 3.2B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
PRODUCTION LENGTH OF GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS						
Backward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (B)	0.9	0.50	11	▼	0.1	0.9
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.4	0.22	15		1.3	0.4
Mining and quarrying	0.6	0.35	11		–2.3	0.7
Light manufacturing	1.5	0.74	6		0.2	1.5
Heavy manufacturing	1.2	0.37	14		0.1	1.2
Utilities	1.9	0.78	3		0.1	1.9
Construction	1.1	0.35	15		–1.5	1.2
Trade services	0.9	0.60	4		–0.2	0.9
Hotels and restaurants	0.8	0.44	12		0.8	0.8
Transport services	1.1	0.38	13		0.3	1.1
Telecommunications	0.8	0.37	9		0.2	0.8
Financial intermediation	0.2	0.13	17		–1.2	0.2
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.6	0.58	5		–0.9	0.7
Public administration and defense	0.9	0.63	2		0.7	0.9
Education, health, and social work	0.6	0.50	8		2.5	0.6
Other personal services	0.6	0.35	11		3.2	0.5
Forward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (F)	0.5	0.28	17	▼	1.5	0.4
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.6	0.36	11		7.1	0.4
Mining and quarrying	0.3	0.07	18		–0.9	0.3
Light manufacturing	0.5	0.30	15		5.4	0.5
Heavy manufacturing	0.4	0.13	21		0.6	0.4
Utilities	0.3	0.06	22		1.9	0.2
Construction	0.1	0.07	14		27.2	0.1
Trade services	0.9	0.40	12		2.2	0.8
Hotels and restaurants	0.9	0.49	5		1.3	0.9
Transport services	0.9	0.34	13		2.8	0.8
Telecommunications	0.8	0.39	8		5.4	0.7
Financial intermediation	0.5	0.17	16		0.1	0.5
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.5	0.21	13		2.8	0.4
Public administration and defense	0.3	0.41	5		–1.8	0.3
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.00	25		7.2	0.0
Other personal services	0.3	0.10	14		3.2	0.2

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.3 Fiji

3.3A Internal Linkages

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
STRUCTURE OF THE ECONOMY							
Economic Indicators							
Gross output	(\$)	7,828	0.00	24	▼	3.1	6,670
Gross value-added	(\$)	4,186	0.00	24	▼	3.9	3,389
Value-added content of domestic final demand		2,526	0.00	23		2.5	2,288
Value-added content of exports		1,659	0.00	23		11.5	1,101
Intermediate inputs	(\$)	3,240	0.00	24	▼	1.7	3,019
Domestic inputs		1,950	0.00	24		1.4	1,970
Foreign inputs		1,290	0.00	24		3.1	1,049
Direct production	(\$)	5,878	0.00	24	▼	3.9	4,701
Domestic final demand		3,328	0.00	23		2.1	3,036
Exports		2,550	0.00	22		11.3	1,664
Indirect production	(\$)	1,950	0.00	24	▼	1.4	1,970
Indirect production embedded in domestic final demand		1,101	0.00	24		1.4	1,233
Indirect production embedded in exports		849	0.00	23		5.2	737
Economic Diversification							
Gross output		0.18	0.00	25	▼	–0.9	0.19
Gini concentration index of gross output		0.34	0.00	25		–0.8	0.35
Herfindahl–Hirschman concentration index of gross output		0.03	0.00	25		–2.1	0.03
Theil concentration index of gross output		0.18	0.00	25		–0.9	0.18

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Gross value-added	0.19	0.09	22	▼	-0.3	0.19
Gini concentration index of gross value added	0.35	0.07	22		-0.5	0.34
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross value added	0.03	0.02	23		-0.7	0.03
Theil concentration index of gross value added	0.21	0.13	22		0.4	0.19
Gross exports	0.41	0.10	22	▼	0.7	0.44
Gini concentration index of gross exports	0.62	0.20	22		0.1	0.64
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross exports	0.11	0.05	23		4.8	0.13
Theil concentration index of gross exports	0.50	0.14	22		1.3	0.53
Structural Changes						
Changes in output due to technological changes	-0.6%	0.67	21	▼	-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	-0.5%	0.52	14			
Mining and quarrying	-0.7%	0.73	13			
Light manufacturing	-0.2%	0.36	13			
Heavy manufacturing	0.9%	0.74	3			
Utilities	-1.5%	0.32	23			
Construction	0.0%	0.11	17			
Trade services	-0.7%	0.21	21			
Hotels and restaurants	-0.2%	0.05	21			
Transport services	-1.9%	0.35	22			
Telecommunications	-1.3%	0.10	20			
Financial intermediation	-1.0%	0.39	21			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	-1.6%	0.42	24			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.37	13			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.68	20			
Other personal services	-0.5%	0.31	21			
Changes in output due to structural changes in consumption (%)	2.5%	0.30	18	▼	-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	3.1%	0.57	11			
Mining and quarrying	0.8%	0.07	15			
Light manufacturing	1.2%	0.24	21			
Heavy manufacturing	1.3%	0.25	14			
Utilities	5.0%	0.53	5			
Construction	-2.9%	0.00	25			
Trade services	2.5%	0.45	14			
Hotels and restaurants	-0.2%	0.04	24			
Transport services	0.3%	0.15	22			
Telecommunications	3.0%	0.53	14			
Financial intermediation	2.2%	0.33	22			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	4.8%	0.24	8			
Public administration and defense	8.9%	0.60	7			
Education, health, and social work	9.7%	0.32	6			
Other personal services	-2.7%	0.00	25			
Changes in output due to structural changes in investment (%)	1.1%	0.34	10	▲	-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1%	0.07	18			
Mining and quarrying	2.7%	0.54	4			
Light manufacturing	0.5%	0.15	15			

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	2.0%	0.32	10			
Utilities	0.4%	0.35	15			
Construction	9.5%	0.60	7			
Trade services	0.6%	0.24	16			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.30	23			
Transport services	0.1%	0.00	25			
Telecommunications	0.4%	0.16	15			
Financial intermediation	0.4%	0.08	19			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.3%	0.21	18			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.01	21			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.01	18			
Other personal services	0.1%	0.13	19			
Changes in output due to structural changes in stock (%)	–0.3%	0.05	24	▼	–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.7%	0.32	22			
Mining and quarrying	–3.5%	0.00	25			
Light manufacturing	–0.2%	0.09	21			
Heavy manufacturing	0.0%	0.30	14			
Utilities	–0.2%	0.04	21			
Construction	0.0%	0.75	13			
Trade services	–0.1%	0.21	18			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.45	14			
Transport services	0.1%	0.06	10			
Telecommunications	–0.1%	0.41	21			
Financial intermediation	–0.1%	0.89	20			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.1%	0.13	19			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.64	11			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.23	18			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.38	20			
Changes in output due to structural changes in export	3.3%	0.71	5	▲	–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	4.1%	0.78	2			
Mining and quarrying	4.0%	0.27	6			
Light manufacturing	3.8%	0.40	6			
Heavy manufacturing	3.4%	0.55	7			
Utilities	3.1%	0.14	4			
Construction	1.1%	0.21	4			
Trade services	3.8%	0.45	6			
Hotels and restaurants	7.5%	0.63	2			
Transport services	5.2%	0.91	2			
Telecommunications	4.0%	0.40	5			
Financial intermediation	2.3%	0.41	8			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	3.9%	0.98	2			
Public administration and defense	0.3%	0.18	8			
Education, health, and social work	1.2%	0.47	3			
Other personal services	1.1%	0.23	11			

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Changes in output due to import substitution on intermediate demand	–0.5%	0.32	19		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.5%	0.63	21			
Mining and quarrying	0.2%	0.31	14			
Light manufacturing	–0.5%	0.77	22			
Heavy manufacturing	–3.5%	0.09	24			
Utilities	–0.4%	0.60	20			
Construction	0.0%	0.85	15			
Trade services	–0.3%	0.70	20			
Hotels and restaurants	–0.1%	0.97	16			
Transport services	–0.1%	0.41	17			
Telecommunications	–1.0%	0.77	23			
Financial intermediation	–0.4%	0.48	22			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.3%	0.65	18			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.65	15			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.58	15			
Other personal services	–0.3%	0.35	22			
Changes in output due to import substitution on domestic final demand	–1.2%	0.00	25		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.6%	0.38	23			
Mining and quarrying	–0.2%	0.37	22			
Light manufacturing	–1.6%	0.49	22			
Heavy manufacturing	–2.2%	0.24	23			
Utilities	–0.5%	0.34	23			
Construction	0.0%	0.67	14			
Trade services	–0.4%	0.71	21			
Hotels and restaurants	–0.2%	0.48	15			
Transport services	–0.4%	0.75	20			
Telecommunications	–0.4%	0.57	18			
Financial intermediation	–0.5%	0.57	22			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.1%	0.50	16			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.33	12			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.87	5			
Other personal services	–10.3%	0.00	25			

STRENGTH OF LINKAGES

Demand-side Linkages

Direct backward linkage	0.24	0.00	25		–1.6	0.28
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.21	0.25	17		–1.8	0.24
Mining and quarrying	0.28	0.45	10		7.4	0.29
Light manufacturing	0.39	0.46	22		–1.9	0.46
Heavy manufacturing	0.31	0.19	20		–2.5	0.38
Utilities	0.23	0.16	20		5.0	0.24
Construction	0.41	0.28	16		0.7	0.41
Trade services	0.20	0.15	20		0.2	0.26
Hotels and restaurants	0.36	0.37	21		–1.2	0.40
Transport services	0.06	0.00	25		–11.3	0.21
Telecommunications	0.16	0.07	23		–3.1	0.21

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.18	0.16	19		0.9	0.20
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.32	0.71	6		2.0	0.30
Public administration and defense	0.14	0.25	22		5.4	0.17
Education, health, and social work	0.23	0.35	12		5.6	0.19
Other personal services	0.09	0.00	25		–9.3	0.25
Total backward linkage	1.32	0.00	25		–0.6	1.40
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.28	0.16	17		–0.6	1.33
Mining and quarrying	1.38	0.31	11		1.3	1.41
Light manufacturing	1.52	0.22	23		–1.1	1.64
Heavy manufacturing	1.41	0.06	21		–1.2	1.55
Utilities	1.30	0.07	22		0.7	1.33
Construction	1.58	0.11	18		0.1	1.60
Trade services	1.26	0.13	21		–1.0	1.35
Hotels and restaurants	1.47	0.20	22		–0.8	1.57
Transport services	1.07	0.00	25		–2.5	1.30
Telecommunications	1.20	0.00	25		–0.9	1.29
Financial intermediation	1.24	0.04	19		–0.4	1.28
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.41	0.33	11		0.3	1.41
Public administration and defense	1.19	0.19	23		–0.5	1.24
Education, health, and social work	1.30	0.17	13		0.6	1.27
Other personal services	1.12	0.00	25		–2.7	1.35
Normalized backward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.97	0.40	8		0.1	0.96
Mining and quarrying	1.05	0.74	3		2.0	1.01
Light manufacturing	1.15	0.50	18		–0.4	1.18
Heavy manufacturing	1.07	0.33	15		–0.6	1.11
Utilities	0.99	0.24	14		1.4	0.96
Construction	1.20	0.47	8		0.7	1.15
Trade services	0.96	0.43	6		–0.4	0.97
Hotels and restaurants	1.12	0.47	13		–0.1	1.12
Transport services	0.82	0.11	23		–1.9	0.93
Telecommunications	0.91	0.43	19		–0.3	0.92
Financial intermediation	0.94	0.12	9		0.2	0.92
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.07	0.89	2		1.0	1.01
Public administration and defense	0.90	0.44	15		0.0	0.89
Education, health, and social work	0.99	0.78	2		1.2	0.91
Other personal services	0.85	0.14	20		–2.0	0.97
Net backward linkage	0.89	0.38	21		–0.3	0.92
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.88	0.76	6		0.5	0.84
Mining and quarrying	0.64	0.44	9		17.5	0.69
Light manufacturing	1.10	0.55	11		–1.1	1.20
Heavy manufacturing	0.73	0.32	21		–0.3	0.71
Utilities	0.36	0.15	17		4.5	0.31
Construction	1.55	0.40	9		0.1	1.57
Trade services	0.90	0.47	6		–0.1	0.88

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.46	0.66	9		–0.3	1.52
<i>Transport services</i>	0.96	0.49	9		–0.7	1.00
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.53	0.11	22		19.1	0.46
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.58	0.47	10		–1.6	0.61
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.00	0.97	2		4.7	0.83
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.19	0.21	20		–0.5	1.24
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.29	0.27	11		0.7	1.26
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.14	0.00	25		–9.6	0.70
Growth equalized output multipliers	0.09	0.00	25	▼	–0.9	0.09
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.12	0.34	13		–2.0	0.14
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.02	0.03	17		5.1	0.02
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.20	0.34	12		–2.2	0.24
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.03	0.03	21		–3.1	0.04
<i>Utilities</i>	0.04	0.16	11		2.1	0.04
<i>Construction</i>	0.06	0.02	23		1.2	0.05
<i>Trade services</i>	0.15	0.31	15		–0.7	0.15
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.12	0.26	3		1.9	0.11
<i>Transport services</i>	0.14	0.74	4		–1.8	0.16
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.06	0.83	3		0.6	0.06
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.09	0.34	5		–2.8	0.11
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.12	0.41	11		0.9	0.12
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.08	0.45	8		3.1	0.08
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.09	0.55	5		6.3	0.08
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.01	0.01	24		–9.2	0.02
Supply-Side Linkages						
Direct forward linkage	0.33	0.19	19	▼	–0.3	0.35
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.31	0.20	21		–0.5	0.37
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.54	0.54	12		2.7	0.52
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.28	0.12	22		0.4	0.27
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.48	0.62	13		–0.5	0.54
<i>Utilities</i>	0.72	0.77	12		–0.3	0.77
<i>Construction</i>	0.02	0.04	24		–0.5	0.02
<i>Trade services</i>	0.28	0.28	22		–1.8	0.35
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.01	0.00	25		–8.9	0.03
<i>Transport services</i>	0.11	0.00	25		–6.5	0.23
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.56	0.67	8		–2.5	0.64
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.53	0.50	17		2.2	0.52
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.29	0.14	21		–3.6	0.41
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.00	0.00	24		–5.2	0.00
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.01	0.00	23		–3.1	0.01
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.87	1.00	1		27.0	0.52
Total forward linkage	1.43	0.05	22	▼	–0.5	1.48
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.40	0.10	22		–0.5	1.49
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.64	0.21	16		–1.0	1.65
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.34	0.03	23		–0.1	1.35

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.66	0.31	16		–0.6	1.80
Utilities	1.99	0.31	18		–0.7	2.12
Construction	1.02	0.02	24		0.0	1.02
Trade services	1.38	0.14	23		–0.8	1.48
Hotels and restaurants	1.01	0.00	25		–0.5	1.04
Transport services	1.15	0.00	25		–1.7	1.33
Telecommunications	1.78	0.43	13		–1.9	1.97
Financial intermediation	1.69	0.11	21		0.3	1.74
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.39	0.06	23		–1.7	1.59
Public administration and defense	1.00	0.00	24		0.0	1.00
Education, health, and social work	1.01	0.00	24		–0.1	1.01
Other personal services	2.04	0.81	2		6.1	1.65
Normalized forward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.98	0.21	19		0.1	1.00
Mining and quarrying	1.25	0.54	12		–0.3	1.18
Light manufacturing	1.02	0.41	16		0.5	0.97
Heavy manufacturing	1.27	0.71	6		0.0	1.29
Utilities	1.51	0.76	5		0.0	1.52
Construction	0.78	0.32	17		0.7	0.73
Trade services	1.05	0.34	15		–0.1	1.06
Hotels and restaurants	0.77	0.16	20		0.2	0.75
Transport services	0.88	0.01	24		–1.0	0.95
Telecommunications	1.36	0.80	4		–1.5	1.41
Financial intermediation	1.28	0.22	16		1.0	1.25
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.05	0.29	14		–1.1	1.13
Public administration and defense	0.76	0.59	7		0.7	0.72
Education, health, and social work	0.77	0.72	6		0.6	0.73
Other personal services	1.55	1.00	1		6.9	1.19
Net forward linkage	0.71	0.19	23		–0.1	0.73
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.87	0.23	21		–0.2	0.91
Mining and quarrying	0.59	0.26	19		2.4	0.61
Light manufacturing	0.51	0.42	11		0.8	0.49
Heavy manufacturing	0.54	0.57	11		0.4	0.56
Utilities	0.58	0.24	21		2.7	0.64
Construction	0.38	0.38	18		–0.8	0.40
Trade services	0.91	0.35	21		0.8	0.89
Hotels and restaurants	0.45	0.15	20		0.3	0.45
Transport services	0.50	0.13	23		3.8	0.46
Telecommunications	1.23	0.59	4		–1.0	1.27
Financial intermediation	1.13	0.28	20		0.0	1.17
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.80	0.00	25		–2.3	0.95
Public administration and defense	0.76	0.65	9		1.3	0.70
Education, health, and social work	0.68	0.39	18		–0.6	0.71
Other personal services	0.67	0.27	23		–0.4	0.72

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Growth equalized input multipliers	0.09	0.00	25	▼	-0.9	0.09
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.15	0.30	16		-2.2	0.17
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.01	0.02	17		9.1	0.02
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.15	0.41	9		-1.5	0.16
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.02	0.04	23		-2.7	0.03
<i>Utilities</i>	0.03	0.12	16		4.8	0.04
<i>Construction</i>	0.03	0.02	21		0.5	0.03
<i>Trade services</i>	0.20	0.37	18		0.1	0.19
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.07	0.29	3		2.1	0.07
<i>Transport services</i>	0.10	0.50	12		1.4	0.10
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.10	1.00	1		-0.9	0.11
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.15	0.38	6		-2.9	0.17
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.13	0.25	15		-2.0	0.15
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.09	0.47	5		5.0	0.07
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.09	0.67	6		6.0	0.08
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.01	0.01	24		-10.0	0.02
Impacts from Hypothetical Extraction						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical extraction	-8.5%	0.94	4	▼	-0.7	-0.09
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	-11.4%	0.61	17		-1.6	-12.5
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	-1.6%	0.96	10		7.5	-1.7
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	-21.3%	0.56	14		-2.2	-24.9
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	-3.0%	0.95	5		-2.7	-3.7
<i>Utilities</i>	-3.6%	0.85	14		3.4	-3.5
<i>Construction</i>	-7.0%	0.96	5		2.2	-6.0
<i>Trade services</i>	-14.2%	0.73	12		-0.6	-14.3
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	-12.8%	0.72	23		2.1	-12.0
<i>Transport services</i>	-11.1%	0.37	20		-3.0	-14.7
<i>Telecommunications</i>	-5.0%	0.13	22		1.0	-5.2
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	-8.4%	0.59	23		-2.4	-9.2
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	-11.9%	0.42	18		2.2	-11.0
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	-7.2%	0.58	18		3.7	-6.7
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	-9.0%	0.36	21		8.6	-7.4
<i>Other personal services</i>	-0.6%	1.00	2		-9.7	-2.0
Impacts from Hypothetical Insertion						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical insertion	2.2%	0.00	25	▼	-2.5	0.03
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	2.5%	0.10	12		-3.3	3.2
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.5%	0.04	14		16.5	0.6
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	8.0%	0.22	16		-4.0	11.0
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.0%	0.01	21		-5.0	1.6
<i>Utilities</i>	0.9%	0.07	17		7.8	1.0
<i>Construction</i>	2.6%	0.00	25		2.9	2.3
<i>Trade services</i>	3.0%	0.14	16		0.5	3.8
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	4.1%	0.25	4		0.6	4.4
<i>Transport services</i>	0.8%	0.04	24		-10.9	3.6
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.9%	0.23	10		-2.8	1.3
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.7%	0.13	11		-1.4	2.1

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Real estate, renting, and business activities	3.7%	0.53	11		3.9	3.4
Public administration and defense	1.1%	0.27	18		8.6	1.3
Education, health, and social work	2.1%	0.36	7		14.9	1.6
Other personal services	0.1%	0.00	25		-13.9	0.6

SPREAD OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES

Demand-side

Relative evenness of direct backward linkage	0.45	0.47	13	▲	3.2	0.37
Relative evenness of total backward linkage	0.11	0.03	23	▼	0.4	0.11
Backward measure of concentration based on input coefficients	0.94	0.98	2	▲	0.0	0.94
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.96	0.96	2		0.0	0.96
Mining and quarrying	0.94	0.53	11		0.1	0.92
Light manufacturing	0.91	0.65	13		-0.1	0.91
Heavy manufacturing	0.93	0.93	5		0.2	0.91
Utilities	0.92	0.82	9		-0.1	0.93
Construction	0.93	0.92	10		-0.3	0.96
Trade services	0.95	0.85	14		0.1	0.94
Hotels and restaurants	0.96	0.97	2		0.0	0.96
Transport services	0.94	0.75	8		0.0	0.94
Telecommunications	0.89	0.41	21		-0.2	0.90
Financial intermediation	0.94	0.96	6		0.0	0.95
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.95	0.93	14		0.2	0.94
Public administration and defense	0.95	0.62	14		-0.1	0.96
Education, health, and social work	0.96	0.78	9		-0.1	0.96
Other personal services	0.97	0.96	5		0.3	0.95
Relative evenness of direct forward linkage	0.83	1.00	1	▲	0.5	0.77
Relative evenness of total forward linkage	0.25	0.19	14	▲	-0.7	0.26
Forward measure of concentration based on output coefficients	0.66	0.57	14	▲	-0.1	0.68
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.27	0.20	21		4.6	0.27
Mining and quarrying	0.55	0.75	6		-0.3	0.58
Light manufacturing	0.80	0.91	3		0.5	0.77
Heavy manufacturing	0.82	0.91	3		0.6	0.80
Utilities	0.88	0.93	2		-0.2	0.90
Construction	0.32	0.37	20		-1.4	0.36
Trade services	0.80	0.80	6		0.3	0.79
Hotels and restaurants	0.82	0.78	6		0.4	0.85
Transport services	0.75	0.67	17		-0.7	0.82
Telecommunications	0.82	0.63	13		0.3	0.82
Financial intermediation	0.85	0.96	4		-0.2	0.88
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.87	0.81	7		0.3	0.86
Public administration and defense	0.00	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
Education, health, and social work	0.74	0.83	4		-0.8	0.78
Other personal services	0.58	0.25	21		-1.6	0.65

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
SENSITIVITY OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES						
Economic Complexity						
Percentage intermediate transaction	0.25	0.00	25	▼	–2.0	0.30
Average output multiplier	1.32	0.00	25	▼	–0.6	1.40
Average of Leontief inverse	0.17	0.00	25	▼	–0.6	0.18
Average technical coefficient	0.02	0.00	25	▼	–1.6	0.02
Mean intermediate coefficient	0.24	0.00	25	▼	–1.6	0.28
Percentage of above-average coefficients (%)	0.33	1.00	1	▲	0.0	0.33
Determinant of non-competitive Leontief inverse	1.70	0.01	23	▼	–1.2	1.92
Determinant of competitive Leontief inverse	3.16	0.02	21	▼	–1.7	3.52
Mean path length	1.33	0.00	25	▼	–0.9	1.42
Cycling index	0.03	0.01	24	▼	–3.4	0.04
Average propagation length	1.54	0.12	20	▼	–0.7	1.64
Overall sensitivity of the economy	0.62	0.20	24	▼	–0.8	0.67
Index of direct interrelatedness	0.51	0.12	21	▼	–1.0	0.56
Index of indirect interrelatedness	0.11	0.23	22	▼	0.9	0.11
Global intensity index	19.73	0.00	25	▼	–0.6	20.93

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.3B External Linkages

\$ = United States dollars, GVC = global value chain.

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1, while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
PARTICIPATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS						
International Trade Coefficients						
Trade openness	1.05	0.39	8	▼	2.8	0.96
Self-sufficiency ratio	0.97	0.54	18	▼	1.2	0.94
Regional supply percentage	0.65	0.16	23	▼	–0.7	0.70
Regional purchase coefficient	0.74	0.48	19	▼	–1.2	0.79
Sectoral purchase coefficient	0.44	0.45	23	▼	–4.0	0.67
Simple location quotient of gross output	1.34	0.71	3	▲	0.2	1.33
Fabrication effect	1.00	0.67	8	▲	–0.4	1.01
Exports (\$)	2,550	0.00	22	▼	11.3	1,664
Export-to-output ratio	0.28	1.00	1	▲	11.0	0.22
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.22	1.00	1		13.8	0.12
Mining and quarrying	0.73	0.80	3		–0.9	0.63
Light manufacturing	0.38	0.70	5		4.4	0.27
Heavy manufacturing	0.27	0.31	13		–1.0	0.20
Utilities	0.02	0.02	10		–1.0	0.02
Construction	0.06	0.79	3		2.2	0.03
Trade services	0.26	0.35	7		1.0	0.17
Hotels and restaurants	0.96	1.00	1		–0.5	0.85
Transport services	0.76	1.00	1		2.2	0.57
Telecommunications	0.17	0.53	6		3.1	0.12

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value	
Financial intermediation	0.06	0.12	5		6.5	0.05	
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.21	0.70	3		5.4	0.13	
Public administration and defense	0.02	0.04	9		7.7	0.01	
Education, health, and social work	0.08	1.00	1		–1.9	0.07	
Other personal services	0.02	0.02	19		–2.4	0.07	
Imports	(\$)	2,815	0.00	24	▼	4.4	2,104
Import-to-input ratio		0.19	0.85	4	▲	0.3	0.18
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.13	0.36	6		1.2	0.12
Mining and quarrying		0.31	0.75	2		–3.3	0.30
Light manufacturing		0.18	0.31	8		1.8	0.15
Heavy manufacturing		0.28	0.36	9		1.4	0.26
Utilities		0.38	0.69	4		–2.8	0.38
Construction		0.18	0.39	11		1.9	0.17
Trade services		0.10	0.39	8		7.3	0.11
Hotels and restaurants		0.14	0.45	8		–0.2	0.12
Transport services		0.40	0.80	2		0.8	0.36
Telecommunications		0.10	0.28	11		–0.8	0.11
Financial intermediation		0.11	0.52	2		7.7	0.10
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.07	0.40	6		–0.8	0.08
Public administration and defense		0.07	0.46	10		0.5	0.11
Education, health, and social work		0.08	0.41	8		1.5	0.09
Other personal services		0.38	1.00	1		31.4	0.18
Total foreign factor content of consumption	(\$)	540	0.00	24	▼	–0.2	525
Total foreign factor content of investment	(\$)	110	0.00	25	▼	20.7	85
Total foreign factor content of exports	(\$)	675	0.00	22	▼	10.1	446
Interregional Multipliers							
Import Leakage Effects		0.25	0.62	5	▲	–0.7	0.25
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.18	0.39	8		–0.4	0.17
Mining and quarrying		0.40	0.84	2		–2.8	0.39
Light manufacturing		0.26	0.37	12		–0.2	0.25
Heavy manufacturing		0.38	0.42	11		–0.5	0.38
Utilities		0.44	0.66	4		–2.3	0.45
Construction		0.29	0.44	12		0.4	0.27
Trade services		0.15	0.34	10		–0.1	0.18
Hotels and restaurants		0.22	0.54	8		–0.4	0.21
Transport services		0.41	0.60	3		–0.7	0.41
Telecommunications		0.13	0.20	15		–2.4	0.15
Financial intermediation		0.15	0.45	3		2.3	0.15
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.14	0.47	6		–0.1	0.14
Public administration and defense		0.10	0.30	13		–2.9	0.14
Education, health, and social work		0.13	0.52	11		0.0	0.14
Other personal services		0.40	1.00	1		12.0	0.25
Intraregional transfer multiplier		1.32	0.00	25	▼	–0.6	1.40
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		1.28	0.16	17		–0.6	1.33
Mining and quarrying		1.38	0.31	11		1.3	1.41

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Light manufacturing	1.52	0.22	23		–1.1	1.64
Heavy manufacturing	1.41	0.06	21		–1.2	1.55
Utilities	1.30	0.07	22		0.7	1.33
Construction	1.58	0.11	18		0.1	1.60
Trade services	1.26	0.13	21		–1.0	1.35
Hotels and restaurants	1.47	0.20	22		–0.8	1.57
Transport services	1.07	0.00	25		–2.5	1.30
Telecommunications	1.20	0.00	25		–0.9	1.29
Financial intermediation	1.24	0.04	19		–0.4	1.28
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.41	0.33	11		0.3	1.41
Public administration and defense	1.19	0.19	23		–0.5	1.24
Education, health, and social work	1.30	0.17	13		0.6	1.27
Other personal services	1.12	0.00	25		–2.7	1.35
Interregional spillover multiplier	1.51	0.97	2		0.0	1.46
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.35	0.53	6		0.3	1.32
Mining and quarrying	1.86	1.00	1		–2.0	1.82
Light manufacturing	1.43	0.34	8		0.5	1.36
Heavy manufacturing	1.79	0.51	9		0.6	1.71
Utilities	2.07	0.94	3		–1.5	2.06
Construction	1.46	0.45	12		0.3	1.43
Trade services	1.23	0.42	8		0.2	1.26
Hotels and restaurants	1.35	0.46	8		–0.1	1.31
Transport services	2.08	0.95	2		0.4	1.98
Telecommunications	1.24	0.28	9		–0.3	1.25
Financial intermediation	1.26	0.70	2		0.7	1.24
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.19	0.56	6		–0.2	1.20
Public administration and defense	1.18	0.40	9		–0.6	1.26
Education, health, and social work	1.20	0.48	7		–0.4	1.22
Other personal services	1.94	1.00	1		5.9	1.46
Interregional feedback multiplier	1.000	0.00	23		0.0	1.00
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.000	0.00	21		0.0	1.00
Mining and quarrying	1.000	0.00	16		0.0	1.00
Light manufacturing	1.000	0.00	23		0.0	1.00
Heavy manufacturing	1.000	0.00	21		0.0	1.00
Utilities	1.000	0.00	21		0.0	1.00
Construction	1.000	0.00	23		0.0	1.00
Trade services	1.000	0.00	21		0.0	1.00
Hotels and restaurants	1.000	0.00	23		0.0	1.00
Transport services	1.000	0.00	23		0.0	1.00
Telecommunications	1.000	0.00	23		0.0	1.00
Financial intermediation	1.000	0.00	18		0.0	1.00
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.000	0.00	22		0.0	1.00
Public administration and defense	1.000	0.00	21		0.0	1.00
Education, health, and social work	1.000	0.00	22		0.0	1.00
Other personal services	1.000	0.00	15		0.0	1.00

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Global Value Chain (GVC) Participation Index						
Backward GVC Participation	20.4%	0.33	13	▼	-1.1	0.21
Simple GVCs	9.9%	0.36	16	▼	-3.3	0.12
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.9	0.36	5		-2.7	1.04
Mining and quarrying	-0.2	0.00	25		70.4	-0.09
Light manufacturing	1.8	0.40	7		-5.7	2.60
Heavy manufacturing	0.3	0.04	19		-3.9	0.34
Utilities	0.4	0.35	10		11.7	0.32
Construction	1.5	0.09	21		2.0	1.25
Trade services	1.0	0.41	8		-2.1	1.20
Hotels and restaurants	0.1	0.04	24		-0.3	0.26
Transport services	0.7	0.39	12		-5.1	1.21
Telecommunications	0.2	0.21	9		21.4	0.21
Financial intermediation	0.6	0.53	3		-2.2	0.61
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.8	0.50	6		4.6	0.69
Public administration and defense	0.7	0.18	9		0.2	0.93
Education, health, and social work	1.1	0.61	6		3.9	0.90
Other personal services	0.0	0.00	24		-7.1	0.18
Complex GVCs	10.5%	0.31	7	▼	2.0	0.10
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.7	0.68	3		1.9	0.60
Mining and quarrying	0.3	0.60	4		21.1	0.29
Light manufacturing	1.9	0.18	4		2.7	1.86
Heavy manufacturing	0.5	0.02	15		5.4	0.41
Utilities	0.2	0.57	3		6.4	0.18
Construction	0.7	0.11	17		3.1	0.53
Trade services	0.6	0.10	6		2.4	0.61
Hotels and restaurants	1.8	0.22	2		4.0	1.50
Transport services	2.0	1.00	1		0.2	1.96
Telecommunications	0.1	0.19	8		31.9	0.11
Financial intermediation	0.2	0.20	3		-2.1	0.23
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.4	0.47	2		9.2	0.33
Public administration and defense	0.4	0.29	8		1.7	0.41
Education, health, and social work	0.5	0.69	2		7.0	0.42
Other personal services	0.0	0.03	24		-6.1	0.09
Forward GVC Participation	23.5%	0.47	10	▼	8.4	0.19
Simple GVCs	16.3%	0.48	8	▲	7.8	0.13
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.4	0.67	5		2.3	1.20
Mining and quarrying	0.2	0.01	12		-5.1	0.22
Light manufacturing	2.0	0.54	2		3.4	1.75
Heavy manufacturing	0.2	0.01	18		-13.7	0.19
Utilities	0.2	0.04	11		4.2	0.22
Construction	0.1	0.02	11		7.0	0.04
Trade services	2.5	0.29	8		9.1	1.83
Hotels and restaurants	2.1	0.26	2		2.8	1.76
Transport services	3.9	1.00	1		3.3	2.94
Telecommunications	1.1	0.73	3		5.4	0.90

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.9	0.19	4		1.1	0.97
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.4	0.24	6		1.6	1.22
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.01	19		22.5	0.00
Education, health, and social work	0.1	0.64	2		1.5	0.11
Other personal services	0.1	0.12	13		–0.5	0.13
Complex GVCs	7.2%	0.39	12	▼	9.9	0.05
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.6	0.34	7		6.9	0.48
Mining and quarrying	0.1	0.01	12		–0.2	0.11
Light manufacturing	0.9	0.43	3		6.3	0.71
Heavy manufacturing	0.1	0.01	18		–8.8	0.09
Utilities	0.1	0.03	12		8.3	0.09
Construction	0.1	0.02	10		17.1	0.02
Trade services	1.0	0.30	11		11.7	0.65
Hotels and restaurants	1.1	0.21	2		7.6	0.76
Transport services	1.4	1.00	1		6.8	0.95
Telecommunications	0.5	0.79	3		9.2	0.36
Financial intermediation	0.5	0.24	6		6.2	0.43
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.8	0.25	4		6.6	0.55
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.00	22		12.4	0.00
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.43	4		3.3	0.04
Other personal services	0.0	0.17	14		4.8	0.08

SPECIALIZATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Vertical Specialization

Vertical Specialization Ratio	26.5%	0.42	10	▼	–1.3	0.27
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	17.7%	0.39	8		–0.4	0.17
Mining and quarrying	39.6%	0.84	2		–2.8	0.39
Light manufacturing	26.1%	0.37	12		–0.2	0.25
Heavy manufacturing	37.9%	0.42	11		–0.5	0.38
Utilities	44.0%	0.69	4		–2.3	0.45
Construction	28.9%	0.44	12		0.4	0.27
Trade services	14.7%	0.34	10		–0.1	0.18
Hotels and restaurants	22.4%	0.54	8		–0.4	0.21
Transport services	41.1%	0.60	3		–0.7	0.41
Telecommunications	13.3%	0.20	15		–2.4	0.15
Financial intermediation	15.0%	0.45	3		2.3	0.15
Real estate, renting, and business activities	14.4%	0.47	6		–0.1	0.14
Public administration and defense	10.3%	0.30	13		–2.9	0.14
Education, health, and social work	13.3%	0.52	11		0.0	0.14
Other personal services	40.2%	1.00	1		12.0	0.25

Revealed Comparative Advantages

Traditional RCA Index						
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.62	0.44	6	▲	4.6	1.94
Mining and quarrying	0.38	0.03	10	▼	1.6	0.41
Light manufacturing	1.16	0.20	11	▼	–0.8	1.19

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	0.04	0.02	20	▼	-6.3	0.05
Utilities	0.27	0.00	11	▼	-5.9	0.34
Construction	1.31	0.16	7	▼	-0.6	0.82
Trade services	1.11	0.14	12	▲	3.5	0.96
Hotels and restaurants	20.58	0.35	2	▲	-3.0	24.36
Transport services	4.26	1.00	1	▲	-1.7	4.64
Telecommunications	3.23	0.53	5	▲	1.4	2.87
Financial intermediation	0.38	0.10	4	▼	1.0	0.44
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.76	0.24	4	▲	-2.2	0.62
Public administration and defense	0.84	0.03	10	▼	-2.2	0.52
Education, health, and social work	3.75	0.48	2	▲	-2.5	3.76
Other personal services	0.02	0.00	24	▼	-9.1	0.50

New RCA Index (Based on Domestic Value Added)

Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.98	0.28	9	▼	1.3	1.91
Mining and quarrying	0.19	0.03	14	▼	2.8	0.22
Light manufacturing	1.38	0.31	9	▼	-1.6	1.43
Heavy manufacturing	0.08	0.03	21	▼	-6.9	0.08
Utilities	0.65	0.04	14	▼	2.2	0.70
Construction	0.45	0.02	15	▼	10.4	0.24
Trade services	1.03	0.28	17	▼	4.5	0.96
Hotels and restaurants	13.36	0.39	2	▲	-3.7	15.02
Transport services	2.90	1.00	1	▲	-0.1	2.61
Telecommunications	3.58	1.00	1	▲	0.2	3.85
Financial intermediation	0.92	0.22	8	▲	-2.3	1.14
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.48	0.26	9	▼	-3.4	0.55
Public administration and defense	0.40	0.04	13	▼	0.8	0.23
Education, health, and social work	2.28	0.51	2	▲	-2.5	2.26
Other personal services	0.34	0.03	17	▼	-1.8	0.66

POSITION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Upstreamness Index	2.0	0.30	13	▼	0.8	1.9
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.8	0.23	17		0.9	1.8
Mining and quarrying	2.5	0.57	16		0.4	2.4
Light manufacturing	1.9	0.28	12		1.1	1.8
Heavy manufacturing	2.0	0.20	21		-0.2	2.2
Utilities	2.4	0.24	15		0.2	2.5
Construction	1.1	0.09	21		0.9	1.1
Trade services	1.9	0.30	18		1.0	1.9
Hotels and restaurants	2.0	0.70	6		1.2	1.9
Transport services	2.4	0.49	13		1.6	2.3
Telecommunications	2.4	0.57	7		-1.1	2.5
Financial intermediation	2.1	0.19	18		1.5	2.1
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.9	0.28	14		-0.2	2.0
Public administration and defense	1.0	0.00	24		0.0	1.0
Education, health, and social work	1.1	0.11	17		0.1	1.1
Other personal services	2.8	1.00	1		8.1	2.1

continued on next page

Fiji 3.3B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
PRODUCTION LENGTH OF GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS						
Backward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (B)	1.0	0.62	4	▲	–0.8	1.0
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.7	0.41	9		–0.5	0.7
Mining and quarrying	1.7	0.98	2		–2.3	1.6
Light manufacturing	1.0	0.44	12		–0.8	1.0
Heavy manufacturing	1.6	0.55	11		–0.6	1.6
Utilities	1.8	0.74	5		–1.9	1.8
Construction	1.2	0.45	12		0.3	1.2
Trade services	0.6	0.36	10		–1.6	0.7
Hotels and restaurants	0.9	0.53	8		–0.6	0.9
Transport services	1.6	0.64	3		–0.9	1.6
Telecommunications	0.5	0.21	17		–2.7	0.6
Financial intermediation	0.6	0.52	3		1.2	0.6
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.6	0.56	7		0.1	0.6
Public administration and defense	0.4	0.28	15		–3.4	0.6
Education, health, and social work	0.6	0.46	10		0.0	0.6
Other personal services	1.5	1.00	1		8.7	1.0
Forward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (F)	0.9	0.58	8	▲	7.6	0.7
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.7	0.43	9		5.8	0.6
Mining and quarrying	1.3	0.34	12		–4.0	1.2
Light manufacturing	0.9	0.59	9		5.5	0.7
Heavy manufacturing	0.6	0.21	20		–8.6	0.6
Utilities	0.8	0.27	12		1.3	0.8
Construction	0.1	0.16	9		8.7	0.1
Trade services	0.9	0.41	11		4.1	0.7
Hotels and restaurants	1.5	0.76	3		2.2	1.2
Transport services	1.8	0.71	4		4.8	1.4
Telecommunications	1.1	0.52	6		3.4	0.9
Financial intermediation	0.7	0.28	12		2.6	0.6
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.9	0.43	6		3.6	0.7
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.00	20		19.9	0.0
Education, health, and social work	0.1	0.36	8		6.3	0.1
Other personal services	1.4	0.66	2		2.6	0.8

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.4 Indonesia

3.4A Internal Linkages

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

		Value (2018)	Score (0-1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
STRUCTURE OF THE ECONOMY							
Economic Indicators							
Gross output	(\$)	2,001,481	0.05	5	▲	6.9	1,636,009
Gross value-added	(\$)	1,002,895	0.07	5	▲	6.9	827,072
Value-added content of domestic final demand		825,010	0.07	5		7.7	661,558
Value-added content of exports		177,885	0.07	7		4.0	165,515
Intermediate inputs	(\$)	976,655	0.04	5	▲	6.8	796,329
Domestic inputs		812,906	0.03	5		7.1	662,902
Foreign inputs		163,749	0.11	7		6.5	133,426
Direct production	(\$)	1,188,575	0.08	5	▲	6.8	973,107
Domestic final demand		968,887	0.08	5		7.5	775,754
Exports		219,688	0.08	9		4.3	197,353
Indirect production	(\$)	812,906	0.03	5	▲	7.1	662,902
Indirect production embedded in domestic final demand		670,939	0.03	5		7.9	534,160
Indirect production embedded in exports		141,967	0.03	10		4.2	128,743
Economic Diversification							
Gross output		0.21	0.13	23	▼	-1.2	0.22
Gini concentration index of gross output		0.38	0.18	23		-0.9	0.40
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross output		0.04	0.10	22		-2.1	0.04
Theil concentration index of gross output		0.21	0.11	23		-1.7	0.23

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Gross value-added	0.18	0.03	23	▼	-1.5	0.19
Gini concentration index of gross value added	0.34	0.05	23		-1.0	0.36
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross value added	0.03	0.01	24		-2.7	0.03
Theil concentration index of gross value added	0.17	0.03	23		-2.3	0.19
Gross exports	0.60	0.51	12	▲	0.5	0.58
Gini concentration index of gross exports	0.79	0.70	11		0.3	0.77
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross exports	0.28	0.30	13		1.9	0.25
Theil concentration index of gross exports	0.74	0.69	11		0.4	0.72
Structural Changes						
Changes in output due to technological changes	0.2%	0.78	8	▲	–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0%	0.55	10			
Mining and quarrying	-1.1%	0.73	16			
Light manufacturing	-0.7%	0.32	18			
Heavy manufacturing	-1.4%	0.64	19			
Utilities	-0.8%	0.42	16			
Construction	0.6%	0.20	6			
Trade services	0.0%	0.45	12			
Hotels and restaurants	0.4%	0.08	12			
Transport services	2.5%	1.00	1			
Telecommunications	0.2%	0.25	8			
Financial intermediation	1.1%	0.57	8			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.9%	0.76	6			
Public administration and defense	0.2%	0.43	3			
Education, health, and social work	0.3%	0.87	4			
Other personal services	0.9%	0.60	3			
Changes in output due to structural changes in consumption (%)	2.9%	0.33	15	▼	–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.4%	0.48	16			
Mining and quarrying	0.5%	0.06	17			
Light manufacturing	2.1%	0.33	15			
Heavy manufacturing	0.8%	0.18	16			
Utilities	3.8%	0.41	12			
Construction	0.3%	0.21	9			
Trade services	2.0%	0.39	16			
Hotels and restaurants	2.6%	0.12	19			
Transport services	6.2%	0.81	2			
Telecommunications	3.1%	0.54	13			
Financial intermediation	3.9%	0.47	13			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	2.8%	0.15	13			
Public administration and defense	3.0%	0.26	21			
Education, health, and social work	4.9%	0.17	16			
Other personal services	5.1%	0.42	14			
Changes in output due to structural changes in investment (%)	1.0%	0.31	14	▲	–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.5%	0.14	10			
Mining and quarrying	1.2%	0.28	9			
Light manufacturing	0.5%	0.15	13			

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.0%	0.22	14			
Utilities	0.5%	0.38	11			
Construction	5.1%	0.33	15			
Trade services	1.3%	0.37	9			
Hotels and restaurants	0.5%	0.48	5			
Transport services	1.2%	0.32	6			
Telecommunications	0.6%	0.21	9			
Financial intermediation	1.0%	0.23	7			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.1%	0.32	6			
Public administration and defense	0.3%	0.36	2			
Education, health, and social work	0.1%	0.06	7			
Other personal services	0.4%	0.25	11			
Changes in output due to structural changes in stock (%)	0.3%	0.50	4		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0%	0.54	10			
Mining and quarrying	0.9%	0.47	5			
Light manufacturing	0.3%	0.30	6			
Heavy manufacturing	0.5%	0.59	4			
Utilities	1.8%	1.00	1			
Construction	0.0%	0.73	22			
Trade services	0.2%	0.58	7			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.48	6			
Transport services	0.2%	0.09	4			
Telecommunications	0.1%	0.52	6			
Financial intermediation	0.2%	0.93	6			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.1%	0.21	4			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.64	4			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.24	4			
Other personal services	0.1%	0.50	4			
Changes in output due to structural changes in export	0.2%	0.10	21		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.6%	0.47	15			
Mining and quarrying	–0.9%	0.07	23			
Light manufacturing	1.0%	0.17	14			
Heavy manufacturing	2.1%	0.41	11			
Utilities	0.3%	0.04	20			
Construction	0.0%	0.03	23			
Trade services	0.4%	0.07	20			
Hotels and restaurants	–0.4%	0.00	25			
Transport services	0.0%	0.37	22			
Telecommunications	0.3%	0.10	19			
Financial intermediation	0.4%	0.14	18			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.2%	0.11	23			
Public administration and defense	0.1%	0.14	13			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.00	24			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.00	25			

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Changes in output due to import substitution on intermediate demand	0.0%	0.38	13		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.3%	0.65	16			
Mining and quarrying	0.3%	0.31	12			
Light manufacturing	–0.3%	0.79	19			
Heavy manufacturing	–0.1%	0.25	18			
Utilities	–0.1%	0.67	14			
Construction	0.0%	0.86	9			
Trade services	–0.1%	0.74	16			
Hotels and restaurants	0.2%	0.98	2			
Transport services	0.1%	0.47	12			
Telecommunications	0.0%	0.95	9			
Financial intermediation	–0.1%	0.58	16			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2%	0.76	7			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.67	6			
Education, health, and social work	–0.1%	0.44	22			
Other personal services	0.1%	0.58	4			
Changes in output due to import substitution on domestic final demand	0.0%	0.79	9		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0%	0.55	11			
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.48	15			
Light manufacturing	0.0%	0.80	7			
Heavy manufacturing	–0.1%	0.55	14			
Utilities	0.0%	0.74	10			
Construction	0.0%	0.67	11			
Trade services	–0.1%	0.87	12			
Hotels and restaurants	–0.3%	0.38	17			
Transport services	0.1%	0.91	6			
Telecommunications	0.0%	0.78	8			
Financial intermediation	0.0%	0.83	8			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.1%	0.57	5			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.34	6			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.87	7			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.93	5			

STRENGTH OF LINKAGES

Demand-side Linkages

Direct backward linkage	0.37	0.49	6		–0.1	0.38
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.14	0.13	23		–1.6	0.15
Mining and quarrying	0.22	0.37	13		2.2	0.22
Light manufacturing	0.52	0.67	13		–0.2	0.55
Heavy manufacturing	0.45	0.44	10		0.6	0.46
Utilities	0.60	0.77	3		–0.7	0.65
Construction	0.61	0.71	2		1.9	0.54
Trade services	0.25	0.27	13		–1.8	0.27
Hotels and restaurants	0.45	0.58	13		–0.3	0.48
Transport services	0.42	0.74	6		0.1	0.43
Telecommunications	0.27	0.32	16		–1.5	0.30

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.24	0.23	14		-1.0	0.25
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.25	0.48	12		-1.9	0.26
Public administration and defense	0.36	0.65	8		0.8	0.33
Education, health, and social work	0.33	0.63	5		-1.4	0.36
Other personal services	0.45	0.87	2		1.6	0.43
Total backward linkage	1.60	0.29	8		-0.1	1.62
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.22	0.12	23		-0.3	1.24
Mining and quarrying	1.35	0.28	12		0.6	1.33
Light manufacturing	1.76	0.36	15		-0.3	1.82
Heavy manufacturing	1.67	0.20	12		0.2	1.70
Utilities	2.17	0.56	3		-0.4	2.35
Construction	1.95	0.33	7		0.6	1.88
Trade services	1.40	0.37	12		-0.6	1.44
Hotels and restaurants	1.69	0.37	14		-0.3	1.76
Transport services	1.68	0.51	6		0.0	1.71
Telecommunications	1.41	0.25	16		-0.5	1.46
Financial intermediation	1.36	0.07	14		-0.3	1.38
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.40	0.32	12		-0.6	1.42
Public administration and defense	1.60	0.61	7		0.2	1.56
Education, health, and social work	1.54	0.38	5		-0.6	1.59
Other personal services	1.77	0.65	3		0.9	1.73
Normalized backward linkage	-	-	-		-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.77	0.00	25		-0.2	0.77
Mining and quarrying	0.84	0.34	18		0.7	0.82
Light manufacturing	1.10	0.42	20		-0.2	1.12
Heavy manufacturing	1.05	0.27	16		0.3	1.05
Utilities	1.36	0.74	2		-0.3	1.45
Construction	1.22	0.50	6		0.7	1.15
Trade services	0.88	0.27	19		-0.5	0.89
Hotels and restaurants	1.06	0.32	19		-0.2	1.08
Transport services	1.05	0.49	8		0.0	1.05
Telecommunications	0.88	0.37	22		-0.4	0.90
Financial intermediation	0.85	0.08	19		-0.2	0.85
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.88	0.39	16		-0.5	0.87
Public administration and defense	1.00	0.62	7		0.3	0.96
Education, health, and social work	0.96	0.70	5		-0.5	0.98
Other personal services	1.11	0.91	2		1.0	1.06
Net backward linkage	0.93	0.53	13		-0.6	0.96
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.47	0.29	20		-1.5	0.50
Mining and quarrying	0.43	0.30	12		-2.9	0.50
Light manufacturing	1.18	0.64	9		0.1	1.16
Heavy manufacturing	0.96	0.61	11		1.3	0.88
Utilities	0.80	0.67	5		1.6	0.74
Construction	1.70	0.47	5		-0.2	1.71
Trade services	0.50	0.00	24		-3.1	0.56

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.25	0.49	13		-1.0	1.39
<i>Transport services</i>	0.85	0.38	13		-1.0	0.92
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.80	0.46	13		0.2	0.84
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.49	0.37	15		0.1	0.49
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.74	0.64	16		-2.0	0.82
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.41	0.48	11		0.0	1.41
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.39	0.37	7		-0.7	1.46
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.91	0.58	20		-0.6	0.95
Growth equalized output multipliers	0.11	0.28	6	▲	0.2	0.11
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.14	0.39	10		-0.2	0.14
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.10	0.21	4		-1.1	0.11
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.29	0.49	7		-0.6	0.30
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.21	0.25	12		-3.2	0.23
<i>Utilities</i>	0.05	0.19	10		1.7	0.04
<i>Construction</i>	0.27	0.49	3		4.1	0.24
<i>Trade services</i>	0.17	0.36	12		-0.6	0.17
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.05	0.10	9		0.2	0.06
<i>Transport services</i>	0.11	0.58	8		5.0	0.09
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.04	0.44	8		1.6	0.04
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.05	0.14	15		1.5	0.05
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.06	0.13	22		0.1	0.06
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.05	0.22	19		0.4	0.05
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.06	0.28	12		1.2	0.06
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.04	0.19	10		3.4	0.03
Supply-Side Linkages						
Direct forward linkage	0.43	0.49	6	▲	0.9	0.42
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.61	0.64	11		0.9	0.59
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.68	0.69	11		3.5	0.63
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.33	0.23	17		-0.8	0.36
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.42	0.53	17		-0.9	0.48
<i>Utilities</i>	0.63	0.66	18		-1.0	0.68
<i>Construction</i>	0.13	0.26	16		9.8	0.09
<i>Trade services</i>	0.65	0.88	3		1.8	0.61
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.26	0.49	12		2.8	0.21
<i>Transport services</i>	0.49	0.56	9		1.2	0.46
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.43	0.40	16		-0.6	0.42
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.64	0.65	12		-0.2	0.65
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.48	0.41	10		2.0	0.42
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.12	0.53	3		2.2	0.09
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.09	0.35	5		0.6	0.08
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.49	0.54	4		1.9	0.45
Total forward linkage	1.70	0.27	8	▲	0.3	1.70
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.90	0.38	13		0.3	1.89
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	2.09	0.35	10		1.3	2.07
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.47	0.12	18		-0.3	1.52

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.63	0.29	18		–0.6	1.75
Utilities	2.32	0.43	6		–0.6	2.49
Construction	1.22	0.24	13		1.1	1.15
Trade services	1.96	0.51	5		0.6	1.94
Hotels and restaurants	1.37	0.30	14		0.7	1.30
Transport services	1.76	0.32	10		0.4	1.72
Telecommunications	1.73	0.38	15		–0.4	1.72
Financial intermediation	2.09	0.21	13		–0.1	2.12
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.80	0.38	8		0.8	1.72
Public administration and defense	1.18	0.54	3		0.2	1.15
Education, health, and social work	1.13	0.40	7		0.0	1.11
Other personal services	1.87	0.67	4		1.1	1.78
Normalized forward linkage	–	–	–	■	–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.12	0.46	12		0.0	1.12
Mining and quarrying	1.30	0.58	11		1.3	1.27
Light manufacturing	0.92	0.19	23		–0.2	0.93
Heavy manufacturing	1.02	0.37	20		–0.5	1.08
Utilities	1.45	0.69	12		–0.5	1.54
Construction	0.76	0.30	18		1.2	0.71
Trade services	1.23	0.60	3		0.7	1.19
Hotels and restaurants	0.86	0.31	13		0.8	0.80
Transport services	1.10	0.44	12		0.5	1.06
Telecommunications	1.08	0.29	16		–0.3	1.06
Financial intermediation	1.31	0.23	15		0.0	1.30
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.13	0.41	9		0.9	1.06
Public administration and defense	0.74	0.54	10		0.3	0.71
Education, health, and social work	0.71	0.56	14		0.1	0.68
Other personal services	1.17	0.56	3		1.2	1.10
Net forward linkage	0.94	0.60	4	▲	0.3	0.94
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.56	0.78	3		0.5	1.55
Mining and quarrying	1.53	0.67	8		0.8	1.52
Light manufacturing	0.50	0.40	14		–0.5	0.52
Heavy manufacturing	0.62	0.66	8		–0.7	0.67
Utilities	0.57	0.23	22		–1.4	0.62
Construction	0.42	0.45	16		0.9	0.40
Trade services	1.32	0.69	3		1.2	1.30
Hotels and restaurants	0.62	0.40	10		–0.1	0.59
Transport services	0.75	0.36	11		0.2	0.75
Telecommunications	1.14	0.52	6		–0.3	1.13
Financial intermediation	1.51	0.52	10		–0.1	1.53
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.26	0.65	6		1.6	1.20
Public administration and defense	0.73	0.61	12		0.6	0.71
Education, health, and social work	0.65	0.34	20		0.8	0.64
Other personal services	0.98	0.65	9		0.4	0.94

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Growth equalized input multipliers	0.11	0.29	6	▲	0.2	0.11
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.25	0.52	7		0.2	0.26
Mining and quarrying	0.18	0.26	6		–0.7	0.20
Light manufacturing	0.17	0.48	8		–1.3	0.18
Heavy manufacturing	0.15	0.30	12		–4.1	0.18
Utilities	0.03	0.11	17		0.2	0.03
Construction	0.13	0.22	5		4.9	0.11
Trade services	0.27	0.57	9		0.4	0.26
Hotels and restaurants	0.04	0.13	9		–0.1	0.04
Transport services	0.10	0.54	10		5.0	0.08
Telecommunications	0.05	0.39	8		1.2	0.05
Financial intermediation	0.09	0.18	17		1.3	0.08
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.09	0.11	23		1.6	0.08
Public administration and defense	0.04	0.20	20		0.9	0.04
Education, health, and social work	0.05	0.21	19		1.8	0.05
Other personal services	0.04	0.28	9		3.7	0.04
Impacts from Hypothetical Extraction						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical extraction	–9.8%	0.62	22	▲	0.1	–0.10
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–9.5%	0.67	13		–0.6	–10.1
Mining and quarrying	–7.2%	0.81	22		–0.8	–8.1
Light manufacturing	–25.9%	0.46	18		–1.0	–27.7
Heavy manufacturing	–18.2%	0.65	15		–2.8	–20.0
Utilities	–3.3%	0.87	12		0.4	–3.3
Construction	–29.8%	0.23	23		4.2	–26.4
Trade services	–13.5%	0.76	11		–1.3	–14.0
Hotels and restaurants	–5.2%	0.90	17		–0.2	–5.8
Transport services	–10.0%	0.45	18		4.0	–8.1
Telecommunications	–2.8%	0.59	17		1.3	–2.7
Financial intermediation	–3.7%	0.87	9		1.1	–3.5
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–4.9%	0.88	4		–0.6	–4.7
Public administration and defense	–4.9%	0.76	10		0.4	–5.0
Education, health, and social work	–5.6%	0.73	12		0.3	–5.6
Other personal services	–2.7%	0.88	13		2.3	–2.4
Impacts from Hypothetical Insertion						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical insertion	4.2%	0.24	9	▲	0.2	0.04
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.8%	0.07	15		–1.8	2.1
Mining and quarrying	2.0%	0.17	5		1.3	2.2
Light manufacturing	13.1%	0.36	10		–1.5	14.7
Heavy manufacturing	8.4%	0.13	13		–2.9	9.7
Utilities	3.1%	0.39	4		1.1	3.4
Construction	15.1%	0.68	2		5.5	12.6
Trade services	4.1%	0.23	11		–2.7	4.5
Hotels and restaurants	2.2%	0.12	12		–0.5	2.5
Transport services	4.6%	0.69	4		4.8	3.7
Telecommunications	0.9%	0.23	11		–0.1	1.0
Financial intermediation	1.1%	0.07	15		0.1	1.1

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.5%	0.16	18		-1.8	1.5
Public administration and defense	1.8%	0.43	12		0.9	1.8
Education, health, and social work	2.1%	0.35	8		-0.6	2.2
Other personal services	1.7%	0.23	7		5.5	1.4

SPREAD OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES

Demand-side

Relative evenness of direct backward linkage	0.38	0.34	16	▼	1.1	0.38
Relative evenness of total backward linkage	0.16	0.15	9	▲	0.3	0.17

Backward measure of concentration based on input coefficients	0.90	0.61	12	▼	-0.1	0.91
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.94	0.89	7		0.0	0.94
Mining and quarrying	0.94	0.53	9		0.1	0.92
Light manufacturing	0.89	0.56	18		-0.2	0.90
Heavy manufacturing	0.91	0.90	8		0.1	0.90
Utilities	0.70	0.00	25		-1.0	0.74
Construction	0.96	0.97	3		0.6	0.93
Trade services	0.97	1.00	2		0.0	0.97
Hotels and restaurants	0.85	0.54	21		-0.3	0.85
Transport services	0.95	0.78	7		0.9	0.92
Telecommunications	0.93	0.70	14		0.2	0.92
Financial intermediation	0.95	0.97	5		0.0	0.95
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.96	0.97	2		-0.1	0.97
Public administration and defense	0.96	0.64	13		0.0	0.96
Education, health, and social work	0.96	0.79	8		0.1	0.95
Other personal services	0.70	0.00	25		-2.0	0.76

Relative evenness of direct forward linkage	0.47	0.00	25	▼	-0.7	0.51
Relative evenness of total forward linkage	0.21	0.09	22	▼	-0.7	0.23

Forward measure of concentration based on output coefficients	0.67	0.64	10	▲	-0.5	0.69
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.34	0.37	16		-1.0	0.34
Mining and quarrying	0.47	0.65	8		1.9	0.47
Light manufacturing	0.67	0.66	15		-0.5	0.68
Heavy manufacturing	0.65	0.60	10		-1.1	0.70
Utilities	0.48	0.00	25		-1.4	0.51
Construction	0.87	1.00	1		0.4	0.86
Trade services	0.74	0.58	15		-0.8	0.78
Hotels and restaurants	0.67	0.38	21		-0.1	0.68
Transport services	0.79	0.77	9		-0.7	0.82
Telecommunications	0.84	0.70	9		0.2	0.82
Financial intermediation	0.87	0.99	3		0.1	0.87
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.85	0.75	10		-0.3	0.87
Public administration and defense	0.75	0.81	13		-1.2	0.79
Education, health, and social work	0.60	0.64	14		-0.5	0.60
Other personal services	0.51	0.10	22		-2.6	0.56

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
SENSITIVITY OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES						
Economic Complexity						
Percentage intermediate transaction	0.41	0.43	6	▲	0.3	0.41
Average output multiplier	1.60	0.29	8	▲	–0.1	1.62
Average of Leontief inverse	0.21	0.29	8	▲	–0.1	0.21
Average technical coefficient	0.02	0.49	6	▲	–0.1	0.03
Mean intermediate coefficient	0.37	0.49	6	▲	–0.1	0.38
Percentage of above-average coefficients (%)	0.24	0.20	22	▼	–0.6	0.25
Determinant of non-competitive Leontief inverse	6.10	0.17	5	▲	2.6	6.04
Determinant of competitive Leontief inverse	8.31	0.08	9	▼	2.6	7.93
Mean path length	1.68	0.28	6	▲	0.2	1.68
Cycling index	0.09	0.26	16	▼	–0.4	0.10
Average propagation length	1.92	0.42	6	▲	0.2	1.93
Overall sensitivity of the economy	0.81	0.80	4	▲	–0.1	0.81
Index of direct interrelatedness	0.62	0.53	8	▲	–0.3	0.62
Index of indirect interrelatedness	0.19	0.81	4	▲	0.5	0.19
Global intensity index	23.97	0.29	8	▲	–0.1	24.36

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.4B External Linkages

\$ = United States dollars, GVC = global value chain.

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1, while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adb.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value	
PARTICIPATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS							
International Trade Coefficients							
Trade openness	0.43	0.07	19	▼	–2.3	0.46	
Self-sufficiency ratio	1.00	0.63	12	▼	–0.2	1.01	
Regional supply percentage	0.89	0.83	5	▲	0.3	0.89	
Regional purchase coefficient	0.90	0.86	3	▲	0.1	0.90	
Sectoral purchase coefficient	0.92	0.94	5	▲	0.0	0.92	
Simple location quotient of gross output	1.14	0.44	14	▲	0.4	1.12	
Fabrication effect	0.90	0.46	18	▼	–0.1	0.90	
Exports	(\$)	219,688	0.08	9	▲	4.3	197,353
Export-to-output ratio		0.07	0.16	19	▼	–2.4	0.08
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.03	0.11	18		–2.6	0.03
Mining and quarrying		0.26	0.29	10		–5.1	0.36
Light manufacturing		0.24	0.42	13		–1.1	0.25
Heavy manufacturing		0.36	0.42	9		3.2	0.30
Utilities		0.00	0.00	19		97.8	0.00
Construction		0.00	0.02	19		–18.9	0.00
Trade services		0.00	0.00	24		22.2	0.00
Hotels and restaurants		0.04	0.04	13		–6.2	0.07
Transport services		0.01	0.01	24		–13.2	0.04
Telecommunications		0.04	0.12	19		13.3	0.04

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4B continued

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation		0.01	0.02	18		16.0	0.01
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.03	0.10	12		−2.1	0.05
Public administration and defense		0.02	0.04	10		4.9	0.01
Education, health, and social work		0.00	0.05	15		−7.3	0.01
Other personal services		0.01	0.02	20		−9.1	0.03
Imports	(\$)	225,890	0.11	7	▲	5.8	183,522
Import-to-input ratio		0.07	0.23	21	▼	0.4	0.07
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.03	0.06	21		6.2	0.02
Mining and quarrying		0.03	0.08	18		−1.8	0.04
Light manufacturing		0.13	0.21	14		3.0	0.10
Heavy manufacturing		0.16	0.18	18		0.9	0.15
Utilities		0.15	0.21	15		9.3	0.09
Construction		0.04	0.03	24		−9.5	0.10
Trade services		0.06	0.24	13		3.6	0.05
Hotels and restaurants		0.09	0.27	10		8.0	0.06
Transport services		0.14	0.25	17		1.8	0.13
Telecommunications		0.06	0.14	19		8.0	0.04
Financial intermediation		0.03	0.14	12		4.4	0.03
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.04	0.23	15		1.9	0.04
Public administration and defense		0.01	0.04	24		−14.7	0.05
Education, health, and social work		0.07	0.37	10		1.8	0.06
Other personal services		0.02	0.03	21		−6.5	0.03
Total foreign factor content of consumption	(\$)	81,685	0.16	5	▲	7.7	61,252
Total foreign factor content of investment	(\$)	37,576	0.06	5	▲	4.0	41,387
Total foreign factor content of exports	(\$)	37,840	0.10	11	▼	6.3	29,255
Interregional Multipliers							
Import Leakage Effects		0.12	0.17	20	▼	0.1	0.11
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.04	0.05	21		0.9	0.04
Mining and quarrying		0.06	0.12	20		−1.4	0.06
Light manufacturing		0.18	0.20	15		1.5	0.16
Heavy manufacturing		0.22	0.19	21		0.2	0.20
Utilities		0.29	0.39	10		5.0	0.20
Construction		0.12	0.02	24		−4.8	0.18
Trade services		0.09	0.20	15		0.9	0.09
Hotels and restaurants		0.15	0.29	16		4.2	0.11
Transport services		0.20	0.23	19		0.6	0.19
Telecommunications		0.09	0.10	20		4.1	0.07
Financial intermediation		0.06	0.12	15		1.5	0.05
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.07	0.22	17		−0.9	0.07
Public administration and defense		0.06	0.17	19		−5.2	0.09
Education, health, and social work		0.12	0.47	13		0.2	0.11
Other personal services		0.05	0.08	22		−5.1	0.07
Intraregional transfer multiplier		1.60	0.29	8	▲	−0.1	1.62
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		1.22	0.12	23		−0.3	1.24
Mining and quarrying		1.35	0.28	12		0.6	1.33

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.76	0.36	15		-0.3	1.82
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.67	0.20	12		0.2	1.70
<i>Utilities</i>	2.17	0.56	3		-0.4	2.35
<i>Construction</i>	1.95	0.33	7		0.6	1.88
<i>Trade services</i>	1.40	0.37	12		-0.6	1.44
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.69	0.37	14		-0.3	1.76
<i>Transport services</i>	1.68	0.51	6		0.0	1.71
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.41	0.25	16		-0.5	1.46
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.36	0.07	14		-0.3	1.38
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.40	0.32	12		-0.6	1.42
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.60	0.61	7		0.2	1.56
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.54	0.38	5		-0.6	1.59
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.77	0.65	3		0.9	1.73
Interregional spillover multiplier	1.18	0.27	19	▼	0.1	1.17
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.07	0.09	20		0.0	1.06
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.08	0.09	19		-0.2	1.09
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.32	0.24	12		0.6	1.26
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.44	0.25	18		0.2	1.39
<i>Utilities</i>	1.39	0.27	13		1.6	1.23
<i>Construction</i>	1.10	0.05	24		-1.8	1.27
<i>Trade services</i>	1.16	0.30	10		0.3	1.14
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.22	0.28	10		1.0	1.14
<i>Transport services</i>	1.38	0.31	17		0.4	1.34
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.14	0.15	18		0.6	1.09
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.07	0.17	12		0.2	1.06
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.11	0.31	14		0.0	1.10
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.02	0.04	24		-1.2	1.11
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.19	0.44	9		0.1	1.16
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.04	0.04	21		-0.7	1.09
Interregional feedback multiplier	1.000	0.17	11	▼	0.0	1.00
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.000	0.12	10		0.0	1.00
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.000	0.03	10		0.0	1.00
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.001	0.28	8		0.0	1.00
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.001	0.13	11		0.0	1.00
<i>Utilities</i>	1.001	0.20	8		0.0	1.00
<i>Construction</i>	1.000	0.08	12		0.0	1.00
<i>Trade services</i>	1.000	0.16	9		0.0	1.00
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.000	0.28	8		0.0	1.00
<i>Transport services</i>	1.001	0.18	9		0.0	1.00
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.000	0.11	9		0.0	1.00
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.000	0.10	8		0.0	1.00
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.000	0.09	8		0.0	1.00
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.000	0.02	11		0.0	1.00
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.000	0.28	8		0.0	1.00
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.000	0.03	11		0.0	1.00

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Global Value Chain (GVC) Participation Index						
Backward GVC Participation	13.3%	0.13	17	▼	-1.0	0.14
Simple GVCs	8.7%	0.27	17	▼	-0.9	0.09
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.2	0.07	17		-1.1	0.18
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.11	9		-24.3	0.01
Light manufacturing	1.9	0.41	6		2.2	1.60
Heavy manufacturing	0.8	0.16	13		-3.0	0.85
Utilities	0.4	0.33	11		8.3	0.23
Construction	2.3	0.18	12		-2.5	3.23
Trade services	0.5	0.16	15		-2.6	0.49
Hotels and restaurants	0.5	0.23	10		3.4	0.39
Transport services	0.9	0.55	10		4.9	0.67
Telecommunications	0.1	0.14	17		5.4	0.11
Financial intermediation	0.1	0.07	14		2.6	0.07
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2	0.08	19		-2.6	0.19
Public administration and defense	0.2	0.05	21		-6.0	0.37
Education, health, and social work	0.6	0.31	16		0.6	0.52
Other personal services	0.1	0.05	19		-3.2	0.10
Complex GVCs	4.6%	0.07	15	▼	-1.0	0.05
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1	0.07	18		-0.2	0.08
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.04	12		-24.7	0.01
Light manufacturing	1.2	0.10	10		0.1	1.06
Heavy manufacturing	0.9	0.05	11		-0.4	0.84
Utilities	0.2	0.46	6		9.5	0.10
Construction	0.9	0.18	11		-3.0	1.32
Trade services	0.2	0.03	15		-1.1	0.21
Hotels and restaurants	0.2	0.02	13		3.4	0.15
Transport services	0.4	0.20	14		4.4	0.35
Telecommunications	0.1	0.09	15		7.0	0.04
Financial intermediation	0.0	0.02	13		3.6	0.02
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.1	0.07	20		-1.7	0.08
Public administration and defense	0.1	0.07	19		-5.1	0.14
Education, health, and social work	0.2	0.28	13		0.3	0.21
Other personal services	0.0	0.07	19		-5.0	0.05
Forward GVC Participation	13.1%	0.22	17	▼	-3.2	0.15
Simple GVCs	8.3%	0.20	16	▼	-3.0	0.09
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.2	0.55	8		-1.2	1.21
Mining and quarrying	1.9	0.11	6		-5.3	2.76
Light manufacturing	1.6	0.44	4		-1.9	1.71
Heavy manufacturing	1.7	0.16	11		-1.9	1.77
Utilities	0.0	0.00	20		-3.5	0.06
Construction	0.1	0.03	9		11.7	0.09
Trade services	0.7	0.06	20		-2.2	0.72
Hotels and restaurants	0.1	0.01	15		-6.3	0.12
Transport services	0.2	0.03	22		-2.0	0.22
Telecommunications	0.1	0.08	13		3.6	0.13

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.2	0.04	18		-0.7	0.20
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2	0.03	20		-1.8	0.29
Public administration and defense	0.1	0.05	12		0.9	0.05
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.09	15		-3.0	0.02
Other personal services	0.1	0.05	19		-2.2	0.07
Complex GVCs	4.8%	0.24	17	▼	-3.4	0.06
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.5	0.27	9		-2.3	0.53
Mining and quarrying	1.5	0.12	6		-4.5	2.29
Light manufacturing	0.6	0.31	6		-3.5	0.71
Heavy manufacturing	1.2	0.18	11		-2.0	1.20
Utilities	0.0	0.00	20		-3.6	0.03
Construction	0.1	0.03	8		10.9	0.05
Trade services	0.4	0.09	21		-2.5	0.40
Hotels and restaurants	0.0	0.01	18		-4.5	0.05
Transport services	0.1	0.05	23		-2.7	0.14
Telecommunications	0.1	0.08	17		-0.3	0.06
Financial intermediation	0.1	0.06	19		-0.6	0.12
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.1	0.03	20		-1.2	0.15
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.05	11		0.7	0.03
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.08	15		-2.5	0.01
Other personal services	0.0	0.05	20		-3.3	0.03

SPECIALIZATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Vertical Specialization

Vertical Specialization Ratio	17.2%	0.21	16	▼	1.2	0.15
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	4.4%	0.05	21		0.9	0.04
Mining and quarrying	5.6%	0.12	20		-1.4	0.06
Light manufacturing	18.1%	0.20	15		1.5	0.16
Heavy manufacturing	21.9%	0.19	21		0.2	0.20
Utilities	28.8%	0.45	10		5.0	0.20
Construction	12.1%	0.01	24		-4.8	0.18
Trade services	9.4%	0.19	15		0.8	0.09
Hotels and restaurants	14.6%	0.28	17		4.2	0.11
Transport services	20.4%	0.23	19		0.6	0.19
Telecommunications	8.8%	0.10	20		4.1	0.07
Financial intermediation	5.6%	0.12	15		1.5	0.05
Real estate, renting, and business activities	7.3%	0.22	17		-0.9	0.07
Public administration and defense	5.9%	0.17	19		-5.2	0.09
Education, health, and social work	12.2%	0.47	13		0.2	0.11
Other personal services	5.0%	0.08	22		-5.1	0.07

Revealed Comparative Advantages

Traditional RCA Index						
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.95	0.16	13	▼	-1.8	0.98
Mining and quarrying	1.92	0.17	5	▲	-1.2	2.36
Light manufacturing	2.45	0.43	6	▲	0.7	2.33

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	0.90	0.50	8	▲	3.3	0.76
Utilities	0.06	0.00	19	▼	-1.4	0.06
Construction	0.39	0.05	16	▼	8.1	0.35
Trade services	0.00	0.00	24	▼	21.1	0.01
Hotels and restaurants	0.94	0.02	10	▼	-5.7	1.61
Transport services	0.14	0.02	24	▼	-6.6	0.23
Telecommunications	1.17	0.18	15	▼	19.2	1.11
Financial intermediation	0.10	0.02	18	▼	20.4	0.05
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.13	0.04	15	▼	-2.1	0.22
Public administration and defense	1.10	0.04	9	▲	5.6	0.85
Education, health, and social work	0.29	0.04	14	▼	-7.9	0.48
Other personal services	0.24	0.01	17	▼	-3.9	0.39

New RCA Index (Based on Domestic Value Added)

Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.73	0.39	7	▲	0.3	2.62
Mining and quarrying	2.26	0.32	4	▲	0.3	2.46
Light manufacturing	1.93	0.43	6	▲	0.2	1.85
Heavy manufacturing	0.94	0.41	8	▲	1.9	0.83
Utilities	0.25	0.01	22	▼	0.2	0.25
Construction	1.17	0.06	9	▲	13.9	0.80
Trade services	0.64	0.16	22	▼	-0.2	0.65
Hotels and restaurants	0.76	0.02	14	▼	-4.5	1.06
Transport services	0.40	0.08	23	▼	1.1	0.37
Telecommunications	0.99	0.22	12	▼	6.6	0.92
Financial intermediation	0.42	0.07	18	▼	1.3	0.38
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.18	0.07	21	▼	0.8	0.20
Public administration and defense	0.73	0.07	7	▲	2.2	0.60
Education, health, and social work	0.34	0.06	18	▼	-2.2	0.36
Other personal services	0.38	0.03	16	▼	-0.3	0.40

POSITION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Upstreamness Index	2.0	0.30	12	▼	-0.2	2.0
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.2	0.40	12		0.0	2.2
Mining and quarrying	3.2	0.73	10		-1.0	3.5
Light manufacturing	1.9	0.26	13		-0.7	2.0
Heavy manufacturing	2.4	0.44	15		0.0	2.5
Utilities	2.5	0.26	14		-0.8	2.7
Construction	1.3	0.22	12		1.2	1.2
Trade services	2.1	0.43	13		0.4	2.1
Hotels and restaurants	1.5	0.27	16		0.1	1.4
Transport services	1.9	0.26	19		-0.4	1.9
Telecommunications	1.9	0.18	20		-0.5	1.9
Financial intermediation	2.3	0.23	15		-0.3	2.3
Real estate, renting, and business activities	2.0	0.32	13		0.4	2.0
Public administration and defense	1.2	0.38	6		0.2	1.2
Education, health, and social work	1.1	0.33	8		-0.1	1.1
Other personal services	2.0	0.52	8		0.7	1.9

continued on next page

Indonesia 3.4B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
PRODUCTION LENGTH OF GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS						
Backward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (B)	0.5	0.20	19		0.1	0.5
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.2	0.05	21		0.2	0.2
Mining and quarrying	0.2	0.14	20		–0.6	0.3
Light manufacturing	0.8	0.26	16		1.2	0.7
Heavy manufacturing	0.9	0.24	20		0.3	0.9
Utilities	1.4	0.53	7		4.5	1.0
Construction	0.6	0.02	22		–4.0	0.8
Trade services	0.4	0.23	15		0.4	0.4
Hotels and restaurants	0.6	0.29	17		3.3	0.5
Transport services	0.9	0.29	19		0.4	0.8
Telecommunications	0.4	0.11	19		3.1	0.3
Financial intermediation	0.2	0.15	16		0.6	0.2
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.3	0.26	16		–1.2	0.3
Public administration and defense	0.3	0.20	18		–3.8	0.4
Education, health, and social work	0.5	0.42	13		–0.2	0.5
Other personal services	0.3	0.12	21		–4.3	0.3
Forward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (F)	0.4	0.25	18		–2.5	0.5
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.5	0.30	12		–1.4	0.5
Mining and quarrying	1.8	0.46	8		–3.5	2.2
Light manufacturing	0.7	0.40	10		–1.7	0.7
Heavy manufacturing	1.2	0.41	14		1.3	1.1
Utilities	0.3	0.08	20		–4.4	0.4
Construction	0.1	0.09	12		6.6	0.1
Trade services	0.4	0.14	21		–2.2	0.4
Hotels and restaurants	0.2	0.07	19		–4.5	0.2
Transport services	0.3	0.08	23		–5.7	0.4
Telecommunications	0.3	0.09	21		–1.2	0.3
Financial intermediation	0.4	0.14	19		–2.3	0.4
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.3	0.15	19		–2.0	0.4
Public administration and defense	0.1	0.12	10		–0.5	0.1
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.14	11		–4.2	0.0
Other personal services	0.2	0.08	17		–4.2	0.3

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.5 Lao People's Democratic Republic

3.5A Internal Linkages

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
STRUCTURE OF THE ECONOMY							
Economic Indicators							
Gross output	(\$)	26,122	0.00	19	▼	12.9	17,335
Gross value-added	(\$)	16,215	0.00	19	▼	12.9	10,542
Value-added content of domestic final demand		10,946	0.00	19		10.7	7,466
Value-added content of exports		5,269	0.00	19		21.4	3,076
Intermediate inputs	(\$)	9,145	0.00	21	▼	13.1	6,369
Domestic inputs		6,841	0.00	20		14.3	4,549
Foreign inputs		2,304	0.00	21		10.8	1,820
Direct production	(\$)	19,281	0.00	19	▼	12.6	12,786
Domestic final demand		13,105	0.00	19		10.5	9,113
Exports		6,175	0.00	20		20.8	3,673
Indirect production	(\$)	6,841	0.00	20	▼	14.3	4,549
Indirect production embedded in domestic final demand		5,098	0.00	19		13.1	3,450
Indirect production embedded in exports		1,743	0.00	22		20.7	1,099
Economic Diversification							
Gross output		0.21	0.12	24	▼	–3.5	0.24
Gini concentration index of gross output		0.38	0.16	24		–2.7	0.42
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross output		0.03	0.06	24		–6.0	0.04
Theil concentration index of gross output		0.21	0.11	24		–4.3	0.26

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Gross value-added	0.23	0.21	16	▼	-4.2	0.27
<i>Gini concentration index of gross value added</i>	0.41	0.27	15		-2.9	0.46
<i>Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross value added</i>	0.04	0.09	17		-8.3	0.06
<i>Theil concentration index of gross value added</i>	0.24	0.21	16		-5.1	0.30
Gross exports	0.45	0.18	21	▼	-2.4	0.53
<i>Gini concentration index of gross exports</i>	0.66	0.30	21		-1.7	0.72
<i>Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross exports</i>	0.14	0.09	21		-2.3	0.24
<i>Theil concentration index of gross exports</i>	0.54	0.24	21		-2.4	0.64
Structural Changes						
Changes in output due to technological changes	-1.1%	0.62	22	▼	–	–
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	-0.9%	0.49	17			
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.0%	0.75	5			
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	-0.6%	0.33	17			
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	-9.1%	0.31	24			
<i>Utilities</i>	-0.5%	0.46	13			
<i>Construction</i>	2.8%	0.52	2			
<i>Trade services</i>	0.3%	0.54	8			
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.4%	0.08	11			
<i>Transport services</i>	-4.2%	0.00	25			
<i>Telecommunications</i>	-1.8%	0.04	23			
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	-5.5%	0.00	25			
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.8%	0.89	3			
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.1%	0.41	5			
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.1%	0.76	10			
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.1%	0.44	13			
Changes in output due to structural changes in consumption (%)	10.8%	1.00	1	▲	–	–
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	3.3%	0.60	8			
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.5%	0.11	9			
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	5.2%	0.63	4			
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	6.7%	1.00	1			
<i>Utilities</i>	1.6%	0.21	20			
<i>Construction</i>	3.5%	0.42	3			
<i>Trade services</i>	4.7%	0.71	6			
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	33.6%	1.00	1			
<i>Transport services</i>	5.8%	0.76	3			
<i>Telecommunications</i>	3.4%	0.57	12			
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	4.8%	0.56	8			
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	24.1%	1.00	1			
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	16.1%	1.00	1			
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	32.0%	1.00	1			
<i>Other personal services</i>	15.9%	1.00	1			
Changes in output due to structural changes in investment (%)	1.3%	0.38	8	▲	–	–
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.2%	0.09	14			
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.8%	0.21	13			
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.3%	0.39	5			

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	4.0%	0.51	4			
Utilities	0.1%	0.30	22			
Construction	9.8%	0.62	6			
Trade services	0.2%	0.16	22			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.32	17			
Transport services	1.1%	0.28	8			
Telecommunications	0.2%	0.14	19			
Financial intermediation	0.7%	0.15	12			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.4%	0.22	17			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.02	16			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.01	16			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.09	24			
Changes in output due to structural changes in stock (%)	0.0%	0.28	15		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0%	0.53	11			
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.38	17			
Light manufacturing	0.0%	0.17	13			
Heavy manufacturing	0.0%	0.30	15			
Utilities	0.0%	0.12	14			
Construction	0.0%	0.75	14			
Trade services	0.0%	0.29	15			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.45	12			
Transport services	0.0%	0.06	19			
Telecommunications	0.0%	0.46	13			
Financial intermediation	0.0%	0.90	13			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.0%	0.15	14			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.64	12			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.23	13			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.42	11			
Changes in output due to structural changes in export	4.7%	1.00	1		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	3.5%	0.73	3			
Mining and quarrying	4.7%	0.30	5			
Light manufacturing	5.0%	0.49	4			
Heavy manufacturing	3.8%	0.59	4			
Utilities	28.3%	1.00	1			
Construction	2.6%	0.47	2			
Trade services	4.0%	0.46	5			
Hotels and restaurants	1.4%	0.14	12			
Transport services	4.5%	0.84	5			
Telecommunications	4.1%	0.42	3			
Financial intermediation	1.4%	0.28	12			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.3%	0.43	10			
Public administration and defense	0.8%	0.28	5			
Education, health, and social work	2.5%	1.00	1			
Other personal services	2.1%	0.45	6			

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Changes in output due to import substitution on intermediate demand	0.8%	0.49	4		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0%	0.68	8			
Mining and quarrying	0.2%	0.31	15			
Light manufacturing	0.1%	0.81	9			
Heavy manufacturing	11.4%	0.77	2			
Utilities	0.1%	0.69	7			
Construction	0.0%	0.90	3			
Trade services	0.3%	0.83	2			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.97	7			
Transport services	0.3%	0.53	7			
Telecommunications	0.1%	0.98	4			
Financial intermediation	0.0%	0.62	10			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2%	0.77	6			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.66	8			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.61	5			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.52	11			
Changes in output due to import substitution on domestic final demand	–0.8%	0.23	23		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.5%	0.39	21			
Mining and quarrying	–0.2%	0.37	21			
Light manufacturing	–1.8%	0.45	23			
Heavy manufacturing	–4.0%	0.00	25			
Utilities	–0.1%	0.65	17			
Construction	–1.0%	0.23	24			
Trade services	–1.7%	0.15	23			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.65	11			
Transport services	–0.5%	0.69	21			
Telecommunications	–0.5%	0.51	20			
Financial intermediation	–0.9%	0.37	24			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.5%	0.38	18			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.33	16			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.86	13			
Other personal services	–0.5%	0.88	20			

STRENGTH OF LINKAGES

Demand-side Linkages

Direct backward linkage	0.24	0.01	24		0.5	0.24
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.08	0.01	24		0.2	0.08
Mining and quarrying	0.15	0.25	19		–1.5	0.20
Light manufacturing	0.50	0.62	16		–0.4	0.51
Heavy manufacturing	0.29	0.14	22		6.8	0.21
Utilities	0.14	0.00	25		0.5	0.14
Construction	0.41	0.27	18		2.5	0.36
Trade services	0.24	0.25	14		1.4	0.22
Hotels and restaurants	0.47	0.63	11		0.2	0.47
Transport services	0.18	0.25	22		–0.7	0.19
Telecommunications	0.19	0.14	21		0.3	0.20

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.21	0.19	16		–3.8	0.33
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.10	0.00	25		0.8	0.11
Public administration and defense	0.30	0.53	11		1.9	0.29
Education, health, and social work	0.21	0.32	14		6.5	0.18
Other personal services	0.19	0.23	20		8.0	0.16
Total backward linkage	1.33	0.01	24		0.1	1.33
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.10	0.01	24		0.0	1.10
Mining and quarrying	1.19	0.15	20		–0.4	1.25
Light manufacturing	1.62	0.28	20		0.0	1.64
Heavy manufacturing	1.37	0.03	23		0.8	1.27
Utilities	1.18	0.00	25		0.1	1.18
Construction	1.60	0.12	17		1.0	1.52
Trade services	1.32	0.23	16		0.3	1.29
Hotels and restaurants	1.64	0.33	16		0.1	1.65
Transport services	1.24	0.14	22		–0.3	1.25
Telecommunications	1.25	0.06	21		0.0	1.26
Financial intermediation	1.26	0.05	17		–1.5	1.47
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.14	0.01	24		0.1	1.15
Public administration and defense	1.42	0.43	14		0.7	1.40
Education, health, and social work	1.30	0.17	14		1.0	1.24
Other personal services	1.25	0.13	22		1.0	1.22
Normalized backward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.83	0.13	23		–0.1	0.83
Mining and quarrying	0.90	0.45	12		–0.5	0.94
Light manufacturing	1.22	0.62	10		–0.2	1.24
Heavy manufacturing	1.03	0.25	17		0.6	0.96
Utilities	0.89	0.10	21		–0.1	0.89
Construction	1.21	0.48	7		0.8	1.15
Trade services	1.00	0.50	4		0.2	0.98
Hotels and restaurants	1.24	0.77	5		–0.1	1.25
Transport services	0.94	0.31	19		–0.4	0.94
Telecommunications	0.95	0.49	17		–0.1	0.95
Financial intermediation	0.95	0.13	8		–1.6	1.11
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.86	0.33	19		0.0	0.86
Public administration and defense	1.07	0.75	5		0.5	1.06
Education, health, and social work	0.98	0.75	3		0.8	0.93
Other personal services	0.94	0.42	13		0.9	0.92
Net backward linkage	0.96	0.69	8		0.0	0.96
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.82	0.69	9		0.4	0.78
Mining and quarrying	0.81	0.56	6		–2.4	0.99
Light manufacturing	1.19	0.65	8		–0.4	1.20
Heavy manufacturing	0.47	0.01	24		–3.5	0.55
Utilities	1.08	1.00	1		5.3	0.89
Construction	1.05	0.15	24		–2.5	1.23
Trade services	0.72	0.26	18		–2.5	0.80

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.56	0.75	5		0.1	1.56
<i>Transport services</i>	0.49	0.01	23		14.7	0.32
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.93	0.64	6		1.4	0.87
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.61	0.50	9		0.2	0.55
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.85	0.79	10		0.8	0.84
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.38	0.45	13		0.4	1.37
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.28	0.26	13		0.9	1.22
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.22	0.81	7		0.9	1.18
Growth equalized output multipliers	0.09	0.02	24	▼	0.4	0.09
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.17	0.49	7		–5.0	0.21
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.09	0.18	6		0.3	0.14
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.15	0.25	14		1.4	0.18
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.03	0.03	24		4.5	0.02
<i>Utilities</i>	0.13	0.76	2		20.4	0.07
<i>Construction</i>	0.20	0.33	8		5.2	0.17
<i>Trade services</i>	0.17	0.36	11		–2.7	0.18
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.06	0.12	5		36.1	0.05
<i>Transport services</i>	0.03	0.00	25		–3.6	0.04
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.02	0.11	23		–4.3	0.02
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.04	0.09	22		3.9	0.04
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.08	0.21	18		20.1	0.07
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.14	0.87	2		4.7	0.12
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.04	0.02	24		21.7	0.03
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.02	0.09	19		5.4	0.02
Supply-Side Linkages						
Direct forward linkage	0.27	0.00	25	▼	0.7	0.28
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.25	0.11	23		1.8	0.30
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.32	0.32	19		14.9	0.21
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.26	0.10	23		1.7	0.27
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.66	0.88	3		5.0	0.57
<i>Utilities</i>	0.08	0.00	25		2.7	0.24
<i>Construction</i>	0.35	0.71	4		34.4	0.19
<i>Trade services</i>	0.45	0.56	10		6.9	0.38
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.05	0.08	23		0.5	0.05
<i>Transport services</i>	0.61	0.73	4		–3.3	0.74
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.26	0.00	25		–2.7	0.31
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.52	0.48	18		–1.0	0.62
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.25	0.08	24		–1.5	0.27
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.03	0.12	12		–12.4	0.03
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.01	0.03	19		–9.2	0.01
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.03	0.00	25		9.1	0.03
Total forward linkage	1.38	0.00	25	▼	0.3	1.38
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.34	0.06	23		0.3	1.40
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.44	0.14	19		2.4	1.28
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.35	0.03	22		0.6	1.34

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.95	0.46	6		2.2	1.79
Utilities	1.11	0.00	25		–2.6	1.30
Construction	1.46	0.51	4		4.2	1.25
Trade services	1.62	0.29	12		2.1	1.51
Hotels and restaurants	1.06	0.04	23		0.0	1.07
Transport services	1.85	0.37	7		–1.2	1.99
Telecommunications	1.33	0.00	25		–0.8	1.40
Financial intermediation	1.78	0.14	18		–0.6	1.99
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.35	0.03	24		–0.3	1.37
Public administration and defense	1.03	0.08	17		0.3	1.03
Education, health, and social work	1.01	0.02	20		0.1	1.01
Other personal services	1.03	0.00	25		0.1	1.03
Normalized forward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.97	0.20	20		0.0	1.01
Mining and quarrying	1.08	0.39	18		2.3	0.96
Light manufacturing	1.02	0.40	17		0.4	1.01
Heavy manufacturing	1.47	1.00	1		2.1	1.35
Utilities	0.83	0.00	25		–2.7	0.98
Construction	1.10	0.71	4		4.0	0.94
Trade services	1.22	0.59	4		2.0	1.14
Hotels and restaurants	0.80	0.21	17		–0.1	0.80
Transport services	1.40	1.00	1		–1.4	1.50
Telecommunications	1.00	0.14	22		–1.0	1.06
Financial intermediation	1.34	0.24	13		–0.8	1.50
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.02	0.24	17		–0.5	1.03
Public administration and defense	0.77	0.62	5		0.1	0.77
Education, health, and social work	0.77	0.72	7		0.0	0.76
Other personal services	0.78	0.11	22		0.0	0.78
Net forward linkage	0.86	0.45	12		0.5	0.84
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.18	0.48	11		0.5	1.22
Mining and quarrying	0.95	0.42	15		2.6	0.84
Light manufacturing	0.50	0.41	12		0.9	0.49
Heavy manufacturing	0.91	1.00	1		2.9	0.82
Utilities	0.89	0.44	11		–2.1	1.01
Construction	0.48	0.57	10		4.4	0.40
Trade services	1.10	0.50	13		2.3	1.01
Hotels and restaurants	0.42	0.11	22		0.0	0.42
Transport services	0.76	0.37	10		–1.0	0.80
Telecommunications	0.93	0.36	13		–0.8	0.98
Financial intermediation	1.36	0.43	14		2.7	1.21
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.20	0.57	10		–0.1	1.19
Public administration and defense	0.66	0.51	16		0.6	0.64
Education, health, and social work	0.75	0.54	11		0.0	0.75
Other personal services	0.74	0.36	17		–1.1	0.77

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Growth equalized input multipliers	0.09	0.01	24	▼	0.3	0.09
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.24	0.49	8		-5.0	0.31
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.10	0.15	7		2.3	0.15
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.09	0.25	16		2.2	0.10
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.03	0.05	21		6.9	0.02
<i>Utilities</i>	0.14	0.68	2		18.3	0.08
<i>Construction</i>	0.11	0.18	6		10.1	0.09
<i>Trade services</i>	0.22	0.44	15		-1.1	0.21
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.03	0.09	15		36.5	0.03
<i>Transport services</i>	0.03	0.05	24		-5.0	0.04
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.02	0.03	23		-5.3	0.03
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.06	0.09	21		7.1	0.06
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.11	0.17	19		20.1	0.10
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.11	0.61	3		4.9	0.09
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.03	0.03	23		21.9	0.03
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.02	0.10	20		3.3	0.02
Impacts from Hypothetical Extraction						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical extraction	-8.4%	0.97	2	▼	0.3	-0.08
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	-13.3%	0.55	20		-5.1	-16.0
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	-7.1%	0.81	21		-0.3	-12.0
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	-17.1%	0.65	12		0.5	-19.4
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	-2.5%	0.96	3		4.5	-2.1
<i>Utilities</i>	-10.9%	0.30	24		20.3	-5.9
<i>Construction</i>	-19.2%	0.57	20		3.5	-17.4
<i>Trade services</i>	-15.6%	0.69	18		-2.7	-16.2
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	-7.3%	0.85	21		34.6	-5.9
<i>Transport services</i>	-2.8%	1.00	1		-3.9	-3.2
<i>Telecommunications</i>	-1.5%	0.85	3		-4.3	-1.8
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	-3.0%	0.91	6		2.6	-3.4
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	-6.4%	0.78	8		19.8	-5.9
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	-13.9%	0.08	24		4.9	-12.1
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	-3.7%	0.93	5		22.4	-2.6
<i>Other personal services</i>	-1.9%	0.92	7		6.6	-1.8
Impacts from Hypothetical Insertion						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical insertion	2.4%	0.02	23	▼	1.8	0.02
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.3%	0.05	18		-5.0	1.6
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.2%	0.10	8		1.0	2.7
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	7.1%	0.20	17		1.3	8.4
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.7%	0.01	22		12.7	0.5
<i>Utilities</i>	1.7%	0.18	11		21.7	0.9
<i>Construction</i>	8.8%	0.34	8		8.8	6.7
<i>Trade services</i>	4.0%	0.23	12		-1.3	3.8
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	2.9%	0.17	7		33.8	2.3
<i>Transport services</i>	0.6%	0.00	25		-4.0	0.7
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.3%	0.05	24		-4.1	0.4
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.7%	0.04	19		1.9	1.5

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.8%	0.04	24		24.3	0.8
Public administration and defense	4.2%	1.00	1		7.7	3.6
Education, health, and social work	0.9%	0.11	19		14.9	0.5
Other personal services	0.4%	0.05	20		1.8	0.3

SPREAD OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES

Demand-side

Relative evenness of direct backward linkage	0.53	0.64	6	▲	–0.6	0.54
Relative evenness of total backward linkage	0.13	0.08	17	▼	0.0	0.14

Backward measure of concentration based on input coefficients	0.90	0.53	18	▼	0.2	0.89
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.87	0.66	20		1.4	0.83
Mining and quarrying	0.88	0.26	20		0.5	0.86
Light manufacturing	0.87	0.45	21		1.1	0.83
Heavy manufacturing	0.93	0.93	7		0.1	0.93
Utilities	0.91	0.77	13		0.5	0.88
Construction	0.89	0.81	17		–0.1	0.90
Trade services	0.96	0.91	8		0.3	0.94
Hotels and restaurants	0.92	0.80	11		0.2	0.91
Transport services	0.85	0.00	25		–0.1	0.87
Telecommunications	0.93	0.75	11		0.9	0.90
Financial intermediation	0.69	0.65	24		1.7	0.69
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.90	0.80	21		–0.4	0.90
Public administration and defense	0.93	0.53	18		–0.1	0.95
Education, health, and social work	0.94	0.63	18		–0.3	0.95
Other personal services	0.98	0.98	4		0.1	0.97

Relative evenness of direct forward linkage	0.78	0.85	3	▲	–1.8	0.84
Relative evenness of total forward linkage	0.22	0.12	19	▼	–1.0	0.25

Forward measure of concentration based on output coefficients	0.62	0.35	19	▼	1.1	0.59
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.28	0.22	20		1.5	0.27
Mining and quarrying	0.58	0.80	5		2.3	0.50
Light manufacturing	0.67	0.67	14		0.2	0.66
Heavy manufacturing	0.87	1.00	1		0.8	0.84
Utilities	0.79	0.71	12		1.3	0.75
Construction	0.57	0.65	14		–0.9	0.61
Trade services	0.80	0.83	5		0.1	0.79
Hotels and restaurants	0.68	0.41	20		1.3	0.65
Transport services	0.78	0.75	12		1.2	0.77
Telecommunications	0.70	0.04	24		1.4	0.67
Financial intermediation	0.71	0.76	18		2.5	0.67
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.74	0.45	22		2.3	0.72
Public administration and defense	0.09	0.09	22		14.4	0.07
Education, health, and social work	0.49	0.49	17		0.5	0.48
Other personal services	0.49	0.04	24		3.5	0.44

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
SENSITIVITY OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES						
Economic Complexity						
Percentage intermediate transaction	0.26	0.03	24	▼	1.2	0.26
Average output multiplier	1.33	0.01	24	▼	0.1	1.33
Average of Leontief inverse	0.17	0.01	24	▼	0.2	0.17
Average technical coefficient	0.02	0.01	24	▼	0.5	0.02
Mean intermediate coefficient	0.24	0.01	24	▼	0.5	0.24
Percentage of above-average coefficients (%)	0.26	0.36	12	▼	1.5	0.24
Determinant of non-competitive Leontief inverse	2.23	0.03	18	▼	0.6	2.39
Determinant of competitive Leontief inverse	3.12	0.02	22	▼	0.2	3.71
Mean path length	1.35	0.02	24	▼	0.4	1.35
Cycling index	0.06	0.13	20	▼	2.6	0.06
Average propagation length	1.63	0.18	19	▼	0.3	1.62
Overall sensitivity of the economy	0.65	0.28	22	▼	1.0	0.63
Index of direct interrelatedness	0.50	0.07	22	▼	0.9	0.49
Index of indirect interrelatedness	0.15	0.50	11	▲	1.9	0.14
Global intensity index	19.89	0.01	24	▼	0.1	19.90

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.5B External Linkages

\$ = United States dollars, GVC = global value chain.

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1, while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value	
PARTICIPATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS							
International Trade Coefficients							
Trade openness	0.72	0.22	15	▼	5.7	0.65	
Self-sufficiency ratio	0.97	0.56	17	▼	0.0	0.96	
Regional supply percentage	0.74	0.42	16	▼	–1.2	0.77	
Regional purchase coefficient	0.88	0.81	5	▲	1.8	0.84	
Sectoral purchase coefficient	0.85	0.88	14	▲	0.6	0.81	
Simple location quotient of gross output	1.31	0.67	5	▲	–0.3	1.32	
Fabrication effect	0.73	0.09	22	▼	–0.5	0.77	
Exports	(\$)	6,175	0.00	20	▼	20.8	3,673
Export-to-output ratio		0.21	0.71	6	▲	7.5	0.17
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.19	0.83	4		1.9	0.11
Mining and quarrying		0.64	0.70	4		2.0	0.77
Light manufacturing		0.31	0.57	7		1.2	0.23
Heavy manufacturing		0.07	0.07	21		–3.0	0.06
Utilities		0.88	1.00	1		2.3	0.69
Construction		0.07	0.83	2		1.8	0.04
Trade services		0.18	0.24	13		1.9	0.12
Hotels and restaurants		0.03	0.03	14		2.1	0.02
Transport services		0.25	0.32	10		1.9	0.18
Telecommunications		0.29	0.89	2		1.9	0.19

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5B *continued*

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Financial intermediation		0.04	0.08	10		1.9	0.03
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.01	0.01	23		28.8	0.01
Public administration and defense		0.04	0.10	7		2.0	0.03
Education, health, and social work		0.06	0.81	3		–4.2	0.03
Other personal services		0.09	0.11	8		1.2	0.04
Imports	(\$)	6,892	0.00	20	▼	21.5	4,356
Import-to-input ratio		0.09	0.34	15	▼	–2.6	0.12
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.03	0.06	22		–0.8	0.03
Mining and quarrying		0.13	0.30	7		3.3	0.12
Light manufacturing		0.10	0.15	20		2.3	0.10
Heavy manufacturing		0.19	0.22	15		–3.7	0.29
Utilities		0.04	0.00	25		–1.4	0.06
Construction		0.22	0.49	7		–2.4	0.28
Trade services		0.06	0.23	14		–4.2	0.09
Hotels and restaurants		0.06	0.17	18		–5.8	0.08
Transport services		0.33	0.65	4		–0.7	0.35
Telecommunications		0.07	0.16	16		–0.8	0.07
Financial intermediation		0.02	0.09	18		–4.8	0.04
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.01	0.04	21		–3.8	0.02
Public administration and defense		0.04	0.27	16		–6.5	0.07
Education, health, and social work		0.03	0.13	21		–4.5	0.07
Other personal services		0.07	0.17	12		6.2	0.07
Total foreign factor content of consumption	(\$)	961	0.00	21	▼	10.9	751
Total foreign factor content of investment	(\$)	665	0.00	22	▼	8.5	589
Total foreign factor content of exports	(\$)	678	0.00	21	▼	17.7	480
Interregional Multipliers							
Import Leakage Effects		0.13	0.20	19	▼	–2.2	0.15
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.03	0.02	24		–0.5	0.04
Mining and quarrying		0.15	0.32	10		0.7	0.15
Light manufacturing		0.14	0.12	21		1.3	0.15
Heavy manufacturing		0.23	0.20	19		–3.5	0.32
Utilities		0.06	0.00	25		–1.5	0.09
Construction		0.30	0.48	11		–1.7	0.35
Trade services		0.10	0.20	14		–3.4	0.13
Hotels and restaurants		0.11	0.18	20		–4.0	0.14
Transport services		0.36	0.50	7		–1.3	0.39
Telecommunications		0.09	0.12	18		–1.1	0.10
Financial intermediation		0.03	0.04	20		–6.7	0.08
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.03	0.08	21		–2.3	0.04
Public administration and defense		0.10	0.29	14		–3.0	0.13
Education, health, and social work		0.07	0.22	20		–2.5	0.10
Other personal services		0.10	0.20	17		5.8	0.09
Intraregional transfer multiplier		1.33	0.01	24	▼	0.1	1.33
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		1.10	0.01	24		0.0	1.10
Mining and quarrying		1.19	0.15	20		–0.4	1.25

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Light manufacturing	1.62	0.28	20		0.0	1.64
Heavy manufacturing	1.37	0.03	23		0.8	1.27
Utilities	1.18	0.00	25		0.1	1.18
Construction	1.60	0.12	17		1.0	1.52
Trade services	1.32	0.23	16		0.3	1.29
Hotels and restaurants	1.64	0.33	16		0.1	1.65
Transport services	1.24	0.14	22		–0.3	1.25
Telecommunications	1.25	0.06	21		0.0	1.26
Financial intermediation	1.26	0.05	17		–1.5	1.47
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.14	0.01	24		0.1	1.15
Public administration and defense	1.42	0.43	14		0.7	1.40
Education, health, and social work	1.30	0.17	14		1.0	1.24
Other personal services	1.25	0.13	22		1.0	1.22
Interregional spillover multiplier	1.25	0.41	13		–0.5	1.30
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.07	0.08	22		–0.1	1.09
Mining and quarrying	1.36	0.41	5		0.2	1.33
Light manufacturing	1.26	0.18	18		0.3	1.25
Heavy manufacturing	1.54	0.33	14		–1.2	1.78
Utilities	1.12	0.01	24		–0.2	1.18
Construction	1.60	0.61	7		–1.2	1.75
Trade services	1.15	0.28	13		–0.7	1.22
Hotels and restaurants	1.15	0.18	17		–1.2	1.21
Transport services	1.86	0.75	5		0.0	1.91
Telecommunications	1.16	0.17	16		–0.2	1.18
Financial intermediation	1.05	0.10	18		–0.6	1.09
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.03	0.06	21		–0.2	1.04
Public administration and defense	1.11	0.24	15		–0.9	1.17
Education, health, and social work	1.09	0.16	21		–0.9	1.18
Other personal services	1.17	0.17	12		0.4	1.18
Interregional feedback multiplier	1.000	0.00	21		0.0	1.00
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.000	0.00	23		0.0	1.00
Mining and quarrying	1.000	0.00	14		0.0	1.00
Light manufacturing	1.000	0.00	20		0.0	1.00
Heavy manufacturing	1.000	0.00	19		0.0	1.00
Utilities	1.000	0.00	23		0.0	1.00
Construction	1.000	0.01	18		0.0	1.00
Trade services	1.000	0.00	20		0.0	1.00
Hotels and restaurants	1.000	0.00	22		0.0	1.00
Transport services	1.000	0.00	16		0.0	1.00
Telecommunications	1.000	0.00	21		0.0	1.00
Financial intermediation	1.000	0.00	23		0.0	1.00
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.000	0.00	25		0.0	1.00
Public administration and defense	1.000	0.00	19		0.0	1.00
Education, health, and social work	1.000	0.00	21		0.0	1.00
Other personal services	1.000	0.00	22		0.0	1.00

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Global Value Chain (GVC) Participation Index						
Backward GVC Participation	12.5%	0.10	18	▼	-1.4	0.15
Simple GVCs	8.0%	0.21	19	▼	-1.5	0.10
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.3	0.11	11		-6.3	0.44
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.13	6		75.8	0.03
Light manufacturing	0.9	0.16	17		-0.6	1.13
Heavy manufacturing	0.1	0.01	24		-3.3	0.25
Utilities	0.0	0.00	25		38.5	0.03
Construction	3.3	0.29	7		0.5	4.02
Trade services	0.6	0.21	14		-9.9	1.02
Hotels and restaurants	0.6	0.31	8		32.1	0.57
Transport services	0.2	0.06	24		19.1	0.09
Telecommunications	0.1	0.06	19		-7.5	0.10
Financial intermediation	0.1	0.05	17		-6.2	0.10
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2	0.08	20		21.8	0.20
Public administration and defense	1.2	0.30	6		3.0	1.30
Education, health, and social work	0.2	0.10	21		20.4	0.23
Other personal services	0.2	0.13	15		17.0	0.17
Complex GVCs	4.5%	0.07	17	▼	-1.1	0.05
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1	0.12	11		-6.7	0.21
Mining and quarrying	0.2	0.32	6		23.8	0.29
Light manufacturing	0.8	0.07	14		4.9	0.83
Heavy manufacturing	0.1	0.00	24		-0.7	0.14
Utilities	0.2	0.45	7		24.0	0.10
Construction	1.4	0.31	6		-1.3	1.79
Trade services	0.4	0.05	10		-8.5	0.54
Hotels and restaurants	0.3	0.03	10		33.1	0.25
Transport services	0.3	0.11	20		21.4	0.19
Telecommunications	0.0	0.07	18		-3.4	0.05
Financial intermediation	0.0	0.01	17		-8.6	0.03
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.1	0.07	21		22.2	0.08
Public administration and defense	0.5	0.38	6		1.9	0.52
Education, health, and social work	0.1	0.12	21		20.1	0.11
Other personal services	0.1	0.18	15		17.8	0.07
Forward GVC Participation	24.2%	0.49	9	▼	5.4	0.21
Simple GVCs	14.8%	0.43	11	▼	5.1	0.13
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.9	0.90	2		-2.2	1.50
Mining and quarrying	3.1	0.19	4		-17.9	5.85
Light manufacturing	0.8	0.21	11		0.7	0.71
Heavy manufacturing	0.2	0.01	17		11.7	0.14
Utilities	5.8	1.00	1		22.6	2.96
Construction	0.4	0.12	2		22.5	0.24
Trade services	1.6	0.19	11		5.7	1.22
Hotels and restaurants	0.0	0.00	23		2.5	0.01
Transport services	0.2	0.03	23		4.3	0.21
Telecommunications	0.2	0.13	11		1.2	0.18

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.2	0.03	19		12.4	0.17
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2	0.01	23		-0.9	0.13
Public administration and defense	0.1	0.13	5		14.2	0.12
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.01	23		-1.7	0.00
Other personal services	0.0	0.00	25		-3.4	0.02
Complex GVCs	9.4%	0.52	8	▲	6.5	0.07
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.8	1.00	1		5.7	1.12
Mining and quarrying	1.8	0.14	5		-14.3	2.94
Light manufacturing	0.4	0.21	11		6.1	0.35
Heavy manufacturing	0.1	0.01	17		15.5	0.08
Utilities	3.4	1.00	1		28.3	1.62
Construction	0.3	0.11	2		28.5	0.12
Trade services	1.0	0.30	10		9.9	0.69
Hotels and restaurants	0.0	0.00	23		5.3	0.01
Transport services	0.1	0.05	22		7.3	0.12
Telecommunications	0.1	0.20	9		7.5	0.10
Financial intermediation	0.1	0.05	20		16.2	0.09
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.1	0.02	23		3.8	0.07
Public administration and defense	0.1	0.14	5		18.8	0.07
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.01	23		1.6	0.00
Other personal services	0.0	0.03	22		-3.1	0.01

SPECIALIZATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Vertical Specialization

Vertical Specialization Ratio	11.0%	0.06	23	▼	-2.9	0.14
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	3.3%	0.02	24		-0.5	0.04
Mining and quarrying	14.8%	0.31	10		0.7	0.15
Light manufacturing	14.1%	0.12	21		1.3	0.15
Heavy manufacturing	23.1%	0.20	19		-3.5	0.32
Utilities	6.5%	0.10	24		-1.5	0.09
Construction	30.4%	0.47	11		-1.7	0.35
Trade services	9.6%	0.20	14		-3.4	0.13
Hotels and restaurants	11.2%	0.17	20		-4.0	0.14
Transport services	35.5%	0.50	7		-1.3	0.39
Telecommunications	9.3%	0.11	18		-1.1	0.10
Financial intermediation	3.5%	0.04	20		-6.7	0.08
Real estate, renting, and business activities	3.3%	0.08	21		-2.3	0.04
Public administration and defense	10.0%	0.29	14		-3.0	0.13
Education, health, and social work	7.2%	0.22	20		-2.5	0.10
Other personal services	9.6%	0.20	17		5.8	0.09

Revealed Comparative Advantages

Traditional RCA Index						
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	4.16	0.69	2	▲	0.9	3.09
Mining and quarrying	2.38	0.22	4	▲	-12.2	5.06
Light manufacturing	0.98	0.17	14	▼	1.4	0.95

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	0.01	0.01	24	▼	6.0	0.01
Utilities	63.21	0.97	2	▲	17.6	35.25
Construction	6.19	0.78	2	▲	-3.8	3.83
Trade services	1.16	0.15	11	▲	3.8	0.92
Hotels and restaurants	0.49	0.01	14	▼	-2.4	0.31
Transport services	0.43	0.09	23	▼	2.5	0.42
Telecommunications	2.04	0.33	9	▲	-0.8	1.99
Financial intermediation	0.13	0.03	11	▼	3.7	0.12
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.02	0.00	24	▼	21.5	0.02
Public administration and defense	4.07	0.15	5	▲	-0.2	4.07
Education, health, and social work	1.74	0.22	4	▲	-5.2	0.88
Other personal services	0.46	0.03	10	▼	-1.6	0.23

New RCA Index (Based on Domestic Value Added)

Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.93	0.42	3	▲	-0.1	2.68
Mining and quarrying	1.82	0.26	5	▲	-14.1	3.51
Light manufacturing	0.81	0.18	15	▼	1.2	0.79
Heavy manufacturing	0.05	0.02	23	▼	9.8	0.05
Utilities	13.43	0.99	2	▲	24.5	7.31
Construction	2.77	0.16	2	▲	27.4	1.42
Trade services	0.98	0.27	18	▼	5.5	0.84
Hotels and restaurants	0.29	0.01	23	▼	-3.2	0.19
Transport services	0.31	0.05	24	▼	4.4	0.32
Telecommunications	0.97	0.21	14	▼	-0.8	0.98
Financial intermediation	0.24	0.02	20	▼	10.8	0.25
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.08	0.01	24	▼	-3.3	0.07
Public administration and defense	1.40	0.13	6	▲	-0.3	1.36
Education, health, and social work	0.92	0.20	8	▲	-4.6	0.45
Other personal services	0.23	0.01	19	▼	-0.5	0.11

POSITION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Upstreamness Index	1.8	0.20	21	▼	1.0	1.8
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.9	0.25	15		2.8	1.7
Mining and quarrying	3.2	0.72	13		-0.2	3.2
Light manufacturing	1.7	0.16	21		1.5	1.6
Heavy manufacturing	2.4	0.44	16		2.6	2.2
Utilities	2.9	0.43	8		0.3	2.9
Construction	1.7	0.57	5		5.6	1.3
Trade services	2.1	0.38	14		3.5	1.8
Hotels and restaurants	1.1	0.00	25		0.1	1.1
Transport services	2.3	0.46	14		-0.5	2.4
Telecommunications	1.9	0.18	21		1.6	1.8
Financial intermediation	2.0	0.17	21		-0.1	2.2
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.4	0.00	25		-0.2	1.4
Public administration and defense	1.1	0.12	15		1.0	1.1
Education, health, and social work	1.0	0.02	21		0.2	1.0
Other personal services	1.1	0.00	24		0.9	1.1

continued on next page

Lao People's Democratic Republic 3.5B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
PRODUCTION LENGTH OF GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS						
Backward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (B)	0.6	0.23	17		-1.5	0.7
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1	0.01	23		0.0	0.2
Mining and quarrying	0.6	0.38	10		0.9	0.6
Light manufacturing	0.6	0.17	21		1.7	0.6
Heavy manufacturing	1.0	0.29	18		-2.2	1.4
Utilities	0.3	0.00	25		-0.7	0.4
Construction	1.4	0.55	10		-1.0	1.6
Trade services	0.4	0.24	14		-2.8	0.5
Hotels and restaurants	0.5	0.21	18		-3.2	0.6
Transport services	1.5	0.57	4		-0.6	1.6
Telecommunications	0.4	0.12	18		-0.8	0.4
Financial intermediation	0.1	0.05	22		-7.1	0.3
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2	0.11	21		-1.4	0.2
Public administration and defense	0.5	0.32	12		-1.5	0.6
Education, health, and social work	0.3	0.23	21		-1.3	0.4
Other personal services	0.4	0.22	17		5.9	0.4
Forward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (F)	0.7	0.47	13		4.0	0.6
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.8	0.48	8		1.4	0.5
Mining and quarrying	2.6	0.67	6		1.3	2.8
Light manufacturing	0.6	0.36	11		2.9	0.5
Heavy manufacturing	0.8	0.29	15		6.2	0.7
Utilities	2.6	0.94	2		1.4	2.3
Construction	0.3	0.37	4		27.0	0.2
Trade services	0.7	0.32	14		5.1	0.6
Hotels and restaurants	0.0	0.01	24		3.3	0.0
Transport services	0.8	0.28	16		3.2	0.7
Telecommunications	0.8	0.38	9		4.0	0.6
Financial intermediation	0.4	0.15	18		-0.4	0.4
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2	0.05	21		4.6	0.1
Public administration and defense	0.1	0.09	11		18.2	0.1
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.02	22		-2.4	0.0
Other personal services	0.1	0.03	23		-1.8	0.1

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.6 Malaysia

3.6A Internal Linkages

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value	
STRUCTURE OF THE ECONOMY							
Economic Indicators							
Gross output	(\$)	908,035	0.02	9	▲	5.3	744,339
Gross value-added	(\$)	348,796	0.03	8	▲	5.1	285,737
Value-added content of domestic final demand		200,092	0.02	12		8.5	149,594
Value-added content of exports		148,704	0.06	9		1.9	136,143
Intermediate inputs	(\$)	557,441	0.02	9	▲	5.4	457,174
Domestic inputs		400,373	0.02	8		6.9	315,248
Foreign inputs		157,068	0.10	8		2.8	141,926
Direct production	(\$)	507,662	0.03	9	▲	4.3	429,092
Domestic final demand		263,102	0.02	10		8.1	202,272
Exports		244,560	0.09	8		1.5	226,820
Indirect production	(\$)	400,373	0.02	8	▲	6.9	315,248
Indirect production embedded in domestic final demand		214,174	0.01	7		10.8	153,477
Indirect production embedded in exports		186,199	0.04	8		3.8	161,771
Economic Diversification							
Gross output		0.25	0.29	18	▼	–1.8	0.27
Gini concentration index of gross output		0.42	0.29	20		–1.4	0.44
Herfindahl–Hirschman concentration index of gross output		0.06	0.27	12		–2.8	0.07
Theil concentration index of gross output		0.27	0.28	18		–2.1	0.30

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Gross value-added	0.17	0.01	24	▼	-2.6	0.19
<i>Gini concentration index of gross value added</i>	0.32	0.00	25		-2.1	0.35
<i>Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross value added</i>	0.03	0.02	22		-3.4	0.03
<i>Theil concentration index of gross value added</i>	0.16	0.01	24		-3.4	0.18
Gross exports	0.65	0.60	9	▲	1.0	0.60
<i>Gini concentration index of gross exports</i>	0.80	0.73	10		0.5	0.78
<i>Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross exports</i>	0.39	0.47	8		2.8	0.32
<i>Theil concentration index of gross exports</i>	0.75	0.71	10		0.7	0.72
Structural Changes						
Changes in output due to technological changes	0.3%	0.80	6	▲	–	–
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.4%	0.58	6			
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.6%	0.75	6			
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.0%	0.44	4			
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	-1.1%	0.65	16			
<i>Utilities</i>	-1.3%	0.35	22			
<i>Construction</i>	0.3%	0.16	11			
<i>Trade services</i>	1.1%	0.83	3			
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.4%	0.08	13			
<i>Transport services</i>	0.3%	0.67	8			
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.2%	0.25	7			
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.0%	0.57	9			
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.3%	0.68	12			
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	-1.3%	0.05	24			
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.6%	1.00	2			
<i>Other personal services</i>	2.8%	1.00	1			
Changes in output due to structural changes in consumption (%)	3.8%	0.41	9	▼	–	–
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.1%	0.31	22			
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.6%	0.06	16			
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	2.3%	0.34	13			
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.6%	0.16	18			
<i>Utilities</i>	3.8%	0.41	11			
<i>Construction</i>	2.1%	0.33	5			
<i>Trade services</i>	3.6%	0.59	10			
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	8.1%	0.27	4			
<i>Transport services</i>	3.2%	0.48	11			
<i>Telecommunications</i>	5.8%	0.77	3			
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.5%	0.27	23			
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.7%	0.11	19			
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	8.6%	0.58	8			
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	6.6%	0.23	10			
<i>Other personal services</i>	7.8%	0.56	7			
Changes in output due to structural changes in investment (%)	0.6%	0.17	19	▼	–	–
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.4%	0.13	11			
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.4%	0.14	16			
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.5%	0.15	14			

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	0.4%	0.16	18			
Utilities	0.2%	0.33	18			
Construction	4.8%	0.31	17			
Trade services	0.7%	0.26	15			
Hotels and restaurants	–0.8%	0.00	25			
Transport services	0.3%	0.08	15			
Telecommunications	0.4%	0.16	17			
Financial intermediation	0.5%	0.10	14			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.7%	0.27	11			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.00	25			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.02	14			
Other personal services	–0.2%	0.00	25			
Changes in output due to structural changes in stock (%)	–0.2%	0.16	22		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0%	0.53	13			
Mining and quarrying	0.1%	0.38	9			
Light manufacturing	–0.3%	0.06	23			
Heavy manufacturing	–0.1%	0.25	20			
Utilities	–0.1%	0.07	19			
Construction	0.0%	0.73	20			
Trade services	–0.2%	0.03	24			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.41	22			
Transport services	–0.3%	0.01	24			
Telecommunications	–0.5%	0.00	25			
Financial intermediation	–0.2%	0.87	24			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.3%	0.00	25			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.64	21			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.23	22			
Other personal services	–0.5%	0.00	25			
Changes in output due to structural changes in export	0.3%	0.12	20		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–1.5%	0.29	24			
Mining and quarrying	–0.1%	0.10	22			
Light manufacturing	–1.1%	0.00	25			
Heavy manufacturing	2.6%	0.46	8			
Utilities	0.6%	0.05	19			
Construction	1.1%	0.21	5			
Trade services	0.9%	0.13	16			
Hotels and restaurants	0.2%	0.04	20			
Transport services	0.8%	0.45	17			
Telecommunications	1.3%	0.18	13			
Financial intermediation	–0.5%	0.00	25			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.5%	0.06	24			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.12	21			
Education, health, and social work	0.1%	0.04	16			
Other personal services	0.8%	0.18	13			

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Changes in output due to import substitution on intermediate demand	0.4%	0.44	6		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1%	0.69	6			
Mining and quarrying	0.5%	0.32	11			
Light manufacturing	0.7%	0.85	4			
Heavy manufacturing	1.2%	0.31	7			
Utilities	0.5%	0.78	3			
Construction	0.1%	1.00	1			
Trade services	0.3%	0.82	3			
Hotels and restaurants	0.1%	0.97	4			
Transport services	0.6%	0.64	2			
Telecommunications	0.0%	0.95	8			
Financial intermediation	0.0%	0.63	8			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.2%	1.00	1			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.65	10			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.60	6			
Other personal services	0.9%	1.00	1			
Changes in output due to import substitution on domestic final demand	0.0%	0.81	7		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0%	0.57	7			
Mining and quarrying	0.1%	0.54	10			
Light manufacturing	0.4%	0.89	3			
Heavy manufacturing	0.1%	0.57	12			
Utilities	0.1%	0.78	8			
Construction	0.5%	0.89	2			
Trade services	0.1%	0.94	4			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.71	5			
Transport services	0.1%	0.91	7			
Telecommunications	–0.4%	0.57	19			
Financial intermediation	–0.3%	0.65	18			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.6%	0.32	22			
Public administration and defense	0.3%	0.40	3			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.86	17			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.93	6			

STRENGTH OF LINKAGES

Demand-side Linkages

Direct backward linkage	0.41	0.64	4		1.1	0.40
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.31	0.44	9		0.9	0.31
Mining and quarrying	0.12	0.20	21		1.6	0.11
Light manufacturing	0.70	0.95	3		0.9	0.68
Heavy manufacturing	0.41	0.37	14		3.2	0.38
Utilities	0.36	0.37	14		1.8	0.34
Construction	0.54	0.56	7		1.0	0.52
Trade services	0.33	0.46	5		1.1	0.31
Hotels and restaurants	0.51	0.72	8		0.6	0.50
Transport services	0.52	0.93	2		1.0	0.50
Telecommunications	0.56	1.00	1		0.7	0.54

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.46	0.54	2		0.2	0.46
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.37	0.87	4		0.7	0.36
Public administration and defense	0.36	0.66	7		1.0	0.35
Education, health, and social work	0.23	0.36	11		1.7	0.22
Other personal services	0.42	0.81	4		1.4	0.40
Total backward linkage	1.76	0.45	4		0.8	1.71
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.56	0.41	7		0.6	1.53
Mining and quarrying	1.21	0.17	19		0.4	1.19
Light manufacturing	2.31	0.68	2		1.1	2.22
Heavy manufacturing	1.68	0.21	11		1.6	1.60
Utilities	1.58	0.23	13		1.1	1.54
Construction	2.04	0.39	4		1.2	1.97
Trade services	1.61	0.73	4		0.8	1.56
Hotels and restaurants	1.98	0.60	4		0.7	1.92
Transport services	1.94	0.73	2		1.0	1.87
Telecommunications	2.05	1.00	1		0.6	2.00
Financial intermediation	1.86	0.21	2		0.2	1.84
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.70	0.66	3		0.5	1.65
Public administration and defense	1.68	0.69	4		0.7	1.63
Education, health, and social work	1.40	0.26	11		0.6	1.37
Other personal services	1.76	0.64	4		0.8	1.71
Normalized backward linkage	-	-	-		-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.89	0.24	18		-0.2	0.90
Mining and quarrying	0.69	0.05	24		-0.3	0.70
Light manufacturing	1.32	0.76	4		0.3	1.30
Heavy manufacturing	0.95	0.07	23		0.8	0.94
Utilities	0.90	0.11	20		0.3	0.90
Construction	1.16	0.39	10		0.4	1.15
Trade services	0.92	0.35	11		0.0	0.92
Hotels and restaurants	1.13	0.49	11		0.0	1.13
Transport services	1.11	0.58	3		0.2	1.10
Telecommunications	1.17	0.93	2		-0.2	1.17
Financial intermediation	1.06	0.18	2		-0.6	1.08
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.97	0.61	5		-0.3	0.97
Public administration and defense	0.95	0.54	11		-0.1	0.96
Education, health, and social work	0.80	0.20	23		-0.2	0.80
Other personal services	1.00	0.60	9		0.0	1.00
Net backward linkage	0.92	0.52	16		-1.0	0.97
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.22	0.00	25		-6.5	0.33
Mining and quarrying	0.38	0.27	13		-1.4	0.52
Light manufacturing	1.09	0.54	12		-2.1	1.22
Heavy manufacturing	1.22	0.92	4		0.8	1.15
Utilities	0.48	0.30	12		-3.6	0.53
Construction	1.54	0.39	10		2.2	1.48
Trade services	0.90	0.47	7		1.9	0.89

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.49	0.69	8		0.3	1.50
<i>Transport services</i>	0.79	0.32	15		–0.3	0.86
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.97	0.69	4		–0.4	1.03
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.36	0.24	19		–1.6	0.43
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.20	0.00	25		–8.6	0.33
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.68	0.79	4		1.4	1.59
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.29	0.27	12		–0.2	1.31
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.21	0.80	8		–2.4	1.36
Growth equalized output multipliers	0.12	0.36	5		1.1	0.12
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.08	0.23	17		–1.4	0.10
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.08	0.17	7		–3.8	0.08
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.28	0.47	9		0.5	0.28
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.46	0.57	6		–0.2	0.47
<i>Utilities</i>	0.04	0.14	16		2.9	0.04
<i>Construction</i>	0.11	0.13	17		7.1	0.09
<i>Trade services</i>	0.20	0.46	7		3.8	0.18
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.05	0.10	8		5.5	0.04
<i>Transport services</i>	0.07	0.29	17		1.9	0.07
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.06	0.79	4		5.1	0.05
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.10	0.40	3		–0.2	0.11
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.08	0.20	19		1.6	0.08
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.09	0.48	7		5.1	0.07
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.06	0.25	14		4.5	0.05
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.03	0.14	15		4.9	0.02
Supply-Side Linkages						
Direct forward linkage	0.48	0.64	3		2.7	0.43
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.86	1.00	1		2.3	0.78
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.68	0.69	10		1.2	0.56
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.53	0.62	5		4.4	0.45
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.27	0.31	22		4.1	0.28
<i>Utilities</i>	0.69	0.73	14		2.5	0.65
<i>Construction</i>	0.25	0.51	6		–0.4	0.25
<i>Trade services</i>	0.44	0.54	11		1.0	0.43
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.25	0.46	14		0.3	0.22
<i>Transport services</i>	0.59	0.71	5		1.6	0.54
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.53	0.60	10		–1.3	0.48
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.80	0.88	7		1.9	0.76
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.88	1.00	1		7.3	0.80
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.00	0.00	23		20.8	0.02
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.08	0.29	7		9.5	0.04
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.32	0.34	10		30.5	0.20
Total forward linkage	1.88	0.42	3		1.6	1.77
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	2.67	0.81	2		2.4	2.41
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	2.05	0.34	11		1.5	1.84
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.95	0.45	4		2.3	1.77

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.45	0.20	21		1.0	1.45
Utilities	2.27	0.41	9		4.6	2.16
Construction	1.38	0.41	6		–0.6	1.38
Trade services	1.77	0.39	10		0.1	1.72
Hotels and restaurants	1.46	0.37	10		0.6	1.40
Transport services	2.12	0.51	3		1.3	1.97
Telecommunications	2.00	0.63	5		1.7	1.90
Financial intermediation	2.79	0.39	3		1.0	2.64
Real estate, renting, and business activities	2.62	1.00	1		3.6	2.44
Public administration and defense	1.00	0.00	23		–0.4	1.04
Education, health, and social work	1.14	0.44	5		1.3	1.07
Other personal services	1.51	0.38	10		3.9	1.31
Normalized forward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.42	1.00	1		0.9	1.36
Mining and quarrying	1.17	0.46	15		0.7	1.08
Light manufacturing	1.11	0.60	10		1.5	1.03
Heavy manufacturing	0.83	0.09	24		0.2	0.85
Utilities	1.29	0.51	19		3.8	1.26
Construction	0.78	0.33	15		–1.4	0.81
Trade services	1.01	0.28	19		–0.7	1.01
Hotels and restaurants	0.83	0.27	15		–0.2	0.82
Transport services	1.21	0.64	7		0.5	1.15
Telecommunications	1.14	0.39	15		0.9	1.11
Financial intermediation	1.59	0.36	4		0.2	1.55
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.49	1.00	1		2.7	1.42
Public administration and defense	0.57	0.16	24		–1.2	0.61
Education, health, and social work	0.65	0.40	20		0.5	0.63
Other personal services	0.86	0.20	19		3.0	0.77
Net forward linkage	0.89	0.50	10		1.5	0.83
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.63	0.83	2		2.4	1.47
Mining and quarrying	1.70	0.75	5		1.5	1.53
Light manufacturing	0.32	0.06	24		1.7	0.29
Heavy manufacturing	0.32	0.31	23		0.5	0.32
Utilities	1.06	0.55	7		4.6	1.01
Construction	0.42	0.45	15		–0.6	0.42
Trade services	0.99	0.42	18		0.1	0.97
Hotels and restaurants	0.60	0.38	11		0.6	0.58
Transport services	0.71	0.32	15		1.4	0.65
Telecommunications	0.65	0.13	21		1.7	0.62
Financial intermediation	1.41	0.46	12		1.0	1.34
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.50	1.00	1		3.2	1.42
Public administration and defense	0.53	0.32	21		–0.4	0.55
Education, health, and social work	0.76	0.56	9		1.2	0.72
Other personal services	0.69	0.30	21		3.4	0.60

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Growth equalized input multipliers	0.12	0.43	2	▲	1.0	0.12
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.19	0.39	14		0.0	0.21
Mining and quarrying	0.19	0.29	5		–3.1	0.19
Light manufacturing	0.13	0.35	11		1.2	0.12
Heavy manufacturing	0.21	0.42	8		–0.6	0.23
Utilities	0.06	0.25	9		7.1	0.05
Construction	0.07	0.09	17		5.4	0.06
Trade services	0.30	0.66	6		2.9	0.26
Hotels and restaurants	0.05	0.17	5		5.2	0.04
Transport services	0.07	0.36	19		2.5	0.06
Telecommunications	0.05	0.44	6		6.1	0.05
Financial intermediation	0.21	0.61	2		–0.1	0.22
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.16	0.35	11		4.0	0.18
Public administration and defense	0.07	0.34	11		3.7	0.06
Education, health, and social work	0.07	0.43	11		4.7	0.05
Other personal services	0.03	0.18	17		8.5	0.02
Impacts from Hypothetical Extraction						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical extraction	–10.1%	0.54	23	▲	0.8	–0.10
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–6.5%	0.78	9		–2.0	–7.9
Mining and quarrying	–5.2%	0.86	19		–4.3	–5.8
Light manufacturing	–25.7%	0.46	17		–0.5	–27.1
Heavy manufacturing	–36.8%	0.29	21		–0.3	–36.6
Utilities	–2.9%	0.90	10		2.1	–2.8
Construction	–11.2%	0.82	10		6.9	–9.2
Trade services	–17.1%	0.64	20		3.3	–15.1
Hotels and restaurants	–5.4%	0.90	19		4.8	–4.4
Transport services	–6.3%	0.73	10		1.3	–5.8
Telecommunications	–5.4%	0.05	23		4.2	–4.7
Financial intermediation	–7.4%	0.65	21		–0.6	–8.0
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–6.2%	0.79	7		0.6	–6.6
Public administration and defense	–8.0%	0.52	19		4.7	–6.5
Education, health, and social work	–4.7%	0.83	9		3.6	–3.9
Other personal services	–2.7%	0.88	14		3.7	–2.3
Impacts from Hypothetical Insertion						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical insertion	5.3%	0.36	4	▲	2.8	0.05
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.5%	0.10	11		–0.7	2.9
Mining and quarrying	0.9%	0.08	10		–2.2	0.9
Light manufacturing	20.2%	0.57	4		1.4	20.0
Heavy manufacturing	17.5%	0.27	7		3.4	16.2
Utilities	1.2%	0.11	14		5.3	1.1
Construction	6.4%	0.21	10		8.6	5.1
Trade services	7.0%	0.46	3		4.9	5.8
Hotels and restaurants	2.9%	0.17	6		6.0	2.3
Transport services	3.8%	0.56	6		3.0	3.3
Telecommunications	3.4%	1.00	1		5.2	2.9
Financial intermediation	5.0%	0.44	3		–0.9	5.4

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Real estate, renting, and business activities	2.9%	0.40	12		1.7	3.1
Public administration and defense	3.2%	0.77	5		5.9	2.5
Education, health, and social work	1.4%	0.21	13		5.8	1.1
Other personal services	1.3%	0.17	8		5.8	1.0

SPREAD OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES

Demand-side

Relative evenness of direct backward linkage	0.35	0.26	17	▼	-0.7	0.35
Relative evenness of total backward linkage	0.16	0.16	8	▲	0.3	0.16
Backward measure of concentration based on input coefficients	0.93	0.85	7	▲	0.2	0.92
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.95	0.94	4		0.1	0.95
Mining and quarrying	0.95	0.58	8		0.2	0.93
Light manufacturing	0.90	0.65	14		-0.1	0.90
Heavy manufacturing	0.93	0.93	6		0.4	0.91
Utilities	0.94	0.90	6		0.4	0.93
Construction	0.93	0.90	11		-0.1	0.92
Trade services	0.95	0.89	11		0.1	0.95
Hotels and restaurants	0.94	0.87	6		-0.1	0.94
Transport services	0.91	0.49	17		0.2	0.91
Telecommunications	0.90	0.55	19		0.1	0.90
Financial intermediation	0.76	0.74	22		1.4	0.74
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.95	0.93	13		0.1	0.92
Public administration and defense	0.97	0.70	7		0.2	0.96
Education, health, and social work	0.96	0.85	5		0.0	0.95
Other personal services	0.95	0.89	17		-0.1	0.95
Relative evenness of direct forward linkage	0.58	0.31	18	▼	-0.7	0.61
Relative evenness of total forward linkage	0.30	0.32	5	▲	1.4	0.29
Forward measure of concentration based on output coefficients	0.66	0.56	15	▲	0.1	0.64
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.29	0.24	19		0.8	0.28
Mining and quarrying	0.29	0.40	20		5.7	0.20
Light manufacturing	0.65	0.62	17		0.3	0.63
Heavy manufacturing	0.66	0.62	9		-0.5	0.66
Utilities	0.86	0.89	5		0.0	0.85
Construction	0.71	0.82	5		-1.3	0.75
Trade services	0.70	0.43	16		0.1	0.69
Hotels and restaurants	0.71	0.49	17		0.2	0.71
Transport services	0.79	0.77	10		-0.2	0.79
Telecommunications	0.83	0.66	11		0.5	0.81
Financial intermediation	0.77	0.85	12		0.7	0.75
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.92	0.93	2		0.2	0.91
Public administration and defense	0.42	0.46	19		6.3	0.45
Education, health, and social work	0.47	0.46	18		2.4	0.45
Other personal services	0.78	0.69	10		6.1	0.73

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
SENSITIVITY OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES						
Economic Complexity						
Percentage intermediate transaction	0.44	0.52	5	▲	1.7	0.42
Average output multiplier	1.76	0.45	4	▲	0.8	1.71
Average of Leontief inverse	0.23	0.45	3	▲	0.8	0.22
Average technical coefficient	0.03	0.64	4	▲	1.1	0.03
Mean intermediate coefficient	0.41	0.64	4	▲	1.1	0.40
Percentage of above-average coefficients (%)	0.28	0.48	8	▲	0.9	0.26
Determinant of non-competitive Leontief inverse	6.77	0.19	3	▲	4.6	6.14
Determinant of competitive Leontief inverse	13.62	0.15	4	▼	3.0	13.05
Mean path length	1.79	0.36	5	▲	1.1	1.73
Cycling index	0.15	0.48	8	▲	2.1	0.14
Average propagation length	2.17	0.62	3	▲	1.0	2.10
Overall sensitivity of the economy	0.80	0.78	6	▲	0.9	0.78
Index of direct interrelatedness	0.67	0.72	5	▲	1.2	0.63
Index of indirect interrelatedness	0.13	0.38	14	▼	–0.1	0.14
Global intensity index	26.36	0.45	4	▲	0.8	25.61

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.6B External Linkages

\$ = United States dollars, GVC = global value chain.

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1, while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adb.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value	
PARTICIPATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS							
International Trade Coefficients							
Trade openness	1.29	0.51	4	▲	–2.9	1.45	
Self-sufficiency ratio	1.03	0.74	6	▲	–0.7	1.05	
Regional supply percentage	0.75	0.45	13	▲	1.3	0.72	
Regional purchase coefficient	0.83	0.70	13	▲	1.3	0.79	
Sectoral purchase coefficient	0.82	0.84	16	▲	1.3	0.80	
Simple location quotient of gross output	1.02	0.29	17	▼	0.2	1.01	
Fabrication effect	1.09	0.87	3	▲	0.2	1.08	
Exports	(\$)	244,560	0.09	8	▲	1.5	226,820
Export-to-output ratio		0.14	0.42	13	▼	–4.6	0.17
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.06	0.23	16		–6.5	0.10
Mining and quarrying		0.31	0.34	9		–2.2	0.43
Light manufacturing		0.26	0.45	12		–6.7	0.38
Heavy manufacturing		0.66	0.78	4		–0.8	0.63
Utilities		0.04	0.04	7		33.4	0.03
Construction		0.08	1.00	1		6.6	0.07
Trade services		0.20	0.27	10		–0.1	0.23
Hotels and restaurants		0.01	0.01	19		42.5	0.03
Transport services		0.20	0.26	12		–4.5	0.26
Telecommunications		0.10	0.31	11		7.1	0.15

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6B *continued*

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation		0.02	0.03	16		5.2	0.03
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.03	0.09	13		23.2	0.05
Public administration and defense		0.00	0.01	14		−9.3	0.01
Education, health, and social work		0.01	0.07	12		1.1	0.00
Other personal services		0.10	0.12	7		10.3	0.14
Imports	(\$)	217,508	0.10	8	▲	3.1	193,220
Import-to-input ratio		0.12	0.48	11	▼	−2.3	0.13
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.08	0.21	10		−1.5	0.09
Mining and quarrying		0.05	0.13	16		−2.5	0.06
Light manufacturing		0.14	0.23	13		−2.5	0.16
Heavy manufacturing		0.37	0.48	4		−2.3	0.40
Utilities		0.18	0.28	11		−2.7	0.20
Construction		0.15	0.32	15		−2.4	0.17
Trade services		0.11	0.41	7		−2.5	0.12
Hotels and restaurants		0.08	0.25	12		−2.1	0.09
Transport services		0.16	0.30	16		−2.4	0.18
Telecommunications		0.11	0.29	10		−2.3	0.12
Financial intermediation		0.02	0.10	16		1.2	0.03
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.05	0.28	13		1.2	0.05
Public administration and defense		0.10	0.64	5		−2.4	0.12
Education, health, and social work		0.10	0.54	6		−2.3	0.11
Other personal services		0.11	0.29	5		−2.0	0.13
Total foreign factor content of consumption	(\$)	47,082	0.09	8	▲	6.5	38,179
Total foreign factor content of investment	(\$)	16,520	0.02	11	▲	8.6	14,683
Total foreign factor content of exports	(\$)	94,820	0.26	6	▲	0.8	89,875
Interregional Multipliers							
Import Leakage Effects		0.22	0.50	10	▲	−1.6	0.24
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.16	0.34	10		−1.4	0.17
Mining and quarrying		0.09	0.18	17		−1.6	0.09
Light manufacturing		0.30	0.46	8		−1.5	0.33
Heavy manufacturing		0.48	0.56	7		−1.4	0.51
Utilities		0.26	0.34	13		−1.7	0.29
Construction		0.31	0.50	10		−1.6	0.34
Trade services		0.19	0.47	7		−1.8	0.21
Hotels and restaurants		0.20	0.48	9		−1.5	0.22
Transport services		0.32	0.44	10		−2.0	0.34
Telecommunications		0.20	0.34	9		−1.6	0.22
Financial intermediation		0.08	0.21	11		−0.1	0.09
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.13	0.42	10		0.0	0.12
Public administration and defense		0.19	0.55	4		−1.7	0.20
Education, health, and social work		0.16	0.68	4		−1.5	0.17
Other personal services		0.19	0.45	6		−1.3	0.21
Intraregional transfer multiplier		1.76	0.45	4	▲	0.8	1.71
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		1.56	0.41	7		0.6	1.53
Mining and quarrying		1.21	0.17	19		0.4	1.19

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	2.31	0.68	2		1.1	2.22
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.68	0.21	11		1.6	1.60
<i>Utilities</i>	1.58	0.23	13		1.1	1.54
<i>Construction</i>	2.04	0.39	4		1.2	1.97
<i>Trade services</i>	1.61	0.73	4		0.8	1.56
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.98	0.60	4		0.7	1.92
<i>Transport services</i>	1.94	0.73	2		1.0	1.87
<i>Telecommunications</i>	2.05	1.00	1		0.6	2.00
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.86	0.21	2		0.2	1.84
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.70	0.66	3		0.5	1.65
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.68	0.69	4		0.7	1.63
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.40	0.26	11		0.6	1.37
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.76	0.64	4		0.8	1.71
Interregional spillover multiplier	1.30	0.51	12	▼	–0.5	1.33
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.19	0.28	11		–0.4	1.22
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.14	0.16	15		–0.2	1.15
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.32	0.24	13		–0.8	1.37
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.98	0.66	5		–0.9	2.04
<i>Utilities</i>	1.44	0.32	10		–0.7	1.47
<i>Construction</i>	1.41	0.40	14		–0.7	1.45
<i>Trade services</i>	1.27	0.51	7		–0.5	1.30
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.19	0.24	13		–0.5	1.22
<i>Transport services</i>	1.40	0.33	16		–0.6	1.44
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.22	0.26	11		–0.4	1.26
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.05	0.10	17		–0.1	1.06
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.12	0.33	13		0.0	1.11
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.23	0.51	5		–0.5	1.25
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.26	0.64	5		–0.4	1.28
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.24	0.25	7		–0.4	1.27
Interregional feedback multiplier	1.001	0.32	6	▲	0.0	1.00
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.000	0.36	8		0.0	1.00
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.000	0.06	7		0.0	1.00
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.001	0.27	9		0.0	1.00
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.003	0.37	6		0.0	1.00
<i>Utilities</i>	1.001	0.26	6		0.0	1.00
<i>Construction</i>	1.001	0.40	6		0.0	1.00
<i>Trade services</i>	1.001	0.34	3		0.0	1.00
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.000	0.24	9		0.0	1.00
<i>Transport services</i>	1.001	0.22	7		0.0	1.00
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.000	0.18	6		0.0	1.00
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.000	0.05	10		0.0	1.00
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.000	0.09	7		0.0	1.00
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.000	0.29	4		0.0	1.00
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.001	0.49	5		0.0	1.00
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.000	0.19	4		0.0	1.00

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Global Value Chain (GVC) Participation Index						
Backward GVC Participation	28.2%	0.56	4		-1.1	0.30
Simple GVCs	12.7%	0.58	9		-0.2	0.13
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1	0.05	22		-8.8	0.23
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.10	14		54.6	0.00
Light manufacturing	1.9	0.42	5		6.9	1.81
Heavy manufacturing	1.5	0.32	9		-2.8	2.23
Utilities	0.3	0.22	12		-3.4	0.35
Construction	2.3	0.18	11		3.5	2.24
Trade services	1.4	0.62	2		1.4	1.35
Hotels and restaurants	0.9	0.46	4		3.2	0.80
Transport services	0.5	0.29	16		1.4	0.47
Telecommunications	0.5	0.51	3		3.5	0.50
Financial intermediation	0.2	0.16	10		-5.0	0.27
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.1	0.02	23		-8.8	0.18
Public administration and defense	1.7	0.42	3		1.7	1.53
Education, health, and social work	0.9	0.52	9		-1.0	0.90
Other personal services	0.4	0.32	7		-4.2	0.41
Complex GVCs	15.4%	0.51	4		-1.7	0.17
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0	0.04	21		-13.7	0.20
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.00	25		-1.1	0.01
Light manufacturing	2.1	0.19	3		-6.0	3.30
Heavy manufacturing	9.2	0.53	2		0.7	9.20
Utilities	0.1	0.30	12		-9.9	0.17
Construction	1.0	0.20	8		2.4	0.98
Trade services	0.9	0.16	3		1.0	0.94
Hotels and restaurants	0.3	0.03	7		-4.1	0.31
Transport services	0.4	0.20	13		-2.3	0.54
Telecommunications	0.1	0.23	4		3.1	0.14
Financial intermediation	0.1	0.04	10		-1.2	0.07
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.0	0.03	23		-10.0	0.07
Public administration and defense	0.6	0.43	4		0.1	0.51
Education, health, and social work	0.4	0.52	6		0.2	0.39
Other personal services	0.2	0.37	4		0.0	0.18
Forward GVC Participation	27.4%	0.56	7		-4.0	0.32
Simple GVCs	14.9%	0.43	10		-4.7	0.18
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.2	0.57	7		-6.3	1.67
Mining and quarrying	2.8	0.17	5		-6.6	3.76
Light manufacturing	1.0	0.27	8		-7.3	1.40
Heavy manufacturing	3.7	0.34	5		-3.6	4.27
Utilities	0.3	0.04	8		6.9	0.27
Construction	0.4	0.10	5		7.5	0.31
Trade services	2.6	0.32	7		-1.3	2.80
Hotels and restaurants	0.1	0.01	16		2.5	0.06
Transport services	0.6	0.13	15		-4.3	0.65
Telecommunications	0.4	0.26	6		5.7	0.44

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.9	0.19	5		-4.1	1.20
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.8	0.13	10		-2.6	1.06
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.00	20		1.0	0.03
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.14	10		12.2	0.01
Other personal services	0.1	0.13	12		-0.5	0.10
Complex GVCs	12.5%	0.72	4	▲	-2.9	0.14
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.8	0.45	4		-2.9	0.96
Mining and quarrying	2.9	0.23	4		-5.2	3.62
Light manufacturing	0.7	0.36	5		-3.8	0.85
Heavy manufacturing	3.6	0.55	4		-2.5	3.93
Utilities	0.2	0.06	7		12.6	0.19
Construction	0.2	0.07	3		4.7	0.14
Trade services	2.0	0.63	5		1.4	1.81
Hotels and restaurants	0.1	0.01	12		5.5	0.04
Transport services	0.4	0.25	13		-1.4	0.39
Telecommunications	0.2	0.29	6		0.8	0.17
Financial intermediation	0.7	0.35	4		-2.0	0.77
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.6	0.19	8		-0.3	0.68
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.00	20		7.3	0.02
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.20	9		12.0	0.01
Other personal services	0.1	0.32	9		1.6	0.06

SPECIALIZATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Vertical Specialization

Vertical Specialization Ratio	38.8%	0.70	4	▲	-0.8	0.40
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	15.9%	0.34	10		-1.4	0.17
Mining and quarrying	8.9%	0.19	17		-1.3	0.10
Light manufacturing	30.1%	0.46	8		-1.5	0.33
Heavy manufacturing	47.7%	0.56	7		-1.4	0.51
Utilities	25.9%	0.41	13		-1.6	0.28
Construction	31.3%	0.50	10		-1.6	0.34
Trade services	19.2%	0.47	7		-1.8	0.21
Hotels and restaurants	20.4%	0.47	9		-1.5	0.22
Transport services	31.8%	0.43	10		-2.0	0.34
Telecommunications	20.0%	0.34	9		-1.7	0.23
Financial intermediation	8.3%	0.21	11		-0.1	0.09
Real estate, renting, and business activities	13.0%	0.42	10		-0.1	0.13
Public administration and defense	18.5%	0.54	4		-1.7	0.20
Education, health, and social work	16.3%	0.68	4		-1.5	0.17
Other personal services	19.2%	0.45	6		-1.4	0.21

Revealed Comparative Advantages

Traditional RCA Index						
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.40	0.07	17	▼	-6.6	0.76
Mining and quarrying	0.68	0.06	9	▼	-1.7	0.81
Light manufacturing	0.96	0.17	15	▼	-3.7	1.27

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.40	0.77	4	▲	2.2	1.22
Utilities	0.48	0.01	8	▼	31.7	0.33
Construction	2.77	0.35	6	▲	12.0	2.57
Trade services	1.03	0.13	13	▼	4.2	0.99
Hotels and restaurants	0.06	0.00	19	▼	–4.3	0.18
Transport services	0.53	0.12	20	▼	0.7	0.56
Telecommunications	1.65	0.26	12	▼	11.5	1.88
Financial intermediation	0.11	0.03	15	▼	8.9	0.18
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.06	0.02	19	▼	–19.5	0.11
Public administration and defense	0.10	0.00	17	▼	–5.6	0.40
Education, health, and social work	0.16	0.02	17	▼	6.1	0.05
Other personal services	0.48	0.03	9	▲	19.1	0.56

New RCA Index (Based on Domestic Value Added)

Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.54	0.22	16	▼	–4.0	2.06
Mining and quarrying	1.80	0.26	6	▲	–0.6	1.71
Light manufacturing	0.73	0.16	16	▼	–2.4	0.87
Heavy manufacturing	1.16	0.51	4	▲	1.7	1.06
Utilities	0.71	0.04	12	▼	7.7	0.63
Construction	1.51	0.08	6	▲	7.2	1.18
Trade services	1.34	0.38	9	▲	2.7	1.23
Hotels and restaurants	0.39	0.01	20	▼	–5.0	0.40
Transport services	0.57	0.14	21	▼	1.6	0.53
Telecommunications	1.15	0.26	11	▼	6.1	1.09
Financial intermediation	1.02	0.25	5	▲	–0.3	1.09
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.34	0.17	14	▼	1.3	0.37
Public administration and defense	0.04	0.00	22	▼	–10.6	0.29
Education, health, and social work	0.33	0.06	20	▼	18.0	0.14
Other personal services	0.45	0.04	15	▼	21.5	0.38

POSITION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Upstreamness Index	2.4	0.73	2	▲	0.5	2.4
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	3.3	1.00	1		1.2	3.1
Mining and quarrying	3.8	0.85	4		0.0	3.8
Light manufacturing	2.6	0.76	4		0.0	2.5
Heavy manufacturing	2.8	0.65	9		–0.5	2.8
Utilities	2.7	0.39	11		4.9	2.6
Construction	1.6	0.53	6		–0.7	1.6
Trade services	2.4	0.62	8		–0.6	2.5
Hotels and restaurants	1.6	0.35	14		0.5	1.5
Transport services	2.8	0.73	5		–0.4	2.8
Telecommunications	2.5	0.62	6		0.0	2.5
Financial intermediation	3.3	0.47	4		0.5	3.2
Real estate, renting, and business activities	3.2	1.00	1		2.0	3.0
Public administration and defense	1.0	0.00	23		–0.8	1.1
Education, health, and social work	1.2	0.37	6		1.4	1.1
Other personal services	1.7	0.37	10		4.6	1.5

continued on next page

Malaysia 3.6B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
PRODUCTION LENGTH OF GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS						
Backward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (B)	0.9	0.56	8	▲	-1.2	1.0
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.7	0.39	10		-1.2	0.8
Mining and quarrying	0.3	0.19	18		-2.4	0.4
Light manufacturing	1.4	0.65	8		-1.2	1.5
Heavy manufacturing	2.0	0.72	7		-0.8	2.1
Utilities	1.0	0.38	12		-1.2	1.1
Construction	1.4	0.59	9		-1.2	1.6
Trade services	0.8	0.57	5		-1.3	0.9
Hotels and restaurants	0.9	0.55	7		-1.4	1.0
Transport services	1.4	0.55	7		-1.6	1.5
Telecommunications	0.8	0.42	7		-1.2	0.9
Financial intermediation	0.4	0.32	8		-0.3	0.4
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.6	0.52	9		0.1	0.6
Public administration and defense	0.8	0.52	4		-1.5	0.8
Education, health, and social work	0.7	0.60	5		-1.1	0.7
Other personal services	0.8	0.49	6		-1.0	0.8
Forward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (F)	1.0	0.70	4	▲	-1.8	1.1
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.4	0.85	2		-1.9	1.4
Mining and quarrying	2.7	0.71	4		-1.3	3.0
Light manufacturing	1.2	0.78	4		-3.6	1.3
Heavy manufacturing	2.0	0.71	7		-1.3	2.0
Utilities	1.0	0.34	8		7.4	1.0
Construction	0.4	0.48	3		-1.4	0.4
Trade services	1.2	0.55	8		-2.6	1.3
Hotels and restaurants	0.2	0.11	16		-0.4	0.2
Transport services	1.3	0.51	9		-3.3	1.4
Telecommunications	0.9	0.42	7		-2.0	1.0
Financial intermediation	1.2	0.47	5		-1.7	1.2
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.2	0.60	4		-0.6	1.2
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.00	21		-0.4	0.0
Education, health, and social work	0.1	0.26	10		7.2	0.0
Other personal services	0.4	0.18	10		-1.8	0.4

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.7 Philippines

3.7A Internal Linkages

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value	
STRUCTURE OF THE ECONOMY							
Economic Indicators							
Gross output	(\$)	642,922	0.02	12	▼	6.8	499,627
Gross value-added	(\$)	330,926	0.02	11	▲	7.2	255,277
Value-added content of domestic final demand		269,992	0.02	8		8.2	207,622
Value-added content of exports		60,934	0.03	12		4.2	47,655
Intermediate inputs	(\$)	311,996	0.01	12	▼	6.5	244,350
Domestic inputs		244,362	0.01	11		6.7	199,883
Foreign inputs		67,634	0.04	12		6.4	44,467
Direct production	(\$)	398,561	0.03	11	▲	6.9	299,744
Domestic final demand		318,302	0.03	8		8.2	238,663
Exports		80,259	0.03	12		3.5	61,081
Indirect production	(\$)	244,362	0.01	11	▼	6.7	199,883
Indirect production embedded in domestic final demand		188,569	0.01	9		8.2	153,262
Indirect production embedded in exports		55,792	0.01	12		3.4	46,620
Economic Diversification							
Gross output		0.25	0.27	20	▼	–1.1	0.26
Gini concentration index of gross output		0.43	0.35	18		–0.7	0.44
Herfindahl–Hirschman concentration index of gross output		0.05	0.16	20		–2.4	0.05
Theil concentration index of gross output		0.26	0.25	20		–1.4	0.27

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Gross value-added	0.22	0.16	19	▼	0.0	0.21
Gini concentration index of gross value added	0.39	0.21	19		0.0	0.39
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross value added	0.04	0.07	19		0.0	0.04
Theil concentration index of gross value added	0.22	0.16	19		0.0	0.22
Gross exports	0.49	0.27	19	▼	-1.8	0.51
Gini concentration index of gross exports	0.70	0.44	19		-1.0	0.72
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross exports	0.17	0.13	19		-4.8	0.19
Theil concentration index of gross exports	0.61	0.38	19		-1.5	0.63
Structural Changes						
Changes in output due to technological changes	-0.2%	0.73	17	▼	-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	-0.5%	0.52	13			
Mining and quarrying	-1.1%	0.73	17			
Light manufacturing	0.0%	0.37	9			
Heavy manufacturing	0.7%	0.73	5			
Utilities	-0.9%	0.40	17			
Construction	0.0%	0.11	16			
Trade services	-0.3%	0.36	16			
Hotels and restaurants	0.3%	0.08	14			
Transport services	-0.6%	0.54	17			
Telecommunications	-2.0%	0.03	24			
Financial intermediation	0.7%	0.54	10			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.4%	0.70	10			
Public administration and defense	0.1%	0.40	6			
Education, health, and social work	0.1%	0.73	13			
Other personal services	-0.1%	0.40	17			
Changes in output due to structural changes in consumption (%)	4.7%	0.48	7	▲	-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	3.2%	0.58	9			
Mining and quarrying	1.8%	0.13	8			
Light manufacturing	4.3%	0.54	8			
Heavy manufacturing	1.8%	0.33	10			
Utilities	4.5%	0.48	7			
Construction	-0.1%	0.18	22			
Trade services	5.0%	0.76	5			
Hotels and restaurants	5.1%	0.19	11			
Transport services	5.6%	0.74	4			
Telecommunications	3.5%	0.58	10			
Financial intermediation	7.8%	0.82	2			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	4.1%	0.20	11			
Public administration and defense	10.0%	0.66	5			
Education, health, and social work	8.5%	0.28	7			
Other personal services	5.2%	0.42	13			
Changes in output due to structural changes in investment (%)	1.2%	0.36	9	▲	-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.8%	0.20	5			
Mining and quarrying	1.7%	0.37	7			
Light manufacturing	0.5%	0.16	11			

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	2.4%	0.36	7			
Utilities	0.5%	0.39	10			
Construction	9.2%	0.58	8			
Trade services	2.0%	0.50	5			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.31	20			
Transport services	0.6%	0.15	13			
Telecommunications	0.3%	0.15	18			
Financial intermediation	0.1%	0.01	24			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.4%	0.13	24			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.01	22			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.01	20			
Other personal services	0.3%	0.21	14			
Changes in output due to structural changes in stock (%)	0.0%	0.26	17		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.5%	0.40	21			
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.38	19			
Light manufacturing	–0.4%	0.04	24			
Heavy manufacturing	–0.5%	0.00	25			
Utilities	0.0%	0.14	9			
Construction	0.4%	0.93	3			
Trade services	0.3%	0.62	5			
Hotels and restaurants	–0.2%	0.24	24			
Transport services	0.4%	0.11	2			
Telecommunications	0.6%	1.00	1			
Financial intermediation	0.2%	0.94	3			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.8%	1.00	1			
Public administration and defense	–2.1%	0.00	25			
Education, health, and social work	–0.5%	0.00	25			
Other personal services	–0.3%	0.19	24			
Changes in output due to structural changes in export	1.1%	0.28	13		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.8%	0.50	13			
Mining and quarrying	1.3%	0.16	12			
Light manufacturing	1.1%	0.17	11			
Heavy manufacturing	1.7%	0.36	15			
Utilities	1.1%	0.07	14			
Construction	0.1%	0.05	14			
Trade services	0.9%	0.13	17			
Hotels and restaurants	3.7%	0.33	8			
Transport services	1.3%	0.50	15			
Telecommunications	0.4%	0.11	18			
Financial intermediation	0.4%	0.14	17			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	2.8%	0.75	5			
Public administration and defense	0.2%	0.15	11			
Education, health, and social work	0.1%	0.03	18			
Other personal services	1.2%	0.27	9			

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Changes in output due to import substitution on intermediate demand	–0.5%	0.32	20		–	–
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	–0.4%	0.64	18			
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	–4.0%	0.27	23			
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.1%	0.81	10			
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	–1.7%	0.17	23			
<i>Utilities</i>	–0.3%	0.62	18			
<i>Construction</i>	0.0%	0.86	11			
<i>Trade services</i>	–0.4%	0.69	23			
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	–0.2%	0.96	21			
<i>Transport services</i>	–0.1%	0.39	18			
<i>Telecommunications</i>	–0.2%	0.93	15			
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	–0.1%	0.57	17			
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	–0.1%	0.69	16			
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.0%	0.65	11			
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.0%	0.55	18			
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.0%	0.50	14			
Changes in output due to import substitution on domestic final demand	–0.2%	0.67	19		–	–
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	–0.3%	0.46	16			
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	–0.1%	0.44	17			
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	–0.2%	0.77	14			
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	–0.5%	0.48	21			
<i>Utilities</i>	–0.2%	0.60	18			
<i>Construction</i>	0.0%	0.66	15			
<i>Trade services</i>	–0.2%	0.82	18			
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	–0.4%	0.26	20			
<i>Transport services</i>	0.3%	0.96	3			
<i>Telecommunications</i>	–0.1%	0.71	13			
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	–0.1%	0.77	14			
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	–0.1%	0.52	12			
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	–0.4%	0.21	24			
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	–0.4%	0.73	23			
<i>Other personal services</i>	–0.1%	0.93	11			

STRENGTH OF LINKAGES

Demand-side Linkages

Direct backward linkage	0.34	0.37	11		0.1	0.35
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.30	0.41	10		0.8	0.29
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.21	0.34	14		–1.3	0.24
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.60	0.79	6		0.2	0.61
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.42	0.39	13		0.6	0.48
<i>Utilities</i>	0.25	0.19	19		–0.6	0.27
<i>Construction</i>	0.28	0.00	25		0.0	0.31
<i>Trade services</i>	0.29	0.36	10		–0.3	0.30
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.57	0.85	5		0.1	0.57
<i>Transport services</i>	0.36	0.62	11		–1.0	0.41
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.27	0.32	17		0.7	0.28

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.27	0.28	9		0.1	0.28
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.31	0.69	7		0.0	0.32
Public administration and defense	0.30	0.54	10		0.1	0.30
Education, health, and social work	0.27	0.47	9		1.3	0.27
Other personal services	0.37	0.69	7		0.5	0.38
Total backward linkage	1.54	0.24	10		0.1	1.58
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.49	0.34	9		0.3	1.48
Mining and quarrying	1.33	0.27	13		–0.3	1.40
Light manufacturing	1.99	0.49	8		0.3	2.01
Heavy manufacturing	1.66	0.20	13		0.3	1.81
Utilities	1.36	0.10	19		–0.2	1.40
Construction	1.49	0.05	22		0.1	1.57
Trade services	1.43	0.42	9		–0.1	1.45
Hotels and restaurants	2.06	0.67	2		0.2	2.08
Transport services	1.57	0.42	11		–0.4	1.68
Telecommunications	1.40	0.24	17		0.2	1.44
Financial intermediation	1.41	0.09	9		0.0	1.43
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.48	0.41	7		0.0	1.50
Public administration and defense	1.45	0.45	13		0.1	1.46
Education, health, and social work	1.43	0.29	10		0.4	1.44
Other personal services	1.60	0.48	6		0.2	1.63
Normalized backward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.96	0.39	10		0.3	0.94
Mining and quarrying	0.86	0.38	16		–0.4	0.88
Light manufacturing	1.29	0.72	5		0.2	1.27
Heavy manufacturing	1.08	0.34	14		0.2	1.14
Utilities	0.88	0.09	22		–0.3	0.88
Construction	0.96	0.02	23		0.0	0.99
Trade services	0.93	0.37	8		–0.2	0.92
Hotels and restaurants	1.33	0.99	2		0.1	1.31
Transport services	1.02	0.44	10		–0.5	1.06
Telecommunications	0.91	0.42	20		0.1	0.91
Financial intermediation	0.92	0.11	12		0.0	0.90
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.96	0.59	7		0.0	0.94
Public administration and defense	0.94	0.50	13		0.0	0.92
Education, health, and social work	0.93	0.60	10		0.4	0.91
Other personal services	1.04	0.69	5		0.2	1.03
Net backward linkage	0.98	0.75	5		–0.1	0.99
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.40	0.20	23		–1.4	0.42
Mining and quarrying	0.17	0.12	20		17.5	0.11
Light manufacturing	1.24	0.70	5		–0.8	1.28
Heavy manufacturing	0.81	0.42	16		–1.6	0.84
Utilities	0.30	0.08	19		1.9	0.30
Construction	1.49	0.37	12		0.1	1.57
Trade services	0.75	0.30	15		2.3	0.70

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.79	0.93	2		–0.1	1.81
<i>Transport services</i>	1.19	0.73	3		0.2	1.23
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.84	0.51	9		0.4	0.86
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.86	0.77	2		0.6	0.86
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.00	0.97	3		–0.5	1.01
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.31	0.36	16		–0.1	1.33
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.38	0.36	8		0.4	1.39
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.15	0.76	10		–0.2	1.18
Growth equalized output multipliers	0.11	0.22	11		0.0	0.11
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.12	0.33	14		–2.9	0.14
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.01	0.02	18		–2.9	0.01
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.31	0.53	5		–0.9	0.35
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.24	0.29	11		–2.2	0.26
<i>Utilities</i>	0.04	0.15	13		–0.5	0.04
<i>Construction</i>	0.11	0.14	15		4.0	0.10
<i>Trade services</i>	0.23	0.54	5		1.1	0.22
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.04	0.07	13		2.0	0.04
<i>Transport services</i>	0.06	0.23	19		0.8	0.07
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.03	0.32	12		–3.6	0.04
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.10	0.37	4		2.9	0.09
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.16	0.61	6		2.3	0.16
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.06	0.25	16		2.5	0.05
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.07	0.32	11		1.2	0.07
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.03	0.17	12		2.1	0.04
Supply-Side Linkages						
Direct forward linkage	0.38	0.32	12		0.3	0.38
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.73	0.81	5		0.8	0.72
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.87	0.88	4		0.5	0.92
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.38	0.32	15		2.0	0.36
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.51	0.67	10		2.8	0.53
<i>Utilities</i>	0.78	0.83	7		–0.4	0.79
<i>Construction</i>	0.00	0.00	25		0.0	0.00
<i>Trade services</i>	0.47	0.59	9		–2.0	0.52
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.13	0.24	16		2.8	0.13
<i>Transport services</i>	0.24	0.19	23		–1.3	0.26
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.40	0.33	21		0.5	0.40
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.39	0.31	22		–0.5	0.40
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.32	0.19	19		1.3	0.33
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.09	0.43	4		1.7	0.08
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.04	0.12	15		0.3	0.04
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.28	0.30	14		1.5	0.28
Total forward linkage	1.61	0.19	11		0.2	1.64
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	2.19	0.54	6		0.7	2.17
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	2.56	0.50	7		0.9	2.73
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.56	0.18	14		0.7	1.55

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.87	0.41	8		1.5	1.94
Utilities	2.31	0.42	7		–0.2	2.38
Construction	1.00	0.00	25		0.0	1.00
Trade services	1.81	0.41	9		–0.9	1.91
Hotels and restaurants	1.18	0.14	17		0.4	1.18
Transport services	1.40	0.13	22		–0.5	1.45
Telecommunications	1.64	0.29	20		0.1	1.66
Financial intermediation	1.59	0.09	22		–0.2	1.61
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.49	0.14	18		0.4	1.51
Public administration and defense	1.10	0.31	7		0.1	1.09
Education, health, and social work	1.04	0.12	15		0.0	1.04
Other personal services	1.41	0.30	14		0.4	1.41
Normalized forward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.36	0.89	3		0.5	1.32
Mining and quarrying	1.66	0.89	5		0.7	1.72
Light manufacturing	1.01	0.38	18		0.6	0.98
Heavy manufacturing	1.21	0.63	9		1.3	1.23
Utilities	1.50	0.74	8		–0.3	1.50
Construction	0.65	0.17	22		–0.1	0.63
Trade services	1.17	0.52	7		–1.0	1.20
Hotels and restaurants	0.76	0.15	21		0.3	0.74
Transport services	0.91	0.06	23		–0.6	0.92
Telecommunications	1.06	0.25	19		0.1	1.05
Financial intermediation	1.03	0.10	24		–0.3	1.02
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.97	0.15	23		0.3	0.95
Public administration and defense	0.71	0.49	14		0.1	0.69
Education, health, and social work	0.68	0.47	17		–0.1	0.66
Other personal services	0.91	0.26	13		0.4	0.89
Net forward linkage	0.94	0.59	5		0.1	0.96
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.46	0.70	5		0.5	1.47
Mining and quarrying	1.78	0.78	4		1.0	1.89
Light manufacturing	0.50	0.40	13		0.6	0.50
Heavy manufacturing	0.45	0.47	17		1.8	0.47
Utilities	1.57	0.88	2		–0.2	1.61
Construction	0.56	0.75	5		0.1	0.56
Trade services	1.22	0.60	7		–0.8	1.29
Hotels and restaurants	0.44	0.14	21		0.3	0.44
Transport services	0.64	0.26	19		–1.0	0.68
Telecommunications	1.08	0.47	7		0.2	1.09
Financial intermediation	1.11	0.26	21		–0.2	1.12
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.98	0.25	21		0.4	0.99
Public administration and defense	0.75	0.63	10		0.1	0.74
Education, health, and social work	0.72	0.47	13		–0.2	0.72
Other personal services	0.77	0.40	14		0.3	0.78

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Growth equalized input multipliers	0.11	0.22	11	▲	-0.2	0.11
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.20	0.42	12		-2.8	0.25
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.02	0.03	15		-2.1	0.03
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.19	0.54	6		-0.7	0.20
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.13	0.25	13		-1.0	0.14
<i>Utilities</i>	0.07	0.33	6		-1.1	0.08
<i>Construction</i>	0.08	0.11	13		3.8	0.06
<i>Trade services</i>	0.33	0.77	4		-0.1	0.34
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.02	0.05	18		2.0	0.02
<i>Transport services</i>	0.05	0.20	22		-0.6	0.05
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.04	0.25	11		-3.9	0.05
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.13	0.33	8		2.4	0.12
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.19	0.43	9		2.4	0.18
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.05	0.23	18		2.2	0.04
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.06	0.33	17		0.6	0.06
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.03	0.20	16		2.0	0.03
Impacts from Hypothetical Extraction						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical extraction	-9.1%	0.80	14	▼	0.0	-0.09
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	-9.6%	0.67	14		-2.4	-11.2
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	-0.8%	0.98	8		-3.1	-1.1
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	-30.3%	0.36	21		-1.0	-32.7
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	-18.1%	0.65	14		-2.4	-20.0
<i>Utilities</i>	-2.7%	0.91	9		-0.4	-2.9
<i>Construction</i>	-10.5%	0.85	7		4.1	-9.0
<i>Trade services</i>	-16.3%	0.67	19		1.1	-15.6
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	-5.1%	0.91	15		2.2	-4.7
<i>Transport services</i>	-6.1%	0.75	8		0.4	-6.3
<i>Telecommunications</i>	-2.5%	0.65	14		-3.2	-3.1
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	-7.9%	0.62	22		3.0	-7.2
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	-13.2%	0.33	20		2.2	-12.4
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	-4.5%	0.78	8		2.5	-4.1
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	-6.0%	0.69	14		1.6	-5.6
<i>Other personal services</i>	-3.1%	0.85	16		2.2	-3.2
Impacts from Hypothetical Insertion						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical insertion	4.1%	0.22	10	▲	0.2	0.04
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	3.5%	0.13	8		-1.7	4.1
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.2%	0.02	17		-3.6	0.3
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	19.2%	0.54	5		-0.3	21.0
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	9.8%	0.15	11		-1.3	12.8
<i>Utilities</i>	0.9%	0.07	18		-1.1	1.0
<i>Construction</i>	3.4%	0.05	21		4.4	3.3
<i>Trade services</i>	6.1%	0.39	4		0.8	6.0
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	2.7%	0.16	8		2.5	2.5
<i>Transport services</i>	2.3%	0.30	15		-0.2	2.6
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.7%	0.18	17		-2.6	1.0
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	2.6%	0.21	6		3.1	2.3

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	4.8%	0.72	5		2.5	4.6
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.5%	0.36	15		2.8	1.4
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.9%	0.31	10		2.8	1.8
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.3%	0.17	9		2.9	1.4

SPREAD OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES

Demand-side

Relative evenness of direct backward linkage	0.33	0.22	19	▼	0.2	0.33
Relative evenness of total backward linkage	0.14	0.10	15	▼	0.6	0.14

Backward measure of concentration based on input coefficients	0.87	0.29	23	▼	0.0	0.87
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.93	0.86	11		0.2	0.92
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.90	0.36	17		0.0	0.90
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.88	0.53	19		0.1	0.88
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.79	0.70	19		–0.6	0.80
<i>Utilities</i>	0.78	0.29	24		0.2	0.78
<i>Construction</i>	0.86	0.74	21		0.1	0.85
<i>Trade services</i>	0.78	0.00	25		0.0	0.79
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.70	0.00	25		0.1	0.70
<i>Transport services</i>	0.91	0.44	20		0.3	0.90
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.92	0.66	15		–0.2	0.92
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.85	0.85	17		–0.1	0.85
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.94	0.90	17		–0.1	0.94
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.92	0.50	21		–0.1	0.93
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.96	0.87	4		0.0	0.96
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.96	0.93	9		0.0	0.96

Relative evenness of direct forward linkage	0.71	0.65	7	▲	–0.3	0.72
Relative evenness of total forward linkage	0.29	0.30	6	▲	0.3	0.31

Forward measure of concentration based on output coefficients	0.54	0.00	25	▼	0.2	0.54
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.26	0.19	22		–0.2	0.27
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.41	0.57	13		8.8	0.31
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.62	0.58	19		0.3	0.62
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.65	0.60	11		0.4	0.62
<i>Utilities</i>	0.84	0.84	9		0.2	0.83
<i>Construction</i>	0.00	0.00	25		0.0	0.00
<i>Trade services</i>	0.60	0.05	24		–0.1	0.61
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.75	0.58	15		–0.2	0.75
<i>Transport services</i>	0.83	0.89	3		0.1	0.83
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.83	0.66	10		0.0	0.83
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.79	0.86	10		–0.3	0.80
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.78	0.57	17		0.0	0.79
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.00	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.32	0.26	23		–1.0	0.34
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.47	0.00	25		0.1	0.47

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
SENSITIVITY OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES						
Economic Complexity						
Percentage intermediate transaction	0.38	0.36	11	▲	–0.1	0.40
Average output multiplier	1.54	0.24	10	▲	0.1	1.58
Average of Leontief inverse	0.20	0.23	9	▲	0.1	0.20
Average technical coefficient	0.02	0.37	11	▲	0.1	0.02
Mean intermediate coefficient	0.34	0.37	11	▲	0.1	0.35
Percentage of above-average coefficients (%)	0.25	0.24	18	▼	–0.2	0.25
Determinant of non-competitive Leontief inverse	4.55	0.11	9	▲	1.0	4.86
Determinant of competitive Leontief inverse	7.88	0.08	11	▼	0.6	7.76
Mean path length	1.61	0.22	11	▲	0.0	1.67
Cycling index	0.15	0.48	9	▲	0.2	0.16
Average propagation length	1.67	0.22	16	▼	0.1	1.72
Overall sensitivity of the economy	0.68	0.40	18	▼	0.0	0.69
Index of direct interrelatedness	0.56	0.29	14	▼	0.0	0.56
Index of indirect interrelatedness	0.13	0.34	18	▼	0.1	0.12
Global intensity index	23.16	0.24	10	▲	0.1	23.77

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.7B External Linkages

\$ = United States dollars, GVC = global value chain.

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1, while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adb.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value	
PARTICIPATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS							
International Trade Coefficients							
Trade openness	0.59	0.16	16	▼	–1.8	0.54	
Self-sufficiency ratio	0.95	0.48	21	▼	–0.4	0.98	
Regional supply percentage	0.83	0.67	10	▲	0.3	0.85	
Regional purchase coefficient	0.79	0.58	17	▼	0.1	0.81	
Sectoral purchase coefficient	0.90	0.92	10	▲	0.2	0.91	
Simple location quotient of gross output	1.00	0.26	18	▼	–0.2	1.01	
Fabrication effect	0.87	0.38	19	▼	0.1	0.86	
Exports	(\$)	80,259	0.03	12	▼	3.5	61,081
Export-to-output ratio		0.10	0.28	16	▼	–1.2	0.10
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.03	0.11	17		0.8	0.03
Mining and quarrying		0.08	0.08	14		0.5	0.07
Light manufacturing		0.13	0.20	19		–2.7	0.13
Heavy manufacturing		0.28	0.32	12		–4.7	0.29
Utilities		0.00	0.00	23		–0.1	0.00
Construction		0.01	0.09	12		0.0	0.01
Trade services		0.03	0.05	22		2.7	0.03
Hotels and restaurants		0.41	0.43	6		1.7	0.37
Transport services		0.10	0.13	19		3.0	0.08
Telecommunications		0.06	0.18	17		3.7	0.06

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7B continued

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Financial intermediation		0.02	0.04	14		2.8	0.03
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.30	1.00	1		−0.4	0.29
Public administration and defense		0.02	0.05	8		2.5	0.02
Education, health, and social work		0.00	0.06	13		5.7	0.00
Other personal services		0.07	0.09	9		8.2	0.06
Imports	(\$)	115,952	0.05	12	▼	6.9	74,729
Import-to-input ratio		0.09	0.31	17	▼	0.6	0.07
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.03	0.08	19		−1.5	0.03
Mining and quarrying		0.09	0.22	11		3.0	0.07
Light manufacturing		0.08	0.11	21		−0.1	0.07
Heavy manufacturing		0.34	0.44	6		0.1	0.28
Utilities		0.07	0.05	21		4.7	0.05
Construction		0.15	0.33	14		1.7	0.12
Trade services		0.03	0.13	17		2.3	0.03
Hotels and restaurants		0.06	0.17	17		0.4	0.05
Transport services		0.18	0.33	15		4.8	0.13
Telecommunications		0.08	0.19	14		−0.4	0.07
Financial intermediation		0.03	0.12	13		−1.7	0.03
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.03	0.14	19		−1.0	0.03
Public administration and defense		0.02	0.14	20		0.1	0.02
Education, health, and social work		0.04	0.15	19		−3.0	0.04
Other personal services		0.08	0.20	10		−0.6	0.07
Total foreign factor content of consumption	(\$)	31,494	0.06	12	▲	7.6	21,313
Total foreign factor content of investment	(\$)	16,941	0.03	10	▲	12.4	9,856
Total foreign factor content of exports	(\$)	19,325	0.05	12	▼	2.6	13,426
Interregional Multipliers							
Import Leakage Effects		0.15	0.27	15	▼	0.4	0.13
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.09	0.17	17		0.6	0.08
Mining and quarrying		0.15	0.32	9		1.1	0.13
Light manufacturing		0.17	0.18	17		0.4	0.15
Heavy manufacturing		0.48	0.56	6		0.2	0.41
Utilities		0.12	0.09	23		2.3	0.09
Construction		0.23	0.30	17		0.4	0.20
Trade services		0.07	0.12	18		1.3	0.06
Hotels and restaurants		0.15	0.29	15		0.3	0.13
Transport services		0.27	0.35	15		1.8	0.22
Telecommunications		0.12	0.18	17		−0.5	0.11
Financial intermediation		0.05	0.11	16		−1.2	0.05
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.07	0.19	18		−0.7	0.06
Public administration and defense		0.05	0.14	22		−0.6	0.04
Education, health, and social work		0.08	0.28	18		−0.6	0.08
Other personal services		0.14	0.33	12		−0.1	0.12
Intraregional transfer multiplier		1.54	0.24	10	▲	0.1	1.58
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		1.49	0.34	9		0.3	1.48
Mining and quarrying		1.33	0.27	13		−0.3	1.40

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.99	0.49	8		0.3	2.01
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.66	0.20	13		0.3	1.81
<i>Utilities</i>	1.36	0.10	19		–0.2	1.40
<i>Construction</i>	1.49	0.05	22		0.1	1.57
<i>Trade services</i>	1.43	0.42	9		–0.1	1.45
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	2.06	0.67	2		0.2	2.08
<i>Transport services</i>	1.57	0.42	11		–0.4	1.68
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.40	0.24	17		0.2	1.44
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.41	0.09	9		0.0	1.43
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.48	0.41	7		0.0	1.50
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.45	0.45	13		0.1	1.46
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.43	0.29	10		0.4	1.44
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.60	0.48	6		0.2	1.63
Interregional spillover multiplier	1.23	0.37	16	▼	0.1	1.19
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.09	0.11	19		–0.2	1.09
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.26	0.30	10		0.6	1.20
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.20	0.12	21		–0.1	1.17
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.90	0.60	6		0.1	1.72
<i>Utilities</i>	1.19	0.08	21		0.5	1.14
<i>Construction</i>	1.43	0.41	13		0.3	1.33
<i>Trade services</i>	1.08	0.15	17		0.2	1.06
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.15	0.18	18		–0.1	1.13
<i>Transport services</i>	1.50	0.42	13		1.4	1.36
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.21	0.24	12		–0.3	1.17
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.06	0.14	13		–0.2	1.06
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.07	0.17	19		–0.1	1.06
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.05	0.11	20		0.0	1.04
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.10	0.17	20		–0.3	1.10
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.20	0.21	9		–0.2	1.17
Interregional feedback multiplier	1.000	0.07	12	▼	0.0	1.00
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.000	0.05	12		0.0	1.00
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.000	0.03	8		0.0	1.00
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.000	0.05	13		0.0	1.00
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.001	0.08	12		0.0	1.00
<i>Utilities</i>	1.000	0.03	13		0.0	1.00
<i>Construction</i>	1.000	0.11	11		0.0	1.00
<i>Trade services</i>	1.000	0.02	12		0.0	1.00
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.000	0.06	13		0.0	1.00
<i>Transport services</i>	1.000	0.08	12		0.0	1.00
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.000	0.06	11		0.0	1.00
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.000	0.03	11		0.0	1.00
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.000	0.02	12		0.0	1.00
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.000	0.02	12		0.0	1.00
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.000	0.05	13		0.0	1.00
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.000	0.06	10		0.0	1.00

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Global Value Chain (GVC) Participation Index						
Backward GVC Participation	16.0%	0.21	15	▼	0.2	0.14
Simple GVCs	10.1%	0.38	15	▼	1.3	0.09
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.2	0.09	14		-4.8	0.25
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.10	15		12.6	0.00
Light manufacturing	2.2	0.50	3		-1.4	2.22
Heavy manufacturing	1.9	0.40	6		6.5	1.50
Utilities	0.1	0.05	22		4.1	0.06
Construction	2.2	0.16	16		4.0	1.60
Trade services	0.6	0.24	12		4.2	0.48
Hotels and restaurants	0.2	0.12	18		0.3	0.22
Transport services	0.9	0.58	9		2.7	0.77
Telecommunications	0.2	0.16	14		-4.1	0.18
Financial intermediation	0.3	0.27	6		1.7	0.23
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.3	0.19	14		0.4	0.31
Public administration and defense	0.2	0.05	22		1.0	0.17
Education, health, and social work	0.5	0.24	19		-0.3	0.42
Other personal services	0.3	0.22	12		0.1	0.25
Complex GVCs	5.8%	0.12	13	▼	-0.6	0.05
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1	0.09	16		-4.8	0.10
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.02	18		-41.7	0.00
Light manufacturing	1.1	0.10	11		-2.5	1.09
Heavy manufacturing	2.0	0.11	7		-0.7	1.77
Utilities	0.0	0.06	20		3.8	0.03
Construction	0.9	0.16	13		3.9	0.62
Trade services	0.3	0.04	13		3.8	0.19
Hotels and restaurants	0.3	0.03	8		2.2	0.24
Transport services	0.4	0.20	16		1.2	0.36
Telecommunications	0.1	0.12	14		-5.1	0.08
Financial intermediation	0.1	0.08	6		1.3	0.07
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2	0.17	11		0.0	0.13
Public administration and defense	0.1	0.06	21		0.5	0.06
Education, health, and social work	0.2	0.20	17		-0.3	0.16
Other personal services	0.1	0.24	9		0.5	0.10
Forward GVC Participation	12.5%	0.21	18	▼	-3.2	0.13
Simple GVCs	7.8%	0.18	18	▼	-3.2	0.08
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.6	0.29	13		-5.0	0.77
Mining and quarrying	0.1	0.01	13		-9.9	0.19
Light manufacturing	0.9	0.24	10		-4.0	1.04
Heavy manufacturing	1.0	0.09	13		-7.0	1.26
Utilities	0.2	0.04	10		-4.5	0.28
Construction	0.0	0.01	21		32.4	0.02
Trade services	0.9	0.10	16		-4.7	1.07
Hotels and restaurants	0.1	0.02	11		2.3	0.12
Transport services	0.3	0.04	20		0.0	0.23
Telecommunications	0.2	0.10	12		-4.4	0.21

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.4	0.08	13		–0.7	0.40
Real estate, renting, and business activities	2.7	0.46	2		0.9	2.55
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.05	13		2.3	0.04
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.05	19		2.4	0.01
Other personal services	0.2	0.28	7		6.4	0.17
Complex GVCs	4.7%	0.23	18	▼	–3.3	0.05
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.3	0.17	12		–4.9	0.36
Mining and quarrying	0.1	0.01	13		–9.3	0.16
Light manufacturing	0.4	0.21	10		–4.0	0.47
Heavy manufacturing	1.0	0.14	13		–6.8	1.13
Utilities	0.2	0.04	10		–4.8	0.17
Construction	0.0	0.00	23		45.7	0.01
Trade services	0.7	0.19	16		–4.5	0.71
Hotels and restaurants	0.1	0.02	11		5.4	0.06
Transport services	0.1	0.06	20		0.1	0.13
Telecommunications	0.1	0.15	12		–3.6	0.12
Financial intermediation	0.2	0.10	15		0.1	0.18
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.4	0.44	2		2.4	1.14
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.02	14		5.7	0.01
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.06	17		5.3	0.00
Other personal services	0.1	0.43	8		4.7	0.08

SPECIALIZATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Vertical Specialization

Vertical Specialization Ratio	24.1%	0.37	13	▼	–1.9	0.22
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	9.3%	0.17	17		0.6	0.08
Mining and quarrying	15.1%	0.32	9		1.1	0.13
Light manufacturing	16.8%	0.18	17		0.4	0.15
Heavy manufacturing	47.9%	0.56	6		0.2	0.41
Utilities	11.6%	0.18	23		2.3	0.09
Construction	23.2%	0.29	17		0.4	0.20
Trade services	6.7%	0.12	18		1.2	0.06
Hotels and restaurants	14.8%	0.29	14		0.3	0.13
Transport services	27.0%	0.35	15		1.8	0.22
Telecommunications	12.5%	0.18	17		–0.5	0.11
Financial intermediation	5.5%	0.11	16		–1.2	0.05
Real estate, renting, and business activities	6.5%	0.19	19		–0.7	0.06
Public administration and defense	4.8%	0.14	22		–0.6	0.04
Education, health, and social work	8.5%	0.28	18		–0.6	0.08
Other personal services	14.4%	0.33	12		–0.1	0.12

Revealed Comparative Advantages

Traditional RCA Index

Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.74	0.12	15	▼	0.0	0.83
Mining and quarrying	0.05	0.00	16	▼	–1.5	0.05
Light manufacturing	1.35	0.24	10	▼	–0.5	1.43

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	0.74	0.41	11	▲	-3.6	0.80
Utilities	0.03	0.00	22	▼	-20.7	0.04
Construction	0.65	0.08	13	▼	8.5	0.55
Trade services	0.48	0.06	21	▼	6.6	0.45
Hotels and restaurants	6.70	0.11	5	▲	4.9	6.36
Transport services	0.56	0.12	19	▼	8.6	0.43
Telecommunications	1.23	0.19	14	▼	3.5	1.57
Financial intermediation	0.28	0.07	5	▼	10.0	0.34
Real estate, renting, and business activities	3.16	1.00	1	▲	3.2	3.13
Public administration and defense	1.44	0.05	8	▲	7.9	1.32
Education, health, and social work	0.36	0.05	12	▼	6.7	0.30
Other personal services	0.99	0.06	7	▲	3.3	0.84

New RCA Index (Based on Domestic Value Added)

Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.94	0.28	10	▼	-2.7	2.22
Mining and quarrying	0.17	0.02	15	▼	-3.7	0.22
Light manufacturing	1.42	0.32	8	▼	-0.5	1.47
Heavy manufacturing	0.65	0.29	12	▲	-2.9	0.71
Utilities	1.27	0.09	4	▼	-0.6	1.33
Construction	0.29	0.01	19	▼	10.4	0.19
Trade services	1.08	0.30	16	▼	-2.1	1.16
Hotels and restaurants	3.34	0.09	6	▼	4.5	3.04
Transport services	0.43	0.09	22	▼	2.6	0.38
Telecommunications	1.17	0.27	10	▼	-1.2	1.48
Financial intermediation	0.70	0.16	12	▼	1.2	0.67
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.66	1.00	1	▲	4.0	1.52
Public administration and defense	0.63	0.06	10	▲	6.8	0.54
Education, health, and social work	0.26	0.05	22	▼	5.5	0.21
Other personal services	0.83	0.10	10	▲	9.4	0.74

POSITION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Upstreamness Index	1.9	0.25	16	▼	-0.4	1.9
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.4	0.53	9		0.4	2.4
Mining and quarrying	3.2	0.73	9		-1.5	3.6
Light manufacturing	1.8	0.21	17		0.2	1.8
Heavy manufacturing	2.6	0.57	13		-1.1	2.8
Utilities	2.6	0.33	12		-0.8	2.7
Construction	1.0	0.00	25		0.1	1.0
Trade services	2.0	0.35	16		-1.6	2.1
Hotels and restaurants	1.4	0.27	18		0.6	1.4
Transport services	1.7	0.13	23		-0.5	1.7
Telecommunications	1.9	0.20	19		0.0	1.9
Financial intermediation	1.8	0.11	23		-0.6	1.8
Real estate, renting, and business activities	2.2	0.46	7		0.1	2.2
Public administration and defense	1.1	0.21	11		0.1	1.1
Education, health, and social work	1.1	0.10	18		0.0	1.0
Other personal services	1.7	0.35	12		0.9	1.6

continued on next page

Philippines 3.7B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
PRODUCTION LENGTH OF GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS						
Backward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (B)	0.7	0.31	15	▼	0.5	0.6
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.4	0.21	16		1.0	0.4
Mining and quarrying	0.7	0.39	9		1.0	0.6
Light manufacturing	0.8	0.27	15		0.5	0.7
Heavy manufacturing	2.0	0.72	6		0.5	1.7
Utilities	0.5	0.11	22		2.2	0.4
Construction	1.0	0.30	16		0.4	0.9
Trade services	0.3	0.14	17		1.1	0.2
Hotels and restaurants	0.7	0.36	16		0.4	0.6
Transport services	1.2	0.43	12		1.5	1.0
Telecommunications	0.5	0.23	15		–0.2	0.5
Financial intermediation	0.2	0.15	14		–0.9	0.2
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.3	0.24	17		–0.5	0.3
Public administration and defense	0.2	0.14	22		–0.6	0.2
Education, health, and social work	0.4	0.27	17		0.1	0.3
Other personal services	0.6	0.39	10		0.2	0.5
Forward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (F)	0.5	0.31	16	▼	–3.1	0.5
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.5	0.26	16		–1.4	0.5
Mining and quarrying	1.2	0.32	15		–6.1	1.5
Light manufacturing	0.4	0.21	17		–2.2	0.4
Heavy manufacturing	1.2	0.42	13		–4.5	1.3
Utilities	0.6	0.20	16		–4.0	0.7
Construction	0.0	0.01	24		4.3	0.0
Trade services	0.4	0.16	19		–6.0	0.5
Hotels and restaurants	0.4	0.21	10		1.9	0.4
Transport services	0.4	0.14	20		–0.8	0.4
Telecommunications	0.5	0.19	17		–0.8	0.5
Financial intermediation	0.3	0.11	22		–3.0	0.3
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.1	0.58	5		–0.3	1.1
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.05	13		1.3	0.0
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.05	20		2.2	0.0
Other personal services	0.5	0.22	9		3.6	0.4

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.8 Singapore

3.8A Internal Linkages

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input-Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
STRUCTURE OF THE ECONOMY							
Economic Indicators							
Gross output	(\$)	976,657	0.02	8	▲	7.2	749,686
Gross value-added	(\$)	341,616	0.02	10	▲	6.7	267,072
Value-added content of domestic final demand		121,690	0.01	13		6.3	102,294
Value-added content of exports		219,926	0.09	6		7.1	164,778
Intermediate inputs	(\$)	634,153	0.02	8	▲	7.6	481,910
Domestic inputs		332,137	0.01	9		9.7	247,027
Foreign inputs		302,016	0.20	5		6.7	234,883
Direct production	(\$)	644,520	0.04	8	▲	6.6	502,659
Domestic final demand		188,572	0.01	13		6.5	154,943
Exports		455,948	0.17	4		6.7	347,716
Indirect production	(\$)	332,137	0.01	9	▲	9.7	247,027
Indirect production embedded in domestic final demand		114,959	0.01	13		5.8	96,872
Indirect production embedded in exports		217,177	0.04	7		13.9	150,155
Economic Diversification							
Gross output		0.37	0.76	6	▲	0.1	0.36
Gini concentration index of gross output		0.56	0.83	3		0.0	0.56
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross output		0.10	0.58	6		0.7	0.10
Theil concentration index of gross output		0.43	0.76	5		0.1	0.42

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Gross value-added	0.32	0.53	5		-0.2	0.32
Gini concentration index of gross value added	0.52	0.62	5		-0.2	0.52
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross value added	0.07	0.27	7		-0.3	0.07
Theil concentration index of gross value added	0.38	0.57	5		-0.3	0.38
Gross exports	0.55	0.40	16		-0.5	0.56
Gini concentration index of gross exports	0.76	0.60	15		-0.2	0.76
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross exports	0.23	0.22	16		-1.7	0.24
Theil concentration index of gross exports	0.68	0.54	16		-0.4	0.69
Structural Changes						
Changes in output due to technological changes	0.8%	0.86	3		-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	4.3%	0.83	2			
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.74	7			
Light manufacturing	-1.4%	0.27	22			
Heavy manufacturing	-0.2%	0.69	9			
Utilities	0.7%	0.64	7			
Construction	-0.2%	0.08	21			
Trade services	0.5%	0.60	6			
Hotels and restaurants	0.9%	0.11	7			
Transport services	0.6%	0.72	6			
Telecommunications	7.3%	1.00	1			
Financial intermediation	-0.5%	0.44	18			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.2%	0.67	15			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.36	17			
Education, health, and social work	0.4%	0.90	3			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.43	15			
Changes in output due to structural changes in consumption (%)	2.2%	0.27	21		-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.7%	0.39	21			
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.03	20			
Light manufacturing	1.8%	0.30	16			
Heavy manufacturing	0.2%	0.10	24			
Utilities	1.1%	0.17	22			
Construction	0.0%	0.19	21			
Trade services	0.8%	0.24	23			
Hotels and restaurants	4.6%	0.17	14			
Transport services	0.5%	0.18	21			
Telecommunications	2.7%	0.51	16			
Financial intermediation	2.3%	0.34	19			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.3%	0.05	24			
Public administration and defense	5.2%	0.38	17			
Education, health, and social work	6.5%	0.22	11			
Other personal services	5.0%	0.41	15			
Changes in output due to structural changes in investment (%)	0.5%	0.16	20		-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.2%	0.09	15			
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.06	21			
Light manufacturing	0.3%	0.11	17			

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	0.3%	0.14	20			
Utilities	0.2%	0.33	19			
Construction	2.3%	0.17	21			
Trade services	0.1%	0.14	24			
Hotels and restaurants	0.1%	0.35	12			
Transport services	0.1%	0.01	23			
Telecommunications	2.5%	0.54	3			
Financial intermediation	0.1%	0.01	23			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.6%	0.26	13			
Public administration and defense	0.1%	0.18	5			
Education, health, and social work	0.3%	0.21	2			
Other personal services	0.1%	0.15	17			
Changes in output due to structural changes in stock (%)	0.0%	0.31	12		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.2%	0.58	4			
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.38	13			
Light manufacturing	0.1%	0.22	8			
Heavy manufacturing	0.1%	0.37	11			
Utilities	0.0%	0.14	8			
Construction	0.0%	0.75	8			
Trade services	0.0%	0.31	11			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.46	10			
Transport services	0.0%	0.06	15			
Telecommunications	0.0%	0.48	9			
Financial intermediation	0.0%	0.90	11			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.0%	0.16	9			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.64	5			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.23	9			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.42	9			
Changes in output due to structural changes in export	2.8%	0.62	7		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.7%	0.36	23			
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.11	19			
Light manufacturing	2.4%	0.28	8			
Heavy manufacturing	4.8%	0.69	3			
Utilities	2.5%	0.12	6			
Construction	0.3%	0.08	11			
Trade services	5.0%	0.57	3			
Hotels and restaurants	1.1%	0.12	13			
Transport services	4.5%	0.85	4			
Telecommunications	11.0%	1.00	1			
Financial intermediation	5.9%	0.94	3			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	4.0%	1.00	1			
Public administration and defense	0.3%	0.18	9			
Education, health, and social work	0.4%	0.18	6			
Other personal services	0.4%	0.09	19			

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Changes in output due to import substitution on intermediate demand	–0.8%	0.29	22		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–6.3%	0.09	24			
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.31	17			
Light manufacturing	1.2%	0.88	3			
Heavy manufacturing	0.8%	0.29	9			
Utilities	–2.7%	0.11	24			
Construction	0.0%	0.88	5			
Trade services	0.0%	0.77	10			
Hotels and restaurants	–0.8%	0.93	22			
Transport services	–1.2%	0.00	25			
Telecommunications	–2.9%	0.37	24			
Financial intermediation	0.0%	0.62	12			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.0%	0.73	9			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.61	18			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.55	19			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.53	6			
Changes in output due to import substitution on domestic final demand	0.0%	0.81	8		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1%	0.60	5			
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.49	12			
Light manufacturing	0.4%	0.87	4			
Heavy manufacturing	0.0%	0.56	13			
Utilities	0.0%	0.73	11			
Construction	0.0%	0.66	17			
Trade services	0.0%	0.89	8			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.68	8			
Transport services	0.0%	0.88	10			
Telecommunications	0.5%	1.00	1			
Financial intermediation	0.0%	0.81	11			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.6%	0.33	20			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.33	17			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.86	14			
Other personal services	–0.1%	0.92	12			

STRENGTH OF LINKAGES

Demand-side Linkages

Direct backward linkage	0.33	0.34	13		1.2	0.33
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.31	0.44	8		3.3	0.34
Mining and quarrying	0.00	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing	0.42	0.51	20		9.5	0.37
Heavy manufacturing	0.36	0.28	18		19.6	0.29
Utilities	0.26	0.21	18		–2.4	0.31
Construction	0.59	0.67	3		–0.7	0.60
Trade services	0.29	0.37	9		3.2	0.28
Hotels and restaurants	0.40	0.46	18		1.0	0.40
Transport services	0.26	0.41	19		2.8	0.29
Telecommunications	0.35	0.51	11		2.9	0.36

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.27	0.28	8		–0.7	0.28
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.30	0.65	8		–0.8	0.32
Public administration and defense	0.55	1.00	1		1.2	0.53
Education, health, and social work	0.30	0.53	8		0.3	0.30
Other personal services	0.28	0.46	16		–0.2	0.28
Total backward linkage	1.50	0.19	12		0.4	1.51
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.47	0.33	10		1.0	1.50
Mining and quarrying	1.00	0.00	23		0.0	1.00
Light manufacturing	1.65	0.30	18		2.8	1.56
Heavy manufacturing	1.54	0.13	17		3.3	1.42
Utilities	1.37	0.11	18		–0.9	1.45
Construction	2.12	0.44	2		–0.5	2.16
Trade services	1.42	0.40	11		0.8	1.41
Hotels and restaurants	1.60	0.30	19		0.5	1.60
Transport services	1.38	0.25	19		0.7	1.42
Telecommunications	1.52	0.38	13		0.9	1.55
Financial intermediation	1.39	0.08	11		–0.4	1.41
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.45	0.37	9		–0.2	1.49
Public administration and defense	1.81	0.82	2		0.3	1.78
Education, health, and social work	1.44	0.29	9		0.1	1.44
Other personal services	1.41	0.29	16		0.0	1.41
Normalized backward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.98	0.42	7		0.5	1.00
Mining and quarrying	0.66	0.00	25		–0.3	0.66
Light manufacturing	1.10	0.41	21		2.2	1.03
Heavy manufacturing	1.02	0.22	19		2.7	0.94
Utilities	0.91	0.13	19		–1.3	0.96
Construction	1.41	0.87	2		–0.8	1.43
Trade services	0.94	0.40	7		0.4	0.93
Hotels and restaurants	1.06	0.33	18		0.1	1.06
Transport services	0.91	0.27	20		0.2	0.94
Telecommunications	1.01	0.62	12		0.5	1.03
Financial intermediation	0.93	0.12	10		–0.7	0.93
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.96	0.60	6		–0.6	0.99
Public administration and defense	1.20	1.00	1		0.0	1.18
Education, health, and social work	0.96	0.69	7		–0.3	0.96
Other personal services	0.94	0.40	14		–0.4	0.94
Net backward linkage	0.89	0.40	17		0.0	0.90
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.52	0.34	16		1.0	0.66
Mining and quarrying	0.00	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing	0.86	0.30	21		–0.2	0.85
Heavy manufacturing	1.09	0.76	5		0.4	1.06
Utilities	0.29	0.07	20		–5.5	0.35
Construction	1.23	0.24	20		0.8	1.18
Trade services	1.13	0.73	2		1.4	1.12

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.18	0.44	17		0.3	1.21
<i>Transport services</i>	0.93	0.47	10		–0.4	0.96
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.67	0.30	18		2.0	0.67
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.85	0.75	3		0.9	0.81
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.67	0.56	19		–2.2	0.74
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.69	0.81	3		0.2	1.66
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.06	0.05	23		4.2	1.02
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.24	0.83	6		3.7	1.22
Growth equalized output multipliers	0.10	0.14	14	▼	1.1	0.10
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.00	0.00	25		–5.4	0.00
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.00	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.04	0.05	23		3.4	0.04
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.48	0.60	4		1.6	0.45
<i>Utilities</i>	0.02	0.03	22		–2.2	0.03
<i>Construction</i>	0.07	0.04	21		–3.7	0.10
<i>Trade services</i>	0.21	0.49	6		2.7	0.20
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.02	0.03	21		1.1	0.03
<i>Transport services</i>	0.15	0.84	3		0.7	0.16
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.07	1.00	1		16.6	0.06
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.13	0.53	2		2.2	0.12
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.18	0.72	3		–0.3	0.19
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.05	0.22	20		–1.5	0.05
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.05	0.12	20		5.6	0.04
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.02	0.10	18		–1.5	0.02
Supply-Side Linkages						
Direct forward linkage	0.36	0.26	16	▼	1.2	0.35
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.65	0.69	10		17.9	0.56
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.00	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.48	0.52	9		7.8	0.45
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.29	0.34	21		23.4	0.25
<i>Utilities</i>	0.79	0.84	5		2.8	0.76
<i>Construction</i>	0.42	0.87	3		–1.3	0.45
<i>Trade services</i>	0.21	0.15	24		–0.6	0.20
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.26	0.48	13		4.4	0.24
<i>Transport services</i>	0.32	0.31	19		3.0	0.32
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.56	0.67	7		–0.3	0.56
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.39	0.31	23		–1.1	0.43
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.54	0.50	5		3.8	0.50
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.06	0.29	7		0.8	0.07
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.26	1.00	1		–5.2	0.29
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.12	0.11	21		–5.1	0.14
Total forward linkage	1.55	0.15	15	▼	0.4	1.54
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	2.08	0.48	8		6.1	1.92
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.00	0.00	23		0.0	1.00
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.78	0.34	6		2.5	1.73

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.43	0.19	22		2.8	1.36
Utilities	2.22	0.39	12		1.2	2.21
Construction	1.72	0.79	2		–0.9	1.80
Trade services	1.31	0.09	24		–0.1	1.29
Hotels and restaurants	1.39	0.32	11		0.7	1.37
Transport services	1.46	0.16	20		0.8	1.46
Telecommunications	1.92	0.55	9		0.1	1.93
Financial intermediation	1.58	0.09	23		–0.5	1.66
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.83	0.40	5		1.5	1.77
Public administration and defense	1.09	0.27	9		0.1	1.10
Education, health, and social work	1.31	1.00	1		–2.1	1.35
Other personal services	1.17	0.11	21		–2.2	1.20
Normalized forward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.34	0.85	4		5.0	1.24
Mining and quarrying	0.66	0.03	24		–0.3	0.66
Light manufacturing	1.18	0.77	7		1.9	1.15
Heavy manufacturing	0.95	0.27	23		2.2	0.90
Utilities	1.48	0.72	9		0.7	1.47
Construction	1.14	0.75	3		–1.2	1.20
Trade services	0.87	0.07	24		–0.4	0.86
Hotels and restaurants	0.93	0.43	10		0.4	0.91
Transport services	0.97	0.19	19		0.4	0.97
Telecommunications	1.27	0.65	6		–0.3	1.28
Financial intermediation	1.05	0.11	23		–0.9	1.10
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.21	0.55	6		1.0	1.17
Public administration and defense	0.73	0.51	13		–0.2	0.73
Education, health, and social work	0.87	1.00	1		–2.3	0.90
Other personal services	0.78	0.11	21		–2.3	0.80
Net forward linkage	0.59	0.00	25		0.2	0.59
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.98	0.32	17		8.0	0.91
Mining and quarrying	0.00	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing	0.59	0.56	7		3.9	0.54
Heavy manufacturing	0.29	0.29	24		1.6	0.28
Utilities	0.70	0.32	19		1.8	0.69
Construction	0.45	0.51	12		1.6	0.47
Trade services	0.59	0.08	24		–1.7	0.62
Hotels and restaurants	0.63	0.42	7		0.5	0.60
Transport services	0.35	0.00	24		–2.3	0.37
Telecommunications	0.59	0.09	23		–2.8	0.66
Financial intermediation	0.81	0.07	24		–0.7	0.86
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.96	0.22	22		2.3	0.94
Public administration and defense	0.31	0.00	25		–1.7	0.32
Education, health, and social work	0.86	0.76	5		–0.7	0.87
Other personal services	0.78	0.41	13		–1.0	0.79

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Growth equalized input multipliers	0.10	0.16	14	▼	0.6	0.10
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.00	0.00	25		0.8	0.00
Mining and quarrying	0.00	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing	0.05	0.11	23		6.7	0.04
Heavy manufacturing	0.27	0.53	4		2.5	0.24
Utilities	0.03	0.09	20		–1.1	0.03
Construction	0.06	0.08	19		–3.0	0.09
Trade services	0.24	0.48	12		0.2	0.24
Hotels and restaurants	0.03	0.09	16		1.1	0.03
Transport services	0.10	0.54	11		–2.4	0.11
Telecommunications	0.08	0.71	2		12.5	0.07
Financial intermediation	0.20	0.57	4		0.9	0.19
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.33	0.89	3		1.2	0.34
Public administration and defense	0.03	0.10	21		–3.4	0.03
Education, health, and social work	0.08	0.57	8		4.6	0.07
Other personal services	0.03	0.21	14		–2.9	0.03
Impacts from Hypothetical Extraction						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical extraction	–8.5%	0.95	3	▼	0.4	–0.08
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0%	1.00	1		–5.5	0.0
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	1.00	2		0.0	0.0
Light manufacturing	–3.7%	0.94	3		2.6	–3.4
Heavy manufacturing	–38.5%	0.26	22		1.3	–35.8
Utilities	–1.6%	0.99	2		–2.6	–2.0
Construction	–6.3%	0.98	2		–4.3	–8.4
Trade services	–19.1%	0.58	23		2.4	–18.6
Hotels and restaurants	–2.6%	0.97	4		0.5	–2.7
Transport services	–12.2%	0.29	23		0.1	–12.9
Telecommunications	–5.7%	0.00	25		14.3	–4.8
Financial intermediation	–10.2%	0.49	24		1.1	–9.5
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–15.0%	0.21	23		–1.3	–16.3
Public administration and defense	–6.0%	0.67	15		–2.5	–6.2
Education, health, and social work	–4.5%	0.85	7		5.0	–4.0
Other personal services	–2.1%	0.91	8		–2.3	–2.2
Impacts from Hypothetical Insertion						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical insertion	3.4%	0.14	14	▼	3.0	0.03
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0%	0.00	25		–2.2	0.0
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.00	24		–20.0	0.0
Light manufacturing	1.8%	0.05	23		17.5	1.4
Heavy manufacturing	17.2%	0.26	8		25.5	12.9
Utilities	0.5%	0.02	24		–5.2	0.8
Construction	5.3%	0.15	15		–4.8	7.5
Trade services	5.8%	0.37	5		5.6	5.6
Hotels and restaurants	1.0%	0.04	22		1.8	1.0
Transport services	3.8%	0.55	8		5.1	4.4
Telecommunications	2.4%	0.70	3		21.4	2.1
Financial intermediation	3.4%	0.30	4		0.4	3.3

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Real estate, renting, and business activities	5.5%	0.82	3		-1.9	6.3
Public administration and defense	2.7%	0.65	9		-2.1	2.8
Education, health, and social work	1.4%	0.22	12		4.6	1.3
Other personal services	0.6%	0.08	16		-2.5	0.6

SPREAD OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES

Demand-side

Relative evenness of direct backward linkage	0.41	0.40	14	▲	-1.1	0.41
Relative evenness of total backward linkage	0.16	0.16	7	▲	-1.2	0.16

Backward measure of concentration based on input coefficients	0.90	0.54	17	▼	0.1	0.89
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.97	1.00	1		0.2	0.97
Mining and quarrying	1.04	1.00	1		0.0	1.04
Light manufacturing	0.90	0.59	16		-0.6	0.90
Heavy manufacturing	0.81	0.74	14		-0.8	0.82
Utilities	0.87	0.63	19		2.4	0.83
Construction	0.78	0.54	23		0.5	0.76
Trade services	0.92	0.73	20		-0.2	0.92
Hotels and restaurants	0.94	0.88	5		0.2	0.92
Transport services	0.90	0.39	21		0.1	0.89
Telecommunications	0.83	0.00	25		-0.7	0.85
Financial intermediation	0.80	0.78	19		0.5	0.80
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.90	0.79	22		0.1	0.90
Public administration and defense	0.92	0.50	20		-0.2	0.93
Education, health, and social work	0.96	0.82	7		0.5	0.95
Other personal services	0.93	0.83	20		0.2	0.92

Relative evenness of direct forward linkage	0.62	0.41	12	▼	0.8	0.61
Relative evenness of total forward linkage	0.23	0.15	17	▼	1.8	0.23

Forward measure of concentration based on output coefficients	0.58	0.18	23	▼	-0.5	0.59
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.40	0.50	12		-1.2	0.46
Mining and quarrying	0.00	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing	0.73	0.78	9		-0.5	0.74
Heavy manufacturing	0.44	0.22	22		-2.4	0.49
Utilities	0.75	0.63	17		0.5	0.76
Construction	0.21	0.24	22		0.8	0.21
Trade services	0.65	0.23	22		-0.5	0.68
Hotels and restaurants	0.78	0.66	12		1.5	0.73
Transport services	0.59	0.18	24		-0.3	0.58
Telecommunications	0.73	0.21	21		-0.2	0.74
Financial intermediation	0.67	0.71	21		0.6	0.67
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.76	0.50	21		-0.2	0.78
Public administration and defense	0.85	0.92	2		0.3	0.85
Education, health, and social work	0.32	0.24	24		-3.8	0.33
Other personal services	0.84	0.83	6		0.0	0.83

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
SENSITIVITY OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES						
Economic Complexity						
Percentage intermediate transaction	0.34	0.25	14	▼	2.8	0.33
Average output multiplier	1.50	0.19	12	▼	0.4	1.51
Average of Leontief inverse	0.20	0.21	11	▲	0.4	0.20
Average technical coefficient	0.02	0.34	13	▲	1.2	0.02
Mean intermediate coefficient	0.33	0.34	13	▲	1.2	0.33
Percentage of above-average coefficients (%)	0.28	0.48	8	▲	1.9	0.26
Determinant of non-competitive Leontief inverse	6.26	0.17	4	▲	2.1	6.56
Determinant of competitive Leontief inverse	83.25	1.00	1	▲	0.8	76.96
Mean path length	1.52	0.14	14	▼	1.1	1.49
Cycling index	0.15	0.51	6	▲	4.5	0.15
Average propagation length	1.50	0.08	22	▼	0.2	1.51
Overall sensitivity of the economy	0.66	0.32	20	▼	–0.3	0.67
Index of direct interrelatedness	0.54	0.22	19	▼	–0.2	0.55
Index of indirect interrelatedness	0.12	0.29	21	▼	–0.2	0.12
Global intensity index	22.57	0.19	12	▼	0.4	22.61

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.8B External Linkages

\$ = United States dollars, GVC = global value chain.

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1, while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adb.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value	
PARTICIPATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS							
International Trade Coefficients							
Trade openness	2.24	1.00	1	▲	–0.6	2.21	
Self-sufficiency ratio	1.12	1.00	1	▲	0.3	1.11	
Regional supply percentage	0.60	0.00	25	▼	1.5	0.59	
Regional purchase coefficient	0.60	0.11	24	▼	0.3	0.60	
Sectoral purchase coefficient	0.53	0.54	22	▼	18.2	0.49	
Simple location quotient of gross output	0.94	0.19	21	▼	0.9	0.93	
Fabrication effect	1.15	1.00	1	▼	0.3	1.13	
Exports	(\$)	455,948	0.17	4	▲	6.7	347,716
Export-to-output ratio		0.24	0.85	2	▲	–1.9	0.26
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.18	0.82	5		–9.1	0.32
Mining and quarrying		0.00	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing		0.34	0.62	6		–4.1	0.40
Heavy manufacturing		0.66	0.79	3		–2.4	0.72
Utilities		0.08	0.09	4		24.0	0.08
Construction		0.02	0.25	8		2.2	0.03
Trade services		0.68	0.92	2		1.1	0.68
Hotels and restaurants		0.01	0.01	20		–1.5	0.01
Transport services		0.61	0.80	2		–0.6	0.60
Telecommunications		0.28	0.86	3		5.6	0.28

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8B continued

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Financial intermediation		0.45	1.00	1		1.1	0.44
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.27	0.91	2		8.8	0.23
Public administration and defense		0.01	0.03	11		108.5	0.01
Education, health, and social work		0.04	0.49	6		–4.7	0.05
Other personal services		0.01	0.01	22		1.0	0.02
Imports	(\$)	354,260	0.17	5	▲	6.6	275,216
Import-to-input ratio		0.22	1.00	1	▲	–0.6	0.22
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.21	0.61	4		–0.1	0.19
Mining and quarrying		0.00	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing		0.25	0.47	3		–5.9	0.32
Heavy manufacturing		0.44	0.59	3		–3.1	0.50
Utilities		0.42	0.78	3		2.8	0.38
Construction		0.15	0.31	16		0.5	0.14
Trade services		0.26	1.00	1		1.7	0.24
Hotels and restaurants		0.15	0.50	7		–0.6	0.16
Transport services		0.50	1.00	1		1.8	0.45
Telecommunications		0.34	1.00	1		6.0	0.30
Financial intermediation		0.21	1.00	1		3.2	0.20
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.17	1.00	1		0.8	0.14
Public administration and defense		0.16	0.99	2		5.4	0.17
Education, health, and social work		0.04	0.17	17		–7.9	0.05
Other personal services		0.05	0.13	13		–5.4	0.06
Total foreign factor content of consumption	(\$)	41,450	0.08	10	▲	7.4	32,008
Total foreign factor content of investment	(\$)	25,800	0.04	8	▲	6.9	20,571
Total foreign factor content of exports	(\$)	235,556	0.65	3	▲	6.7	182,580
Interregional Multipliers							
Import Leakage Effects		0.36	1.00	1	▲	0.1	0.35
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.36	0.86	3		–0.6	0.34
Mining and quarrying		0.00	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing		0.44	0.74	3		–2.9	0.49
Heavy manufacturing		0.62	0.78	2		–1.1	0.66
Utilities		0.54	0.84	2		0.7	0.52
Construction		0.41	0.73	3		–0.5	0.39
Trade services		0.38	1.00	1		1.8	0.35
Hotels and restaurants		0.31	0.83	5		0.4	0.31
Transport services		0.63	1.00	1		1.5	0.60
Telecommunications		0.51	1.00	1		3.5	0.45
Financial intermediation		0.31	1.00	1		2.2	0.29
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.29	1.00	1		0.3	0.26
Public administration and defense		0.34	1.00	1		2.3	0.33
Education, health, and social work		0.16	0.67	6		–2.2	0.16
Other personal services		0.15	0.35	8		–2.7	0.15
Intraregional transfer multiplier		1.50	0.19	12	▼	0.4	1.51
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		1.47	0.33	10		1.0	1.50
Mining and quarrying		1.00	0.00	23		0.0	1.00

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Light manufacturing	1.65	0.30	18		2.8	1.56
Heavy manufacturing	1.54	0.13	17		3.3	1.42
Utilities	1.37	0.11	18		–0.9	1.45
Construction	2.12	0.44	2		–0.5	2.16
Trade services	1.42	0.40	11		0.8	1.41
Hotels and restaurants	1.60	0.30	19		0.5	1.60
Transport services	1.38	0.25	19		0.7	1.42
Telecommunications	1.52	0.38	13		0.9	1.55
Financial intermediation	1.39	0.08	11		–0.4	1.41
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.45	0.37	9		–0.2	1.49
Public administration and defense	1.81	0.82	2		0.3	1.78
Education, health, and social work	1.44	0.29	9		0.1	1.44
Other personal services	1.41	0.29	16		0.0	1.41
Interregional spillover multiplier	1.52	1.00	1		–0.3	1.52
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.52	0.79	4		–0.7	1.45
Mining and quarrying	1.00	0.00	23		0.0	1.00
Light manufacturing	1.62	0.52	3		–3.0	1.81
Heavy manufacturing	2.16	0.80	2		–1.7	2.32
Utilities	2.11	0.97	2		1.5	1.98
Construction	1.40	0.38	15		0.1	1.37
Trade services	1.53	1.00	1		0.4	1.49
Hotels and restaurants	1.36	0.48	7		–0.2	1.39
Transport services	2.13	1.00	1		0.8	2.04
Telecommunications	1.66	0.85	2		0.9	1.59
Financial intermediation	1.37	1.00	1		0.6	1.35
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.33	0.98	2		–0.1	1.27
Public administration and defense	1.45	1.00	1		1.3	1.47
Education, health, and social work	1.10	0.19	18		–1.5	1.12
Other personal services	1.12	0.12	14		–1.5	1.14
Interregional feedback multiplier	1.002	0.72	2		0.0	1.00
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.001	1.00	1		0.0	1.00
Mining and quarrying	1.000	0.00	23		0.0	1.00
Light manufacturing	1.002	0.68	4		0.0	1.00
Heavy manufacturing	1.004	0.53	4		0.0	1.00
Utilities	1.002	0.49	5		0.0	1.00
Construction	1.001	0.45	5		0.0	1.00
Trade services	1.002	1.00	1		0.0	1.00
Hotels and restaurants	1.001	0.50	2		0.0	1.00
Transport services	1.004	1.00	1		0.0	1.00
Telecommunications	1.002	1.00	1		0.0	1.00
Financial intermediation	1.001	1.00	1		0.0	1.00
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.001	0.31	2		0.0	1.00
Public administration and defense	1.002	1.00	1		0.0	1.00
Education, health, and social work	1.000	0.23	9		0.0	1.00
Other personal services	1.000	0.13	7		0.0	1.00

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Global Value Chain (GVC) Participation Index						
Backward GVC Participation	43.2%	1.00	1	▲	–0.3	0.43
Simple GVCs	15.5%	0.80	6	▲	0.5	0.15
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0	0.00	25		–38.9	0.00
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.10	21		0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing	0.5	0.07	23		52.7	0.39
Heavy manufacturing	1.9	0.40	7		–9.9	1.47
Utilities	0.2	0.18	14		–8.3	0.32
Construction	2.3	0.18	13		–3.3	2.80
Trade services	1.4	0.61	3		2.2	1.37
Hotels and restaurants	0.9	0.46	3		2.3	0.92
Transport services	1.1	0.65	6		2.3	1.01
Telecommunications	0.9	1.00	1		23.1	0.65
Financial intermediation	1.0	1.00	1		8.2	0.80
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.6	1.00	1		–3.6	2.15
Public administration and defense	2.3	0.57	2		0.7	2.33
Education, health, and social work	0.8	0.46	12		9.0	0.69
Other personal services	0.5	0.39	4		–0.9	0.46
Complex GVCs	27.7%	1.00	1	▲	–0.6	0.27
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0	0.00	25		–5.7	0.00
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.01	22		0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing	0.8	0.06	15		–2.9	0.79
Heavy manufacturing	17.3	1.00	1		–1.1	17.47
Utilities	0.2	0.56	4		–2.4	0.22
Construction	1.0	0.19	10		–3.7	1.20
Trade services	2.9	0.54	2		5.6	2.27
Hotels and restaurants	0.3	0.03	9		2.3	0.26
Transport services	1.5	0.71	2		–2.8	1.58
Telecommunications	0.6	1.00	1		22.7	0.44
Financial intermediation	1.1	1.00	1		4.7	0.83
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.8	1.00	1		–1.2	0.87
Public administration and defense	0.9	0.68	2		0.8	0.87
Education, health, and social work	0.3	0.34	11		5.5	0.24
Other personal services	0.1	0.30	5		–2.1	0.13
Forward GVC Participation	46.0%	1.00	1	▲	0.4	0.45
Simple GVCs	31.1%	1.00	1	▲	0.4	0.31
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0	0.00	25		–8.1	0.01
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing	0.5	0.13	16		3.0	0.53
Heavy manufacturing	5.8	0.54	4		–0.3	5.75
Utilities	0.3	0.04	9		4.0	0.31
Construction	0.1	0.02	10		1.5	0.14
Trade services	8.1	1.00	1		1.4	8.54
Hotels and restaurants	0.2	0.02	9		3.9	0.19
Transport services	3.8	0.97	2		–2.9	4.16
Telecommunications	1.4	1.00	1		15.7	1.27

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	4.8	1.00	1		2.6	4.46
Real estate, renting, and business activities	5.8	1.00	1		1.7	5.39
Public administration and defense	0.1	0.06	10		–1.0	0.06
Education, health, and social work	0.2	1.00	1		–1.9	0.20
Other personal services	0.1	0.09	15		–7.6	0.11
Complex GVCs	14.9%	0.86	2	▲	0.6	0.14
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0	0.00	25		–8.0	0.00
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing	0.3	0.13	17		2.5	0.27
Heavy manufacturing	4.1	0.62	2		–1.0	3.97
Utilities	0.1	0.04	11		5.1	0.17
Construction	0.1	0.02	11		1.3	0.07
Trade services	3.1	1.00	1		1.9	3.16
Hotels and restaurants	0.1	0.02	9		5.2	0.08
Transport services	1.3	0.92	2		–0.6	1.31
Telecommunications	0.6	1.00	1		10.6	0.52
Financial intermediation	2.0	1.00	1		3.3	1.74
Real estate, renting, and business activities	3.0	1.00	1		2.7	2.53
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.05	10		–1.2	0.03
Education, health, and social work	0.1	1.00	1		–0.2	0.09
Other personal services	0.0	0.14	17		–6.9	0.06

SPECIALIZATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Vertical Specialization

Vertical Specialization Ratio	51.7%	1.00	1	▲	–0.6	0.52
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	36.1%	0.86	3		–0.6	0.34
Mining and quarrying	0.0%	0.00	23		0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing	43.9%	0.74	3		–2.9	0.49
Heavy manufacturing	62.3%	0.78	2		–1.1	0.66
Utilities	54.3%	0.86	2		0.7	0.52
Construction	40.5%	0.73	3		–0.5	0.39
Trade services	38.5%	1.00	1		1.8	0.35
Hotels and restaurants	31.1%	0.83	5		0.4	0.31
Transport services	63.5%	1.00	1		1.6	0.60
Telecommunications	50.7%	1.00	1		3.5	0.45
Financial intermediation	30.7%	1.00	1		2.2	0.29
Real estate, renting, and business activities	29.3%	1.00	1		0.3	0.26
Public administration and defense	34.0%	1.00	1		2.3	0.34
Education, health, and social work	16.3%	0.68	5		–2.1	0.17
Other personal services	15.4%	0.35	8		–2.7	0.15

Revealed Comparative Advantages

Traditional RCA Index						
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.00	0.00	25	▼	–15.6	0.01
Mining and quarrying	0.00	0.00	23	▼	0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing	0.13	0.02	23	▼	–2.3	0.14

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.01	0.56	6	▲	–0.7	1.03
Utilities	0.43	0.01	10	▼	29.0	0.56
Construction	0.30	0.04	17	▼	–7.4	0.73
Trade services	2.51	0.32	3	▲	1.5	2.56
Hotels and restaurants	0.02	0.00	23	▼	–2.9	0.02
Transport services	2.29	0.53	5	▲	0.3	2.37
Telecommunications	3.71	0.61	2	▲	20.8	3.50
Financial intermediation	2.66	0.77	2	▲	2.7	2.45
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.93	0.29	3	▲	6.6	0.92
Public administration and defense	0.22	0.01	13	▼	95.5	0.18
Education, health, and social work	0.60	0.08	9	▼	–4.2	0.74
Other personal services	0.03	0.00	23	▼	–0.9	0.05

New RCA Index (Based on Domestic Value Added)

Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.00	0.00	25	▼	–11.5	0.01
Mining and quarrying	0.00	0.00	23	▼	0.0	0.00
Light manufacturing	0.23	0.05	21	▼	2.3	0.22
Heavy manufacturing	1.05	0.46	7	▲	–0.1	1.04
Utilities	0.43	0.02	18	▼	5.7	0.48
Construction	0.40	0.02	17	▼	–1.6	0.63
Trade services	1.84	0.54	4	▲	–0.2	2.00
Hotels and restaurants	0.44	0.01	19	▼	3.2	0.47
Transport services	1.42	0.46	6	▲	–2.7	1.57
Telecommunications	2.64	0.72	4	▲	12.9	2.49
Financial intermediation	2.35	0.65	2	▲	0.2	2.27
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.18	0.69	3	▲	1.2	1.13
Public administration and defense	0.21	0.02	16	▼	–3.4	0.23
Education, health, and social work	0.84	0.18	9	▲	–2.4	0.94
Other personal services	0.12	0.00	25	▼	–8.0	0.17

POSITION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Upstreamness Index	2.2	0.49	8	▲	0.4	2.2
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.8	0.71	7		3.1	2.8
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.00	23		0.0	0.0
Light manufacturing	2.5	0.71	6		1.1	2.5
Heavy manufacturing	2.8	0.65	10		1.2	2.7
Utilities	3.0	0.50	5		2.4	3.0
Construction	1.8	0.71	3		–0.8	1.9
Trade services	2.6	0.74	6		0.4	2.7
Hotels and restaurants	1.7	0.44	10		1.1	1.6
Transport services	3.0	0.80	3		1.2	2.9
Telecommunications	3.0	1.00	1		0.1	3.0
Financial intermediation	2.7	0.32	8		0.1	2.8
Real estate, renting, and business activities	2.9	0.84	3		1.6	2.7
Public administration and defense	1.2	0.27	9		0.2	1.2
Education, health, and social work	1.4	1.00	1		–2.7	1.5
Other personal services	1.3	0.10	23		–3.2	1.3

continued on next page

Singapore 3.8B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
PRODUCTION LENGTH OF GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS						
Backward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (B)	1.5	1.00	1	▲	0.3	1.4
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.5	0.89	2		0.1	1.4
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.00	23		0.0	0.0
Light manufacturing	1.8	0.95	2		–1.9	2.0
Heavy manufacturing	2.6	0.98	2		–0.3	2.6
Utilities	2.3	1.00	1		0.9	2.2
Construction	2.0	0.95	2		–0.5	1.9
Trade services	1.4	1.00	1		2.1	1.3
Hotels and restaurants	1.3	0.83	3		0.9	1.3
Transport services	2.3	1.00	1		1.5	2.2
Telecommunications	1.7	1.00	1		2.5	1.6
Financial intermediation	1.0	1.00	1		1.7	1.0
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.1	1.00	1		0.1	1.0
Public administration and defense	1.5	1.00	1		2.2	1.5
Education, health, and social work	0.7	0.64	3		–1.4	0.7
Other personal services	0.7	0.41	8		–2.2	0.7
Forward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (F)	1.2	0.86	2	▲	0.7	1.2
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.3	0.83	3		0.4	1.5
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.00	23		0.0	0.0
Light manufacturing	1.3	0.91	2		0.3	1.4
Heavy manufacturing	2.1	0.76	5		0.7	2.1
Utilities	1.6	0.57	5		7.4	1.6
Construction	0.2	0.24	5		3.0	0.2
Trade services	2.1	1.00	1		0.9	2.1
Hotels and restaurants	0.6	0.32	6		4.6	0.6
Transport services	2.5	1.00	1		1.6	2.5
Telecommunications	2.0	1.00	1		0.7	2.0
Financial intermediation	1.9	0.76	2		1.2	1.9
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.9	1.00	1		2.7	1.6
Public administration and defense	0.1	0.18	8		3.2	0.1
Education, health, and social work	0.2	1.00	1		–7.2	0.2
Other personal services	0.2	0.08	16		–6.7	0.2

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.9 Thailand

3.9A Internal Linkages

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input-Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
STRUCTURE OF THE ECONOMY							
Economic Indicators							
Gross output	(\$)	1,179,007	0.03	7	▲	4.1	965,413
Gross value-added	(\$)	459,855	0.03	7	▲	5.8	361,642
Value-added content of domestic final demand		287,143	0.03	7		4.6	232,053
Value-added content of exports		172,712	0.07	8		8.2	129,589
Intermediate inputs	(\$)	689,565	0.03	6	▲	3.1	582,188
Domestic inputs		533,645	0.02	6		3.5	448,006
Foreign inputs		155,920	0.10	9		2.8	134,182
Direct production	(\$)	645,362	0.04	7	▲	5.0	517,408
Domestic final demand		390,525	0.03	7		4.1	320,464
Exports		254,836	0.09	7		6.8	196,943
Indirect production	(\$)	533,645	0.02	6	▲	3.5	448,006
Indirect production embedded in domestic final demand		311,453	0.02	6		3.0	265,541
Indirect production embedded in exports		222,193	0.04	6		4.5	182,465
Economic Diversification							
Gross output		0.29	0.47	10	▲	–1.3	0.30
Gini concentration index of gross output		0.48	0.52	10		–0.7	0.48
Herfindahl–Hirschman concentration index of gross output		0.07	0.35	10		–3.4	0.08
Theil concentration index of gross output		0.33	0.45	10		–1.7	0.34

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Gross value-added	0.22	0.18	17	▼	-0.3	0.22
Gini concentration index of gross value added	0.39	0.23	17		-0.3	0.39
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross value added	0.04	0.08	18		-0.7	0.04
Theil concentration index of gross value added	0.23	0.19	17		-0.2	0.22
Gross exports	0.48	0.25	20	▼	-2.8	0.53
Gini concentration index of gross exports	0.69	0.41	20		-1.5	0.73
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross exports	0.16	0.13	20		-7.1	0.22
Theil concentration index of gross exports	0.59	0.34	20		-2.4	0.64
Structural Changes						
Changes in output due to technological changes	0.3%	0.80	7	▲	–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	-2.0%	0.42	24			
Mining and quarrying	14.6%	0.87	2			
Light manufacturing	-1.5%	0.26	23			
Heavy manufacturing	-1.9%	0.62	20			
Utilities	-1.2%	0.35	21			
Construction	-0.2%	0.09	20			
Trade services	0.0%	0.44	14			
Hotels and restaurants	1.0%	0.12	6			
Transport services	-3.2%	0.16	23			
Telecommunications	-1.4%	0.09	21			
Financial intermediation	1.3%	0.59	5			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	-0.1%	0.63	16			
Public administration and defense	-0.1%	0.34	20			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.69	18			
Other personal services	-0.3%	0.35	20			
Changes in output due to structural changes in consumption (%)	2.4%	0.29	19	▼	–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	-0.6%	0.08	24			
Mining and quarrying	0.8%	0.07	14			
Light manufacturing	0.3%	0.15	24			
Heavy manufacturing	0.7%	0.18	17			
Utilities	3.1%	0.35	14			
Construction	0.1%	0.20	20			
Trade services	1.3%	0.31	21			
Hotels and restaurants	11.7%	0.38	2			
Transport services	-0.2%	0.10	24			
Telecommunications	1.6%	0.42	21			
Financial intermediation	4.4%	0.52	10			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.9%	0.12	18			
Public administration and defense	3.9%	0.31	19			
Education, health, and social work	3.4%	0.13	19			
Other personal services	3.7%	0.34	17			
Changes in output due to structural changes in investment (%)	0.3%	0.10	22	▼	–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1%	0.06	21			
Mining and quarrying	0.7%	0.20	15			
Light manufacturing	0.2%	0.06	24			

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.4%	0.25	12			
Utilities	0.4%	0.36	13			
Construction	–0.3%	0.01	24			
Trade services	0.8%	0.28	14			
Hotels and restaurants	0.1%	0.33	15			
Transport services	0.3%	0.08	16			
Telecommunications	0.2%	0.13	20			
Financial intermediation	0.5%	0.09	17			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.5%	0.24	15			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.03	14			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.01	22			
Other personal services	0.1%	0.14	18			
Changes in output due to structural changes in stock (%)	–0.1%	0.22	19		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.0%	0.52	14			
Mining and quarrying	–0.3%	0.35	23			
Light manufacturing	0.0%	0.17	14			
Heavy manufacturing	–0.5%	0.01	24			
Utilities	–0.2%	0.01	24			
Construction	0.0%	0.75	16			
Trade services	–0.1%	0.10	22			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.43	19			
Transport services	0.1%	0.07	8			
Telecommunications	0.0%	0.41	20			
Financial intermediation	–0.1%	0.88	23			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.1%	0.11	23			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.64	22			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.23	16			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.40	19			
Changes in output due to structural changes in export	2.3%	0.51	10		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.9%	0.68	6			
Mining and quarrying	2.4%	0.21	8			
Light manufacturing	2.1%	0.26	9			
Heavy manufacturing	1.9%	0.39	13			
Utilities	2.1%	0.10	7			
Construction	0.1%	0.04	18			
Trade services	3.8%	0.44	7			
Hotels and restaurants	4.9%	0.42	6			
Transport services	2.1%	0.59	11			
Telecommunications	3.2%	0.34	7			
Financial intermediation	2.6%	0.47	7			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	2.5%	0.68	7			
Public administration and defense	0.1%	0.13	16			
Education, health, and social work	0.3%	0.15	7			
Other personal services	3.1%	0.65	3			

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Changes in output due to import substitution on intermediate demand	–1.3%	0.22	23		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.0%	0.77	2			
Mining and quarrying	–19.1%	0.14	24			
Light manufacturing	0.0%	0.81	11			
Heavy manufacturing	0.2%	0.26	14			
Utilities	–0.2%	0.64	17			
Construction	0.0%	0.86	14			
Trade services	–0.2%	0.72	19			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.97	15			
Transport services	0.5%	0.61	3			
Telecommunications	–0.4%	0.88	18			
Financial intermediation	–0.3%	0.51	21			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–1.3%	0.43	23			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.63	17			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.59	11			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.52	8			
Changes in output due to import substitution on domestic final demand	–0.1%	0.74	10		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.2%	0.61	3			
Mining and quarrying	–0.1%	0.44	18			
Light manufacturing	–0.2%	0.77	12			
Heavy manufacturing	–0.2%	0.53	17			
Utilities	–0.1%	0.68	13			
Construction	0.0%	0.67	12			
Trade services	–0.1%	0.83	16			
Hotels and restaurants	0.2%	0.99	2			
Transport services	–0.8%	0.61	22			
Telecommunications	–0.1%	0.73	11			
Financial intermediation	–0.1%	0.79	12			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.1%	0.50	15			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.33	15			
Education, health, and social work	0.1%	0.88	3			
Other personal services	0.2%	0.95	2			

STRENGTH OF LINKAGES

Demand-side Linkages

Direct backward linkage	0.42	0.65	3		–0.7	0.43
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.26	0.34	12		–0.8	0.26
Mining and quarrying	0.61	1.00	1		–0.3	0.62
Light manufacturing	0.60	0.78	7		–0.1	0.61
Heavy manufacturing	0.51	0.56	7		–0.4	0.53
Utilities	0.38	0.41	13		–1.1	0.39
Construction	0.52	0.51	8		–1.1	0.55
Trade services	0.33	0.45	6		–0.9	0.33
Hotels and restaurants	0.52	0.75	6		–0.5	0.53
Transport services	0.48	0.86	5		–0.5	0.49
Telecommunications	0.46	0.77	5		–0.2	0.46

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.26	0.26	12		–0.7	0.26
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.41	0.98	3		–1.2	0.42
Public administration and defense	0.26	0.46	16		–1.0	0.26
Education, health, and social work	0.36	0.68	3		–0.7	0.36
Other personal services	0.29	0.50	12		–1.3	0.30
Total backward linkage	1.74	0.44	5		–0.6	1.78
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.46	0.32	11		–0.5	1.49
Mining and quarrying	2.06	0.86	2		–0.6	2.11
Light manufacturing	2.05	0.53	6		–0.4	2.10
Heavy manufacturing	1.96	0.37	5		–0.6	2.03
Utilities	1.66	0.27	10		–0.9	1.70
Construction	1.98	0.35	5		–0.9	2.07
Trade services	1.57	0.66	5		–0.6	1.60
Hotels and restaurants	1.93	0.56	6		–0.6	1.97
Transport services	1.87	0.67	4		–0.6	1.92
Telecommunications	1.78	0.68	5		–0.4	1.80
Financial intermediation	1.43	0.09	8		–0.5	1.45
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.71	0.67	2		–0.9	1.76
Public administration and defense	1.46	0.47	12		–0.6	1.49
Education, health, and social work	1.65	0.48	3		–0.6	1.68
Other personal services	1.51	0.39	10		–0.8	1.55
Normalized backward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.84	0.14	22		0.2	0.84
Mining and quarrying	1.19	1.00	1		0.0	1.19
Light manufacturing	1.18	0.55	16		0.3	1.18
Heavy manufacturing	1.13	0.46	8		–0.1	1.14
Utilities	0.96	0.19	16		–0.3	0.96
Construction	1.14	0.35	14		–0.3	1.16
Trade services	0.90	0.32	13		0.0	0.90
Hotels and restaurants	1.11	0.45	14		0.1	1.11
Transport services	1.08	0.54	4		0.1	1.08
Telecommunications	1.02	0.65	9		0.2	1.01
Financial intermediation	0.82	0.07	21		0.2	0.81
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.98	0.64	4		–0.3	0.99
Public administration and defense	0.84	0.32	20		0.1	0.84
Education, health, and social work	0.95	0.66	8		0.1	0.94
Other personal services	0.87	0.20	19		–0.1	0.87
Net backward linkage	0.94	0.60	9		–0.2	0.96
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.48	0.30	19		–2.4	0.57
Mining and quarrying	0.19	0.13	18		0.1	0.08
Light manufacturing	0.96	0.41	17		–1.5	1.08
Heavy manufacturing	1.04	0.70	7		0.1	1.03
Utilities	0.23	0.00	25		–1.3	0.28
Construction	1.91	0.58	2		–0.9	1.99
Trade services	0.70	0.24	19		1.4	0.71

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.74	0.89	3		–0.6	1.78
<i>Transport services</i>	0.99	0.53	6		0.5	1.00
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.87	0.55	8		1.8	0.85
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.43	0.31	18		4.7	0.43
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.49	0.35	24		1.3	0.50
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.43	0.50	10		–0.6	1.44
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.64	0.60	2		–0.6	1.67
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.05	0.69	11		0.1	1.06
Growth equalized output multipliers	0.12	0.39	3		–0.7	0.13
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.08	0.23	18		–1.1	0.10
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.02	0.04	16		–3.5	0.02
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.29	0.48	8		–1.2	0.32
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.48	0.59	5		–2.8	0.54
<i>Utilities</i>	0.06	0.31	6		0.2	0.06
<i>Construction</i>	0.05	0.00	25		–2.6	0.06
<i>Trade services</i>	0.23	0.54	4		1.5	0.21
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.19	0.44	2		12.3	0.10
<i>Transport services</i>	0.06	0.20	21		–3.6	0.08
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.02	0.18	19		–0.5	0.02
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.06	0.21	10		3.6	0.06
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.11	0.39	13		–1.2	0.12
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.06	0.30	13		0.0	0.07
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.08	0.40	9		0.4	0.08
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.03	0.15	14		1.6	0.03
Supply-Side Linkages						
Direct forward linkage	0.46	0.57	5		–0.5	0.46
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.67	0.73	8		1.7	0.61
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.91	0.92	3		–0.7	0.96
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.53	0.63	4		1.2	0.49
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.47	0.60	14		–0.5	0.49
<i>Utilities</i>	0.86	0.93	2		0.2	0.84
<i>Construction</i>	0.04	0.08	23		1.4	0.04
<i>Trade services</i>	0.55	0.72	4		–1.1	0.56
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.10	0.17	20		1.3	0.10
<i>Transport services</i>	0.47	0.53	11		–1.0	0.48
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.51	0.57	12		–1.5	0.53
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.70	0.74	9		–1.2	0.70
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.71	0.75	2		–0.7	0.72
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.02	0.11	14		0.7	0.03
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.01	0.00	25		1.3	0.01
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.30	0.33	13		–1.5	0.31
Total forward linkage	1.84	0.39	4		–0.6	1.87
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	2.17	0.53	7		0.7	2.09
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	2.78	0.58	3		–0.6	2.89
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.88	0.41	5		0.2	1.84

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.86	0.41	9		–0.6	1.92
Utilities	2.53	0.50	4		–0.5	2.56
Construction	1.05	0.05	23		0.0	1.05
Trade services	1.99	0.53	4		–1.0	2.03
Hotels and restaurants	1.18	0.14	18		–0.1	1.18
Transport services	1.87	0.38	6		–0.9	1.90
Telecommunications	1.98	0.61	6		–1.5	2.06
Financial intermediation	2.38	0.29	4		–1.3	2.44
Real estate, renting, and business activities	2.36	0.80	3		–1.0	2.44
Public administration and defense	1.05	0.14	13		–0.2	1.06
Education, health, and social work	1.01	0.01	21		0.0	1.01
Other personal services	1.55	0.41	8		–1.1	1.59
Normalized forward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.18	0.57	11		1.3	1.12
Mining and quarrying	1.60	0.83	7		0.0	1.63
Light manufacturing	1.08	0.54	12		0.9	1.03
Heavy manufacturing	1.07	0.44	18		0.0	1.08
Utilities	1.45	0.69	11		0.1	1.44
Construction	0.60	0.12	23		0.8	0.59
Trade services	1.14	0.48	8		–0.4	1.14
Hotels and restaurants	0.68	0.00	25		0.6	0.66
Transport services	1.07	0.38	13		–0.2	1.07
Telecommunications	1.14	0.39	14		–0.9	1.16
Financial intermediation	1.37	0.26	10		–0.7	1.37
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.36	0.79	3		–0.4	1.37
Public administration and defense	0.60	0.23	21		0.6	0.60
Education, health, and social work	0.58	0.22	24		0.8	0.57
Other personal services	0.89	0.24	15		–0.5	0.89
Net forward linkage	0.84	0.42	15		0.1	0.83
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.46	0.70	4		1.1	1.40
Mining and quarrying	0.53	0.23	22		–0.2	0.55
Light manufacturing	0.44	0.29	17		0.5	0.42
Heavy manufacturing	0.38	0.38	21		0.6	0.38
Utilities	1.08	0.56	6		0.2	1.07
Construction	0.27	0.14	23		2.7	0.25
Trade services	1.18	0.57	9		–0.1	1.18
Hotels and restaurants	0.47	0.19	18		1.1	0.46
Transport services	0.71	0.32	14		0.2	0.70
Telecommunications	0.90	0.33	19		–0.8	0.92
Financial intermediation	1.67	0.63	6		–0.9	1.70
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.19	0.56	12		0.5	1.18
Public administration and defense	0.72	0.59	13		0.5	0.72
Education, health, and social work	0.56	0.14	24		1.0	0.55
Other personal services	1.00	0.67	7		–0.2	1.00

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0-1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Growth equalized input multipliers	0.12	0.39	3	▲	-0.8	0.12
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.16	0.34	15		-1.2	0.19
Mining and quarrying	0.01	0.02	18		-4.5	0.02
Light manufacturing	0.18	0.50	7		-1.4	0.20
Heavy manufacturing	0.25	0.50	5		-2.9	0.29
Utilities	0.09	0.46	4		-0.4	0.10
Construction	0.02	0.00	25		-1.6	0.02
Trade services	0.38	0.90	3		0.5	0.36
Hotels and restaurants	0.12	0.53	2		13.0	0.07
Transport services	0.06	0.26	21		-4.4	0.08
Telecommunications	0.03	0.12	17		-2.2	0.03
Financial intermediation	0.15	0.40	5		1.6	0.14
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.19	0.44	8		-1.6	0.20
Public administration and defense	0.06	0.31	13		-0.4	0.07
Education, health, and social work	0.06	0.33	16		0.4	0.06
Other personal services	0.04	0.28	10		0.6	0.04
Impacts from Hypothetical Extraction						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical extraction	-10.2%	0.51	24	▲	-0.2	-0.10
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	-6.0%	0.79	8		-0.5	-7.1
Mining and quarrying	-2.0%	0.95	13		-3.2	-2.7
Light manufacturing	-25.6%	0.46	16		-1.0	-28.3
Heavy manufacturing	-35.1%	0.32	20		-2.1	-38.0
Utilities	-5.0%	0.75	21		0.2	-5.0
Construction	-5.7%	1.00	1		-2.8	-6.8
Trade services	-18.5%	0.60	22		1.7	-16.8
Hotels and restaurants	-19.4%	0.56	24		12.3	-10.7
Transport services	-5.7%	0.78	4		-3.2	-7.4
Telecommunications	-1.9%	0.77	8		-0.1	-2.1
Financial intermediation	-4.8%	0.81	15		3.8	-4.3
Real estate, renting, and business activities	-9.3%	0.58	14		-1.1	-9.8
Public administration and defense	-4.9%	0.75	11		0.1	-5.2
Education, health, and social work	-6.9%	0.58	20		0.6	-7.2
Other personal services	-2.5%	0.89	11		1.6	-2.3
Impacts from Hypothetical Insertion						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical insertion	5.4%	0.38	3	▲	-1.2	0.06
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.0%	0.08	14		-1.6	2.5
Mining and quarrying	1.0%	0.09	9		-3.8	1.4
Light manufacturing	16.5%	0.46	9		-1.0	18.9
Heavy manufacturing	25.4%	0.39	3		-2.6	29.5
Utilities	2.3%	0.27	6		-0.8	2.4
Construction	2.8%	0.01	24		-3.1	3.5
Trade services	7.1%	0.47	2		0.8	6.7
Hotels and restaurants	9.4%	0.61	2		11.8	5.3
Transport services	2.9%	0.40	13		-4.0	3.9
Telecommunications	0.9%	0.23	12		-0.6	1.0
Financial intermediation	1.5%	0.12	12		2.8	1.4

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Real estate, renting, and business activities	4.4%	0.65	8		–2.2	4.9
Public administration and defense	1.6%	0.37	14		–1.0	1.7
Education, health, and social work	2.7%	0.49	5		–0.2	2.9
Other personal services	0.9%	0.11	13		0.4	0.8

SPREAD OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES

Demand-side

Relative evenness of direct backward linkage	0.30	0.15	22	▼	1.0	0.30
Relative evenness of total backward linkage	0.13	0.08	19	▼	0.1	0.13

Backward measure of concentration based on input coefficients	0.93	0.84	8	▲	0.0	0.92
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.93	0.87	9		0.1	0.93
Mining and quarrying	0.85	0.13	23		0.0	0.85
Light manufacturing	0.93	0.80	4		–0.1	0.93
Heavy manufacturing	0.81	0.73	15		0.5	0.80
Utilities	0.94	0.87	8		0.0	0.93
Construction	0.90	0.83	16		0.0	0.89
Trade services	0.96	0.95	6		0.0	0.96
Hotels and restaurants	0.91	0.80	13		0.2	0.90
Transport services	0.92	0.55	15		0.0	0.92
Telecommunications	0.95	0.88	4		0.0	0.95
Financial intermediation	0.93	0.94	9		0.0	0.93
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.96	0.97	3		0.0	0.96
Public administration and defense	0.97	0.69	9		0.0	0.97
Education, health, and social work	0.95	0.76	10		0.1	0.95
Other personal services	0.97	0.95	6		0.0	0.97

Relative evenness of direct forward linkage	0.66	0.53	10	▲	0.1	0.67
Relative evenness of total forward linkage	0.31	0.35	3	▲	–0.7	0.32

Forward measure of concentration based on output coefficients	0.71	0.80	4	▲	0.1	0.71
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.38	0.47	14		1.0	0.35
Mining and quarrying	0.41	0.57	11		–0.4	0.43
Light manufacturing	0.71	0.74	12		–0.3	0.72
Heavy manufacturing	0.54	0.39	18		1.3	0.53
Utilities	0.80	0.73	11		–0.3	0.82
Construction	0.70	0.81	6		0.4	0.67
Trade services	0.77	0.70	9		0.0	0.77
Hotels and restaurants	0.73	0.55	16		–0.3	0.76
Transport services	0.81	0.84	6		0.2	0.80
Telecommunications	0.86	0.82	6		0.2	0.85
Financial intermediation	0.80	0.88	9		–0.1	0.80
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.91	0.92	3		0.4	0.90
Public administration and defense	0.80	0.87	4		0.1	0.79
Education, health, and social work	0.60	0.64	13		–0.7	0.62
Other personal services	0.77	0.68	11		0.4	0.76

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
SENSITIVITY OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES						
Economic Complexity						
Percentage intermediate transaction	0.45	0.55	3	▲	–0.7	0.47
Average output multiplier	1.74	0.44	5	▲	–0.6	1.78
Average of Leontief inverse	0.23	0.44	5	▲	–0.7	0.23
Average technical coefficient	0.03	0.65	3	▲	–0.7	0.03
Mean intermediate coefficient	0.42	0.65	3	▲	–0.7	0.43
Percentage of above-average coefficients (%)	0.31	0.76	3	▲	–0.2	0.31
Determinant of non-competitive Leontief inverse	3.29	0.07	13	▼	–1.5	3.58
Determinant of competitive Leontief inverse	5.42	0.05	13	▼	–2.5	6.10
Mean path length	1.83	0.39	3	▲	–0.7	1.88
Cycling index	0.15	0.49	7	▲	–2.2	0.17
Average propagation length	2.01	0.49	5	▲	–0.6	2.05
Overall sensitivity of the economy	0.77	0.69	8	▲	0.1	0.77
Index of direct interrelatedness	0.63	0.59	7	▲	0.0	0.63
Index of indirect interrelatedness	0.14	0.45	12	▲	3.2	0.14
Global intensity index	26.09	0.44	5	▲	–0.6	26.71

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.9B External Linkages

\$ = United States dollars, GVC = global value chain.

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1, while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adb.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value	
PARTICIPATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS							
International Trade Coefficients							
Trade openness	0.91	0.32	12	▼	–1.3	0.95	
Self-sufficiency ratio	1.05	0.78	5	▲	0.8	1.02	
Regional supply percentage	0.82	0.64	11	▲	0.2	0.81	
Regional purchase coefficient	0.84	0.71	11	▲	–0.1	0.85	
Sectoral purchase coefficient	0.88	0.90	12	▲	0.1	0.88	
Simple location quotient of gross output	1.04	0.32	16	▼	1.7	0.96	
Fabrication effect	1.09	0.87	4	▲	–0.8	1.11	
Exports	(\$)	254,836	0.09	7	▲	6.8	196,943
Export-to-output ratio		0.16	0.50	9	▼	7.2	0.14
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.20	0.87	3		10.8	0.16
Mining and quarrying		0.09	0.10	12		–6.2	0.07
Light manufacturing		0.29	0.53	9		2.2	0.29
Heavy manufacturing		0.30	0.35	11		1.0	0.30
Utilities		0.01	0.01	13		22.3	0.01
Construction		0.00	0.02	18		–23.6	0.00
Trade services		0.26	0.34	8		11.0	0.21
Hotels and restaurants		0.22	0.23	8		11.8	0.18
Transport services		0.29	0.38	7		12.3	0.23
Telecommunications		0.23	0.73	5		13.6	0.19

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9B *continued*

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation		0.03	0.06	13		12.2	0.02
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.10	0.32	5		13.3	0.08
Public administration and defense		0.00	0.00	22		–28.0	0.00
Education, health, and social work		0.04	0.47	7		12.6	0.03
Other personal services		0.30	0.37	2		7.6	0.26
Imports	(\$)	202,639	0.10	10	▲	3.0	175,023
Import-to-input ratio		0.10	0.37	14	▼	–0.6	0.10
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.05	0.13	16		–1.1	0.05
Mining and quarrying		0.16	0.38	5		2.6	0.15
Light manufacturing		0.14	0.23	12		–0.1	0.14
Heavy manufacturing		0.26	0.32	10		1.1	0.26
Utilities		0.17	0.25	12		3.1	0.17
Construction		0.19	0.42	9		0.6	0.18
Trade services		0.07	0.25	12		–2.7	0.07
Hotels and restaurants		0.04	0.10	23		–4.8	0.05
Transport services		0.11	0.19	18		–1.3	0.11
Telecommunications		0.06	0.15	18		–3.3	0.07
Financial intermediation		0.02	0.10	15		–3.5	0.03
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.07	0.37	8		–2.5	0.07
Public administration and defense		0.04	0.28	15		–2.4	0.05
Education, health, and social work		0.07	0.37	11		–2.6	0.08
Other personal services		0.04	0.11	17		–3.4	0.05
Total foreign factor content of consumption	(\$)	55,200	0.11	7	▲	2.0	50,094
Total foreign factor content of investment	(\$)	32,859	0.05	6	▲	2.9	27,374
Total foreign factor content of exports	(\$)	70,198	0.19	8	▲	3.9	58,768
Interregional Multipliers							
Import Leakage Effects		0.19	0.42	12	▼	–1.8	0.20
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.11	0.22	14		–1.8	0.12
Mining and quarrying		0.28	0.59	4		–0.6	0.29
Light manufacturing		0.26	0.38	11		–1.2	0.27
Heavy manufacturing		0.43	0.49	8		–0.7	0.44
Utilities		0.26	0.34	12		0.0	0.27
Construction		0.34	0.56	8		–1.1	0.34
Trade services		0.13	0.29	11		–3.0	0.14
Hotels and restaurants		0.15	0.29	14		–3.1	0.17
Transport services		0.23	0.29	17		–1.8	0.25
Telecommunications		0.14	0.22	13		–3.2	0.16
Financial intermediation		0.07	0.15	13		–3.5	0.07
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.14	0.47	7		–3.3	0.16
Public administration and defense		0.10	0.29	15		–3.0	0.11
Education, health, and social work		0.16	0.67	5		–2.5	0.18
Other personal services		0.10	0.22	16		–3.5	0.12
Intraregional transfer multiplier		1.74	0.44	5	▲	–0.6	1.78
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		1.46	0.32	11		–0.5	1.49
Mining and quarrying		2.06	0.86	2		–0.6	2.11

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Light manufacturing	2.05	0.53	6		–0.4	2.10
Heavy manufacturing	1.96	0.37	5		–0.6	2.03
Utilities	1.66	0.27	10		–0.9	1.70
Construction	1.98	0.35	5		–0.9	2.07
Trade services	1.57	0.66	5		–0.6	1.60
Hotels and restaurants	1.93	0.56	6		–0.6	1.97
Transport services	1.87	0.67	4		–0.6	1.92
Telecommunications	1.78	0.68	5		–0.4	1.80
Financial intermediation	1.43	0.09	8		–0.5	1.45
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.71	0.67	2		–0.9	1.76
Public administration and defense	1.46	0.47	12		–0.6	1.49
Education, health, and social work	1.65	0.48	3		–0.6	1.68
Other personal services	1.51	0.39	10		–0.8	1.55
Interregional spillover multiplier	1.24	0.39	15		–0.2	1.25
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.13	0.18	16		–0.1	1.12
Mining and quarrying	1.35	0.41	6		0.1	1.36
Light manufacturing	1.32	0.23	14		–0.1	1.31
Heavy manufacturing	1.66	0.42	10		0.3	1.65
Utilities	1.38	0.26	14		0.5	1.38
Construction	1.48	0.47	10		0.1	1.46
Trade services	1.16	0.30	11		–0.5	1.17
Hotels and restaurants	1.09	0.10	23		–0.5	1.12
Transport services	1.27	0.21	18		–0.5	1.28
Telecommunications	1.14	0.15	19		–0.8	1.17
Financial intermediation	1.05	0.12	15		–0.4	1.06
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.16	0.45	8		–0.5	1.17
Public administration and defense	1.11	0.25	14		–0.3	1.12
Education, health, and social work	1.19	0.46	8		–0.4	1.21
Other personal services	1.11	0.10	17		–0.5	1.12
Interregional feedback multiplier	1.000	0.23	8		0.0	1.00
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.000	0.23	9		0.0	1.00
Mining and quarrying	1.001	0.12	6		0.0	1.00
Light manufacturing	1.001	0.27	10		0.0	1.00
Heavy manufacturing	1.001	0.20	9		0.0	1.00
Utilities	1.001	0.16	9		0.0	1.00
Construction	1.001	0.37	7		0.0	1.00
Trade services	1.000	0.17	8		0.0	1.00
Hotels and restaurants	1.000	0.13	11		0.0	1.00
Transport services	1.001	0.13	11		0.0	1.00
Telecommunications	1.000	0.11	8		0.0	1.00
Financial intermediation	1.000	0.09	9		0.0	1.00
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.000	0.13	5		0.0	1.00
Public administration and defense	1.000	0.16	7		0.0	1.00
Education, health, and social work	1.000	0.34	7		0.0	1.00
Other personal services	1.000	0.09	8		0.0	1.00

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Global Value Chain (GVC) Participation Index						
Backward GVC Participation	22.6%	0.40	9	▼	-2.3	0.25
Simple GVCs	13.2%	0.62	7	▲	-2.8	0.15
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1	0.05	21		-9.6	0.25
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.10	24		1.0	-0.02
Light manufacturing	1.3	0.27	12		-6.1	2.05
Heavy manufacturing	4.6	1.00	1		-1.4	4.85
Utilities	0.2	0.19	13		2.3	0.29
Construction	1.7	0.11	19		-3.7	2.01
Trade services	0.6	0.22	13		-4.3	0.69
Hotels and restaurants	1.9	1.00	1		6.7	1.20
Transport services	0.3	0.19	20		-8.4	0.58
Telecommunications	0.1	0.07	18		-4.9	0.11
Financial intermediation	0.1	0.11	11		5.0	0.12
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.3	0.17	16		-4.6	0.40
Public administration and defense	0.6	0.14	14		-2.8	0.68
Education, health, and social work	1.2	0.68	5		-2.4	1.34
Other personal services	0.1	0.09	17		-3.0	0.14
Complex GVCs	9.4%	0.27	9	▼	-1.4	0.10
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1	0.10	15		-1.7	0.15
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.05	10		-3.5	0.01
Light manufacturing	1.5	0.13	6		-3.6	1.86
Heavy manufacturing	4.1	0.23	5		-1.2	4.39
Utilities	0.1	0.15	16		0.0	0.08
Construction	0.6	0.08	20		-4.4	0.69
Trade services	0.5	0.08	8		0.9	0.48
Hotels and restaurants	1.2	0.15	3		11.4	0.69
Transport services	0.4	0.16	18		-2.8	0.47
Telecommunications	0.0	0.06	19		-3.9	0.05
Financial intermediation	0.0	0.03	11		4.1	0.04
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.1	0.12	17		-4.3	0.13
Public administration and defense	0.2	0.17	11		-2.9	0.24
Education, health, and social work	0.5	0.62	4		-1.3	0.52
Other personal services	0.1	0.21	13		-0.8	0.09
Forward GVC Participation	23.5%	0.47	11	▼	0.9	0.23
Simple GVCs	15.2%	0.44	9	▼	1.2	0.15
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.5	0.72	4		3.9	1.65
Mining and quarrying	0.1	0.01	14		-2.3	0.15
Light manufacturing	2.0	0.54	3		-0.5	2.19
Heavy manufacturing	3.2	0.29	6		-2.7	3.58
Utilities	0.5	0.07	5		0.7	0.48
Construction	0.0	0.00	24		42.8	0.01
Trade services	3.7	0.45	4		4.5	3.30
Hotels and restaurants	0.4	0.05	5		18.9	0.22
Transport services	0.6	0.14	13		2.1	0.74
Telecommunications	0.3	0.20	8		7.3	0.31

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.8	0.16	7		4.2	0.74
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.4	0.24	5		2.7	1.42
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.02	14		1.5	0.03
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.05	18		11.5	0.01
Other personal services	0.5	0.84	2		8.2	0.44
Complex GVCs	8.2%	0.45	10	▼	0.5	0.08
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.7	0.40	5		2.7	0.76
Mining and quarrying	0.1	0.01	14		–2.4	0.09
Light manufacturing	1.0	0.50	2		–0.3	1.03
Heavy manufacturing	2.0	0.30	8		–3.8	2.18
Utilities	0.2	0.07	5		0.2	0.25
Construction	0.0	0.00	24		22.9	0.00
Trade services	2.2	0.70	3		5.2	1.69
Hotels and restaurants	0.2	0.04	5		20.6	0.11
Transport services	0.3	0.18	15		0.4	0.34
Telecommunications	0.1	0.19	10		4.2	0.12
Financial intermediation	0.4	0.22	7		3.7	0.37
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.7	0.22	5		1.4	0.68
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.02	15		0.8	0.02
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.04	21		12.0	0.01
Other personal services	0.2	0.84	4		7.0	0.13

SPECIALIZATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Vertical Specialization

Vertical Specialization Ratio	27.5%	0.45	7	▼	–3.0	0.30
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	11.2%	0.22	14		–1.8	0.12
Mining and quarrying	27.6%	0.59	4		–0.6	0.29
Light manufacturing	26.4%	0.38	11		–1.2	0.27
Heavy manufacturing	42.9%	0.49	8		–0.7	0.44
Utilities	26.0%	0.41	12		0.0	0.27
Construction	33.7%	0.56	8		–1.1	0.34
Trade services	13.0%	0.29	11		–3.0	0.14
Hotels and restaurants	14.8%	0.29	15		–3.1	0.17
Transport services	23.5%	0.29	17		–1.8	0.25
Telecommunications	14.3%	0.22	13		–3.2	0.16
Financial intermediation	6.6%	0.15	13		–3.5	0.07
Real estate, renting, and business activities	14.3%	0.47	7		–3.3	0.16
Public administration and defense	9.9%	0.29	15		–10.6	0.09
Education, health, and social work	16.2%	0.67	6		–2.5	0.17
Other personal services	10.4%	0.22	16		–3.5	0.12

Revealed Comparative Advantages

Traditional RCA Index

Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.68	0.28	10	▼	6.5	1.73
Mining and quarrying	0.06	0.01	15	▼	–4.7	0.05
Light manufacturing	1.37	0.24	9	▼	–1.9	1.57

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	0.82	0.45	10	▲	–3.7	0.94
Utilities	0.16	0.00	14	▼	24.0	0.22
Construction	0.04	0.00	22	▼	–27.6	0.06
Trade services	1.82	0.24	5	▲	8.0	1.51
Hotels and restaurants	8.44	0.14	4	▲	18.3	4.35
Transport services	0.78	0.18	13	▼	7.5	0.84
Telecommunications	1.67	0.26	11	▼	11.3	1.64
Financial intermediation	0.13	0.03	12	▼	14.0	0.11
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.37	0.12	7	▼	7.3	0.36
Public administration and defense	0.00	0.00	23	▼	–38.2	0.03
Education, health, and social work	1.63	0.21	5	▲	7.3	1.60
Other personal services	1.90	0.11	4	▲	7.6	1.65

New RCA Index (Based on Domestic Value Added)

Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.92	0.28	11	▼	–0.1	2.15
Mining and quarrying	0.08	0.01	18	▼	–0.1	0.09
Light manufacturing	1.30	0.29	10	▼	–2.3	1.48
Heavy manufacturing	0.81	0.35	10	▲	–3.6	0.94
Utilities	1.26	0.08	5	▼	0.7	1.24
Construction	0.04	0.00	25	▼	20.9	0.04
Trade services	1.88	0.55	2	▲	2.3	1.70
Hotels and restaurants	5.54	0.16	4	▲	17.5	2.90
Transport services	0.65	0.17	19	▼	0.7	0.77
Telecommunications	0.98	0.21	13	▼	3.7	1.06
Financial intermediation	0.87	0.21	9	▲	1.5	0.82
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.52	0.29	8	▲	–0.4	0.56
Public administration and defense	0.17	0.02	18	▼	–0.8	0.25
Education, health, and social work	0.83	0.18	11	▲	8.6	0.79
Other personal services	1.33	0.16	5	▲	4.7	1.18

POSITION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Upstreamness Index	2.3	0.64	5	▲	–0.1	2.3
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.9	0.77	4		1.4	2.7
Mining and quarrying	3.6	0.81	6		–0.4	3.7
Light manufacturing	2.6	0.75	5		0.5	2.5
Heavy manufacturing	2.7	0.63	11		–0.8	2.8
Utilities	3.0	0.48	6		–0.5	3.0
Construction	1.1	0.04	23		0.1	1.1
Trade services	2.7	0.80	4		–0.1	2.7
Hotels and restaurants	1.3	0.17	21		0.3	1.3
Transport services	2.5	0.57	10		0.2	2.5
Telecommunications	2.7	0.80	5		–0.1	2.7
Financial intermediation	2.8	0.36	6		–1.0	2.9
Real estate, renting, and business activities	3.0	0.89	2		–0.4	3.0
Public administration and defense	1.1	0.10	16		–0.2	1.1
Education, health, and social work	1.0	0.02	22		0.0	1.0
Other personal services	2.1	0.60	5		0.2	2.1

continued on next page

Thailand 3.9B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
PRODUCTION LENGTH OF GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS						
Backward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (B)	0.8	0.47	13		-2.3	0.9
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.5	0.25	12		-2.1	0.5
Mining and quarrying	1.2	0.69	4		-1.5	1.2
Light manufacturing	1.1	0.51	11		-1.6	1.2
Heavy manufacturing	1.8	0.66	8		-1.2	1.9
Utilities	1.0	0.36	13		-1.3	1.1
Construction	1.5	0.61	7		-1.7	1.5
Trade services	0.6	0.36	11		-3.4	0.6
Hotels and restaurants	0.7	0.36	15		-3.1	0.8
Transport services	1.0	0.37	17		-2.2	1.1
Telecommunications	0.6	0.29	14		-3.8	0.7
Financial intermediation	0.3	0.22	12		-4.0	0.3
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.6	0.57	6		-3.8	0.7
Public administration and defense	0.5	0.30	14		-3.3	0.5
Education, health, and social work	0.7	0.64	4		-2.6	0.8
Other personal services	0.5	0.27	16		-3.8	0.5
Forward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (F)	0.9	0.64	7		1.3	0.9
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.3	0.80	4		3.9	1.2
Mining and quarrying	1.7	0.43	9		0.1	1.7
Light manufacturing	1.2	0.82	3		1.6	1.1
Heavy manufacturing	1.5	0.53	11		-1.1	1.5
Utilities	1.0	0.33	9		-0.3	1.0
Construction	0.0	0.02	23		20.6	0.0
Trade services	1.3	0.61	7		1.8	1.2
Hotels and restaurants	0.2	0.12	13		4.8	0.2
Transport services	1.2	0.45	10		3.2	1.1
Telecommunications	1.3	0.63	4		4.0	1.2
Financial intermediation	1.0	0.41	8		0.0	1.0
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.2	0.63	2		1.2	1.2
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.04	14		1.0	0.0
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.05	21		7.7	0.0
Other personal services	0.9	0.43	4		4.6	0.9

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.10 Viet Nam

3.10A Internal Linkages

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
STRUCTURE OF THE ECONOMY							
Economic Indicators							
Gross output	(\$)	679,801	0.02	11	▲	11.0	452,023
Gross value-added	(\$)	222,987	0.02	14	▼	9.7	150,754
Value-added content of domestic final demand		116,506	0.01	14		7.2	85,901
Value-added content of exports		106,481	0.04	11		13.4	64,852
Intermediate inputs	(\$)	445,327	0.02	10	▲	11.8	293,119
Domestic inputs		302,781	0.01	10		10.5	207,844
Foreign inputs		142,546	0.09	10		15.5	85,275
Direct production	(\$)	377,021	0.02	12	▼	11.5	244,179
Domestic final demand		187,014	0.01	14		8.5	133,420
Exports		190,006	0.07	11		15.7	110,759
Indirect production	(\$)	302,781	0.01	10	▲	10.5	207,844
Indirect production embedded in domestic final demand		133,378	0.01	11		6.8	104,352
Indirect production embedded in exports		169,402	0.03	9		15.0	103,492
Economic Diversification							
Gross output		0.37	0.77	5	▲	0.0	0.35
Gini concentration index of gross output		0.56	0.80	5		0.0	0.54
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross output		0.11	0.67	5		0.1	0.10
Theil concentration index of gross output		0.43	0.76	6		0.1	0.41

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Gross value-added	0.22	0.17	18	▼	-0.6	0.21
Gini concentration index of gross value added	0.39	0.22	18		-0.5	0.39
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross value added	0.04	0.09	16		-1.0	0.04
Theil concentration index of gross value added	0.22	0.17	18		-0.7	0.22
Gross exports	0.57	0.44	15	▲	0.2	0.56
Gini concentration index of gross exports	0.75	0.59	16		0.2	0.74
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index of gross exports	0.28	0.30	14		0.3	0.26
Theil concentration index of gross exports	0.69	0.57	15		0.2	0.67
Structural Changes						
Changes in output due to technological changes	-0.2%	0.73	15	▼	-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	-1.3%	0.47	20			
Mining and quarrying	-2.2%	0.72	20			
Light manufacturing	-0.2%	0.36	12			
Heavy manufacturing	1.3%	0.75	2			
Utilities	1.6%	0.75	2			
Construction	0.6%	0.20	7			
Trade services	0.3%	0.56	7			
Hotels and restaurants	-0.2%	0.05	23			
Transport services	-0.9%	0.49	19			
Telecommunications	-0.9%	0.14	16			
Financial intermediation	0.0%	0.47	15			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	-0.6%	0.56	22			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.37	14			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.72	14			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.42	16			
Changes in output due to structural changes in consumption (%)	5.1%	0.51	5	▲	-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.2%	0.45	18			
Mining and quarrying	0.9%	0.07	13			
Light manufacturing	2.5%	0.36	9			
Heavy manufacturing	3.1%	0.49	6			
Utilities	6.3%	0.64	4			
Construction	1.7%	0.31	6			
Trade services	3.6%	0.58	11			
Hotels and restaurants	4.4%	0.17	15			
Transport services	2.7%	0.42	12			
Telecommunications	4.1%	0.63	8			
Financial intermediation	5.0%	0.57	7			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	4.7%	0.23	9			
Public administration and defense	9.6%	0.63	6			
Education, health, and social work	16.9%	0.54	2			
Other personal services	8.2%	0.58	5			
Changes in output due to structural changes in investment (%)	0.6%	0.19	18	▼	-	-
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.1%	0.07	20			
Mining and quarrying	0.3%	0.12	17			
Light manufacturing	0.3%	0.10	19			

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	1.0%	0.21	16			
Utilities	0.4%	0.36	14			
Construction	5.8%	0.38	13			
Trade services	0.4%	0.20	19			
Hotels and restaurants	0.1%	0.33	14			
Transport services	0.2%	0.05	18			
Telecommunications	0.1%	0.11	23			
Financial intermediation	0.4%	0.08	18			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.3%	0.21	19			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.01	18			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.01	17			
Other personal services	0.1%	0.12	23			
Changes in output due to structural changes in stock (%)	–0.1%	0.19	20		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.9%	0.27	23			
Mining and quarrying	–0.4%	0.34	24			
Light manufacturing	–0.3%	0.08	22			
Heavy manufacturing	0.2%	0.44	9			
Utilities	–0.2%	0.03	22			
Construction	–0.2%	0.65	23			
Trade services	–0.2%	0.00	25			
Hotels and restaurants	0.0%	0.43	20			
Transport services	0.2%	0.09	5			
Telecommunications	0.0%	0.43	18			
Financial intermediation	–0.1%	0.89	21			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.1%	0.13	22			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.64	16			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.23	20			
Other personal services	0.0%	0.41	15			
Changes in output due to structural changes in export	4.6%	0.98	2		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	6.5%	1.00	1			
Mining and quarrying	6.5%	0.37	3			
Light manufacturing	9.3%	0.83	3			
Heavy manufacturing	7.8%	1.00	1			
Utilities	6.3%	0.24	2			
Construction	0.3%	0.08	10			
Trade services	9.0%	1.00	1			
Hotels and restaurants	5.5%	0.47	4			
Transport services	6.0%	1.00	1			
Telecommunications	1.8%	0.22	9			
Financial intermediation	4.3%	0.71	4			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	3.0%	0.79	3			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.13	17			
Education, health, and social work	1.2%	0.50	2			
Other personal services	1.1%	0.23	12			

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Changes in output due to import substitution on intermediate demand	–0.3%	0.34	17		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.5%	0.64	19			
Mining and quarrying	–0.1%	0.31	20			
Light manufacturing	–0.3%	0.79	20			
Heavy manufacturing	–1.3%	0.19	22			
Utilities	–0.5%	0.57	22			
Construction	0.0%	0.84	17			
Trade services	–0.3%	0.70	22			
Hotels and restaurants	–0.1%	0.96	17			
Transport services	–0.2%	0.36	20			
Telecommunications	–0.1%	0.93	12			
Financial intermediation	–1.2%	0.21	23			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.3%	0.65	19			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.64	16			
Education, health, and social work	0.0%	0.56	17			
Other personal services	–0.1%	0.47	17			
Changes in output due to import substitution on domestic final demand	–0.1%	0.71	13		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	–0.4%	0.44	18			
Mining and quarrying	–0.2%	0.38	20			
Light manufacturing	–0.2%	0.77	13			
Heavy manufacturing	0.3%	0.60	7			
Utilities	–0.1%	0.66	15			
Construction	0.0%	0.67	13			
Trade services	0.0%	0.89	9			
Hotels and restaurants	–0.3%	0.37	18			
Transport services	–0.2%	0.79	19			
Telecommunications	–0.3%	0.64	16			
Financial intermediation	–0.4%	0.65	19			
Real estate, renting, and business activities	–0.1%	0.51	13			
Public administration and defense	0.0%	0.33	14			
Education, health, and social work	0.1%	0.90	2			
Other personal services	–0.2%	0.91	17			

STRENGTH OF LINKAGES

Demand-side Linkages

Direct backward linkage	0.35	0.41	10		0.0	0.36
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.42	0.63	3		–0.2	0.44
Mining and quarrying	0.28	0.45	11		2.7	0.30
Light manufacturing	0.59	0.78	8		–0.6	0.62
Heavy manufacturing	0.44	0.43	12		–1.1	0.47
Utilities	0.20	0.10	22		–2.8	0.20
Construction	0.45	0.36	14		–1.1	0.47
Trade services	0.27	0.30	12		0.9	0.27
Hotels and restaurants	0.44	0.56	15		–0.8	0.45
Transport services	0.35	0.60	12		3.8	0.36
Telecommunications	0.46	0.78	4		–0.3	0.48

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.30	0.32	6		3.1	0.31
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.28	0.58	11		0.7	0.28
Public administration and defense	0.18	0.32	21		–2.6	0.19
Education, health, and social work	0.26	0.44	10		1.5	0.23
Other personal services	0.31	0.54	11		4.1	0.31
Total backward linkage	1.60	0.30	7		–0.1	1.64
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.77	0.59	5		–0.3	1.85
Mining and quarrying	1.46	0.37	8		0.7	1.50
Light manufacturing	2.11	0.56	5		–0.6	2.21
Heavy manufacturing	1.76	0.25	8		–1.0	1.85
Utilities	1.30	0.07	21		–0.9	1.32
Construction	1.81	0.25	10		–0.9	1.90
Trade services	1.43	0.42	10		0.1	1.45
Hotels and restaurants	1.79	0.45	10		–0.7	1.85
Transport services	1.60	0.44	10		1.3	1.64
Telecommunications	1.78	0.68	6		–0.2	1.83
Financial intermediation	1.45	0.10	6		0.9	1.48
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.47	0.40	8		0.1	1.49
Public administration and defense	1.30	0.30	20		–0.7	1.33
Education, health, and social work	1.45	0.31	7		0.4	1.41
Other personal services	1.53	0.42	9		1.2	1.54
Normalized backward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.11	0.67	2		–0.2	1.13
Mining and quarrying	0.91	0.47	11		0.8	0.92
Light manufacturing	1.32	0.77	3		–0.5	1.34
Heavy manufacturing	1.10	0.38	10		–0.8	1.12
Utilities	0.81	0.00	25		–0.7	0.81
Construction	1.13	0.34	15		–0.8	1.16
Trade services	0.89	0.30	17		0.2	0.88
Hotels and restaurants	1.12	0.47	12		–0.5	1.12
Transport services	1.00	0.41	13		1.4	1.00
Telecommunications	1.11	0.82	5		–0.1	1.12
Financial intermediation	0.91	0.11	13		1.0	0.90
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.92	0.49	11		0.3	0.91
Public administration and defense	0.81	0.27	22		–0.6	0.81
Education, health, and social work	0.91	0.54	13		0.6	0.86
Other personal services	0.96	0.46	12		1.3	0.94
Net backward linkage	0.99	0.77	4		–0.2	1.00
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.53	0.36	15		0.7	0.61
Mining and quarrying	0.83	0.58	4		–1.8	0.85
Light manufacturing	1.25	0.71	4		0.6	1.24
Heavy manufacturing	0.74	0.34	20		–0.8	0.73
Utilities	0.31	0.10	18		–0.6	0.32
Construction	1.56	0.40	8		–1.6	1.71
Trade services	0.67	0.21	20		0.7	0.65

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.58	0.76	4		0.2	1.59
<i>Transport services</i>	0.89	0.42	12		2.4	0.87
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.21	1.00	1		0.3	1.24
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.53	0.41	13		–2.5	0.55
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.70	0.61	17		–0.8	0.73
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.29	0.33	17		–0.6	1.31
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.41	0.39	6		0.5	1.36
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.27	0.85	4		1.9	1.27
Growth equalized output multipliers	0.12	0.37	4		–0.4	0.12
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.18	0.50	5		–2.9	0.23
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.06	0.12	8		–1.4	0.08
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.58	1.00	1		–0.8	0.58
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.39	0.47	8		1.4	0.35
<i>Utilities</i>	0.03	0.12	19		0.5	0.03
<i>Construction</i>	0.13	0.16	14		–0.6	0.13
<i>Trade services</i>	0.11	0.18	21		0.5	0.11
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.05	0.08	10		0.1	0.05
<i>Transport services</i>	0.06	0.18	22		–0.7	0.07
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.02	0.16	20		–3.5	0.02
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.04	0.10	20		0.4	0.05
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.05	0.09	23		–2.7	0.06
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.02	0.00	25		–1.6	0.02
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.08	0.42	8		5.4	0.06
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.02	0.08	20		1.7	0.02
Supply-Side Linkages						
Direct forward linkage	0.39	0.35	9		0.2	0.39
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	0.70	0.77	7		–0.2	0.67
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	0.43	0.44	16		6.4	0.43
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	0.41	0.38	12		–1.5	0.44
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	0.58	0.76	5		0.0	0.61
<i>Utilities</i>	0.76	0.81	10		–0.1	0.76
<i>Construction</i>	0.14	0.29	14		7.1	0.10
<i>Trade services</i>	0.53	0.68	7		–0.4	0.55
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	0.12	0.21	18		–4.7	0.14
<i>Transport services</i>	0.44	0.49	12		–1.1	0.47
<i>Telecommunications</i>	0.32	0.14	22		–0.9	0.32
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	0.64	0.65	13		3.6	0.63
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	0.52	0.48	6		1.0	0.51
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	0.01	0.04	19		–4.6	0.01
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	0.03	0.09	17		–2.9	0.03
<i>Other personal services</i>	0.17	0.17	18		–2.1	0.18
Total forward linkage	1.70	0.27	9		–0.1	1.73
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	2.25	0.57	5		–1.0	2.27
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.85	0.27	12		2.6	1.87
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.70	0.28	9		–1.0	1.79

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	2.08	0.52	3		–0.2	2.17
Utilities	2.40	0.46	5		–0.3	2.45
Construction	1.20	0.22	15		0.8	1.15
Trade services	1.95	0.50	6		–0.5	2.02
Hotels and restaurants	1.20	0.16	16		–1.1	1.24
Transport services	1.80	0.34	9		–0.7	1.87
Telecommunications	1.54	0.20	22		–0.4	1.56
Financial intermediation	2.22	0.25	11		2.0	2.24
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.91	0.46	4		0.5	1.92
Public administration and defense	1.01	0.04	19		–0.1	1.01
Education, health, and social work	1.04	0.11	17		–0.1	1.05
Other personal services	1.27	0.19	18		–0.9	1.28
Normalized forward linkage	–	–	–		–	–
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.33	0.83	5		–0.8	1.32
Mining and quarrying	1.15	0.45	16		2.7	1.14
Light manufacturing	1.06	0.49	14		–0.9	1.09
Heavy manufacturing	1.30	0.76	5		–0.1	1.32
Utilities	1.50	0.75	7		–0.2	1.49
Construction	0.75	0.29	19		1.0	0.70
Trade services	1.21	0.58	5		–0.4	1.23
Hotels and restaurants	0.75	0.13	22		–0.9	0.76
Transport services	1.12	0.48	11		–0.6	1.14
Telecommunications	0.96	0.06	23		–0.3	0.95
Financial intermediation	1.39	0.27	8		2.0	1.36
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.19	0.52	7		0.6	1.17
Public administration and defense	0.63	0.30	20		0.1	0.62
Education, health, and social work	0.65	0.40	19		0.0	0.64
Other personal services	0.79	0.12	20		–0.7	0.78
Net forward linkage	0.80	0.35	18		–0.8	0.81
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.86	0.23	22		–2.4	0.88
Mining and quarrying	0.83	0.36	17		0.2	0.83
Light manufacturing	0.35	0.12	22		–2.0	0.37
Heavy manufacturing	0.38	0.38	19		–3.0	0.41
Utilities	1.76	1.00	1		1.8	1.76
Construction	0.35	0.32	21		0.0	0.34
Trade services	1.24	0.62	6		–0.9	1.28
Hotels and restaurants	0.46	0.17	19		–1.7	0.48
Transport services	0.64	0.26	18		–1.0	0.66
Telecommunications	0.48	0.00	25		–3.2	0.51
Financial intermediation	1.30	0.39	16		0.1	1.30
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.20	0.57	9		–0.1	1.21
Public administration and defense	0.78	0.67	7		0.8	0.77
Education, health, and social work	0.64	0.31	21		–0.9	0.68
Other personal services	0.71	0.32	19		–2.9	0.74

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Growth equalized input multipliers	0.12	0.36	5	▲	-0.5	0.12
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.26	0.53	6		-3.9	0.33
Mining and quarrying	0.08	0.12	8		0.4	0.11
Light manufacturing	0.34	1.00	1		-1.1	0.34
Heavy manufacturing	0.24	0.48	7		-0.2	0.23
Utilities	0.10	0.48	3		3.7	0.09
Construction	0.07	0.11	15		1.1	0.07
Trade services	0.23	0.47	13		1.3	0.22
Hotels and restaurants	0.04	0.11	11		-0.2	0.04
Transport services	0.06	0.28	20		-0.1	0.07
Telecommunications	0.02	0.00	25		-5.4	0.02
Financial intermediation	0.09	0.19	15		2.4	0.10
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.11	0.16	20		-1.2	0.12
Public administration and defense	0.03	0.09	22		0.8	0.03
Education, health, and social work	0.09	0.65	7		6.1	0.06
Other personal services	0.02	0.13	19		-0.1	0.02
Impacts from Hypothetical Extraction						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical extraction	-9.4%	0.73	18	▲	0.0	-0.10
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	-14.5%	0.50	21		-1.2	-18.3
Mining and quarrying	-4.5%	0.88	17		-0.2	-6.1
Light manufacturing	-46.9%	0.00	25		-0.4	-46.8
Heavy manufacturing	-25.9%	0.50	16		1.3	-23.7
Utilities	-2.2%	0.95	6		0.4	-2.0
Construction	-11.6%	0.81	11		-1.5	-12.7
Trade services	-8.6%	0.91	4		1.1	-8.2
Hotels and restaurants	-4.5%	0.92	13		0.0	-4.7
Transport services	-4.6%	0.86	3		1.5	-5.1
Telecommunications	-1.7%	0.81	6		-3.4	-2.1
Financial intermediation	-2.6%	0.93	4		1.5	-2.8
Real estate, renting, and business activities	-3.9%	0.94	3		-2.1	-4.5
Public administration and defense	-1.6%	1.00	1		-1.7	-1.7
Education, health, and social work	-6.3%	0.65	17		6.6	-4.4
Other personal services	-1.5%	0.94	6		3.4	-1.6
Impacts from Hypothetical Insertion						
Changes in gross output due to hypothetical insertion	5.3%	0.36	5	▲	-1.1	0.06
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	7.5%	0.29	3		-2.9	10.6
Mining and quarrying	1.5%	0.13	6		3.1	2.3
Light manufacturing	35.6%	1.00	1		-1.5	37.6
Heavy manufacturing	16.2%	0.25	9		-0.2	15.9
Utilities	0.6%	0.03	22		-1.6	0.5
Construction	5.6%	0.17	13		-2.0	6.4
Trade services	2.6%	0.12	18		1.2	2.6
Hotels and restaurants	2.0%	0.11	13		-0.8	2.2
Transport services	1.9%	0.23	20		5.1	2.2
Telecommunications	0.8%	0.22	14		-3.5	1.1
Financial intermediation	1.0%	0.07	16		6.9	1.2

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10A continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Real estate, renting, and business activities	1.4%	0.14	19		–1.8	1.6
Public administration and defense	0.4%	0.09	23		–3.8	0.4
Education, health, and social work	2.0%	0.34	9		7.9	1.3
Other personal services	0.6%	0.07	17		7.4	0.6

SPREAD OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES

Demand-side

Relative evenness of direct backward linkage	0.33	0.22	18	▼	–1.8	0.35
Relative evenness of total backward linkage	0.14	0.11	12	▼	–2.5	0.16

Backward measure of concentration based on input coefficients	0.89	0.42	22	▼	0.0	0.89
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.90	0.75	15		1.1	0.88
Mining and quarrying	0.83	0.04	24		–1.0	0.87
Light manufacturing	0.86	0.43	22		0.2	0.86
Heavy manufacturing	0.76	0.65	20		0.1	0.79
Utilities	0.90	0.75	14		–0.2	0.91
Construction	0.90	0.83	14		0.2	0.90
Trade services	0.96	0.94	7		–0.1	0.96
Hotels and restaurants	0.92	0.80	12		0.4	0.91
Transport services	0.85	0.04	24		–0.6	0.87
Telecommunications	0.91	0.57	18		–0.5	0.91
Financial intermediation	0.73	0.70	23		1.4	0.72
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.95	0.93	11		0.0	0.95
Public administration and defense	0.98	0.73	4		0.0	0.97
Education, health, and social work	0.88	0.00	25		–0.8	0.91
Other personal services	0.94	0.87	19		–0.1	0.95

Relative evenness of direct forward linkage	0.63	0.45	11	▼	0.1	0.63
Relative evenness of total forward linkage	0.27	0.25	9	▲	–0.3	0.28

Forward measure of concentration based on output coefficients	0.66	0.60	11	▲	–0.1	0.68
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.25	0.17	23		–2.7	0.28
Mining and quarrying	0.42	0.58	9		–3.9	0.49
Light manufacturing	0.47	0.30	23		0.2	0.50
Heavy manufacturing	0.65	0.59	12		1.9	0.65
Utilities	0.75	0.62	18		–0.2	0.78
Construction	0.62	0.71	11		–1.0	0.64
Trade services	0.59	0.00	25		–0.7	0.62
Hotels and restaurants	0.90	0.99	2		–0.2	0.91
Transport services	0.73	0.59	19		–0.4	0.74
Telecommunications	0.78	0.41	18		–0.2	0.77
Financial intermediation	0.75	0.81	14		0.1	0.75
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.87	0.81	5		0.0	0.88
Public administration and defense	0.72	0.79	14		12.5	0.70
Education, health, and social work	0.73	0.82	7		0.5	0.79
Other personal services	0.73	0.59	18		–1.6	0.74

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10A *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
SENSITIVITY OF ECONOMIC LINKAGES						
Economic Complexity						
Percentage intermediate transaction	0.45	0.54	4	▲	–0.5	0.46
Average output multiplier	1.60	0.30	7	▲	–0.1	1.64
Average of Leontief inverse	0.21	0.29	7	▲	0.0	0.21
Average technical coefficient	0.02	0.41	10	▲	0.0	0.02
Mean intermediate coefficient	0.35	0.41	10	▲	0.0	0.36
Percentage of above-average coefficients (%)	0.23	0.08	24	▼	0.5	0.23
Determinant of non-competitive Leontief inverse	5.49	0.15	7	▲	–2.6	6.18
Determinant of competitive Leontief inverse	15.95	0.18	3	▲	1.1	15.60
Mean path length	1.80	0.37	4	▲	–0.4	1.87
Cycling index	0.20	0.72	4	▲	–1.4	0.21
Average propagation length	1.88	0.39	8	▲	0.0	1.93
Overall sensitivity of the economy	0.72	0.50	14	▼	–0.6	0.73
Index of direct interrelatedness	0.56	0.31	13	▼	–1.3	0.58
Index of indirect interrelatedness	0.16	0.55	9	▲	2.8	0.15
Global intensity index	24.03	0.30	7	▲	–0.1	24.64

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

3.10B External Linkages

\$ = United States dollars, GVC = global value chain.

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1, while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adb.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

[Click here for figure data](#)

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value	
PARTICIPATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS							
International Trade Coefficients							
Trade openness	1.51	0.62	2	▲	3.8	1.29	
Self-sufficiency ratio	1.02	0.71	7	▲	0.7	1.00	
Regional supply percentage	0.74	0.40	17	▼	–0.5	0.76	
Regional purchase coefficient	0.86	0.77	10	▲	–0.4	0.88	
Sectoral purchase coefficient	0.78	0.80	18	▲	–0.7	0.80	
Simple location quotient of gross output	0.95	0.20	20	▼	–0.7	1.01	
Fabrication effect	1.08	0.84	6	▲	1.0	1.06	
Exports	(\$)	190,006	0.07	11	▲	15.7	110,759
Export-to-output ratio		0.19	0.62	8	▲	2.6	0.16
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.14	0.63	8		7.7	0.11
Mining and quarrying		0.55	0.61	6		–1.5	0.51
Light manufacturing		0.45	0.86	3		4.5	0.38
Heavy manufacturing		0.26	0.29	14		11.0	0.21
Utilities		0.00	0.01	14		15.2	0.00
Construction		0.00	0.01	23		–19.1	0.00
Trade services		0.29	0.40	4		4.4	0.24
Hotels and restaurants		0.47	0.49	5		4.4	0.41
Transport services		0.32	0.42	6		5.4	0.28
Telecommunications		0.07	0.21	14		–0.8	0.07

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10B continued

		Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Financial intermediation		0.07	0.15	4		1.4	0.06
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.05	0.14	9		2.4	0.04
Public administration and defense		0.00	0.00	21		–1.2	0.00
Education, health, and social work		0.06	0.75	4		11.7	0.05
Other personal services		0.04	0.05	12		4.6	0.04
Imports	(\$)	175,595	0.08	11	▲	12.0	108,155
Import-to-input ratio		0.16	0.68	7	▲	3.1	0.15
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.18	0.52	5		5.9	0.16
Mining and quarrying		0.25	0.61	3		3.3	0.24
Light manufacturing		0.18	0.33	7		4.2	0.16
Heavy manufacturing		0.36	0.47	5		4.3	0.31
Utilities		0.06	0.04	22		–2.8	0.07
Construction		0.24	0.55	4		6.5	0.21
Trade services		0.08	0.30	9		0.4	0.08
Hotels and restaurants		0.16	0.52	6		7.2	0.14
Transport services		0.27	0.53	9		–1.9	0.27
Telecommunications		0.18	0.51	5		11.0	0.16
Financial intermediation		0.09	0.43	3		5.3	0.09
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.07	0.40	7		1.7	0.07
Public administration and defense		0.05	0.32	13		0.0	0.05
Education, health, and social work		0.11	0.62	3		2.8	0.11
Other personal services		0.11	0.27	7		8.9	0.09
Total foreign factor content of consumption	(\$)	42,811	0.08	9	▲	12.1	27,627
Total foreign factor content of investment	(\$)	21,467	0.03	9	▲	11.8	14,820
Total foreign factor content of exports	(\$)	77,420	0.21	7	▲	20.3	42,085
Interregional Multipliers							
Import Leakage Effects		0.29	0.75	2	▲	3.5	0.27
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		0.35	0.82	4		5.3	0.31
Mining and quarrying		0.38	0.80	3		4.2	0.35
Light manufacturing		0.40	0.67	4		3.3	0.37
Heavy manufacturing		0.57	0.69	3		3.4	0.52
Utilities		0.13	0.11	21		–1.7	0.13
Construction		0.44	0.81	2		4.4	0.39
Trade services		0.16	0.37	9		1.5	0.15
Hotels and restaurants		0.32	0.87	4		4.9	0.29
Transport services		0.43	0.64	2		0.1	0.42
Telecommunications		0.35	0.66	2		7.6	0.31
Financial intermediation		0.15	0.45	2		5.9	0.14
Real estate, renting, and business activities		0.16	0.53	4		2.8	0.15
Public administration and defense		0.11	0.33	12		0.9	0.11
Education, health, and social work		0.23	0.99	2		4.4	0.20
Other personal services		0.21	0.49	5		9.0	0.19
Intraregional transfer multiplier		1.60	0.30	7	▲	–0.1	1.64
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing		1.77	0.59	5		–0.3	1.85
Mining and quarrying		1.46	0.37	8		0.7	1.50

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	2.11	0.56	5		–0.6	2.21
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.76	0.25	8		–1.0	1.85
<i>Utilities</i>	1.30	0.07	21		–0.9	1.32
<i>Construction</i>	1.81	0.25	10		–0.9	1.90
<i>Trade services</i>	1.43	0.42	10		0.1	1.45
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.79	0.45	10		–0.7	1.85
<i>Transport services</i>	1.60	0.44	10		1.3	1.64
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.78	0.68	6		–0.2	1.83
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.45	0.10	6		0.9	1.48
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.47	0.40	8		0.1	1.49
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.30	0.30	20		–0.7	1.33
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.45	0.31	7		0.4	1.41
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.53	0.42	9		1.2	1.54
Interregional spillover multiplier	1.43	0.79	4		0.9	1.39
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.48	0.73	5		1.6	1.41
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.70	0.81	3		1.2	1.64
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.46	0.37	6		1.1	1.40
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	2.00	0.67	4		2.1	1.86
<i>Utilities</i>	1.17	0.06	22		–0.4	1.18
<i>Construction</i>	1.68	0.70	4		2.1	1.58
<i>Trade services</i>	1.19	0.36	9		–0.1	1.19
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.40	0.53	6		1.4	1.37
<i>Transport services</i>	1.76	0.66	7		–0.8	1.74
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.49	0.63	5		2.4	1.42
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.18	0.46	3		0.3	1.17
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.18	0.51	7		0.2	1.16
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.13	0.30	13		0.0	1.13
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.32	0.82	3		0.7	1.30
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.28	0.29	5		1.2	1.25
Interregional feedback multiplier	1.001	0.28	7		0.0	1.00
<i>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing</i>	1.001	0.57	7		0.0	1.00
<i>Mining and quarrying</i>	1.001	0.18	5		0.0	1.00
<i>Light manufacturing</i>	1.001	0.31	7		0.0	1.00
<i>Heavy manufacturing</i>	1.001	0.21	8		0.0	1.00
<i>Utilities</i>	1.000	0.06	11		0.0	1.00
<i>Construction</i>	1.001	0.37	8		0.0	1.00
<i>Trade services</i>	1.000	0.12	10		0.0	1.00
<i>Hotels and restaurants</i>	1.001	0.36	5		0.0	1.00
<i>Transport services</i>	1.001	0.25	6		0.0	1.00
<i>Telecommunications</i>	1.001	0.30	3		0.0	1.00
<i>Financial intermediation</i>	1.000	0.10	7		0.0	1.00
<i>Real estate, renting, and business activities</i>	1.000	0.10	6		0.0	1.00
<i>Public administration and defense</i>	1.000	0.13	8		0.0	1.00
<i>Education, health, and social work</i>	1.000	0.36	6		0.0	1.00
<i>Other personal services</i>	1.000	0.18	5		0.0	1.00

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Global Value Chain (GVC) Participation Index						
Backward GVC Participation	36.5%	0.81	2	▲	3.3	0.33
Simple GVCs	17.1%	0.93	4	▲	2.2	0.17
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.0	0.40	4		5.6	1.51
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.12	8		19.5	0.17
Light manufacturing	3.4	0.77	2		–0.7	3.70
Heavy manufacturing	3.4	0.73	3		0.0	2.97
Utilities	0.1	0.07	19		0.7	0.08
Construction	4.6	0.42	5		5.4	4.25
Trade services	0.3	0.10	19		0.9	0.31
Hotels and restaurants	0.6	0.32	7		4.8	0.61
Transport services	0.6	0.33	15		–0.9	0.63
Telecommunications	0.4	0.42	5		10.0	0.42
Financial intermediation	0.2	0.19	9		3.4	0.21
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.4	0.20	13		0.7	0.39
Public administration and defense	0.2	0.06	19		1.0	0.24
Education, health, and social work	1.6	0.95	2		11.4	1.00
Other personal services	0.3	0.25	8		16.0	0.28
Complex GVCs	19.5%	0.67	2	▲	4.7	0.16
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.8	0.75	2		5.2	0.91
Mining and quarrying	0.1	0.28	7		20.5	0.12
Light manufacturing	10.1	1.00	1		5.9	8.06
Heavy manufacturing	3.5	0.20	6		3.6	2.75
Utilities	0.0	0.08	19		0.0	0.04
Construction	1.9	0.44	4		2.6	1.84
Trade services	0.2	0.02	16		0.8	0.16
Hotels and restaurants	1.0	0.12	4		9.1	0.86
Transport services	0.6	0.30	6		–0.7	0.67
Telecommunications	0.2	0.31	3		5.9	0.20
Financial intermediation	0.1	0.05	9		2.1	0.06
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.1	0.16	12		–0.6	0.15
Public administration and defense	0.1	0.07	18		–1.0	0.09
Education, health, and social work	0.8	1.00	1		11.0	0.48
Other personal services	0.0	0.00	25		0.0	0.00
Forward GVC Participation	25.9%	0.52	8	▲	3.0	0.23
Simple GVCs	16.7%	0.49	6	▲	2.6	0.15
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.1	1.00	1		0.6	2.18
Mining and quarrying	1.7	0.10	8		–3.3	2.23
Light manufacturing	3.7	1.00	1		2.8	3.13
Heavy manufacturing	2.8	0.25	8		9.1	2.12
Utilities	0.6	0.09	4		7.4	0.42
Construction	0.1	0.02	12		12.9	0.05
Trade services	3.3	0.40	6		5.2	2.68
Hotels and restaurants	0.2	0.02	8		0.7	0.21
Transport services	0.7	0.16	12		5.1	0.68
Telecommunications	0.1	0.05	18		–6.0	0.11

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
Financial intermediation	0.6	0.12	9		5.5	0.56
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.6	0.09	12		1.9	0.56
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.00	21		17.2	0.00
Education, health, and social work	0.1	0.59	3		13.6	0.07
Other personal services	0.1	0.18	9		2.9	0.12
Complex GVCs	9.2%	0.51	9	▼	3.9	0.08
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.0	0.56	3		2.3	0.92
Mining and quarrying	1.2	0.09	7		–2.1	1.45
Light manufacturing	2.0	1.00	1		5.2	1.46
Heavy manufacturing	1.8	0.27	9		8.8	1.35
Utilities	0.3	0.09	4		9.3	0.21
Construction	0.0	0.01	12		19.0	0.02
Trade services	1.6	0.49	7		7.3	1.13
Hotels and restaurants	0.1	0.02	8		2.8	0.10
Transport services	0.4	0.25	12		6.1	0.36
Telecommunications	0.0	0.05	21		–3.5	0.04
Financial intermediation	0.3	0.16	9		7.4	0.27
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.3	0.08	14		3.2	0.26
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.00	19		16.7	0.00
Education, health, and social work	0.0	0.42	5		16.5	0.03
Other personal services	0.1	0.22	12		4.0	0.04

SPECIALIZATION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Vertical Specialization

Vertical Specialization Ratio	40.7%	0.75	3	▲	3.9	0.37
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	34.5%	0.82	4		5.3	0.31
Mining and quarrying	37.8%	0.80	3		4.2	0.35
Light manufacturing	40.2%	0.67	4		3.3	0.37
Heavy manufacturing	56.8%	0.69	3		3.4	0.52
Utilities	12.6%	0.20	21		–1.6	0.13
Construction	43.7%	0.81	2		4.4	0.39
Trade services	15.7%	0.37	9		1.5	0.15
Hotels and restaurants	32.2%	0.87	4		4.9	0.29
Transport services	43.3%	0.64	2		0.1	0.42
Telecommunications	35.0%	0.66	2		7.5	0.31
Financial intermediation	15.1%	0.45	2		5.9	0.14
Real estate, renting, and business activities	16.1%	0.53	4		2.8	0.15
Public administration and defense	11.1%	0.33	12		–18.7	0.09
Education, health, and social work	22.5%	0.99	2		4.4	0.20
Other personal services	20.8%	0.49	5		9.0	0.19

Revealed Comparative Advantages

Traditional RCA Index						
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.10	0.35	8	▲	–0.6	2.53
Mining and quarrying	0.88	0.08	8	▼	–4.4	1.15
Light manufacturing	3.38	0.60	4	▲	–0.1	3.26

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10B continued

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10-year average growth rate (%)	10-year mean value
Heavy manufacturing	0.44	0.24	14	▼	9.1	0.36
Utilities	0.06	0.00	21	▼	13.9	0.06
Construction	0.03	0.00	23	▼	–6.1	0.09
Trade services	0.79	0.10	17	▼	–0.7	0.78
Hotels and restaurants	3.41	0.06	8	▼	–1.7	4.16
Transport services	0.64	0.14	17	▼	2.2	0.72
Telecommunications	0.37	0.04	19	▼	–10.1	0.55
Financial intermediation	0.18	0.05	7	▼	–2.9	0.21
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.06	0.02	20	▼	–6.2	0.08
Public administration and defense	0.01	0.00	22	▼	–8.9	0.01
Education, health, and social work	2.09	0.27	3	▲	8.1	1.68
Other personal services	0.13	0.01	20	▼	1.9	0.14

New RCA Index (Based on Domestic Value Added)

Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.92	0.42	4	▲	–3.5	3.43
Mining and quarrying	0.75	0.11	9	▼	–3.1	0.93
Light manufacturing	2.98	0.68	4	▲	–0.2	2.86
Heavy manufacturing	0.58	0.25	14	▼	4.8	0.51
Utilities	1.43	0.10	3	▼	5.2	1.15
Construction	0.32	0.02	18	▼	9.1	0.26
Trade services	1.16	0.33	13	▲	1.3	1.08
Hotels and restaurants	2.41	0.07	7	▼	–0.7	2.78
Transport services	0.63	0.17	20	▼	1.9	0.67
Telecommunications	0.27	0.00	25	▼	–7.7	0.35
Financial intermediation	0.54	0.11	16	▼	1.7	0.56
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.20	0.08	19	▼	–1.5	0.22
Public administration and defense	0.02	0.00	23	▼	11.5	0.02
Education, health, and social work	1.53	0.34	4	▲	11.0	1.18
Other personal services	0.16	0.00	24	▼	–1.5	0.16

POSITION IN GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS

Upstreamness Index	2.2	0.54	6	▲	0.5	2.2
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	2.9	0.76	6		–0.2	2.8
Mining and quarrying	3.7	0.84	5		0.4	3.6
Light manufacturing	2.3	0.57	8		0.1	2.3
Heavy manufacturing	3.1	0.84	5		2.0	3.0
Utilities	2.9	0.46	7		0.4	2.9
Construction	1.2	0.20	16		1.0	1.2
Trade services	2.9	0.90	2		0.6	2.8
Hotels and restaurants	1.4	0.27	17		–0.8	1.5
Transport services	2.6	0.60	7		0.6	2.6
Telecommunications	1.8	0.13	22		–0.8	1.8
Financial intermediation	2.8	0.35	7		2.6	2.7
Real estate, renting, and business activities	2.3	0.49	6		0.9	2.2
Public administration and defense	1.0	0.03	19		–0.1	1.0
Education, health, and social work	1.1	0.18	12		0.1	1.1
Other personal services	1.4	0.18	20		–0.6	1.4

continued on next page

Viet Nam 3.10B *continued*

	Value (2018)	Score (0–1)	Rank (out of 25)	Distance to subregional average	10–year average growth rate (%)	10–year mean value
PRODUCTION LENGTH OF GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS						
Backward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (B)	1.4	0.91	2	▲	3.6	1.2
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.6	1.00	1		5.3	1.5
Mining and quarrying	1.7	1.00	1		4.6	1.6
Light manufacturing	1.9	1.00	1		3.1	1.8
Heavy manufacturing	2.6	1.00	1		3.2	2.4
Utilities	0.6	0.15	21		–1.2	0.6
Construction	2.1	1.00	1		4.0	1.9
Trade services	0.7	0.47	8		1.6	0.7
Hotels and restaurants	1.5	1.00	1		4.5	1.4
Transport services	2.0	0.85	2		0.9	1.9
Telecommunications	1.6	0.94	2		7.1	1.5
Financial intermediation	0.6	0.49	4		6.1	0.5
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.8	0.69	2		2.9	0.7
Public administration and defense	0.5	0.36	10		1.0	0.5
Education, health, and social work	1.1	1.00	1		5.1	0.9
Other personal services	1.0	0.64	5		9.2	0.9
Forward Measures of Average Production Length						
Production Length of Global Value Chain Activity (F)	0.9	0.64	6	▲	2.9	0.8
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	1.2	0.75	5		3.4	1.0
Mining and quarrying	2.8	0.73	2		–0.3	2.7
Light manufacturing	1.1	0.72	7		3.5	0.9
Heavy manufacturing	1.7	0.61	10		8.8	1.4
Utilities	1.1	0.38	6		4.3	1.0
Construction	0.1	0.09	13		12.6	0.1
Trade services	1.6	0.75	2		3.8	1.4
Hotels and restaurants	0.4	0.21	11		0.2	0.4
Transport services	1.3	0.52	8		4.2	1.2
Telecommunications	0.5	0.22	16		–0.1	0.5
Financial intermediation	1.1	0.45	7		6.4	1.0
Real estate, renting, and business activities	0.8	0.38	7		4.4	0.6
Public administration and defense	0.0	0.01	18		16.1	0.0
Education, health, and social work	0.1	0.37	7		7.0	0.1
Other personal services	0.2	0.09	15		1.9	0.2

Note: The scores of the economy in focus are shown in the horizontal bars with labels. The colored dots represent the indicator performance of the economy in focus compared to other economies in the region. The highest rank among economies is 1 while the lowest rank is 25. The indicators shown in the chart are selected from a broader set of indicators (see the technical appendix for more details).

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

ECONOMY-SPECIFIC INPUT-OUTPUT TABLES FOR 2000 AND 2018

PART
4

4.1A Input–Output Table for Brunei Darussalam, 2000

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	9	8	3	3	0	0	1	9	0
Mining and quarrying	MIN	5	349	65	146	32	13	7	0	14
Light manufacturing	LMF	1	11	10	5	2	6	1	2	21
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	1	93	17	40	8	7	2	0	4
Utilities	UTL	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Construction	CON	0	0	2	0	0	8	0	0	0
Trade services	TRD	5	15	15	9	0	20	5	8	11
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transport services	TSP	3	9	10	6	0	12	3	4	6
Telecommunications	TEL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Financial intermediation	FIN	0	13	7	5	0	23	0	0	3
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	1	12	18	8	1	28	1	0	37
Public administration and defense	PAD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Education, health, and social work	EHS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other personal services	OSV	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Total imports	IMP	6	50	32	30	2	67	18	14	19
Total intermediate consumption	r60	31	563	179	252	47	185	37	38	115
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	58	2,208	146	910	32	49	401	26	236
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	89	2,771	325	1,163	79	234	438	63	352

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.1A Input-Output Table for Brunei Darussalam, 2000 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
0	0	20	0	0	0	30	-	-	0	4	2	89
1	2	7	23	2	1	12	-	-	47	(345)	2,391	2,771
0	0	8	16	36	1	127	-	-	22	(38)	94	325
0	1	2	7	1	0	7	-	-	53	392	527	1,163
0	0	0	45	0	0	28	2	-	-	-	1	79
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	-	-	194	13	7	234
0	2	23	21	13	1	186	0	-	85	5	14	438
0	0	0	0	0	0	52	-	-	0	(10)	21	63
0	1	12	14	7	0	21	0	-	14	(7)	237	352
0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	-	1	-	1	7
0	10	6	95	1	0	33	0	-	0	(0)	1	199
0	6	17	167	3	1	469	1	-	131	(2)	167	1,066
0	0	0	80	0	0	18	1,451	-	-	(39)	1	1,512
0	0	0	9	0	0	52	428	20	0	-	5	515
0	0	1	0	0	0	58	-	-	0	(0)	5	67
0	4	38	96	44	3	598	321	13	203	(169)	-	1,385
2	25	135	575	108	8	1,702	2,204	33	749	(197)	3,476	10,265
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	175	931	936	407	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,581
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	199	1,066	1,512	515	67	1,702	2,204	33	749	(197)	3,476	16,846

4.1B Input–Output Table for Brunei Darussalam, 2018 (at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	5	1	1	1	0	7	0	6	0
Mining and quarrying	MIN	2	619	3	2,065	48	121	31	2	24
Light manufacturing	LMF	6	5	2	2	1	24	8	6	4
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	11	222	2	41	52	129	55	7	239
Utilities	UTL	1	11	1	26	1	5	17	5	4
Construction	CON	1	18	1	3	2	87	11	2	7
Trade services	TRD	11	57	4	15	11	113	52	14	24
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	0	14	1	19	1	37	13	1	13
Transport services	TSP	3	14	1	3	1	24	19	3	57
Telecommunications	TEL	1	32	1	6	3	40	25	3	13
Financial intermediation	FIN	3	39	1	25	9	50	62	9	40
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	1	68	1	10	7	147	36	2	25
Public administration and defense	PAD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Education, health, and social work	EHS	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Other personal services	OSV	0	2	0	2	0	5	2	0	2
Total imports	IMP	53	789	87	203	41	815	263	86	153
Total intermediate consumption	r60	97	1,893	107	2,422	177	1,606	597	145	606
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	1	(7)	(0)	(8)	(1)	3	(9)	1	(13)
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	138	6,199	80	1,828	134	339	659	142	227
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	236	8,085	187	4,243	310	1,948	1,247	288	821

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.1B Input-Output Table for Brunei Darussalam, 2018 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
0	0	0	70	14	0	104	-	-	6	(1)	22	236
6	5	8	37	11	1	79	-	-	1,582	(14)	3,454	8,085
5	3	1	17	6	0	34	-	-	31	(1)	31	187
4	16	15	155	19	2	306	-	-	64	(46)	2,949	4,243
3	5	5	47	8	1	138	-	-	4	0	28	310
3	8	29	41	19	1	50	-	-	1,627	(15)	55	1,948
11	12	15	98	31	2	235	-	1	437	(3)	106	1,247
3	9	11	16	5	1	93	-	-	2	(1)	51	288
3	4	8	27	6	1	36	-	-	2	375	235	821
40	17	14	36	16	2	93	-	-	12	(2)	26	378
3	26	22	3	5	1	533	-	-	6	2	23	864
7	16	64	73	68	2	477	-	0	54	60	9	1,127
0	0	0	4	0	0	1	-	2,382	-	236	10	2,636
0	0	0	5	2	0	9	-	893	0	113	21	1,046
1	1	2	12	2	1	86	-	-	2	6	25	153
102	58	153	428	185	8	695	-	0	1,579	(5)	-	5,693
189	180	348	1,072	398	23	2,968	-	3,275	5,407	705	7,046	29,260
(7)	(4)	(8)	(21)	(3)	(1)	(177)	-	-	36	0	-	(217)
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
196	688	786	1,586	651	131	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,784
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
378	864	1,127	2,636	1,046	153	2,791	-	3,275	5,443	705	7,046	42,827

4.2A Input–Output Table for Cambodia, 2000

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	206	0	103	4	14	7	42	94	4
Mining and quarrying	MIN	1	0	2	3	2	2	0	0	0
Light manufacturing	LMF	11	0	462	4	1	9	18	29	3
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	15	0	5	5	1	20	2	0	2
Utilities	UTL	3	0	11	1	12	1	1	1	1
Construction	CON	0	0	–	–	17	19	–	0	5
Trade services	TRD	39	0	124	5	8	16	18	15	8
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	3	0	11	1	1	3	15	8	8
Transport services	TSP	12	0	23	3	2	11	50	17	19
Telecommunications	TEL	0	0	7	1	1	3	14	4	7
Financial intermediation	FIN	0	0	2	1	0	1	6	1	1
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	5	1	29	1	1	2	17	7	9
Public administration and defense	PAD	0	0	0	0	0	–	0	0	0
Education, health, and social work	EHS	–	–	–	0	–	–	–	–	–
Other personal services	OSV	7	0	2	1	0	0	1	5	9
Total imports	IMP	137	5	355	29	54	110	99	26	76
Total intermediate consumption	r60	440	7	1,136	57	114	204	282	207	152
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	7	0	30	1	3	6	8	5	5
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	1,303	9	522	58	15	188	389	134	163
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	1,750	16	1,688	116	132	398	679	346	321

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.2A Input-Output Table for Cambodia, 2000 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
1	0	1	9	14	7	1,094	1	-	148	(46)	47	1,750
0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	16
2	0	3	4	1	1	460	1	0	11	(18)	688	1,688
0	0	0	0	4	0	42	0	-	15	(4)	9	116
5	0	38	11	3	3	39	0	0	-	-	1	132
-	-	0	-	0	1	37	0	-	303	-	14	398
2	0	7	5	4	2	256	-	0	79	(0)	93	679
1	1	11	5	3	1	82	0	0	1	-	193	346
9	2	37	18	3	3	55	1	0	5	(0)	52	321
20	2	17	5	2	2	49	0	0	0	(0)	12	145
0	1	7	1	1	0	23	0	-	-	-	10	55
4	2	34	18	5	3	236	1	18	0	(0)	13	405
0	0	5	0	0	0	0	8	110	-	-	65	190
-	-	0	-	1	0	102	55	41	-	-	2	200
4	0	4	1	3	5	57	11	14	1	-	2	128
17	1	13	13	22	9	507	1	3	199	17	-	1,692
67	9	180	91	65	39	3,039	78	185	761	(51)	1,200	8,262
3	0	5	2	2	1	60	2	7	19	1	-	168
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
76	45	220	97	134	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,442
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145	55	405	190	200	128	3,098	81	192	781	(50)	1,200	11,872

4.2B Input–Output Table for Cambodia, 2018

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	780	2	598	18	47	66	136	516	14
Mining and quarrying	MIN	11	2	39	55	110	28	1	7	1
Light manufacturing	LMF	51	1	2,865	26	9	113	143	254	24
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	59	2	66	33	5	232	11	2	16
Utilities	UTL	17	1	95	5	62	18	7	13	7
Construction	CON	5	42	–	–	277	821	–	9	111
Trade services	TRD	200	9	864	31	46	196	104	132	59
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	14	2	177	8	8	60	176	137	102
Transport services	TSP	56	2	344	16	19	182	344	200	147
Telecommunications	TEL	44	9	59	6	3	27	72	33	42
Financial intermediation	FIN	0	4	24	12	4	15	48	12	17
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	37	24	205	7	6	46	204	118	86
Public administration and defense	PAD	2	2	4	0	3	0	2	4	2
Education, health, and social work	EHS	–	–	–	0	–	–	–	–	–
Other personal services	OSV	33	2	35	4	5	15	9	42	43
Total imports	IMP	561	63	2,539	190	335	1,076	616	224	511
Total intermediate consumption	r60	1,869	165	7,913	410	939	2,894	1,873	1,701	1,180
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	52	3	273	13	28	55	71	43	47
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	5,356	441	3,563	407	139	3,299	2,292	1,092	1,318
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	7,277	609	11,749	830	1,106	6,249	4,236	2,835	2,544

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.2B Input-Output Table for Cambodia, 2018

(continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
3	0	3	11	46	30	3,905	4	-	30	4	1,066	7,277
6	1	32	8	4	4	285	1	-	-	-	15	609
13	0	25	12	6	10	1,946	5	0	26	55	6,165	11,749
1	0	5	1	36	2	204	1	-	33	11	111	830
28	3	163	38	19	21	604	1	2	-	-	4	1,106
-	-	0	-	3	17	724	0	-	4,194	-	47	6,249
14	1	32	12	28	15	1,217	1	1	112	0	1,163	4,236
20	10	103	22	47	10	532	0	0	2	-	1,405	2,835
38	12	212	54	22	19	420	2	0	13	0	445	2,544
80	11	98	14	15	11	382	0	0	0	0	177	1,083
3	21	89	2	7	3	297	0	-	-	-	26	582
118	27	480	92	64	56	1,264	76	87	0	0	105	3,103
0	0	14	0	9	1	81	32	447	-	-	87	690
-	-	5	-	9	3	899	138	460	-	-	20	1,534
59	2	20	5	13	55	541	88	113	4	-	15	1,102
117	15	178	90	162	98	3,513	27	65	1,390	170	-	11,938
499	102	1,460	361	489	355	16,815	374	1,175	5,803	239	10,850	57,466
21	3	42	18	19	13	536	22	68	176	9	-	1,510
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
562	476	1,601	311	1,026	734	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,617
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1,083	582	3,103	690	1,534	1,102	17,351	396	1,242	5,979	248	10,850	81,593

4.3A Input–Output Table for Fiji, 2000

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	41	–	73	–	–	–	–	48	–
Mining and quarrying	MIN	–	–	–	–	–	6	–	–	–
Light manufacturing	LMF	5	0	52	5	0	1	14	23	36
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	2	1	1	6	2	6	1	0	2
Utilities	UTL	2	5	6	1	4	3	1	14	9
Construction	CON	12	2	1	0	15	5	3	4	6
Trade services	TRD	12	5	30	8	5	8	13	27	21
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	2	8	5	8	10	10	20	17	78
Transport services	TSP	146	22	37	12	18	19	25	24	58
Telecommunications	TEL	10	0	4	3	1	3	3	4	33
Financial intermediation	FIN	16	1	8	2	1	4	6	8	27
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	5	1	6	2	2	6	9	5	3
Public administration and defense	PAD	6	–	1	–	–	–	–	3	2
Education, health, and social work	EHS	–	–	2	–	–	–	–	3	0
Other personal services	OSV	8	9	6	11	9	13	14	13	9
Total imports	IMP	22	3	42	33	7	24	22	18	46
Total intermediate consumption	r60	288	57	277	92	73	107	133	212	331
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	5	1	9	5	1	4	5	5	11
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	244	14	169	31	42	64	167	60	143
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	538	72	454	129	116	176	305	277	485

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.3A Input-Output Table for Fiji, 2000 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
-	-	-	-	0	-	261	-	7	-	0	107	538
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	66	72
0	0	0	9	2	0	52	-	0	-	0	253	454
0	0	0	-	0	-	14	-	1	4	0	86	129
0	2	1	1	2	4	56	-	5	-	(0)	1	116
2	-	4	4	0	-	97	-	4	12	-	5	176
0	3	3	4	4	6	58	-	4	1	-	94	305
1	1	6	5	6	10	82	-	6	-	-	1	277
9	1	10	12	3	10	44	-	14	0	-	20	485
6	2	1	7	1	3	30	-	0	-	-	1	113
2	7	12	5	3	6	4	-	2	-	-	0	113
5	3	10	2	9	18	61	-	12	-	-	2	160
2	-	-	4	2	-	1	-	124	-	-	2	147
-	0	-	-	12	-	21	-	129	-	-	0	168
0	6	6	3	8	12	11	-	8	-	-	1	148
2	3	1	4	2	1	539	-	10	243	31	-	1,053
29	29	52	60	54	72	1,331	-	326	260	32	640	4,455
1	1	1	1	1	2	79	-	3	33	4	-	174
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	(279)	-	-	-	-	-	(279)
84	83	106	86	112	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,480
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113	113	160	147	168	148	1,131	-	328	293	36	640	5,830

4.3B Input–Output Table for Fiji, 2018

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	4	0	177	0	0	1	0	27	0
Mining and quarrying	MIN	6	5	6	0	0	29	1	1	1
Light manufacturing	LMF	17	6	98	4	5	46	16	57	6
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	8	7	8	20	1	15	9	3	1
Utilities	UTL	18	3	20	10	14	1	24	27	2
Construction	CON	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Trade services	TRD	17	3	98	9	16	10	4	29	10
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
Transport services	TSP	3	0	21	4	1	1	19	2	2
Telecommunications	TEL	24	0	4	2	2	4	47	17	7
Financial intermediation	FIN	13	1	31	5	11	18	40	37	4
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	36	0	7	4	3	14	19	20	12
Public administration and defense	PAD	0	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Education, health, and social work	EHS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Other personal services	OSV	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	20	1
Total imports	IMP	92	29	213	53	89	63	89	95	323
Total intermediate consumption	r60	240	56	686	111	143	207	271	337	369
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	31	5	63	15	21	11	32	42	93
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	438	34	456	61	68	131	594	300	347
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	709	96	1,205	186	233	350	897	679	809

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.3B Input-Output Table for Fiji, 2018 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
0	0	1	2	6	0	341	-	22	0	(33)	158	709
0	1	1	0	1	0	5	-	5	0	(36)	70	96
3	11	24	14	24	0	443	-	11	20	(56)	455	1,205
1	0	10	0	7	0	33	-	7	6	(1)	51	186
4	8	13	6	18	0	50	4	6	0	(0)	4	233
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	-	0	318	(0)	22	350
5	9	21	12	13	0	344	-	3	64	-	231	897
0	0	2	0	0	0	20	2	1	0	(0)	650	679
2	10	20	2	2	1	99	-	4	-	0	617	809
26	27	20	8	8	0	79	5	8	3	0	62	355
8	12	67	13	29	0	204	-	24	0	(0)	31	548
6	22	37	9	13	1	224	-	126	2	0	148	703
-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	467	-	-	8	476
0	0	0	0	0	0	91	144	260	0	-	43	542
0	0	6	0	1	0	4	0	1	0	(1)	1	41
37	61	52	35	43	15	735	3	9	605	173	-	2,815
93	162	277	102	166	19	2,675	159	953	1,019	47	2,550	10,643
16	20	20	14	11	8	369	-	17	81	31	-	900
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
245	366	407	359	365	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,186
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
355	548	703	476	542	41	3,044	159	969	1,100	78	2,550	15,729

4.4A Input–Output Table for Indonesia, 2000

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	2,015	4	14,622	366	0	575	4	1,957	52
Mining and quarrying	MIN	0	1,429	583	8,207	1,709	897	0	0	1
Light manufacturing	LMF	1,812	9	10,738	1,044	3	2,245	1,622	3,078	689
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	353	151	2,199	7,997	340	5,794	1,645	32	1,189
Utilities	UTL	15	12	1,019	882	738	23	1,228	62	78
Construction	CON	105	105	17	22	22	7	138	5	115
Trade services	TRD	1,409	461	7,712	4,767	533	1,758	2,621	1,191	2,840
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	20	17	121	79	2	91	402	3	139
Transport services	TSP	272	201	2,263	1,300	46	453	1,143	231	1,381
Telecommunications	TEL	5	14	101	101	7	60	581	26	153
Financial intermediation	FIN	165	72	923	780	34	576	1,564	17	615
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	51	168	312	689	111	816	3,652	61	540
Public administration and defense	PAD	–	–	0	0	–	–	6	8	28
Education, health, and social work	EHS	1	5	41	20	6	46	57	7	26
Other personal services	OSV	11	8	102	285	0	47	106	3	45
Total imports	IMP	1,224	773	8,817	9,821	752	3,491	4,179	791	2,955
Total intermediate consumption	r60	7,460	3,429	49,570	36,359	4,304	16,878	18,949	7,473	10,846
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	25,786	20,023	26,194	21,759	1,571	8,692	29,067	5,289	6,395
International transport margins	trs	106	49	745	788	62	274	210	62	187
Gross output	r69	33,352	23,501	76,509	58,906	5,938	25,844	48,226	12,823	17,428

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.4A Input-Output Table for Indonesia, 2000 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
-	0	4	1	390	51	11,416	-	-	65	667	1,163	33,352
-	-	0	-	30	-	0	-	-	-	851	9,793	23,501
7	65	90	111	859	175	25,371	-	-	65	614	27,911	76,509
12	19	88	176	233	155	6,894	-	-	3,416	2,539	25,672	58,906
34	66	69	141	95	112	1,363	-	-	-	-	0	5,938
23	9	159	139	47	4	-	-	-	24,888	-	40	25,844
176	606	985	1,104	899	674	14,480	-	88	5,217	648	55	48,226
4	19	43	294	16	24	9,618	-	-	-	-	1,931	12,823
48	98	120	320	165	35	6,959	-	-	834	166	1,394	17,428
115	92	90	39	73	16	1,146	-	-	-	-	67	2,687
74	916	665	140	151	34	3,591	-	-	-	-	1,310	11,625
68	404	243	90	193	202	3,081	-	-	-	-	201	10,882
2	33	125	-	11	9	1,496	-	7,971	-	-	108	9,798
9	32	59	11	155	48	5,506	-	3,757	-	-	79	9,865
3	4	182	5	61	163	3,545	-	-	192	0	514	5,276
98	459	676	935	1,000	385	7,305	-	113	5,865	120	-	49,759
673	2,820	3,598	3,505	4,379	2,088	101,771	-	11,930	40,543	5,605	70,239	402,419
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2,013	8,803	7,267	6,269	5,424	3,178	-	-	-	-	-	-	177,730
1	3	17	24	62	10	399	-	-	446	9	-	3,453
2,687	11,625	10,882	9,798	9,865	5,276	102,170	-	11,930	40,988	5,614	70,239	583,602

4.4B Input–Output Table for Indonesia, 2018

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	5,503	6	75,241	1,701	0	7,702	18	7,951	194
Mining and quarrying	MIN	0	8,467	4,504	39,401	4,337	21,793	12	1	12
Light manufacturing	LMF	5,398	307	42,997	2,932	56	29,542	4,196	13,284	3,048
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	1,244	1,919	7,642	28,536	1,621	40,769	4,712	213	12,254
Utilities	UTL	53	164	2,524	2,932	22,931	1,163	1,591	196	590
Construction	CON	4,715	5,058	1,571	929	138	5,461	7,331	155	3,456
Trade services	TRD	3,173	1,931	26,552	19,970	1,179	44,801	5,696	3,979	10,983
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	26	249	921	784	34	4,944	1,623	33	1,733
Transport services	TSP	691	2,596	8,409	6,340	424	17,344	6,167	1,094	14,270
Telecommunications	TEL	37	283	1,247	977	225	2,955	3,235	84	1,715
Financial intermediation	FIN	1,526	1,965	3,726	2,431	430	5,557	8,453	296	2,742
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	346	2,430	2,060	2,545	478	7,133	6,518	221	5,000
Public administration and defense	PAD	216	434	389	466	8	2,569	20	153	695
Education, health, and social work	EHS	1	116	286	310	41	935	448	13	216
Other personal services	OSV	222	41	1,274	1,118	17	1,021	613	142	324
Total imports	IMP	4,562	3,666	43,259	40,703	7,965	11,887	12,513	5,546	18,840
Total intermediate consumption	r60	27,713	29,631	222,603	152,077	39,884	205,576	63,144	33,359	76,072
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	1,784	1,268	3,753	2,731	588	3,493	2,212	681	1,481
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	133,350	84,827	116,177	94,422	13,160	109,707	136,533	28,126	57,649
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	162,847	115,727	342,533	249,229	53,631	318,775	201,889	62,166	135,202

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.4B Input-Output Table for Indonesia, 2018 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
-	5	0	8	1,581	26	46,256	-	-	10,462	1,230	4,965	162,847
-	-	145	-	-	0	-	-	-	464	6,062	30,530	115,727
226	994	814	1,855	6,674	710	133,846	-	1,401	1,040	10,241	82,973	342,533
304	371	1,118	735	3,038	994	32,452	-	-	9,140	12,724	89,445	249,229
186	267	274	359	380	232	16,490	-	11	-	3,209	79	53,631
1,341	1,086	4,533	2,888	1,437	183	-	-	-	276,983	945	567	318,775
731	1,313	2,333	1,877	4,132	1,775	60,359	-	515	7,352	3,156	82	201,889
127	78	218	5,208	213	60	43,363	-	-	-	-	2,551	62,166
521	555	1,528	4,625	1,814	362	63,424	-	69	1,919	1,335	1,714	135,202
4,493	1,273	888	762	502	477	22,957	-	-	-	-	1,932	44,042
563	4,542	2,306	2,629	818	372	19,913	-	1,015	-	-	710	59,995
1,552	2,104	2,258	797	667	323	32,203	-	-	3,412	-	2,248	72,294
1,292	429	387	20	70	12	1,618	-	51,592	29	-	954	61,353
46	480	83	250	4,031	14	36,650	-	32,474	-	-	282	76,675
362	616	1,034	154	283	14,669	21,783	-	13	638	141	658	45,122
2,707	1,974	3,238	448	5,679	761	39,588	-	435	20,743	1,374	-	225,890
14,451	16,086	21,156	22,615	31,320	20,969	570,902	-	87,525	332,182	40,417	219,688	2,227,370
483	657	792	672	840	494	6,325	-	970	3,680	448	-	33,353
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29,108	43,252	50,346	38,065	44,514	23,658	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,002,895
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44,042	59,995	72,294	61,353	76,675	45,122	577,227	-	88,495	335,862	40,865	219,688	3,263,618

4.5A Input–Output Table for the Lao People’s Democratic Republic, 2000 (at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	51	0	118	1	0	1	1	-	-
Mining and quarrying	MIN	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-
Light manufacturing	LMF	6	0	23	1	1	23	2	-	1
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	2	0	2	1	1	4	3	-	3
Utilities	UTL	0	0	2	0	3	0	3	-	0
Construction	CON	1	0	0	0	3	32	16	-	0
Trade services	TRD	8	0	22	3	3	18	13	-	9
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transport services	TSP	1	0	3	1	1	8	20	-	3
Telecommunications	TEL	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	-	0
Financial intermediation	FIN	0	0	1	0	0	1	10	-	1
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public administration and defense	PAD	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Education, health, and social work	EHS	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	-	0
Other personal services	OSV	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
Total imports	IMP	30	1	32	12	7	83	33	-	29
Total intermediate consumption	r60	99	2	203	21	20	170	105	-	46
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	17	0	6	1	2	5	7	-	2
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Value added at basic prices	r64	751	3	119	19	72	82	227	-	32
International transport margins	trs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gross output	r69	866	5	328	40	93	258	339	-	79

- = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.5A Input-Output Table for the Lao People's Democratic Republic, 2000 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
0	-	-	-	1	0	583	-	-	-	-	110	866
-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	5	5
1	0	-	1	1	3	166	-	-	1	-	99	328
0	0	-	0	1	1	16	-	-	1	-	4	40
0	0	-	1	0	2	6	-	-	-	-	75	93
1	0	-	8	5	3	37	-	-	125	-	26	258
2	0	-	3	2	3	178	-	-	3	-	72	339
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	2	-	3	2	2	3	-	-	-	-	32	79
5	1	-	1	1	2	23	-	-	-	-	12	47
0	12	-	1	0	1	13	-	0	-	-	2	42
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	1	0	0	1	-	64	-	-	10	76
0	0	-	0	1	0	47	-	42	-	-	4	94
0	0	-	0	0	1	87	-	-	-	-	3	92
4	2	-	6	7	8	343	-	2	93	-	-	693
13	18	-	26	21	24	1,501	-	108	224	-	452	3,052
1	1	-	1	2	2	30	-	2	4	-	-	82
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	23	-	48	72	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,546
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	42	-	76	94	92	1,531	-	110	228	-	452	4,681

4.5B Input–Output Table for the Lao People’s Democratic Republic, 2018 (at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	112	0	645	5	0	4	2	56	–
Mining and quarrying	MIN	0	116	98	23	134	153	–	–	–
Light manufacturing	LMF	30	6	213	11	25	263	21	149	7
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	20	20	33	24	19	79	47	13	25
Utilities	UTL	3	26	20	2	54	4	34	24	0
Construction	CON	7	20	2	0	35	671	137	29	0
Trade services	TRD	77	49	412	49	52	277	141	187	56
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	0	0	0	0	2	2	9	4	0
Transport services	TSP	3	5	28	11	7	63	114	15	10
Telecommunications	TEL	1	0	1	0	1	1	18	3	0
Financial intermediation	FIN	3	6	12	5	6	18	118	39	6
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	2	1	14	11	2	20	150	27	5
Public administration and defense	PAD	–	0	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Education, health, and social work	EHS	0	0	0	0	–	–	1	0	0
Other personal services	OSV	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2	0
Total imports	IMP	83	209	295	94	111	828	191	68	200
Total intermediate consumption	r60	341	461	1,772	236	449	2,382	985	615	310
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	34	100	91	27	28	189	67	90	48
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	2,907	1,107	1,112	232	2,009	1,248	2,229	463	251
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	3,282	1,668	2,974	496	2,485	3,819	3,280	1,167	609

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.5B Input-Output Table for the Lao People's Democratic Republic, 2018 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
0	-	0	-	3	0	1,845	-	-	-	0	609	3,282
-	-	0	-	-	9	67	-	-	-	0	1,066	1,668
9	2	6	24	9	9	1,241	-	-	16	(0)	931	2,974
3	2	2	25	11	5	110	-	-	22	(0)	37	496
2	2	1	25	5	7	82	-	-	-	0	2,194	2,485
5	1	61	287	53	11	114	-	-	2,128	(0)	255	3,819
14	4	8	122	25	14	1,094	-	-	116	(0)	583	3,280
0	2	11	23	3	1	1,073	-	-	-	0	37	1,167
4	7	21	66	10	4	89	-	-	-	(0)	151	609
19	2	3	27	4	3	149	-	-	-	0	94	328
2	112	6	43	5	4	328	-	3	-	0	27	741
4	19	23	60	25	8	1,107	-	-	-	0	7	1,485
-	-	0	63	2	0	468	-	1,996	-	0	99	2,630
0	0	0	5	3	0	447	-	245	-	0	48	751
0	0	0	6	0	1	361	-	-	-	0	35	407
22	17	19	114	26	28	2,408	-	0	2,180	-	-	6,892
84	169	162	890	185	104	10,986	-	2,245	4,463	(0)	6,175	33,014
13	5	8	44	9	9	960	-	-	326	-	-	2,048
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
231	566	1,315	1,696	556	294	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,215
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
328	741	1,485	2,630	751	407	11,946	-	2,245	4,789	(0)	6,175	51,276

4.6A Input–Output Table for Malaysia, 2000

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	760	2	4,962	419	1	37	6	578	2
Mining and quarrying	MIN	5	163	242	3,271	36	383	19	10	30
Light manufacturing	LMF	733	51	8,116	1,865	39	1,596	142	952	98
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	1,046	259	2,092	11,159	518	1,699	137	119	1,085
Utilities	UTL	29	27	1,082	1,072	260	60	254	225	89
Construction	CON	23	27	228	147	27	53	139	40	28
Trade services	TRD	417	100	1,447	6,337	122	631	130	182	280
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	11	25	93	143	26	65	480	87	514
Transport services	TSP	85	162	534	572	28	213	120	87	1,089
Telecommunications	TEL	11	10	137	188	24	67	208	86	108
Financial intermediation	FIN	10	10	71	65	18	71	140	20	78
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	31	126	188	200	266	270	465	82	224
Public administration and defense	PAD	2	4	12	6	8	10	20	3	7
Education, health, and social work	EHS	5	4	4	16	12	5	3	1	1
Other personal services	OSV	2	1	7	26	1	3	6	25	8
Total imports	IMP	900	1,251	7,943	48,613	431	2,717	1,486	1,085	2,365
Total intermediate consumption	r60	4,069	2,221	27,158	74,099	1,817	7,878	3,758	3,581	6,007
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	24	14	337	880	28	115	125	49	91
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Value added at basic prices	r64	7,861	10,066	8,289	18,310	3,015	3,727	10,194	1,995	3,248
International transport margins	trs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gross output	r69	11,954	12,301	35,784	93,289	4,860	11,720	14,077	5,625	9,346

- = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.6A Input-Output Table for Malaysia, 2000 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
0	0	4	1	3	49	2,193	0	0	304	245	2,388	11,954
6	19	29	36	17	7	13	13	6	751	145	7,101	12,301
22	142	141	194	184	75	5,445	13	1	343	77	15,553	35,784
392	16	144	289	94	83	4,648	0	2	1,169	(75)	68,413	93,289
70	156	134	131	112	39	1,040	0	1	1	(0)	78	4,860
7	16	82	58	14	46	121	0	1	10,132	0	532	11,720
63	48	135	101	103	40	789	0	1	674	6	2,472	14,077
18	36	111	109	48	30	3,721	0	5	3	0	99	5,625
77	34	92	105	44	40	1,109	0	2	47	1	4,905	9,346
99	437	189	83	54	25	2,421	0	1	1	0	537	4,686
21	6,117	64	8	12	8	1,980	0	0	1	0	771	9,465
137	379	677	317	141	88	2,194	2,217	47	20	0	2,035	10,105
5	15	36	125	5	8	0	148	4,876	2	1	84	5,377
1	2	5	1	3	1	1,243	9	4,050	2	0	159	5,528
44	3	11	35	28	119	622	636	122	3	4	186	1,890
905	728	1,135	919	833	171	7,775	0	262	9,877	1,094	-	90,493
1,869	8,149	2,987	2,511	1,694	830	35,315	3,036	9,377	23,331	1,498	105,312	326,500
82	92	235	77	28	35	2,424	-	-	390	77	-	5,103
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,920)	-	-	-	-	-	(2,920)
-	-	-	-	-	0	178	-	-	-	-	-	178
2,735	1,224	6,882	2,789	3,806	1,024	-	-	-	-	-	-	85,165
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4,686	9,465	10,105	5,377	5,528	1,890	34,998	3,036	9,377	23,721	1,574	105,312	414,027

4.6B Input–Output Table for Malaysia, 2018

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	2,369	7	28,657	693	15	31	1,351	1,707	40
Mining and quarrying	MIN	20	500	2,283	21,353	1,282	1,579	8	2	8
Light manufacturing	LMF	1,831	119	34,635	9,221	189	9,412	7,856	4,749	722
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	2,837	1,449	5,422	31,169	942	6,081	7,107	403	4,765
Utilities	UTL	303	47	2,206	2,697	1,810	256	447	1,347	343
Construction	CON	347	394	944	1,541	15	5,621	40	195	118
Trade services	TRD	1,723	573	13,767	14,942	450	4,167	4,447	1,444	1,598
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	92	4	83	144	4	79	1,074	2,030	470
Transport services	TSP	589	650	3,209	3,236	124	474	2,010	232	6,970
Telecommunications	TEL	104	96	783	1,245	269	255	1,213	338	581
Financial intermediation	FIN	2,212	515	3,224	4,757	359	701	3,950	391	1,003
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	435	415	2,188	4,455	1,022	1,515	4,239	577	2,370
Public administration and defense	PAD	1	0	8	1	–	–	1	2	–
Education, health, and social work	EHS	1	1	7	11	1	9	19	2	11
Other personal services	OSV	13	17	892	812	143	102	92	262	57
Total imports	IMP	3,237	2,054	18,986	85,962	3,313	8,496	10,906	2,230	5,954
Total intermediate consumption	r60	16,113	6,841	117,295	182,238	9,939	38,778	44,760	15,912	25,009
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	(38)	(89)	(123)	1,121	(93)	(0)	558	(20)	(410)
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	24,961	32,845	22,747	51,415	8,617	17,133	58,176	11,127	12,272
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	41,036	39,596	139,919	234,774	18,463	55,911	103,494	27,019	36,871

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.6B Input-Output Table for Malaysia, 2018 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
61	25	71	92	29	25	2,749	0	0	846	(67)	2,337	41,036
2	1	2	3	1	1	24	0	0	13	386	12,129	39,596
513	651	2,180	873	505	454	30,995	0	0	766	(1,802)	36,049	139,919
324	91	596	705	1,891	209	8,451	0	0	9,944	(1,595)	153,981	234,774
280	905	485	845	326	511	4,879	3	5	109	7	654	18,463
674	215	667	2,498	467	60	6,020	0	0	31,578	(3)	4,518	55,911
405	274	766	486	701	243	32,391	0	1	4,627	(27)	20,516	103,494
32	1,593	102	703	153	110	20,136	0	0	15	0	196	27,019
281	568	1,288	1,682	269	204	7,316	0	1	413	(27)	7,381	36,871
4,862	1,450	1,393	1,865	299	725	10,444	1	10	549	(54)	3,033	29,458
4,436	16,243	2,297	1,332	366	834	8,993	0	9	701	(136)	855	53,040
4,438	1,604	4,101	3,605	1,019	1,774	1,413	0	29	2,181	(273)	1,170	38,278
-	-	0	0	0	0	5,670	-	37,808	-	(8)	97	43,580
7	887	38	501	1,003	3	23,821	111	4,658	3	(0)	171	31,264
134	33	203	653	130	1,298	8,823	18	29	150	(0)	1,473	15,333
3,172	1,298	2,028	4,534	3,164	1,734	27,546	21	1,877	32,198	(1,202)	-	217,508
19,620	25,837	16,217	20,376	10,322	8,184	199,670	154	44,427	84,095	(4,802)	244,560	1,125,544
231	296	202	24	34	107	2,951	-	3	2,378	2,653	-	9,784
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9,607	26,908	21,859	23,180	20,908	7,042	-	-	-	-	-	-	348,796
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29,458	53,040	38,278	43,580	31,264	15,333	202,620	154	44,430	86,473	(2,149)	244,560	1,484,123

4.7A Input–Output Table for the Philippines, 2000

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	1,088	1	7,717	156	0	0	305	329	6
Mining and quarrying	MIN	–	2	52	632	56	32	21	1	0
Light manufacturing	LMF	871	23	7,114	1,025	5	890	1,368	1,693	403
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	690	83	1,998	7,602	400	581	702	83	1,984
Utilities	UTL	147	47	784	765	339	16	87	71	34
Construction	CON	22	11	13	11	6	39	3	–	8
Trade services	TRD	121	6	1,806	4,059	87	79	24	67	111
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	–	0	22	18	–	10	–	1	4
Transport services	TSP	29	2	30	180	4	473	1,152	3	141
Telecommunications	TEL	25	9	136	163	38	23	456	2	43
Financial intermediation	FIN	118	14	202	272	8	97	563	35	257
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	99	52	180	167	81	143	339	77	414
Public administration and defense	PAD	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Education, health, and social work	EHS	113	1	4	1	–	–	–	0	0
Other personal services	OSV	4	0	1	130	–	–	1	10	0
Total imports	IMP	541	59	2,711	7,497	344	651	1,127	231	861
Total intermediate consumption	r60	3,868	309	22,770	22,677	1,367	3,033	6,149	2,602	4,266
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	11,673	546	13,349	15,863	3,085	3,515	12,035	1,460	3,990
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	15,541	855	36,118	38,540	4,451	6,548	18,183	4,062	8,256

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.7A Input-Output Table for the Philippines, 2000 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
-	-	-	71	40	0	3,999	210	-	613	371	635	15,541
-	-	1	0	0	-	10	1	-	-	2	46	855
129	174	245	618	326	109	14,150	745	-	273	464	5,494	36,118
362	188	169	198	213	411	2,703	142	-	3,483	323	16,225	38,540
17	102	90	123	144	132	1,476	78	-	-	-	1	4,451
4	23	56	246	-	-	-	-	-	6,012	-	95	6,548
5	8	32	46	38	21	9,818	517	-	441	-	898	18,183
-	164	79	96	43	101	1,817	96	-	-	-	1,611	4,062
21	202	79	122	14	11	4,572	241	-	390	-	590	8,256
57	157	83	64	17	11	1,830	96	-	-	-	169	3,377
78	1	405	277	41	22	4,064	214	-	-	-	134	6,802
99	943	691	454	75	116	5,901	311	-	-	-	402	10,543
-	-	-	-	-	-	44	2	9,919	-	-	37	10,002
0	-	18	2	8	0	3,196	168	-	-	-	33	3,545
0	5	144	31	41	164	2,664	140	-	-	-	25	3,363
289	374	340	420	222	309	6,438	339	18	2,521	336	-	25,625
1,062	2,342	2,431	2,768	1,221	1,407	62,682	3,299	9,937	13,732	1,495	26,395	195,813
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2,316	4,460	8,112	7,234	2,324	1,956	-	-	-	-	-	-	91,916
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3,377	6,802	10,543	10,002	3,545	3,363	62,682	3,299	9,937	13,732	1,495	26,395	287,729

4.7B Input–Output Table for the Philippines, 2018 (at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	3,805	1	27,315	416	0	77	1,119	817	0
Mining and quarrying	MIN	0	0	426	2,281	181	244	338	0	0
Light manufacturing	LMF	3,271	61	24,303	1,017	45	4,646	1,984	6,670	533
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	2,950	364	6,333	24,264	668	5,119	1,248	106	3,700
Utilities	UTL	268	110	1,911	1,540	2,402	191	292	740	197
Construction	CON	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Trade services	TRD	1,220	64	11,693	8,020	48	1,177	16,841	74	1,168
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	2	0	100	1	0	43	2	432	15
Transport services	TSP	473	72	465	698	43	338	1,743	18	726
Telecommunications	TEL	113	26	536	545	82	52	1,026	7	96
Financial intermediation	FIN	987	30	773	320	88	138	948	123	688
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	568	112	963	746	276	711	681	193	2,114
Public administration and defense	PAD	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Education, health, and social work	EHS	91	0	1	–	–	–	–	–	6
Other personal services	OSV	30	1	12	8	0	34	0	77	182
Total imports	IMP	1,605	367	9,994	32,379	1,076	6,978	3,094	973	4,614
Total intermediate consumption	r60	15,384	1,209	84,824	72,233	4,909	19,748	29,318	10,232	14,041
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	30,723	2,776	40,075	22,976	10,329	25,589	61,323	6,104	11,837
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	46,107	3,985	124,899	95,209	15,238	45,337	90,641	16,336	25,878

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.7B Input-Output Table for the Philippines, 2018 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
-	-	-	2	142	-	6,684	-	-	4,397	(83)	1,413	46,107
-	-	5	-	0	-	29	-	-	-	175	306	3,985
64	613	1,488	222	1,414	709	61,041	-	-	758	(609)	16,668	124,899
721	429	893	150	1,231	734	8,319	-	-	11,509	(292)	26,764	95,209
147	388	1,970	116	985	633	3,289	-	-	-	48	11	15,238
-	-	-	-	-	-	184	-	-	44,254	554	346	45,337
363	32	894	326	814	271	37,763	-	-	6,272	442	3,157	90,641
74	297	527	220	151	275	7,529	-	-	-	8	6,658	16,336
106	343	825	54	230	132	16,622	-	-	404	56	2,534	25,878
310	291	770	678	91	113	6,299	-	-	-	1	741	11,778
243	3,061	4,668	1,817	1,250	372	22,039	-	-	-	1,456	716	39,718
1,093	5,286	6,146	825	395	638	21,496	-	1,551	764	516	19,374	64,448
-	-	-	2,074	-	-	-	-	23,095	-	(3,500)	455	22,124
-	-	34	132	748	3	15,372	-	11,293	-	(329)	128	27,480
0	121	2,054	47	75	1,217	8,535	-	-	364	0	988	13,746
907	1,112	1,884	507	1,057	1,087	26,107	-	3,459	19,527	(776)	-	115,952
4,030	11,974	22,158	7,170	8,584	6,183	241,307	-	39,398	88,249	(2,335)	80,259	758,874
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7,748	27,744	42,290	14,953	18,896	7,563	-	-	-	-	-	-	330,926
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11,778	39,718	64,448	22,124	27,480	13,746	241,307	-	39,398	88,249	(2,335)	80,259	1,089,800

4.8A Input–Output Table for Singapore, 2000

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	5	–	7	0	–	0	0	15	0
Mining and quarrying	MIN	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Light manufacturing	LMF	4	–	1,214	829	5	632	159	253	94
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	3	–	399	6,769	63	719	184	32	609
Utilities	UTL	6	–	182	1,183	1,059	43	193	123	117
Construction	CON	1	–	101	202	19	12,766	37	9	30
Trade services	TRD	13	–	489	1,890	28	1,367	577	256	337
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	1	–	50	138	2	31	131	55	295
Transport services	TSP	9	–	217	841	12	237	4,212	45	5,927
Telecommunications	TEL	1	–	59	255	4	120	424	17	247
Financial intermediation	FIN	6	–	116	1,174	47	343	833	59	331
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	6	–	422	3,170	40	508	1,887	446	547
Public administration and defense	PAD	2	–	44	290	22	43	198	49	128
Education, health, and social work	EHS	1	–	23	158	14	63	49	27	21
Other personal services	OSV	0	–	70	379	1	52	309	65	122
Total imports	IMP	45	–	3,630	43,123	1,736	4,594	2,258	434	11,712
Total intermediate consumption	r60	102	–	7,023	60,399	3,052	21,517	11,451	1,886	20,516
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	1	–	16	54	56	1	10	4	17
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	90	–	3,180	20,118	1,559	5,637	12,414	1,507	10,438
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	192	–	10,219	80,571	4,667	27,156	23,875	3,397	30,970

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.8A Input-Output Table for Singapore, 2000 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
0	-	0	1	-	1	43	-	-	-	2	117	192
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	106	299	156	44	77	1,407	-	-	92	303	4,491	10,219
40	30	374	679	82	47	874	-	-	1,487	288	67,893	80,571
45	46	160	146	66	250	883	-	-	1	10	154	4,667
15	18	536	215	17	61	44	-	-	12,275	-	808	27,156
342	174	1,225	719	227	250	4,080	-	-	924	(7)	10,982	23,875
23	86	104	79	22	84	2,212	-	-	33	-	53	3,397
84	297	822	264	57	123	2,920	-	-	98	(1)	14,809	30,970
289	420	634	116	36	110	1,160	-	-	9	-	406	4,308
39	6,570	1,191	274	68	87	2,228	-	-	60	-	6,344	19,769
299	919	2,564	1,240	357	582	4,337	-	-	2,003	6	6,514	25,848
27	81	203	54	65	80	367	-	10,431	0	-	7	12,091
14	24	151	386	196	197	2,520	-	-	11	-	242	4,098
71	91	245	174	65	323	3,714	-	-	9	-	129	5,818
895	1,872	4,428	2,500	436	552	8,509	-	-	9,291	641	-	96,657
2,237	10,735	12,937	7,004	1,738	2,823	35,299	-	10,431	26,293	1,241	112,950	349,638
1	31	9	17	10	12	4,889	-	-	1,392	-	-	6,519
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2,070	9,003	12,902	5,071	2,350	2,982	-	-	-	-	-	-	89,321
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4,308	19,769	25,848	12,091	4,098	5,818	40,188	-	10,431	27,686	1,241	112,950	445,477

4.8B Input–Output Table for Singapore, 2018

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	0	–	78	3	0	5	1	26	1
Mining and quarrying	MIN	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Light manufacturing	LMF	7	–	4,888	1,503	91	1,436	554	1,537	297
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	11	–	1,550	64,994	306	4,329	1,678	372	5,070
Utilities	UTL	5	–	384	4,069	1,668	130	628	630	352
Construction	CON	1	–	54	625	48	17,269	113	89	85
Trade services	TRD	8	–	2,019	14,383	154	1,437	3,519	638	2,031
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	2	–	100	463	4	96	466	173	1,166
Transport services	TSP	7	–	679	3,814	71	432	11,614	172	10,621
Telecommunications	TEL	4	–	290	2,205	116	158	3,155	223	1,287
Financial intermediation	FIN	5	–	344	2,575	213	288	5,799	357	844
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	7	–	781	16,739	700	1,557	11,541	1,939	3,286
Public administration and defense	PAD	0	–	23	160	61	98	441	90	115
Education, health, and social work	EHS	0	–	16	112	8	19	106	39	40
Other personal services	OSV	0	–	36	119	4	29	175	105	117
Total imports	IMP	39	–	6,585	135,842	5,591	6,833	34,819	2,462	48,559
Total intermediate consumption	r60	96	–	17,827	247,606	9,034	34,116	74,609	8,854	73,871
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	0	–	8	82	29	12	20	18	91
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	85	–	8,793	64,167	4,180	12,068	61,476	7,235	23,576
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	181	–	26,628	311,855	13,243	46,195	136,105	16,107	97,538

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.8B Input-Output Table for Singapore, 2018 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
0	0	2	0	0	1	27	-	0	0	3	33	181
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
227	159	1,233	197	447	170	4,197	-	2	494	164	9,024	26,628
1,873	357	5,685	2,130	2,226	293	2,218	-	83	12,903	(1,380)	207,157	311,855
252	164	479	971	414	280	1,679	-	3	46	(7)	1,097	13,243
33	35	864	121	81	64	119	-	0	25,673	-	920	46,195
667	336	1,415	786	516	226	12,104	-	17	3,078	0	92,773	136,105
93	259	917	114	167	148	11,671	-	6	163	-	101	16,107
445	852	2,058	134	248	132	6,565	-	0	504	0	59,189	97,538
9,012	3,461	3,219	1,072	903	353	3,683	-	328	3,519	1	12,682	45,671
683	14,142	3,371	3,585	954	258	12,958	-	1	498	-	38,786	85,659
2,375	3,363	15,837	2,235	1,932	1,583	9,842	-	1,227	11,003	1	32,422	118,369
96	165	253	419	111	83	644	-	29,423	479	(0)	395	33,057
34	45	253	6,523	982	109	14,402	-	6,385	1,128	0	1,213	31,414
76	157	216	53	309	363	11,683	-	889	147	-	157	14,636
15,653	17,966	20,322	5,292	1,285	766	17,306	-	0	23,758	11,180	-	354,260
31,520	41,460	56,124	23,631	10,576	4,828	109,099	-	38,363	83,392	9,962	455,948	1,330,917
31	185	173	52	138	50	14,763	-	-	3,848	-	-	19,500
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14,120	44,014	62,072	9,373	20,700	9,758	-	-	-	-	-	-	341,616
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45,671	85,659	118,369	33,057	31,414	14,636	123,862	-	38,363	87,240	9,962	455,948	1,692,032

4.9A Input–Output Table for Thailand, 2000

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	556	21	6,873	52	7	20	30	778	56
Mining and quarrying	MIN	0	23	788	1,512	412	127	–	8	17
Light manufacturing	LMF	975	32	8,503	2,109	115	990	2,659	3,296	426
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	750	329	3,310	16,986	557	1,758	1,618	218	2,721
Utilities	UTL	33	33	1,412	907	1,078	106	1,587	902	130
Construction	CON	2	1	15	13	4	2	21	13	4
Trade services	TRD	726	526	7,358	4,994	434	996	1,598	1,519	1,557
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	9	5	50	58	14	11	562	14	82
Transport services	TSP	160	70	1,897	1,423	167	258	1,802	222	2,170
Telecommunications	TEL	6	54	154	136	15	28	442	102	247
Financial intermediation	FIN	119	22	444	343	250	93	1,944	166	234
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	124	819	1,264	1,426	140	384	1,406	499	1,729
Public administration and defense	PAD	3	2	39	104	16	10	48	16	34
Education, health, and social work	EHS	3	0	15	10	0	1	5	1	3
Other personal services	OSV	7	3	167	87	18	15	543	162	147
Total imports	IMP	981	577	9,430	14,633	1,338	2,136	3,900	988	2,532
Total intermediate consumption	r60	4,454	2,518	41,720	44,794	4,566	6,936	18,167	8,903	12,088
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	256	93	1,434	1,742	290	460	729	641	625
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	8,478	546	14,770	15,671	4,367	2,668	22,157	5,535	6,266
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	13,188	3,157	57,924	62,206	9,222	10,063	41,052	15,079	18,978

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.9A Input-Output Table for Thailand, 2000 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
9	10	55	39	205	58	3,416	-	-	-	20	986	13,188
-	-	-	-	0	1	1	-	-	-	(5)	273	3,157
33	106	827	404	1,273	453	13,413	-	-	16	71	22,222	57,924
116	90	565	469	810	402	5,476	-	-	8,964	430	16,635	62,206
125	61	325	261	465	304	1,343	-	-	-	41	110	9,222
2	4	20	149	114	27	119	-	-	9,472	-	81	10,063
212	225	881	451	745	533	9,070	-	-	2,793	47	6,388	41,052
22	27	144	262	102	50	10,756	-	-	-	-	2,910	15,079
225	81	212	202	196	155	5,712	-	-	266	6	3,751	18,978
340	70	361	146	69	149	901	-	-	-	-	386	3,604
127	174	361	22	80	72	763	-	-	-	-	102	5,316
348	427	1,148	486	227	611	4,750	-	-	172	-	654	16,615
21	63	55	-	9	125	199	-	10,020	-	-	2	10,765
0	30	10	0	1	13	4,372	1	7,929	-	-	435	12,828
46	22	653	410	173	600	3,076	1	343	-	-	1,028	7,500
235	167	962	637	1,393	644	8,677	0	200	5,281	257	-	54,968
1,861	1,557	6,580	3,938	5,861	4,197	72,043	1	18,491	26,964	867	55,962	342,467
135	51	119	118	196	86	2,955	0	(384)	840	28	-	10,414
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	2,222	-	-	-	-	-	2,222
-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,704)	-	-	-	-	-	(6,704)
1,608	3,708	9,917	6,709	6,771	3,217	-	-	-	-	-	-	112,386
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3,604	5,316	16,615	10,765	12,828	7,500	70,517	1	18,108	27,804	894	55,962	460,785

4.9B Input–Output Table for Thailand, 2018

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	2,181	69	23,849	248	32	61	90	6,597	111
Mining and quarrying	MIN	1	77	1,751	6,301	1,842	381	–	66	31
Light manufacturing	LMF	3,366	144	32,019	12,563	764	5,935	8,355	24,207	911
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	3,195	1,201	13,456	94,896	2,660	5,765	5,604	2,073	6,512
Utilities	UTL	134	114	5,205	4,783	5,003	337	5,041	7,985	253
Construction	CON	6	2	47	58	17	7	56	96	6
Trade services	TRD	2,508	1,498	21,012	23,846	1,658	2,551	4,010	11,050	2,497
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	87	41	417	699	146	82	4,223	55	329
Transport services	TSP	335	124	3,588	3,995	391	410	3,184	1,071	2,665
Telecommunications	TEL	18	140	411	542	52	65	1,040	670	370
Financial intermediation	FIN	805	129	2,642	2,917	1,912	487	10,173	2,423	800
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	626	3,521	5,546	8,326	797	1,496	5,292	5,311	4,204
Public administration and defense	PAD	5	3	56	251	30	12	61	57	27
Education, health, and social work	EHS	5	0	26	26	1	2	8	4	4
Other personal services	OSV	15	5	305	242	43	25	886	735	132
Total imports	IMP	2,675	1,795	25,172	81,057	6,814	6,515	9,603	4,748	4,323
Total intermediate consumption	r60	15,961	8,863	135,503	240,751	22,163	24,130	57,624	67,150	23,174
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	941	455	5,632	8,023	1,167	1,218	2,226	4,248	1,137
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	34,926	2,186	43,227	62,792	17,269	8,596	87,443	48,099	14,774
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	51,828	11,504	184,362	311,566	40,599	33,943	147,293	119,497	39,085

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.9B Input-Output Table for Thailand, 2018 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
35	71	294	118	878	103	6,920	-	-	-	33	10,137	51,828
-	-	-	-	0	2	3	-	-	-	(10)	1,059	11,504
101	592	3,511	960	3,784	778	31,367	-	-	53	901	54,050	184,362
480	742	3,372	1,557	4,237	781	28,893	-	-	47,907	(6,024)	94,259	311,566
501	457	1,707	827	1,984	562	5,515	-	-	-	(34)	225	40,599
6	25	94	396	388	42	280	-	-	32,348	-	68	33,943
690	1,350	4,144	1,169	2,700	816	20,040	-	-	8,230	(206)	37,730	147,293
200	463	1,875	1,895	944	210	81,195	-	-	-	-	26,635	119,497
534	349	705	347	448	164	8,614	-	-	711	203	11,247	39,085
1,011	388	1,530	342	209	203	3,436	-	-	-	-	3,195	13,622
842	2,158	3,179	113	558	220	11,474	-	-	-	-	1,089	41,920
1,709	3,769	7,695	1,845	1,169	1,357	13,339	-	-	846	-	7,250	74,099
34	188	123	-	15	91	474	-	38,505	-	-	3	39,936
1	137	25	0	3	14	8,086	2	39,431	-	-	1,857	49,633
95	86	1,927	669	363	569	6,128	2	1,862	-	-	6,031	20,120
879	1,046	4,969	1,791	3,639	894	22,050	0	875	23,672	120	-	202,639
7,117	11,822	35,151	12,030	21,319	6,808	247,815	5	80,673	113,768	(5,016)	254,836	1,381,645
327	619	1,675	551	1,015	352	13,818	0	(1,880)	3,546	116	-	45,187
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6,177	29,480	37,273	27,355	27,298	12,960	-	-	-	-	-	-	459,855
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13,622	41,920	74,099	39,936	49,633	20,120	261,633	5	78,792	117,314	(4,900)	254,836	1,886,688

4.10A Input–Output Table for Viet Nam, 2000

(at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	4,388	4	2,048	2	2	9	20	104	4
Mining and quarrying	MIN	4	62	311	46	10	157	14	14	2
Light manufacturing	LMF	420	34	4,366	231	12	1,340	209	237	35
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	445	66	356	1,354	43	672	447	15	47
Utilities	UTL	138	137	308	116	67	52	41	33	7
Construction	CON	–	–	0	–	–	–	–	–	0
Trade services	TRD	440	43	1,209	418	27	470	187	52	32
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	8	3	21	8	0	21	40	12	7
Transport services	TSP	42	17	119	31	7	48	28	14	9
Telecommunications	TEL	53	180	48	14	2	23	9	51	8
Financial intermediation	FIN	14	1	25	8	1	4	88	25	3
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	12	375	90	19	1	40	735	41	112
Public administration and defense	PAD	–	–	–	–	0	–	–	–	0
Education, health, and social work	EHS	34	3	8	2	1	5	6	2	3
Other personal services	OSV	2	1	5	1	0	1	1	1	3
Total imports	IMP	1,318	258	3,102	1,951	230	1,676	912	254	342
Total intermediate consumption	r60	7,318	1,184	12,016	4,200	403	4,518	2,736	858	613
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	7,994	3,007	3,997	1,449	971	1,669	3,211	1,012	662
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	15,312	4,191	16,012	5,649	1,374	6,186	5,947	1,870	1,275

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.10A Input-Output Table for Viet Nam, 2000 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
0	0	1	0	2	11	6,084	-	-	279	(17)	2,372	15,312
0	0	39	1	0	7	8	-	-	41	(0)	3,474	4,191
21	15	139	93	77	70	4,127	-	-	321	(40)	4,307	16,012
26	3	62	29	82	35	696	-	-	785	(27)	516	5,649
4	12	22	10	31	44	349	-	-	1	0	1	1,374
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,171	0	15	6,186
15	10	53	49	63	39	1,381	-	-	299	(0)	1,159	5,947
3	3	27	50	20	10	814	-	-	0	(0)	824	1,870
1	3	21	17	13	10	361	-	-	20	(0)	513	1,275
7	19	21	31	22	18	111	-	-	-	(0)	159	774
38	45	15	39	1	5	73	-	-	0	-	189	575
1	11	165	51	38	25	407	-	141	0	(0)	75	2,340
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,569	0	0	2	1,571
1	2	5	63	59	6	1,927	-	-	0	(0)	67	2,194
0	0	12	21	9	27	1,249	-	98	0	0	221	1,653
95	66	239	268	293	169	3,278	-	42	1,496	(50)	-	15,939
212	190	821	719	711	476	20,864	-	1,850	9,414	(134)	13,893	82,862
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
562	385	1,519	852	1,483	1,177	-	-	-	-	-	-	29,948
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
774	575	2,340	1,571	2,194	1,653	20,864	-	1,850	9,414	(134)	13,893	112,810

4.10B Input–Output Table for Viet Nam, 2018 (at current prices, \$ million)

		Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry, and Fishing	Mining and Quarrying	Light Manufacturing	Heavy Manufacturing	Utilities	Construction	Trade Services	Hotels and Restaurants	Transport Services
Sector	Code	AHF	MIN	LMF	HMF	UTL	CON	TRD	HRS	TSP
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	AHF	8,184	3	36,082	904	0	56	58	899	2
Mining and quarrying	MIN	27	954	1,475	6,147	377	367	22	54	1
Light manufacturing	LMF	9,712	288	59,187	4,559	158	6,273	1,456	2,811	675
Heavy manufacturing	HMF	5,256	3,408	11,188	42,621	649	7,315	1,794	1,694	3,813
Utilities	UTL	860	236	3,721	1,351	925	146	993	369	83
Construction	CON	195	78	227	194	59	3,653	446	69	114
Trade services	TRD	2,131	302	11,281	4,505	177	1,398	413	358	409
Hotels and restaurants	HRS	148	39	278	164	21	142	364	62	54
Transport services	TSP	607	215	3,369	1,574	54	444	811	127	1,715
Telecommunications	TEL	97	31	193	118	12	69	367	50	51
Financial intermediation	FIN	285	267	1,423	1,171	36	543	1,741	513	280
Real estate, renting, and business activities	BUS	272	172	1,108	913	22	569	2,388	474	379
Public administration and defense	PAD	1	0	11	4	1	1	19	1	1
Education, health, and social work	EHS	10	65	50	33	15	17	77	4	14
Other personal services	OSV	21	5	113	115	3	34	83	42	7
Total imports	IMP	12,178	5,541	40,208	51,674	802	11,504	3,205	2,723	5,880
Total intermediate consumption	r60	39,985	11,604	169,916	116,047	3,308	32,531	14,238	10,250	13,479
Taxes less subsidies on products	r99	989	509	3,069	2,906	86	738	897	359	377
CIF / FOB adjustments on exports	r61	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct purchases abroad by residents	r62	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Domestic purchases by non-residents	r63	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Value added at basic prices	r64	25,279	9,810	45,183	26,280	9,287	13,906	26,450	6,526	7,689
International transport margins	trs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gross output	r69	66,253	21,922	218,168	145,233	12,681	47,175	41,585	17,135	21,545

– = magnitude equals zero; 0 = magnitude is less than half of unit employed; \$ = United States dollars; () = negative; CIF = cost, insurance, and freight; FOB = free on board.

Source: Asian Development Bank Multi-Regional Input–Output Database. <https://mrio.adbx.online/> (accessed 4 August 2020).

4.10B Input-Output Table for Viet Nam, 2018 (continued)

Telecommunications	Financial Intermediation	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	Public Administration and Defense	Education, Health, and Social Work	Other Personal Services	Final Consumption Expenditure by Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Nonprofit Organizations Serving Households	Final Consumption Expenditure by Government	Gross Fixed Capital Formation	Changes in Inventories and Valuables	Exports	Gross Output
TEL	FIN	BUS	PAD	EHS	OSV	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	EXP	
0	2	63	2	43	5	11,383	-	0	392	(1,275)	9,451	66,253
0	0	8	0	4	17	589	-	-	0	(251)	12,132	21,922
176	184	937	254	1,239	584	30,694	-	14	815	(916)	99,070	218,168
1,073	180	594	230	3,804	421	11,961	-	0	8,377	3,403	37,452	145,233
123	114	185	76	301	142	2,982	-	10	-	5	60	12,681
53	43	857	139	399	49	4,128	-	4	36,840	(413)	39	47,175
146	36	174	75	496	109	6,818	-	-	526	(0)	12,231	41,585
18	121	230	191	130	55	7,083	-	0	0	(0)	8,032	17,135
60	49	198	90	205	52	4,887	-	0	149	(0)	6,939	21,545
906	99	184	63	77	31	4,013	-	504	-	0	524	7,392
27	3,258	207	46	88	62	4,597	-	-	-	-	1,098	15,641
822	569	1,570	194	559	225	7,821	-	630	41	(0)	890	19,617
0	1	3	24	13	2	241	-	8,047	-	-	5	8,374
24	30	48	51	383	11	22,119	-	5,164	-	-	1,777	29,889
10	12	216	34	78	477	5,042	-	592	0	(0)	308	7,191
1,352	1,451	1,422	434	3,416	756	24,583	-	788	7,097	582	-	175,595
4,789	6,149	6,894	1,902	11,236	2,997	148,941	-	15,753	54,236	1,134	190,006	855,396
290	347	468	71	210	173	6,256	-	333	1,351	269	-	19,697
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2,313	9,145	12,255	6,401	18,443	4,020	-	-	-	-	-	-	222,987
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7,392	15,641	19,617	8,374	29,889	7,191	155,197	-	16,086	55,587	1,403	190,006	1,098,080

Appendix 1

AGGREGATION OF SECTORS USED

The Asian Development Bank's Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables follow the 35-sector classification used in the 2013 release of the World Input–Output Database, which was based on the United Nations International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities (ISIC) Rev. 3.1. Table A1.1 presents these sectors and how they correspond to the more recent ISIC Rev. 4 classifications.

Table A1.1: Multi-Regional Input–Output 35-Sector Classification

Code	MRIO Sector	Short Name	ISIC Rev. 4
c1	Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	Agriculture	A
c2	Mining and quarrying	Mining	B
c3	Food, beverages, and tobacco	Food and beverages	C10–C12
c4	Textiles and textile products	Textiles	C13–C14
c5	Leather, leather products, and footwear	Leather	C15
c6	Wood and products of wood and cork	Wood	C16
c7	Pulp, paper, printing, and publishing	Paper	C17–C18, J58
c8	Coke, refined petroleum, and nuclear fuel	Refined fuels	C19
c9	Chemicals and chemical products	Chemicals	C20–C21
c10	Rubber and plastics	Rubber	C22
c11	Other nonmetallic mineral	Minerals n.e.c.	C23
c12	Basic metals and fabricated metal	Metals	C24–C25
c13	Machinery, not elsewhere classified	Machinery n.e.c.	C28, C33
c14	Electrical and optical equipment	Electricals	C26–C27
c15	Transport equipment	Transport equipment	C29–C30
c16	Manufacturing, not elsewhere classified; recycling	Manufacturing n.e.c.	C31–C32
c17	Electricity, gas, and water supply	Utilities	D, E36
c18	Construction	Construction	F
c19	Sale and repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles; retail sale of fuel	Sale of motor vehicles	G45
c20	Wholesale trade, except of motor vehicles and motorcycles	Wholesale trade	G46
c21	Retail trade and repair, except of motor vehicles and motorcycles	Retail trade	G47
c22	Hotels and restaurants	Hotels and restaurants	I
c23	Inland transport	Inland transport	H49
c24	Water transport	Water transport	H50
c25	Air transport	Air transport	H51

continued on next page

Table A1.1 *continued*

Code	MRIO Sector	Short Name	ISIC Rev. 4
c26	Other supporting transport activities	Transport activities n.e.c.	H52, N79
c27	Post and telecommunications	Telecommunications	H53, J61
c28	Financial intermediation	Finance	K
c29	Real estate activities	Real estate	L
c30	Renting of machinery and equipment and other business activities	Business activities n.e.c.	J (exc. 61), M, N (exc. 79)
c31	Public administration and defense; compulsory social security	Public administration	O
c32	Education	Education	P
c33	Health and social work	Social work	Q
c34	Other community, social, and personal services	Personal services n.e.c.	E37-E39, R, S
c35	Private households with employed persons	Private households	T

exc. = excluding, MRIO = multi-regional input-output, n.e.c. = not elsewhere classified.

Source: E. Timmer, E. Dietzenbacher, B. Los, R. Stehrer, and G. de Vries. 2015. An Illustrated User Guide to the World Input-Output Database: the Case of Global Automotive Production. *Review of International Economics*. 23 (3). pp. 575-605.

For most data tables, figures, and analyses in the report, closely related sectors were integrated under a broader 15-sector classification. The relationship between the original 35-sector classification and the adjusted 15-sector classification are detailed in Table A1.2.

Table A1.2: Multi-Regional Input-Output 15-Sector Classification

15-Sector Classification		35-Sector Classification	
Code	Sector	Code	Sector
AHF	Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	c1	Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing
MIN	Mining and quarrying	c2	Mining and quarrying
LMF	Light manufacturing	c3	Food, beverages, and tobacco
		c4	Textiles and textile products
		c5	Leather, leather products, and footwear
		c6	Wood and products of wood and cork
		c7	Pulp, paper, paper products, printing, and publishing
		c10	Rubber and plastics
		c11	Other nonmetallic minerals
		c16	Manufacturing n.e.c.; recycling
		c8	Coke, refined petroleum, and nuclear fuel
		c9	Chemicals and chemical products
HMF	Heavy manufacturing	c12	Basic metals and fabricated metal
		c13	Machinery n.e.c.
		c14	Electrical and optical equipment
		c15	Transport equipment

continued on next page

Table A1.2 *continued*

15-Sector Classification		35-Sector Classification	
Code	Sector	Code	Sector
UTL	Utilities	c17	Electricity, gas, and water supply
CON	Construction	c18	Construction
TRD	Trade services	c19	Sale, maintenance, and repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles; retail sale of fuel
		c20	Wholesale trade and commission trade, except of motor vehicles and motorcycles
		c21	Retail trade, except of motor vehicles and motorcycles; repair of household goods
		c22	Hotels and restaurants
TSP	Transport services	c23	Inland transport
		c24	Water transport
		c25	Air transport
		c26	Other supporting and auxiliary transport activities; activities of travel agencies
TEL	Telecommunications	c27	Post and telecommunications
FIN	Financial intermediation	c28	Financial intermediation
BUS	Real estate, renting, and business activities	c29	Real estate activities
		c30	Renting of M&Eq and other business activities
PAD	Public administration and defense	c31	Public administration and defense; compulsory social security
EHS	Education, health, and social work	c32	Education
		c33	Health and social work
OSV	Other personal services	c34	Other community, social, and personal services
		c35	Private households with employed persons

M&Eq = machinery and equipment, n.e.c. = not elsewhere classified.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input–Output Database.

In select figures, results were aggregated at 5-sector levels. The relationship between the original 35-sector classification and the 5-sector aggregation is provided in Table A1.3.

Table A1.3: Multi-Regional Input-Output 5-Sector Aggregation

5-Sector Aggregation	35-Sector Classification	
	Code	Sector
Primary	c1	Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing
	c2	Mining and quarrying
Low tech manufacturing	c3	Food, beverages, and tobacco
	c4	Textiles and textile products
	c5	Leather, leather products, and footwear
	c6	Wood and products of wood and cork
	c7	Pulp, paper, paper products, printing, and publishing
	c10	Rubber and plastics
	c16	Manufacturing n.e.c.; recycling
	c17	Electricity, gas, and water supply
	c18	Construction
High and medium tech manufacturing	c8	Coke, refined petroleum, and nuclear fuel
	c9	Chemicals and chemical products
	c11	Other nonmetallic minerals
	c12	Basic metals and fabricated metal
	c13	Machinery n.e.c.
	c14	Electrical and optical equipment
	c15	Transport equipment
Business services	c19	Sale, maintenance, and repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles; retail sale of fuel
	c20	Wholesale trade and commission trade, except of motor vehicles and motorcycles
	c21	Retail trade, except of motor vehicles and motorcycles; repair of household goods
	c22	Hotels and restaurants
	c23	Inland transport
	c24	Water transport
	c25	Air transport
	c26	Other supporting and auxiliary transport activities; activities of travel agencies
	c27	Post and telecommunications
	c28	Financial intermediation
	c29	Real estate activities
	c30	Renting of machinery and equipment; other business activities
Public and welfare services	c31	Public administration and defense; compulsory social security
	c32	Education
	c33	Health and social work
	c34	Other community, social, and personal services
	c35	Private households with employed persons

n.e.c. = not elsewhere classified.

Source: Asian Development Bank. Multi-Regional Input-Output Database.

Appendix 2

SUBREGIONAL GROUPING OF ECONOMIES

The report covers 25 economies in Asia and the Pacific, which are grouped into three subregions: East Asia, South and Central Asia, and Southeast Asia and the Pacific. The economies and their respective subregional groupings are detailed in Table A2.

Table A2: Subregional Grouping of Economies

Subregion	Code	Economy
East Asia	HKG	Hong Kong, China
	JPN	Japan
	KOR	Republic of Korea
	MON	Mongolia
	PRC	People's Republic of China
	TAP	Taipei, China
South and Central Asia	BAN	Bangladesh
	BHU	Bhutan
	IND	India
	KAZ	Kazakhstan
	KGZ	Kyrgyz Republic
	MLD	Maldives
	NEP	Nepal
	PAK	Pakistan
Southeast Asia and the Pacific	SRI	Sri Lanka
	BRU	Brunei Darussalam
	CAM	Cambodia
	FIJ	Fiji
	INO	Indonesia
	LAO	Lao People's Democratic Republic
	MAL	Malaysia
	PHI	Philippines
	SIN	Singapore
	THA	Thailand
	VIE	Viet Nam

TECHNICAL NOTE

Appendix 3

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
Basic Economic Indicators			
Gross output (current \$ million)	Gross output is a broad measure of total economic activity. It includes sales and receipts to final users and other industries as intermediate inputs.	x_j^r is the gross output x of sector j in economy r .	United Nations (2018)
Gross value-added (current \$ million)	Gross value-added is the difference between the gross output and intermediate inputs or consumption of a given sector.	v_j^r is the gross value added v of sector j in economy r .	United Nations (2018)
Domestic final demand (current \$ million)	Domestic final demand refers to the sales or receipts of domestic producers to final users in the economy. It is the sum of household final consumption expenditure, final consumption of nonprofit institutions serving households (NPISHs), government final consumption expenditure, gross fixed capital formation, and changes in inventories.	f_j^{rr} is the final consumption of product i in economy r .	United Nations (2018)
Exports (current \$ million)	Exports are the total goods and services produced in economy s and purchased by a resident of another economy r . An export may be sold to final users or other industries in another economy. This amount is measured in millions of current United States (US) dollars.	e_j^{rs} is the sale of products from sector i in economy r to another economy s .	United Nations (2018)
Imports (current \$ million)	Imports are the total goods and services purchased by a resident in economy s and produced in another economy r . An import may be used by final users or other industries in the purchasing economy as intermediate inputs.	m_i^{rs} is the sale of products from sector i in economy s to economy r .	United Nations (2018)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
Intermediate inputs (current \$ million)	Used interchangeably with intermediate consumption, intermediate inputs refer to the total amount of purchases of products or services of a given sector for use in the production process. These flows are also known as interindustry transactions.	$\sum_i^n z_{i,j}^r$ is the sum of n intermediate inputs z by sector j in economy r .	United Nations (2018)
Domestic inputs (current \$ million)	Domestic inputs refer to intermediate inputs purchased from domestic sectors. This amount is measured in millions of current US dollars.	$\sum_i^n z_{i,j}^{rr}$ is the sum of n intermediate inputs purchased by sector j from domestic sectors in economy r .	United Nations (2018)
Foreign inputs (current \$ million)	Foreign inputs, otherwise called imported inputs, refer to intermediate inputs purchased from foreign sectors.	$\sum_i^n z_{i,j}^{sr}$ is the sum of n intermediate inputs purchased by sector j in economy r from sectors in economy s .	United Nations (2018)
Indirect production embedded in domestic final demand (current \$ million)	Indirect production embedded in domestic final demand is the measure of intermediate production processes attributable to the production of goods and services for domestic final demand.	Derived from the matrix equation $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{f}^{rr} - \mathbf{f}^{rr}$ where $\mathbf{L}$ is the Leontief inverse.	
Indirect production embedded in exports (current \$ million)	Indirect production embedded in exports is a measure of intermediate production processes attributable to the production of goods and services for exports. This amount is measured in millions of current US dollars.	Derived from the matrix equation $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{e}^{rs} - \mathbf{e}^{rs}$ where $\mathbf{L}$ is the Leontief inverse and $\mathbf{e}^{s,r}$ is the vector of economy r 's exports to rest of the world s .	
Gross value-added to output ratio (GVAR)	GVAR is the ratio of sector j 's total gross value-added to its gross output.	Calculated simply as v_j^r / x_j^r	
Gross value-added shares (%)	A crude measure of a sector's relative importance to the economy, the gross value-added share is calculated as the value-added contribution of sector j as a percentage of the economy-wide gross value-added.	$v_j^r / \sum_j^n v_j^r$ where n is the number of sectors in the economy r .	

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
Economic Diversification			
Gini concentration index	The Gini concentration index is an aggregate measure of the concentration of economic variables: gross output, gross exports, and gross value-added. It ranges between 0 and 1, where 0 implies a homogenously diversified economy wherein all sectors have a perfectly equal share of output, exports, or value-added to the total economy; and 1 implies an extremely concentrated economy with only one sector. Gini indexes are more sensitive to the middle portion of the distribution.	$Gini_t = 1 - \frac{1}{n_{rt}} \sum_{n=1}^{n_{rt}} (S_{i-1}^r + S_i^r)$ <p>where S_i^r is the cumulative share of (a) gross output, or (b) gross exports, or (c) gross value added of sector i in the economy r, n_{rt} is the number of sectors in economy r at period t.</p>	Ugarte (2014)
Herfindahl-Hirschman concentration index (HHI)	The HHI is an aggregate measure of the concentration of economic variables: gross output, gross exports, and gross value-added. It ranges between $1/n_{rt}$ and 1. Like the Gini index, the HHI increases with the degree of concentration, but is more sensitive to the top portion of the distribution.	$HH_t = \sum_{n=1}^{n_{rt}} (S_i^r)^2$ <p>where S_i^r is the share of value-added of sector i in the economy r, n_{rt} is the number of sectors in economy r at period t.</p>	Hirschman (1958)
Theil concentration index	The Theil concentration index is also an aggregate measure of the concentration of economic variables: gross output, gross exports, and gross value-added. The Theil index is normalized to ensure that its values range from 0 to 1, with values increasing with the degree of concentration. Unlike the Gini index, it is more sensitive to the bottom of the distribution.	$Theil_t = \frac{1}{n_{rt}} \sum_{n=1}^{n_{rt}} \left(\frac{S_i}{\bar{S}} \right) \log \left(\frac{S_i}{\bar{S}} \right)$ <p>which is further normalized using</p> $\overline{Theil}_t = 1 - \exp(-Theil_t).$	Ugarte (2014)
Structural Changes			
Change in output of sector i due to technology changes in sector j (%)	Measures the average effects of technology change in sector j to the output of sector i between two periods. Technology change is analogous with intermediate demand which defines the technical coefficient of an industry. The changes between two periods were transformed to compound annual average rates.	In matrix terms, $(1/2) [L^t (\Delta A^j) L^{t-p}] (f^t + f^{t-p})$ where L is the Leontief inverse at period t , f is the vector of final demand of period t ; $t-p$ is the index for the previous comparator period. Further, ΔA^j is the matrix of $\Delta A^j - A^{t-p}$, where only the column of sector j is shown with zeroes elsewhere.	Miller and Blair (2009)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Change in output of sector i due to demand levels (%)</i>	Measures the <i>average</i> effects of total amount of all expenditures in final demand (<i>level</i>) to the output of sector <i>i</i> between two periods. The changes between two periods were transformed to compound annual average rates.	In matrix terms, $(1/4)(\mathbf{L}^t + \mathbf{L}^{t-p})(\Delta f)(\mathbf{P}^{t-p} \mathbf{d}^{t-p} + \mathbf{P}^t \mathbf{d}^t)$ where $\mathbf{L}$ is the Leontief inverse at period <i>t</i> , Δf is scalar of change in total final demand between periods <i>t</i> and <i>t-p</i> . Further, $\mathbf{d}$ is the matrix of distribution of final demand across different categories (e.g. household demand, government consumption, etc.), while $\mathbf{P}$ is the product mix matrix which shows the shares of each product in the total column of each final demand category.	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Change in output of sector i due to demand mix (%)</i>	Measures the <i>average</i> effects of changes of product mix of final demand (i.e. the proportions of each product in the total final demand) to the output of sector <i>i</i> between two periods. The changes between two periods were transformed to compound annual average rates.	In matrix terms, $(1/4)(\mathbf{L}^t + \mathbf{L}^{t-p})[f^{t-p}(\Delta \mathbf{P})\mathbf{d}^t + f^t(\Delta \mathbf{P})\mathbf{d}^{t-p}]$ where $\mathbf{L}$ is the Leontief inverse at period <i>t</i> , <i>f</i> is scalar sum of all final demand elements, $\mathbf{d}$ is the matrix of distribution of final demand across different categories (e.g. household demand, government consumption, etc.), while $\mathbf{P}$ is the product mix matrix which shows the shares of each product in the total column of each final demand category; <i>t</i> and <i>t-p</i> are time indexes for two periods under study.	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Change in value added due to coefficient changes (%)</i>	Measures the change in value added between two periods due to the value-added coefficient (GVAR) change.	In matrix terms, $(1/2)(\Delta \hat{\mathbf{v}}_c)(\mathbf{L}^t \mathbf{f}^t + \mathbf{L}^{t-p} \mathbf{f}^{t-p})$ where $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_c$ is the diagonalized vector of value-added to gross output coefficients.	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Change in value added due to technology changes (%)</i>	Measures the change in value added between two periods due to technology change (i.e. intermediate demand).	In matrix terms, $(1/2)[\hat{\mathbf{v}}_c^t(\Delta \mathbf{L})\mathbf{f}^t + \hat{\mathbf{v}}_c^{t-p}(\Delta \mathbf{L})\mathbf{f}^{t-p}]$, see description of notations above.	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Change in value added due to demand changes (%)</i>	Measures the change in value added between two periods due to final demand change.	In matrix terms, $(1/2)[\hat{\mathbf{v}}_c^t \mathbf{L}^t + \hat{\mathbf{v}}_c^{t-p} \mathbf{L}^{t-p} \Delta \mathbf{f}]$, see description of notations above.	Miller and Blair (2009)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Changes in output due to structural changes in consumption (%)</i>	Measures the average change in gross output due to combined changes in demand of households, NPISHs, and government. The changes are transformed to compound annual average rates.	In matrix terms, $\mathbf{L}_t^d (1 - \hat{\mathbf{M}}_t^F) \Delta \mathbf{c}$ where $\mathbf{L}$ is the Leontief inverse using the input coefficients matrix of domestically produced goods d , $\hat{\mathbf{M}}$ is the matrix of import dependency ratios of final demand, and $\mathbf{c}$ is the vector of final demand aggregated across categories of household final consumption expenditure, NPISH final consumption expenditure, and government final consumption expenditure. The import dependency ratios are defined as $\overline{m}_{it}^F = f_{it} - f_{it}^d / f_{it}$ where f_{it} is the total final consumption of product i regardless of whether it is domestically produced or imported, while f_{it}^d makes the distinction for domestically produced commodities.	Dervis et al. (1985); Syrquin (1988); Jian (1996)
<i>Changes in output due to structural changes in investment (%)</i>	Measures the average change in gross output due to changes in gross fixed capital formation. The changes are transformed to compound annual average rates.	In matrix terms, $\mathbf{L}_t^d (1 - \hat{\mathbf{M}}_t^F) \Delta \mathbf{GFCF}$ where $\mathbf{GFCF}$ is the vector of gross fixed capital formation.	Syrquin (1988); Jian (1996)
<i>Changes in output due to structural changes in stock (%)</i>	Measures the average change in gross output due to changes in inventories. The changes are transformed to compound annual average rates.	In matrix terms, $\mathbf{L}_t^d (1 - \hat{\mathbf{M}}_t^F) \Delta \mathbf{INVT}$ where $\mathbf{INVT}$ is the vector of changes in inventories.	Syrquin (1988); Jian (1996)
<i>Changes in output due to structural changes in export</i>	Measures the average change in gross output due to changes in gross exports. The changes are transformed to compound annual average rates.	In matrix terms, $\mathbf{L}_t^d \Delta \mathbf{E}$ where $\mathbf{E}$ is the vector of gross exports.	Syrquin (1988); Jian (1996)
<i>Changes in output due to import substitution on domestic final demand</i>	Measures the average change in gross output due to import substitution on domestic final demand. The changes are transformed to compound annual average rates.	In matrix terms, $\mathbf{L}_t^d (\hat{\mathbf{M}}_t^F - \mathbf{M}_{t-p}^F) \mathbf{D}_t$. See description of notations above.	Syrquin (1988); Jian (1996)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Changes in output due to import substitution on intermediate demand</i>	Measures the average change in gross output due to import substitution on intermediate demand. The changes are transformed to compound annual average rates.	In matrix terms, $\mathbf{L}_t^d (\hat{\mathbf{M}}_t^F - \mathbf{M}_{t-p}^F)$ $\mathbf{D}_t$. See description of notations above.	Syrquin (1988); Jian (1996)
Strength of Linkages			
Demand-side			
<i>Direct backward linkage</i>	Direct backward linkage is defined as the first round of economy-wide impacts induced by a change in final demand of sector i .	Direct backward linkages are measured as the column sums of input coefficients matrix, formulaically expressed as $DBL_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}$ where a_{ij} is ratio of an intermediate transaction from sector i to j to the gross output of sector j .	United Nations (2018)
<i>Total backward linkage</i>	Total backward linkage is defined as the total economy-wide impacts induced by a change in final demand of sector i . This linkage measure is analogous to simple output multipliers.	Total backward linkages are measured as the column sums of Leontief inverse matrix, formulaically expressed as $TBL_j = \sum_{i=1}^n l_{ij}$ where l_{ij} are elements of the Leontief inverse matrix.	United Nations (2018)
<i>Normalized backward linkage</i>	Normalized backward linkage is a measure of an industry's total backward linkage relative to the average of the economy. Values above one (1) indicate a stronger-than-average demand-pulling effects of a final demand change in sector i to the economy.	Normalized backward linkages are formulaically expressed as $BL_j = \frac{TBL_j}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n TBL_j}$.	United Nations (2018)
<i>Growth-equalized output multipliers</i>	"Growth equalization" of output multipliers indicate the sectoral impacts of a general expansion in final demand in all sectors. These multipliers show the increase (or decrease) in sector-specific output due to a unit increase (or decrease) in all final products weighted by its relative importance to the total final demand basket.	In matrix terms, $\mathbf{L} \langle \mathbf{f} \langle \mathbf{i}' \mathbf{f} \rangle^{-1} \rangle \mathbf{i}$. See description of notations above.	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Output-to-final demand elasticity</i>	Measures the sensitivity of the economy to a percentage change in final demand in a given sector.	In matrix terms, $\mathbf{oe}_j^f = 100 \times (\mathbf{i}' \Delta \mathbf{x} / \mathbf{i}' \mathbf{x})$ where $\Delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f} (0.01)$.	Miller and Blair (2009)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Index of Power of Dispersion</i>	This index measures the relative extent to which an increase in final demand for the products of a given industry is dispersed throughout the total system of industries.	This index is expressed mathematically as $n\mathbf{L}/\mathbf{i}'\mathbf{L}\mathbf{i}$.	Rasmussen (1957)
Supply-side			
<i>Direct forward linkage</i>	Direct forward linkage is defined as the first round of economy-wide impacts induced by a change in primary inputs availability in sector j .	Direct forward linkages are measured as the row sums of output coefficients matrix $\mathbf{B}$, formulaically expressed as $DFL_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}$ where b_{ij} is ratio of an intermediate transaction from sector i to j to the gross output of sector i .	United Nations (2018)
<i>Total forward linkage</i>	Total forward linkage is defined as the total economy-wide impacts induced by a change in primary inputs in sector j .	Total forward linkages are measured as the row sums of Ghosh inverse matrix, formulaically expressed as $TFL_i = \sum_{j=1}^n g_{ij}$ where g_{ij} are elements of the Ghosh inverse matrix, $\mathbf{G}$.	United Nations (2018)
<i>Normalized forward linkage</i>	Normalized forward linkage is a measure of an industry's total forward linkage relative to the average of the economy. Values above one (1) indicate a stronger-than-average supply-inducing effects of a primary input change in sector j to the economy.	Normalized backward linkages are formulaically expressed as $FL_i = \frac{TFL_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n TFL_i}$.	United Nations (2018)
<i>Growth-equalized input multipliers</i>	"Growth equalization" of input multipliers indicate the sectoral impacts of a general expansion in primary input availability in all sectors. These multipliers show the increase (or decrease) in sector-specific output due to a unit increase (or decrease) in the availability of all primary inputs weighted by its relative importance to the total economy.	In matrix terms, $\mathbf{i}'\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{i}'\mathbf{v})^{-1}\mathbf{G}$. See description of notations above.	Gray et al (1979)
<i>Output-to-value added elasticity</i>	Measures the sensitivity of the economy to a percentage change in value-added in each sector.	In matrix terms, $\mathbf{o}\mathbf{e}_i^v = 100 \times (\mathbf{i}'\Delta\mathbf{x}'/\mathbf{i}'\mathbf{x})$ where $\Delta\mathbf{x}' = (0.01)\mathbf{v}\mathbf{G}$.	Miller and Blair (2009)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Index of Sensitivity of Dispersion</i>	A supplementary index to power of dispersion, sensitivity of dispersion index measures the increase in production of industry i driven by a unit increase in the final demand for all industries in the system.	This index is expressed mathematically as $n\mathbf{Li}/\mathbf{i}'\mathbf{Li}$.	Rasmussen (1957)
Multipliers and Other Variants			
<i>Simple output multiplier</i>	Simple output multipliers are defined as the total amount of economy-wide production that is induced by a unit of final demand in a given sector.	Output multipliers are derived as the column sums of the Leontief inverse, mathematically $\sum_{i=1}^n l_{ij}$ where l_{ij} refers to the elements in the Leontief inverse. Two further variants are introduced—a competitive and a non-competitive model. In a competitive model, the Leontief inverse was computed with no distinction between foreign- and domestically sourced inputs such that $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}^r$. In a non-competitive model, the output multipliers refer to impacts to domestic output only such that, $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}^{rr}$.	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Type I output multiplier</i>	The type I output multiplier is the ratio of the total output effects to the initial effect of an exogenous demand. With output multipliers, sector j production must increase by one dollar because of a new dollar's worth of final demand for the same sector j . Since the initial effect is also the same as the new final demand levels with output multipliers, type I output multipliers are the same as simple output multipliers.	Type I output multipliers are calculated using $\sum_{i=1}^n l_{ij}/(\Delta f_j)$ where f_j refers to the exogenous final demand (i.e. considered as initial effects in output multipliers).	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Simple value-added multiplier</i>	Simple value-added multipliers are defined as the total amount of economy-wide gross value-added that is induced by a unit of final demand in a given sector.	Value-added multipliers are derived as the column sums of the Leontief inverse, that was pre-multiplied by the diagonalized value-added coefficients $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_c^t$. The vector of simple value-added multipliers is calculated using $\mathbf{i}'\hat{\mathbf{v}}_c^t\mathbf{L}$ where $\mathbf{i}'$ is the unit summation vector for the matrix $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_c^t\mathbf{L}$.	Miller and Blair (2009)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Type I value-added multiplier</i>	Type I value-added multiplier is the ratio of the total value-added effects to the initial value-added effect of an exogenous demand.	The initial value-added effects are simply equal to the value-added per unit of output $\hat{v}_c^t$. Therefore, type I value-added multipliers are calculated as the ratio between simple value-added multiplier of sector j and the value added (GVAR) coefficient of the same sector j .	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Intersectoral output multiplier</i>	Intersectoral output multipliers are defined as the total economy-wide effects of an exogenous demand excluding the intra-sector transactions effects. These multipliers generally measure the demand-pulling effects of the sector to <i>other</i> sectors in the economy.	This is simply derived using $i' \tilde{L} = i'(I - \tilde{A})^{-1}$ where $\tilde{A}$ is the input coefficients matrix with zeroes if $i = j$.	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Intersectoral value-added multiplier</i>	Intersectoral value-added multipliers are defined as the total economy-wide value-added effects of an exogenous demand excluding intra-sector effects. These multipliers generally measure the demand-pulling effects of the sector to <i>other</i> sectors in the economy in value-added terms (as opposed to gross output terms).	The vector of intersectoral value-added multipliers is derived using $i' \hat{v}_c^t L$. See description of notations above.	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Backward import multiplier</i>	Backward import multipliers (or backward “leakage” effects) indicates the total amount of production that accrues outside the economy as a result of an exogenous change in final demand of sector i . This is a measure of the total imported inputs required per unit of final demand in a given sector.	The vector of backward (or demand-side) import multipliers is represented as the column sums of the matrix $A^m(I - A^d)^{-1}$, where A^m is the input coefficients matrix of imported intermediates and A^d is the input coefficients matrix of domestically produced intermediates.	Dietzenbacher, Luna, and Bosma (2005); Reis and Rua (2006)
<i>Forward import multiplier</i>	Forward import multipliers (or forward “leakage” effects) indicates the total amount of production that accrues outside the economy because of exogenous changes in the availability of primary inputs in sector j . This is a measure of the total imported inputs that are available to the economy due to changes in primary inputs.	The vector of forward (or supply-side) import multipliers is represented as the row sums of the matrix $(I - B^d)^{-1} A^m$, where A^m is the input coefficients matrix of imported intermediates and B^d is the output coefficients matrix of domestically produced intermediates.	Reis and Rua (2006)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Intraregional transfer multiplier</i>	Intraregional transfer effects refer to the amount of indirect production required from domestic producers to satisfy a unit of an exogenous final demand of a given sector in the economy.	Intraregional transfer effects or multipliers (M1) are calculated as the column sums of the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} (I - A^{rr})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (I - A^{ss})^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ where A^{rr} refers to the domestic input coefficients for economy r , and A^{ss} refers to domestic input coefficients for another economy s .	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Interregional spillover multiplier</i>	Interregional spillover effects refer to the amount of indirect production required from foreign producers to satisfy a unit of final demand of a given sector in the economy.	Interregional spillover effects or multipliers (M2) are calculated as the column sums of the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} I & (I - A^{rr})^{-1} A^{rs} \\ (I - A^{ss})^{-1} A^{sr} & I \end{bmatrix}$ where A^{rs} refers to the input coefficients of intermediate products produced in economy r and used in economy s , and A^{sr} refers to input coefficients of intermediate products produced in economy s and used in economy r .	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Interregional feedback multiplier</i>	Interregional feedback effects refer to the additional amount of indirect production required from domestic producers as a result of production linkages with foreign producers engaged in the supply chain for final product i .	Interregional feedback effects or multipliers (M3) are calculated as the column sums of the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} [I - (I - A^{rr})^{-1} A^{sr} (I - A^{ss})^{-1} A^{sr}]^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & [I - (I - A^{ss})^{-1} A^{sr} (I - A^{rr})^{-1} A^{rs}]^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$.	Miller and Blair (2009)
Factor Content Analysis			
<i>Total domestic factor content of consumption</i>	The dollar measure of gross value added that was attributable to the production process required to satisfy household, NPISH, and government final consumption expenditures.	The economy-wide factor content of consumption is calculated using $\hat{v}_c L c_i$ where $\hat{v}_c$ is the diagonalized value-added coefficients, L is the Leontief inverse, and c_i refers to the vector final demand consumption of sector i .	United Nations (2018); Miller and Blair (2009)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Total domestic factor content of investments</i>	The dollar measure of gross value added that was attributable to the production of fixed assets.	The economy-wide factor content of investments is calculated using $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_c \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{GFCF}_i)$ where $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_c$ is the diagonalized value-added coefficients, $\mathbf{L}$ is the Leontief inverse, and $\mathbf{GFCF}_i$ refers to the vector investment demand of sector i .	United Nations (2018); Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Total domestic factor content of exports</i>	The dollar measure of gross value added that was attributable to the production of exports.	The economy-wide factor content of investments is calculated using $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_c \mathbf{L} \mathbf{E}_i$ where $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_c$ is the diagonalized value-added coefficients, $\mathbf{L}$ is the Leontief inverse, and $\mathbf{E}_i$ refers to the vector export demand of sector i .	United Nations (2018); Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Total foreign input content of consumption</i>	The dollar measure of foreign intermediate inputs that were attributable to the production process required to satisfy household, NPISH, and government final consumption expenditures.	The economy-wide foreign input content of consumption is calculated using $\mathbf{A}^m \mathbf{L} \mathbf{c}_i$ where $\mathbf{A}^m$ is the matrix of foreign intermediate input coefficients, $\mathbf{L}$ is the Leontief inverse, and $\mathbf{c}_i$ refers to the vector of final demand consumption of sector i .	United Nations (2018); Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Total foreign input content of investment</i>	The dollar measure of foreign intermediate inputs that were attributable to the production of fixed assets.	The economy-wide foreign input content of investment is calculated using $\mathbf{A}^m \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{GFCF}_i)$ where $\mathbf{A}^m$ is the matrix of foreign intermediate input coefficients, $\mathbf{L}$ is the Leontief inverse, and $\mathbf{GFCF}_i$ refers to the vector of investment demand of sector i .	United Nations (2018); Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Total foreign input content of exports</i>	The dollar measure of foreign intermediate inputs that were attributable to the production of exports.	The economy-wide foreign input content of exports is calculated using $\mathbf{A}^m \mathbf{L} \mathbf{E}_i$ where $\mathbf{A}^m$ is the matrix of foreign intermediate input coefficients, $\mathbf{L}$ is the Leontief inverse, and $\mathbf{E}_i$ refers to the vector of exports demand of sector i .	United Nations (2018); Miller and Blair (2009)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
Hypothetical Scenario Analysis			
<i>Gross output of sector j based on the hypothetical extraction of sector i</i>	Quantifies how much the total output of an n-sector economy would decrease if the jth sector were removed from the economy, including its mutual interrelationships with other producers in the economy. This measure may be presented in % change terms.	This decrease in the total economic activity is estimated using the usual Leontief equation with elements in sector j column and row set to zero, such that $\bar{x}_{(j)} = (I - \bar{A}_{(j)})^{-1} \bar{f}_{(j)}$.	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Gross value added of sector j based on the hypothetical extraction of sector i</i>	Quantifies how much the total value-added of an n-sector economy would decrease if the jth sector were removed from the economy, including its mutual interrelationships with other producers in the economy. This measure may be presented in % change terms.	This decrease in the total value added is estimated using the central Leontief equation with elements in sector j column and row set to zero, such that $\hat{v}_c \bar{x}_{(j)} = \hat{v}_c (I - \bar{A}_{(j)})^{-1} \bar{f}_{(j)}$.	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Gross output of sector j based on the hypothetical insertion of sector i</i>	Quantifies how much the total output of an n-sector economy would increase if a new firm were to enter the economy. This measure may be presented in % change terms.	In an n-sector economy, $\Delta x = L \begin{bmatrix} a_{nj} x_j^* \\ \vdots \\ a_{nj} x_j^* \end{bmatrix}$	Miller and Blair (2009)
Spread of Economic Linkages			
<i>Relative evenness of direct backward linkage</i>	An aggregate measure of the variation of direct demand-side impacts across different sectors. A higher variation indicates that impacts are extremely concentrated in a few sectors, while a lower variation index indicates that dispersion of impacts are evenly distributed.	Rasmussen (1957) supplements the linkage indices with coefficient of variation indices. Sector-specific relative evenness of a given stimulus (direct backward linkage) is given by $CoV_J^{DBL} = \frac{[(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n [a_{ij} - (\frac{1}{n}) a_j]^2]^{1/2}}{(\frac{1}{n}) a_j}$ Similarly, economy-wide relative evenness of direct backward linkage is given by $CoV_J^{DBL} = \frac{[(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n [\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} - (\frac{1}{n}) \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}]^2]^{1/2}}{(\frac{1}{n}) \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}}$	Rasmussen (1957); Claus (2002)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Relative evenness of total backward linkage</i>	An aggregate measure of the variation of total demand-side impacts across different sectors. A higher variation indicates that impacts are extremely concentrated in a few sectors, while a lower variation index indicates that dispersion of impacts are evenly distributed.	<p>Rasmussen (1957) supplements the linkage indices with coefficient of variation indices. Sector-specific relative evenness of a given stimulus (direct backward linkage) is given by</p> $CoV_j^{TBL} = \frac{[(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n [l_{ij} - (\frac{1}{n}) l_{ij}]^2]^{1/2}}{(\frac{1}{n}) l_{ij}}.$ <p>Similarly, economy-wide relative evenness of direct backward linkage is given by</p> $CoV_j^{TBL} = \frac{[(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n [\sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij} - (\frac{1}{n}) \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n l_{ij}]^2]^{1/2}}{(\frac{1}{n}) \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n l_{ij}}.$	Rasmussen (1957); Claus (2002)
<i>Relative evenness of direct forward linkage</i>	An aggregate measure of the variation of total supply-side impacts across different sectors. A higher variation indicates that impacts are extremely concentrated in a few sectors, while a lower variation index indicates that dispersion of impacts are evenly distributed.	<p>Sector-specific relative evenness of a given stimulus (direct backward linkage) is given by</p> $CoV_i^{DFL} = \frac{[(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n [b_{ij} - (\frac{1}{n}) b_{ij}]^2]^{1/2}}{(\frac{1}{n}) b_{ij}}.$ <p>Similarly, economy-wide relative evenness of direct backward linkage is given by</p> $CoV_i^{DFL} = \frac{[(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n [\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} - (\frac{1}{n}) \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ij}]^2]^{1/2}}{(\frac{1}{n}) \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ij}}.$	Rasmussen (1957); Claus (2002)
<i>Relative evenness of total forward linkage</i>	An aggregate measure of the variation of total supply-side impacts across different sectors. A higher variation indicates that impacts are extremely concentrated in a few sectors, while a lower variation index indicates that dispersion of impacts are evenly distributed.	<p>Sector-specific relative evenness of a given stimulus (direct backward linkage) is given by</p> $CoV_i^{TFL} = \frac{[(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n [g_{ij} - (\frac{1}{n}) g_{ij}]^2]^{1/2}}{(\frac{1}{n}) g_{ij}}.$ <p>Similarly, economy-wide relative evenness of direct backward linkage is given by</p> $CoV_i^{TFL} = \frac{[(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n [\sum_{j=1}^n g_{ij} - (\frac{1}{n}) \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n g_{ij}]^2]^{1/2}}{(\frac{1}{n}) \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n g_{ij}}.$	Rasmussen (1957); Claus (2002)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Forward measure of concentration based on output coefficients</i>	A measure of distribution of a sector's intermediate sales structure to all other sectors. A sector which sells its output to only one sector will record a concentration index of $(n-1)^{1/2}$; the index equates to one (1) in the case of complete uniformity (or evenness) of output coefficients.	Forward concentration index is defined as $GN_i(b_{ij} = [n(1 - \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{b}_{i,j})^2)]^{1/2}$ where $\bar{b}_{i,j} = b_{ij}/b_i$. See description of notations above.	Rasmussen (1957); Claus (2002)
<i>Backward measure of concentration based on input coefficients</i>	A measure of distribution of a sector's intermediate purchases structure from all other sectors. A sector which purchases its inputs to only one sector will record a concentration index of $(n-1)^{1/2}$; the index equates to one (1) in the case of complete uniformity (or evenness) of input coefficients.	Backward concentration index is defined as $GN_j(a_{ij} = [n(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{a}_{j,i})^2)]^{1/2}$ where $\bar{a}_{j,i} = a_{ij}/a_j$. See description of notations above.	Rasmussen (1957); Claus (2002)
Sensitivity of Economic Linkages			
Economic Complexity			
<i>Percentage intermediate transaction</i>	The percentage of the production of industries in the economy which is used to satisfy the needs for intermediate inputs	Mathematically defined as, $PINT = 100 \frac{i'Ax}{i'x}$	Chenery and Watanabe (1958)
<i>Average output multiplier</i>	The average amount of production induced in the economy as a result of exogenous changes in final demand. This amount is used to measure interindustry connection owing to its ability to capture indirect production requirements.	Mathematically defined as, $AVOM = (\frac{1}{n}) i' (I - A)^{-1} i$	Rasmussen (1957); Hirschman (1958)
<i>Average of Leontief inverse</i>	Similar to average output multiplier but uses n^2 as denominator.	Mathematically defined as, $ALIV = \frac{1}{n^2} i' (I - A)^{-1} i$	Blin and Murphy (1974)
<i>Average technical coefficient</i>	The average value of elements in the intermediate input coefficients matrix. A higher value indicates higher degree of intersectoral dependency.	Mathematically defined as, $ATC = \frac{i' Ai}{n}$	Burford and Katz (1977); Bekhet (2009)
<i>Mean intermediate coefficient</i>	The average of the column sums of the intermediate input coefficients. A higher value indicates higher degree of intersectoral dependency.	Mathematically defined as, $MIC = \frac{i' Ai}{n^2}$	Jensen and West (1980); Hamilton (1979); Bekhet (2009)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Percentage of above-average coefficients</i>	Measures the degree of economic connectedness between sectors whose transactions coefficients are above the average of the whole economy.	Mathematically defined as, $I^{PAC} = \frac{i'Ki}{n^2}$ where K is a Boolean matrix of the same dimension as the input coefficients matrix defined by the following $K_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a_{ij} \geq MIC \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	Adopted from Peacock and Dosser (1957)
<i>Determinant of non-competitive Leontief inverse</i>	A measure which indicates the potential magnitudes of the elements of the Leontief inverse as a measure of economic interconnectedness. Higher determinants are suggested to be correlated to higher multipliers and therefore interindustrial activity. This measure is particularly calculated using a non-competitive input–output model.	Mathematically defined as $ I - A^n $	Wong (1954)
<i>Determinant of competitive Leontief inverse</i>	A measure which indicates the potential magnitudes of the elements of the Leontief inverse as a measure of economic interconnectedness. Higher determinants are suggested to be correlated to higher multipliers and therefore interindustrial activity. This measure is particularly calculated using a competitive input–output model.	Mathematically defined as $ I - A^r $	Wong (1954)
<i>Mean path length</i>	Defined as the total gross output divided by the economy's total final demand flow. This term is also used as the actual gross quota of the economy. Measures the average number of 'flows' that a final product passes through in the input–output system. In ecology, path length is expected to increase with maturity and diversity of systems.	Mathematically defined as $MPLE = \frac{i'Xi}{i'f}$	Finn (1976); Ulanovics (1983)
<i>Cycling index</i>	Define as the average fraction of sectors' gross output that is circulated within the intersectoral flows. The numerator describes the portion of total ecosystem output that returns to the same sector one or more times. Higher value of cycling index is associated with higher complexity or maturity	Mathematically defined as $CYCI = \frac{cyc}{i'xi}$ where $cyc = \sum_j \left(1 - \frac{1}{l_{jj}}\right)$ where l_{jj} are the main diagonal elements of the Leontief inverse matrix.	Finn (1976); Ulanovics (1983)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Average propagation length</i>	Defined as the average number of steps taken by the final product of one sector to affect the gross output of another sector	<p>Average propagation length is measured using $\frac{1}{n} \sum_i [\frac{1}{n} \sum_j APL_{ij}]$</p> <p>where $APL_{ij} = \frac{h_{ij}}{l_{ij}}$ for $i \neq j$ and</p> $APL_{ii} = \frac{h_{ii}}{(l_{ii}-1)} = APL_{jj} = \frac{h_{jj}}{(l_{jj}-1)} \text{ for } i = j.$ <p>Further, $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{I})$ where is the Leontief inverse.</p>	Dietzenbacher (2005); Dietzenbacher and Romero (2007)
<i>Overall sensitivity of the economy</i>	An index which measures the interrelatedness of sectors in the economy irrespective of the magnitude of these transactions. This function is defined as the reciprocal of the harmonic mean of the elements of an order matrix $\mathbf{Y}$ (which records the number of input-output rounds which are needed for the first i-j connection to occur). The index ranges from 0 to 1. A value of zero indicates that no interconnection exists if any of the sectors in the economy that may be considered significant. A value of 1 indicates that “significant” direct interconnections occur among all sectors in the economy and may imply complete diversification.	<p>The overall measure of interconnection of the economy is computed as the average of the reciprocals of entries of the $\mathbf{Y}$ matrix, $I^{YA} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y_{ij}}$</p> <p>where $\mathbf{Y}$ is the order matrix of the input coefficients matrix $\mathbf{A}$.</p>	Yan and Ames (1965)
<i>Index of direct interrelatedness</i>	A sub-index of the overall interrelatedness index which measures the amount of direct intersectoral connections in the economy. The index ranges from 0 to 1. A value of zero indicates that no “significant” intersectoral connection exists during the first cycle of input-output transactions. A value of 1 indicates that significant interconnections occur among all sectors in the economy in the first cycle of input-output transactions, hence implying a degree of diversification in the economy.	<p>Defined as $\frac{n_1}{n_2}$ where n_1 indicates the number of elements of a sub-matrix of the order matrix $\mathbf{Y}$ whose values are equal to 1 (Yan and Ames 1965).</p>	Yan and Ames (1965)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Index of indirect interrelatedness</i>	The index ranges from 0 to 1. A value of zero indicates that no “significant” intersectoral connection exists in all cycles of input-output transactions. A value of 1 indicates that significant interconnections occur among all sectors in the economy in the subsequent rounds of input-output transactions.	Defined residually as the difference of the overall sensitivity of the economy and the direct interrelatedness index of Yan and Ames (1965)	Yan and Ames (1965)
<i>Global intensity index</i>	Simply the sum of all the elements of the Leontief inverse, the global intensity index was used to normalize the economic landscape used by Guo and Planting (2000). This measure gives the explicit magnitude of all elements of the output multiplier matrix.	Mathematically, $\mathbf{i}'\mathbf{L}\mathbf{i}$.	Guo and Planting (2000)
Important Coefficients			
<i>Important cells in transactions table</i>	An evaluation of importance of each element in the intermediate transactions table by comparing each transaction with the average transaction amount.	The criterion φ used was $\mathbf{i}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{i}/n^2$ such that “importance” is evaluated as $Imp_{(Z)} = \begin{cases} z_{ij}, & \text{if } z_{ij} \geq \varphi \\ 0, & \text{if } z_{ij} < \varphi \end{cases}$	Okamoto (2005)
<i>Important cells in input coefficients table</i>	An evaluation of importance of each element in the input coefficients table by comparing each coefficient with the average coefficient.	The criterion used was $\mathbf{i}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{i}/n^2$ such that “importance” is evaluated as $Imp_{(A)} = \begin{cases} a_{ij}, & \text{if } a_{ij} \geq \varphi \\ 0, & \text{if } a_{ij} < \varphi \end{cases}$	Okamoto (2005)
<i>Important cells in output coefficients table</i>	An evaluation of importance of each element in the input coefficients table by comparing each coefficient with the average coefficient.	The criterion used was $\mathbf{i}'\mathbf{B}\mathbf{i}/n^2$ such that “importance” is evaluated as $Imp_{(B)} = \begin{cases} b_{ij}, & \text{if } b_{ij} \geq \varphi \\ 0, & \text{if } b_{ij} < \varphi \end{cases}$	Okamoto (2005)
<i>Inverse-important coefficients</i>	Traces the influence of a change in an element of the input coefficients matrix (A) and hence in the Leontief matrix (I-A) on the associated Leontief inverse. An element of the technical input coefficients matrix A is considered important if a 20% change in its value generates a 10% or larger change in one or more elements of the Leontief inverse.	Relative changes in the Leontief inverse elements are calculated as $\frac{\Delta l_{rs(ij)}}{l_{rs}} = \frac{l_{ri} l_{js} \Delta a_{ij}}{l_{rs} (1 - l_{ji} \Delta a_{ij})}$. a_{ij} is considered “inverse-important” if the amount of change in an inverse element is greater than or equal to the specified threshold ($\beta = 10\%$)	Hewings (1981)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
Important coefficients based on impact to gross output (max %)	Traces the influence of a change in an element of the input coefficients matrix (A) to % changes in gross output. An element of the technical input coefficients matrix A is considered important if a 20% change in its value generates a 10% or larger change in output in at least one sector in the economy	Relative changes in gross output x_r are calculated as $\frac{\Delta x_{r(ij)}}{x_r} = \frac{l_{ri} x_j \Delta a_{ij}}{x_r (1 - l_{ji} \Delta a_{ij})}$ a_{ij} is considered “important” if the at least one sector exhibited a change greater than or equal to the specified threshold ($\gamma=10\%$).	Miller and Blair (2009); Sekulic (1968); Jilek (1971)
Important coefficients based on upper threshold (% change)	Defined as the allowable error limit or tolerable limit which establishes an upper limit on the relative change in the elements of the A matrix that assures that no gross output will be changed by more than one (1) percent. This measure is derived from the tolerable limits approach which creates a measure of the degree of importance of input coefficients. The smaller the tolerable limit, the more important the coefficient is.	Relative changes in technical coefficients are calculated as $\frac{\Delta a_{ij}}{a_{ij}} = \frac{\Delta x_{r(ij)} / x_r}{\Delta a_{ij} [(l_{ij} \Delta x_{r(ij)} / x_r) + (l_{ri} x_j / x_r)]}$ a_{ij} is considered “important” if sectors in the economy exhibited a change in gross output greater than or equal to the specified threshold ($\gamma=1\%$).	Miller and Blair (2009); Sekulic (1968); Jilek (1971)
International Trade Coefficients			
Trade openness	The ratio of total exports and imports to total gross domestic product in the economy. Trade openness determines the degree of international trade activity relative to domestic production.	Trade openness is defined as $\frac{\sum_i^n e_i + \sum_j^n m_j^a}{GDP}$ where e is the export of sector i , m is the total imported inputs of sector j , and GDP is the gross domestic product of the economy.	World Bank (n.d.)
Self-sufficiency ratio	The ratio of domestic output to the amount of demand in the local economy. If the domestic output exceeds the demand in the local economy, then the sector is self-sufficient, i.e. ratio exceeds 1.	Self-sufficiency ratio of sector i is defined as $\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n z_{ij}^r + f_j^r - e_i}{x_i}$ where z_{ij}^r is the intermediate purchase of sector j of sector i inputs irrespective of the country of origin, f_i^r is the final consumption within country r of product i irrespective of the country of origin, and e is the export demand of sector i .	Miller and Blair (2009)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
Regional supply percentage	The ratio of amount of domestic output of sector i minus exports to the total supply in of product i intended for domestic demand. A ratio of 1 indicates that the domestic sector has the capacity to supply its own requirements internally.	Regional supply percentage is defined as $\frac{x_i^r - e_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n z_{ij}^r + f_j^r - e_i}$. See description of notations above.	Miller and Blair (2009)
Regional purchase coefficient	Measures sector i 's intermediate inputs purchased by domestic sectors relative to the total (domestic and imported) intermediate sales. This indicator is also known as "relative shipments" in multi-regional studies.	Regional purchase coefficient uses the similar approach to regional supply percentage but excluding the final demand. It is defined as, $\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n z_{ij}^{rr}}{\sum_{j=1}^n z_{ij}^r}$.	Miller and Blair (2009)
Sectoral purchase coefficient	This is the ratio of domestic purchases by sector j to its domestic output excluding exports. This measures the relative degree of dependence of each sector on the domestic economy.	Sectoral purchase coefficients are defined as $1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n z_{ij}^{sr}}{\sum_{i=1}^n z_{ij}^{rr} + f_i^{rr} - e_i}$ where z_{ij}^{sr} refers to the imported inputs of country r .	Miller and Blair (2009)
Simple location quotient	This is defined as the ratio of the (a) sector i 's share in the total output of the economy to the (b) share of rest of the world's sector i output in the total output of the rest of the world. If the location quotient is greater than 1, it means that the sector is concentrated in the domestic economy as compared to the rest of the world.	A simple location quotient LQ is defined as $LQ_i^r = \left(\frac{x_i^r/x_i^W}{x^r/x^W} \right)$ where x^W is the total gross output of the global economy, and x^r is the gross output of economy r .	Miller and Blair (2009)
Cross industry quotient	An extension of simple location quotients, cross industry quotients (CIQ) are defined as the ratio of simple location quotient of sector i to sector j . If the output of domestic sector i relative to the global output of i is larger than the output of domestic sector j relative to the global output of sector j ($CIQ_r > 1$), then all of j 's needs of input i can be supplied from within the domestic economy. Similarly, if sector i at the domestic level is relatively smaller than sector j at the global level ($CIQ_r < 1$), then it is assumed that some of j 's needs for i inputs will have to be imported.	A cross industry location quotient CLQ is defined as $CLQ_{ij}^r = \left(\frac{x_i^r/x_i^W}{x_j^r/x_j^W} \right)$.	Miller and Blair (2009)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Semilogarithmic quotient</i>	Integrating the properties of both SLQ and CIQ, the Semilogarithmic quotients intend to maintain the measure of relative size of the region, selling sector, and purchasing sector in deriving a measure of regional specialization of an economic activity.	A semilogarithmic quotient SLQ is defined as $SQR_{ij}^r = LQ_{ij}^r / \log_2 (1 + LQ_{ij}^r).$	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Fabrication effect</i>	Fabrication effects are calculated as a ratio of input dependence ratios between two different regions/ economies. The first ratio measures the relative dependence of sector j in the domestic economy on inputs from itself and all other sectors; while the second ratio measures the relative dependence of global sector j on inputs from itself and other sectors. If fabrication effects are greater than 1, it may be considered that the input dependence of the domestic sector is higher than the global average of the same sector.	Fabrication effects ρ are calculated as $\rho = \frac{1 - (v_j^r/x_j^r)}{1 - (v_j^w/x_j^w)}$ where v_j^w is the combined value added of sector j of all economies in the world.	Round (1978)
<i>Export-to-output ratio</i>	Defined as sector i 's share of exports to its gross output, export-to-output ratios explain the relative levels of exporting activity within sector i .	Mathematically expressed as e_i/x_i .	Miller and Blair (2009)
<i>Import-to-input ratio</i>	Defined as sector j 's share of imported inputs to its gross outlays (or output), import-to-input ratio explain the relative dependence on foreign inputs within sector j .	Mathematically expressed as $\sum_{i=1}^n z_{ij}^{sr}/x_r$.	Miller and Blair (2009)

Participation in Global Value Chains

<i>Backward GVC Participation</i>	The sum of backward measures of simple and complex GVC participation.	The sum of the $\hat{V}\hat{B}\hat{Y}$ matrix along the columns provides the decomposition of an economy-sector's final goods production, which indicates GVC participation based on backward linkages, $GVCpt_B$. It refers to the sum of value-added in an economy-sector's final goods production that is involved in GVC activities from all upstream sectors. Mathematically, $\hat{V}\hat{B}\hat{Y} = \underbrace{\frac{V\hat{L}\hat{Y}^D}{Y_D}} + \underbrace{\frac{V\hat{L}\hat{Y}^F}{Y_RT}} + \underbrace{\frac{V\hat{L}\hat{A}^F\hat{L}\hat{Y}^D}{Y_GVC_S}} + \underbrace{\frac{V\hat{L}\hat{A}^F(\hat{B}\hat{Y} - \hat{L}\hat{Y}^D)}{Y_GVC_C}}$	Wang et al. (2017b)
-----------------------------------	---	---	---------------------

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Backward measure of simple GVC participation</i>	Backward measure of Simple GVC participation is defined as the foreign value-added in an economy-sector that is imported directly from partner countries and are used to produce domestic final goods consumed domestically. This measure is expressed as the ratio to the economy's total final demand.	Equal to $\frac{VLA^F \hat{LY}^D}{Y_GVC_S}$ (see above)	Wang et al. (2017b)
<i>Backward measure of complex GVC participation</i>	Backward measure of Simple GVC participation is defined as the returned domestic value-added or foreign value-added embodied in an economy-sector's intermediate imports that are used to produce final goods absorbed at the home economy or exported to other countries. This measure is expressed as the ratio to the economy's total final demand.	Equal to $\frac{VLA^F (\hat{BY} - \hat{LY}^D)}{Y_GVC_C}$ (see above)	Wang et al. (2017b)
<i>Forward GVC participation</i>	The sum of forward measures of simple and complex GVC participation.	The sum of the matrix along the rows provides the decomposition of value-added from an economy-sector from upstream activities, which indicates GVC participation based on forward linkages, $GVCpt_F$. It refers to the sum of each economy-sector's value-added used in all downstream sectors. Mathematically, $\hat{V}B\hat{Y} = \underbrace{\hat{V}LY^D}_{(1)V_D} + \underbrace{\hat{V}LY^F}_{(2)V_RT} + \underbrace{\hat{V}LA^F LY^D}_{(3a)V_GVC_C} +$ $\underbrace{\hat{V}LA^F (BY - LY^D)}_{(3b)V_GVC_S}$	Wang et al. (2017b)
<i>Forward measure of simple GVC participation</i>	Forward measure of simple GVC participation is defined as the domestic value-added embodied in an economy-sector's intermediate exports that are used by the direct importer to produce domestic final goods that are absorbed by domestic final demand. This measure is expressed as the ratio to the economy's total value added.	Equal to $\frac{\hat{V}LA^F LY^D}{(3a)V_GVC_S}$ (see above)	Wang et al. (2017b)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>Forward measure of complex GVC participation</i>	Forward measure of complex GVC participation is an economy-sector's value-added embodied in its intermediate exports and used by the direct importer to produce intermediate and final exports to other countries. This measure is expressed as the ratio to the economy's total value added.	Equal to $\frac{\hat{V}LA^F(BY-LY^D)}{(3b)V_GVC_C}$ (see above)	Wang et al. (2017b)
Specialization in Global Value Chains			
<i>Vertical specialization ratio</i>	Measures the extent of production-sharing arrangements in country's gross exports	The ratio $(FVA + PDC)/e_i$ measures the extent of vertical specialization (VS) in a country (or sector's) gross exports.	Wang, Wei, and Zhu (2013)
<i>Traditional revealed comparative advantage</i>	Measures the relative advantage or disadvantage of a country-sector as "revealed" by trade patterns	<p>Traditional revealed comparative advantage (TRCA) of economy r is defined as:</p> $TRCA_i^r = \frac{\frac{e_i^{r*}}{\sum_i^n e_i^{r*}}}{\frac{\sum_t^G e_i^{t*}}{\sum_i^n \sum_t^G e_i^{t*}}}$ <p>That is, the TRCA is equal to the export share of an industry to the total exports of an economy, $\frac{e_i^{r*}}{\sum_i^n e_i^{r*}}$, divided by the export share of this industry in the world, $\frac{\sum_t^G e_i^{t*}}{\sum_i^n \sum_t^G e_i^{t*}}$. An RCA value of less than 1 implies that the economy is not specialized in exports of this industry. Similarly, an RCA value exceeding 1 implies that the economy is specialized in this industry's exports.</p>	Bela Balassa (1965)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
<i>New revealed comparative advantage</i>	Wang, Wei, and Zhu (2013) proposed a new measure of revealed comparative advantage (NRCA) that excludes foreign value-added and pure double-counted terms in gross exports, but includes indirect exports of an economy-sector's value-added through other sectors of the exporting economy. The authors defined NRCA as the share of an economy-sector's forward-linkage-based measure of domestic value-added in exports, $dvix_f$, in the economy's total domestic value-added in exports relative to the sector's total forward-linkage-based domestic value-added in exports from all economies as a share of global value-added in exports.	<p>The NRCA is defined as:</p> $NRCA_i^r = \frac{\frac{dvix_f_i^{r*}}{\sum_{i=1}^n dvix_f_i^{r*}}}{\frac{\sum_t^G dvix_f_i^{t*}}{\sum_i^n \sum_t^G dvix_f_i^{t*}}}$ <p>NRCA uses the same interpretation as TRCA, except that, instead of using gross exports, e, NRCA only includes the domestic value-added component of export in each sector.</p>	Wang, Wei, and Zhu (2013)
Position in Global Value Chains			
<i>Upstreamness index</i>	Fally (2012) and Antràs and Chor (2013) have proposed a measure of position in GVCs of an economy-sector through the upstreamness index. The index is the average distance of a sector to its final use. Miller and Temurshoev (2018) described an upstream sector to be that whose outputs goes through multiple stages before being absorbed as final use in the economy. A simpler measure of upstreamness also relates the share of gross output of an economy-sector that is sold to final consumers. In this case, an economy-sector that sells a large amount of its output for intermediate use, i.e. it has a lower ratio between final use and total output, is said to be relatively upstream.	<p>Fally (2012) and Antràs and Chor (2013) developed a measure of distance of a production sector from final demand called <i>upstreamness</i>. Succinctly, the <i>upstreamness</i> of sector r in economy i, or U_i^r, is the average distance from final use and is given by:</p> $U_i^r = 1 \times \frac{F_i^r}{X_i^r} + 2 \times \frac{\sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{j=1}^J a_{ij}^{rs} F_j^s}{X_i^r} + 3 \times \frac{\sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{t=1}^S \sum_{k=1}^J a_{ij}^{rs} a_{jk}^{st} F_k^t}{X_i^r} + \dots$ <p>where F is final demand, X is gross output, superscripts refer to sector, and subscripts refer to in economy. Moreover, a_{ij}^{rs} is the dollar amount of sector r's output from economy i needed to produce a dollar's worth of sector s's output in economy j.</p>	Fally (2012); Antràs and Chor (2013); Wang et al. (2017a); Miller and Temurshoev (2017)

Indicators	Description	Formula and Notations	Reference
	Note that $U_i^r \geq 1$, and that the higher U_i^r is, the higher is the upstreamness of the output from sector r in economy i . A sector that sells a higher proportion of output to final consumers would appear to be relatively downstream (i.e., relative low U_i^r), while a sector that sells a smaller proportion to final consumers would be relatively more upstream (i.e., relatively high U_i^r).	Antràs and Chor (2018) show that given $\sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{j=1}^J a_{ij}^{rs} < 1$ for all j - s pairs, then the numerator of U_i^r is just the $((i-1) \times S + r)$ -th element of the $J \times S$ by 1 column matrix $[I - \mathbf{A}]^{-2} \mathbf{F}$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is a $J \times S$ by $J \times S$ matrix whose $((i-1) \times S + r)$ -th element is a_{ij}^{rs} , while $\mathbf{F}$ is a column matrix whose $((i-1) \times S + r)$ -th row is F_i^r . Furthermore, given that the gross output column matrix satisfies, $\mathbf{X} = [I - \mathbf{A}]^{-1} \mathbf{F}$, the numerator of U_i^r is also equal to the $((i-1) \times S + r)$ -th element of the $J \times S$ by 1 matrix $[I - \mathbf{A}]^{-1} \mathbf{X}$, where $\mathbf{X}$ is a $J \times S$ by 1 column matrix whose $((i-1) \times S + r)$ -th row is X_i^r .	

Production Length of Global Value Chains

Backward measures of average production length	Average production length of a given sector i in economy s based on backward industrial linkage is the ratio of the induced total intermediate inputs in sector j of country r to value of final goods and services produced in the given sector i in economy s which induced these inputs. Average production length indicates the number of upstream processes before the final product is realized in the economy, i.e., the relative <i>downstreamness</i> of an economy or economy-sector.	Taking all economy-sector pairs (s,i) where final goods and services which induced the intermediate inputs in economy-sector (r,j) , the average production length in the economy based on backward industrial linkage is given by: $PL_y = 1 \frac{\hat{V} B B \hat{Y}}{\hat{V} B \hat{Y}}$ where V is the value-added coefficient matrix vector, B is the Leontief inverse matrix, and $\hat{Y}$ is the diagonal matrix of final demands.	Wang et al. (2017a)
Forward measures of average production length	The average production length of a given sector i in economy s based on forward industrial linkage is defined as the ratio of total gross outputs in all product j of economy r to the value added of given sector i in economy s that induced these gross outputs. Average production length based on forward industrial linkage measures the number of downstream processes that the value added of an economy-sector is counted as gross output. Hence, this measure also gives the relative <i>upstreamness</i> of an economy or economy-sector.	Taking all economy-sector pairs (s,i) where the value added originated and all economy-sector pairs (r,j) where the value-added is counted as gross output, the average production length in the economy based on forward industrial linkage is given: $PL_v = 1 \frac{\hat{V} B B \hat{Y}}{\hat{V} B \hat{Y}}$ where $\hat{V}$ is the diagonal matrix of value-added coefficient vector V , B is the Leontief inverse matrix, Y is the final demand vector, and X is the output vector.	Wang et al. (2017a)

REFERENCES

- J. Amaral and J. Lopes. 2007. Complexity and Interdependence: A New Measure of Connectedness of Input–Output Systems. *Environment and Planning*. A39. pp. 1170–1182.
- P. Antràs and D. Chor. 2017. On the Measurement of Upstreamness and Downstreamness in Global Value Chains. *National Bureau of Economic Research Working Papers* 24185. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w24185.pdf>
- P. Antràs, D. Chor, T. Fally, and R. Hillberry. 2012. Measuring the Upstreamness of Production and Trade Flows. *American Economic Review*. 102 (3). pp. 412–416.
- Asian Development Bank. 2020. *Asia's Journey to Prosperity: Policy, Market, and Technology over 50 Years*. Mandaluyong City, Metro Manila: Asian Development Bank.
- . 2017. Compendium of Supply and Use Tables for Selected Economies in Asia and the Pacific. Mandaluyong City, Metro Manila: Asian Development Bank.
- B. Balassa. 1965. Trade Liberalisation and “Revealed” Comparative Advantage. *The Manchester School*. 33 (2). pp. 99–204.
- H. Bekhet. 2009. Assessing Economic Connectedness Degree of the Malaysian Economy: Input–Output Model Approach. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*. 1 (2). pp. 134–143.
- J. Blin and F. Murphy. 1974. On Measuring Economic Interrelatedness. *Review of Economics and Statistics*. 41. pp. 437–440.
- R.L. Burford and J.L. Katz. 1981. A Method for Estimation of Input–Output Type Output Multipliers When No I–O Model Exists. *Journal of Regional Science*. 21. pp. 151–163.
- H. Chenery and T. Watanabe. 1958. International Comparisons of the Structure of Production. *Econometrica*. 26. pp. 487–521.
- I. Claus. 2002. Interindustry Linkages in New Zealand. *Australasian Macroeconomics Workshop*. Wellington: New Zealand Treasury.
- I. Claus, R. Lattimore, T. Le, and A. Stroombergen. 2011. 50 Years of Structural Change: An Analysis of Input–Output Tables Since 1953. New Zealand Association of Economists. https://www.nzae.org.nz/wp-content/uploads/2011/08/50_Years_of_Structural_Change_An_Analysis_of_Input-Output_Tables_since_1953.pdf.

- K. Dervis, J. de Melo, and S. Robinson. 1982. *General Equilibrium Models for Development Policy*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- E. Dietzenbacher. 2005. More on Multipliers. *Journal of Regional Science*. 45 (2). pp. 421–426.
- E. Dietzenbacher and I. Romero. 2007. Production Chains in an Interregional Framework: Identification by Means of Average Propagation Lengths. *International Regional Science Review*. 30 (4). pp. 362–383.
- E. Dietzenbacher, I.R. Luna, and N. Bosma. 2005. Using Average Propagation Lengths to Identify Production Chains in the Andalusian Economy. *Estudios Economía Aplicada*. 23 (2). pp. 405–422.
- Eurostat. 2008. *Eurostat Manual of Supply, Use and Input–Output Tables*. Luxembourg: European Commission. <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/documents/3859598/5902113/KS-RA-07-013-EN.PDF/>
- J. Finn. 1976. Measures of Ecosystem Structure and Function Derived from Analysis of Flows. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*. 56 (2). pp. 363–380.
- L. Gray, J. McKean, E. Sparling, and J. Weber. 1979. Measurement of Growth Equalized Employment Multiplier Effects: An Empirical Example. *Annals of Regional Science*. 13. pp. 68–77.
- J. Guo and M.A. Planting. 2000. Using Input–Output Analysis to Measure US Economic Structural Change Over a 24-Year Period. *13th International Conference on Input–Output Techniques*. Macerata, Italy. <https://www.bea.gov/system/files/papers/WP2000-1.pdf>.
- J. Hamilton and R. Jensen. 1983. Summary Measures of Interconnectedness for Input–Output Models. *Environment and Planning*. 15 (1). pp. 55–65.
- A. Hirschman. 1958. *The Strategy of Economic Development*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- R. Jensen and G. West. 1980. The Effect of Relative Coefficient on Input–Output Multipliers. *Environment and Planning*. 12 (6). pp. 659–670.
- T. Jian. 1996. Input–Output Analysis of Economic Growth and Structural Changes in China. *Journal of Applied Input–Output Analysis (Pan Pacific Association of Input–Output studies)*. 3. pp. 18–53.
- J. Jilek. 1971. The Selection of the Most Important Coefficient. *Economic Bulletin for Europe*. 23. pp. 86–105.
- W.W. Leontief. 1936. Quantitative Input and Output Relations in the Economic Systems of the United States. *Review of Economics and Statistics*. 18 (3). pp. 105–125. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1927837?seq=1>

- W.W. Leontief. 1985. Why Economics Needs Input–Output Analysis. *Challenge*. 28 (1). pp. 27–35. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40720309>.
- J. Lopes, J. Carlos, J. Dias, and F. Amaral. 2007. Economic Complexity as Input–Output Interdependence: A Comparison of Different Measurement Methods. *Proceedings of the 16th International Input–Output Conference*. Istanbul, Turkey.
- J. Lopes, J. Dias, and F. Amaral. 2008. Assessing Economic Complexity with Input–Output Based Measures. *Technical University of Lisbon Working Papers*. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/ise/isegwp/wp492008.html>.
- R. Miller and U. Temurshoev. 2017. Output Upstreamness and Input Downstreamness. *International Regional Science Review*. 40 (5). pp. 443–475.
- R.E. Miller and P.D. Blair. 2009. *Input–Output Analysis: Foundations and Extensions*. Second edition. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- N. Okamoto and T. Ihara. 2005. *Spatial Structure and Regional Development in China: An Interregional Input–Output Approach*. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- A. Okuyama. 2007. Economic Modeling for Disaster Impact Analysis. *Economic Systems Research*. 19. pp. 115–124.
- Y. Okuyama and K. D. Yu. 2018. Return of the Inoperability. *Economic Systems Research*. 31 (4). pp. 1–14.
- A. Peacock and D.G. Dosser. 1957. Input–Output Analysis in an Underdeveloped Economy: A Case Study. *Review of Economic Studies*. 25. pp. 21–24.
- N. Rasmussen. 1956. *Studies in Inter-Sectoral Relations*. Copenhagen: E. Harck: North Holland, Amsterdam.
- H. Reis and A. Rua. 2006. An Input–Output Analysis: Linkages vs Leakages. *Estudos e Documentos de Trabalho Working Papers*. 17. <https://www.bportugal.pt/sites/default/files/anexos/papers/wp200617.pdf>
- J. Round. 1978. An Interregional Input–Output Approach to the Evaluation of Nonsurvey Methods. *Journal of Regional Science*. 18 (2). pp. 179–194.
- M. Sekulic. 1968. Application of Input–Output Models to the Structural Analysis of the Yugoslav Economy. *Ekonomska Analiza*. 2 (1-2). pp. 50–61.
- M. Sonis and G.J. Hewings. 1998. Economic Complexity as Network Complication: Multiregional Input–Output Structural Path Analysis. *Annals of Regional Science*. 32. pp. 407–436.
- M. Syrquin. 1988. Patterns of Structural Change. *Handbook of Development Economics*. 1. pp. 203–273.

- E. Timmer, E. Dietzenbacher, B. Los, R. Stehrer, and G. de Vries. 2015. An Illustrated User Guide to the World Input–Output Database: the Case of Global Automotive Production. *Review of International Economics*. 23 (3). pp. 575–605.
- C. Ugarte. 2014. Weak Links and Diversification: Policy Issues in International Trade and Commodities. *Research Study Series No. 67*.
https://unctad.org/en/PublicationsLibrary/itcctab69_en.pdf
- R.E. Ulanowicz. 1983. Identifying the Structure of Cycling in Ecosystems. *Mathematical Biosciences*. 65 (2). pp. 219–237.
- United Nations. 2018. *Handbook on Supply, Use and Input–Output Tables with Extensions and Applications*. Studies in Methods. New York: United Nations.
https://unstats.un.org/unsd/nationalaccount/docs/SUT_IOT_HB_wc.pdf.
- Z. Wang, S.J. Wei, and K. Zhu. 2013. Quantifying International Production Sharing at the Bilateral and Sector Levels. *National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 19667*. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w19677.pdf>
- Z. Wang, S.J. Wei, X. Yu, and K. Zhu. 2017. Characterizing Global Value Chains: Production Length and Upstreamness. *National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 23261*. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w23261.pdf>
- Z. Wang, S.J. Wei, X. Yu, and K. Zhu. 2017. Measures of Participation in Global Value Chains and Global Business Cycles. *National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 23222*. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w23222.pdf>
- B. Wixted, N. Yamano, and C. Webb. 2006. Input–Output Analysis in an Increasingly Globalised World. *OECD Science, Technology and Industry Working Papers 2006/07*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/18151965>.
- Y. Wong. 1957. Some Mathematical Concepts for Linear Economic Models. In *Economic Activity Analysis* by O. Morgenstern. pp. 283–341. New York: Wiley.
- World Bank. (n.d.). World Bank Open Data. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NE.TRD.GNFS.ZS> (accessed January 2020).
- World Bank. 2020. Trading for Development in the Age of Global Value Chains. *World Development Report*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- C. Yan and E. Ames. 1965. Economic Interrelatedness. *Review of Economic Studies*. 32 (4). pp. 299–310.

Economic Indicators for Southeast Asia and the Pacific

Input–Output Tables

This report is a key resource for understanding the dynamic trade and production linkages of economies in Southeast Asia and the Pacific to support data-driven policy making and implementation. It updates a 2018 publication and explores a wider range of statistical and analytical indicators on Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Fiji, Indonesia, the Lao People’s Democratic Republic, Malaysia, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, and Viet Nam. The tables and indicators presented are derived from the Multi-Regional Input–Output database maintained by the Asian Development Bank. Two companion volumes focus on East Asia, and South and Central Asia.

About the Asian Development Bank

ADB is committed to achieving a prosperous, inclusive, resilient, and sustainable Asia and the Pacific, while sustaining its efforts to eradicate extreme poverty. Established in 1966, it is owned by 68 members—49 from the region. Its main instruments for helping its developing member countries are policy dialogue, loans, equity investments, guarantees, grants, and technical assistance.

ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK

6 ADB Avenue, Mandaluyong City

1550 Metro Manila, Philippines

www.adb.org